THE LANCET Gastroenterology & Hepatology

Supplementary appendix

This appendix formed part of the original submission and has been peer reviewed. We post it as supplied by the authors.

Supplement to: Mowat C, Arnott I, Cahill A, et al, for the TOPPIC Study Group. Mercaptopurine versus placebo to prevent recurrence of Crohn's disease after surgical resection (TOPPIC): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016; published online Aug 30. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S2468-1253(16)30078-4.

TOPPIC Web appendix

Contents

| TOPPIC Study Group members | 2 |
|--|--------------|
| Supplementary table 1: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for the TOPPIC Trial | 4 |
| Supplementary table 2: Dose reduction algorithm | 5 |
| Supplementary table 3: Overview of Trial Procedures | 6 |
| Supplementary table 4: Recruitment to TOPPIC per centre | 7 |
| Supplementary table 5: Data and sample completion rates across TOPPIC trial outcomes | 8 |
| Supplementary table 6: Sensitivity and specificity (and 95% CIs) of faecal calprotectin for prediction of post-operative endoscopic recurrence of Crohn's dis (Rutgeerts' \geq i2) | sease 9 |
| Supplementary table 7: Adverse events stratified by category, severity and treatment group | 10 |
| Supplementary figure 1: Meta-analysis of published randomised controlled trial data comparing thiopurines to placebo for prevention of post-operative recur within 12 months in Crohn's disease | rrence 11 |
| Supplementary figure 2: Faecal calprotectin concentrations measured at weeks 49 and 157 plotted against corresponding Rutgeerts' score for endoscopic recurrence of Crohn's disease | 12 |
| Supplementary figure 3: Thioguanine nucleotide (TGN) blood concentrations in patients receiving MP plotted by study week, and grouped according to therapeutic range ($<235 - 450$, pmol/8x108 RBC), n=128 | 13 |
| Supplementary figure 4: Endoscopic recurrence of Crohn's disease as measured by Rutgeerts' score at Week 49 post-randomisation in patients on mercaptor (MP) vs placebo | purine 14 |
| Supplementary figure 5: Endoscopic recurrence of Crohn's disease as measured by Rutgeerts' score at Week 157 post-randomisation in patients on mercaptopurine (MP) vs placebo comparing MP vs placebo | 15 |

TOPPIC Study Group members

Site teams

Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Abderdeen Malcolm Smith⁴, Aileen J McKinley²⁹, John M Thomson⁴, Ashley Mowat⁴ Barts and the London, London James O Lindsay²⁶, Louise Langmead²⁶ Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol Tom Creed¹³ Darlington Memorial Hospital, Darlington and University Hospital of North Durham, Durham Anjan Dhar¹⁰ Derriford Hospital, Plymouth Stephen J Lewis¹⁸ Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow Aiden Cahill³, John Morris³, Daniel R Gaya³, Jack Winter³, Graham D Naismith³¹ Hull Royal Infirmary, Hull Shaji Sebastian¹⁹ John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford Simon Travis⁸ Leeds General Infirmary, Leeds John Hamlin⁹ Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester Simon Campbell¹⁷, Scott Levison¹⁷ Ninewells, Dundee Craig Mowat¹, John A Todd¹ North Staffs, Stoke-on-Trent (now Royal Stoke University Hospital) Sandip Sen²⁰ Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham Jason Goh25

Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham Chris Hawkey²² Raigmore, Inverness Lindsay Potts28 Rotherham General Hospital, Rotherham Mohamed Yousif¹⁵, Pierre Willemse¹⁵ Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital, Exeter Tariq Ahmad^{5,6} Royal Free, London Charles Murray²³ Royal Liverpool University Hospital, Liverpool Sreedhar Subramanian7 Salford Royal Infirmary, Salford Simon Lal²¹ Singleton Hospital, Swansea Linzi Thomas¹⁶ Southampton General Hospital, Southampton Fraser Cummings²⁴ St Marks, London Naila Arebi27 Torbay Hospital, Torquay Cathryn Edwards¹² UCLH, London Stuart Bloom¹⁴ University Hospital Coventry, Coventry Chuka Nwokolo¹¹ Western General Hospital, Edinburgh Ian Arnott², Mhairi Collie³⁰, Malcolm G Dunlop³⁰, Nicholas A Kennedy^{2,6}, Jack Satsangi², Charlie Lees², David Bartolo^{30,34}

Trial steering committee John Mansfield³⁵, Chris SJ Probert³⁶, Stuart Ralston³⁷, Ruth Slater

Data monitoring committee Stephen JW Evans³⁸, Helen Gillett³⁹, Huw Roddie⁴⁰

ECTU

Holly Ennis³², Gail Campbell³², Audrey Duncan³², Audrey Duncan³², Debra Kerr³², Ashma Krishan³², Garry Milne³², Lynsey Milne³², Gail Scott³², Samantha Thomas³², Allan Walker³², Helen Watters³²

ACCORD

Marise Bucukoglu⁴¹, Anne Langston⁴¹

Statistical team

Catriona Keerie³², Steff Lewis³², Robin J Prescott³³

Laboratory teams

Cedars-Sinai F. Widjaja Foundation Inflammatory Bowel and Immunobiology Research Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Centre, Los Angeles Michelle (Xiaoxiao) Li⁴⁴, Dermot McGovern⁴⁴ Dept of Clinical Chemistry, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh Ann Jarvie⁴³, Julie Nichols⁴³, Susan A Walker⁴³ Dept of Pathology, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh David Worrall⁴⁶ Purine Research Laboratory, St Thomas' Hospital, London Tony Marinaki⁴⁵ Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh Mark Blandford⁴², Lee Murphy⁴²

TOPPIC web appendix

¹Gastrointestinal Unit, Ninewells Hospital, Dundee, UK. ²Gastrointestinal Unit, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK. ³Gastrointestinal Unit, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, UK. ⁴Gastrointestinal Unit, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Abderdeen, UK. ⁵Dept of Gastroenterology, Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Exeter, UK. ⁶IBD Pharmacogenetics Unit, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK. ⁷Dept of Gastroenterology, Royal Liverpool University Hospital, Liverpool, UK. ⁸Translational Gastroenterology Unit, Nuffield Department of Experimental Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ⁹Dept of Gastroenterology, Leeds General Infirmary, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK. ¹⁰Dept of Gastroenterology, Darlington Memorial Hospital, Darlington, UK. ¹¹Dept of Gastroenterology, University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust, Coventry, UK. ¹²Dept of Gastroenterology, Torbay Hospital, South Devon Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Torbay, Devon, UK. ¹³Dept of Gastroenterology, Bristol Royal Infirmary, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK. ¹⁴Dept of Gastroenterology, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ¹⁵Dept of Gastroenterology, Rotherham NHS Foundation Trust Hospital, Rotherham, UK. ¹⁶Dept of Gastroenterology, Singleton Hospital, Abertawe Bro Morgannwg University Health Board, Swansea, UK. ¹⁷Dept of Gastroenterology, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK. ¹⁸Dept of Gastroenterology, Derriford Hospital, Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Plymouth, UK. ¹⁹Dept of Gastroenterology, Hull Royal Infirmary, Hull and East Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust, Hull, UK. ²⁰Dept of Gastroenterology, Royal Stoke University Hospital, University Hospitals of North Midlands NHS Trust, Stoke-on-Trent, UK. ²¹Dept of Gastroenterology, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust Hospital, Salford, UK. ²²Dept of Gastroenterology, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK. ²³Dept of Gastroenterology, Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust Hospital, London, UK. ²⁴Dept of Gastroenterology, Southampton General Hospital, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK. ²⁵Dept of Gastroenterology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK. ²⁶Dept of Gastroenterology, Barts Health NHS Trust, Barts and the London School of Medicine, London, UK. ²⁷Inflammatory Bowel Disease Unit, St Mark's Hospital, North West London Hospitals NHS Trust, London, UK. ²⁸Gastrointestinal Unit, Raigmore Hospital, Inverness, UK. ²⁹Dept of Surgery, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Abderdeen, UK. ³⁰Colorectal Surgery, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK. ³¹Gastrointestinal Unit, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Paisley, UK. ³²Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ³³Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ³⁴Dept of Colorectal Surgery, Fiona Stanley Hospital, Murdoch, WA, Australia. ³⁵Dept of Gastroenterology, Victoria Royal Infirmary, Newcastle, UK. ³⁶Gastroenterology, Cellular and Molecular Physiology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. ³⁷Rheumatology and Bone Disease, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ³⁸Department of Medical Statistics, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK. ³⁹Gastrointestinal Unit, Royal Hospital for Sick Children, Edinburgh, UK. ⁴⁰Dept of Haematology, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK. ⁴¹ACCORD, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ⁴²Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ⁴³Dept of Clinical Chemistry, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK. 44Cedars-Sinai F. Widjaja Foundation Inflammatory Bowel and Immunobiology Research Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Centre, Los Angeles, California, USA. 45Purine Research Laboratory, St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK. ⁴⁶Dept of Pathology, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK.

Supplementary table 1: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for the TOPPIC Trial

| Inclusio | n Criteria |
|-----------|---|
| A patient | will be considered eligible for inclusion in the study if all of the following criteria apply: |
| | |
| 1. | At least 16 years of age in Scotland and 18 years of age in England and Wales. |
| 2. | Established diagnosis of Crohn's disease confirmed at recent resection. |
| 3. | Ileocolonic or small bowel resection within 3 months before screening. |
| 4. | No more than 100 cm of fixed small bowel resected in total. Previous ileocolonic resection is acceptable. |
| 5. | Able to start oral nutrition within the first 2 postoperative weeks. |
| 6. | Normal or heterozygous TPMT (activity present or reduced consistent with carrier status). |
| 7. | Able to provide written informed consent prior to screening and to comply with the requirements of the study protocol. |
| 8. | Off antibiotics 2 weeks prior to randomisation. |
| Exclusion | n Criteria |
| A patient | will not be eligible for inclusion in the study if any of the following criteria apply: |
| 1 | |
| 1. | Pregnancy at baseline or breast feeding. |
| 2. | A known hypersensitivity or intolerance to 6MP |
| 3. | Pancreatitis associated with azathioprine. |
| 4. | Receiving an experimental treatment for Crohn's disease in the 4 weeks prior to study entry. |
| 5. | Known to require further surgery at study entry i.e. for the removal of an abscess developing from the primary surgery. |
| 6. | Stricture plasty procedure alone |
| 7. | (Please note that stricture plasty and resection procedure together will not be considered an exclusion.) |
| 8. | Presence of stoma. |
| 9 | Significant haematological renal or hepatic dysfunction or clinically important lung disease (i.e. liver function tests (except |
| | GGT) >x2 upper limit of normal. Haemoglobin <10, total white blood cell count <3.5. Neutrophils <1.5. Platelets <100x10 $^{9/1}$) |
| 10. | Systemic infection including hepatitis B, hepatitis C, HIV and active TB. |
| 11 | A diagnosis of indeterminate colitis or ulcerative colitis |
| 12. | A history of illicit drug or alcohol abuse in the 1 year prior to study entry. |
| 13 | Active or untreated malienancy (excluding basal cell carcinoma and institutionary). (Patients who have had successful |
| 101 | treatment for malienancy and have been in remission for more than 5 years may be considered for inclusion only after detailed |
| | discussion with and written approval from the patient's medical oncologist) |
| 14 | Presence of a medical or psychiatric condition disease or laboratory abnormality that in the opinion of the PI may place the |
| 1.0 | subject at unaccentable risk during the study |
| 15 | Homozyeous deficient for TPMT (absent activity) |
| 16 | Evidence of untreated post-operative infection e_{α} clostridium difficile urinary tract infection or chest infection. If these have |
| 10. | been appropriately treated in the opinion of the PL and inclusion criteria 8 is met this will not be considered an exclusion |
| 17 | Taking any medication for Crohn's disease. |
| 17. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

Supplementary table 2: Dose reduction algorithm

| Option 1 | No action required as not deemed to be a safety issue (Some abnormal results will be at the discretion of the |
|----------|---|
| _ | Investigators as to whether they require any action.) |
| Option 2 | Hb<10.0: E-mail local nurse to telephone patient and arrange for review and assessment by Investigator as would be |
| • | done in routine clinical practice. Anaemia could be caused by a number of reasons so this should not affect the study |
| | blind. |
| Option 3 | Total White Cell Count 2.5-3.5, or Neutrophil count between 1.0 and 2.0 or any LFT (except GGT) between >2 and |
| - | 5 times the upper limit of normal or Platelet count between $50-100 \times 10^6$ /l: |
| | Email local nurse who will telephone patient to reduce dose. Ensure safety bloods are done within 1 week at local |
| | site. This should not affect the study blind. |
| | |
| | |
| 0 1 1 | Test White Oall Count (2.5 or Nexteenbil count (1.0 or Any LET) of more limit of a much or detailed count |
| Option 4 | Total white Cell Count <2.5 or Neutrophil count <1.0 or Any LFT >x5 upper limit of normal or platelet count |
| | <50x106/I: Email and telephone local nurse who will telephone patient to discontinue the study drug, arrange for |
| | immediate patient review by Investigator and repeat bloods, at weekly intervals, until resolved. At the duty |
| | clinicians' discretion drug could be recommenced or the dose reduced [see Para 7.7 of Protocol Dose Reduction |
| | Schedule (Table 2)], if out of range values improve above the lower limits designated. Patient will continue as per |
| | protocol. Otherwise study drug would be stopped and patient should continue with scheduled visits but not take any |
| | more IMP (The breaking of the study blind will only be performed where knowledge of the treatment is absolutely |
| | internet. (The ordering of the study office with only of performed where knowledge of the deather is absolutely |
| | necessary for further management of the patient). |

Supplementary table 3: Overview of Trial Procedures

| Visit number | -1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Study Week No | -4 | -1 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 31 | 49 | 67 | 85 | 103 | 121 | 139 | 157 |
| Visit purpose | Inpatient pre- assessment | Safety Visit | Randomisation and drug prescription | Interim safety assessment | Study visit | Final study visit |
| Inc/Exc Criteria | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consent Form | Х | | Х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Patient Information Leaflet | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TPMT | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stool Sample | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pregnancy Test | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clinic Visit | | | Х | Х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х |
| Medical History | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | |
| CDAI (Diary to be completed | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| by patient 7 days prior to this visit) | | | X | x | x | X | X | x | x | x | х | x | х |
| Weight | | | Х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х |
| Height | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Study Drug | | | Х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | |
| Physical exam inc | | | v | v | v | v | v | v | v | v | v | v | v |
| vital signs | | | А | л | ^ | л | л | л | ^ | л | л | л | л |
| AEs | | х | Х | Х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х |
| Blood Safety Monitoring* | | х | | Х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х |
| 6MP Metabolite Levels | | | Х | | х | | х | | | х | | | х |
| Faecal Calprotectin | | | Х | | х | | х | | | х | | | х |
| Colonoscopy & Biopsy | | | | | | | х | | | | | | х |
| IBDQ, SF-36 & EQ-5D | | | Х | | х | | х | | | х | | | х |
| Prohibited Meds | | | | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х | х |
| Genetic Studies | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | |
| Serological Studies | | | Х | | | | | | | | | | |

Supplementary table 4: Recruitment to TOPPIC per centre

| Centre | Principal Investigator | Number | % of |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | | of | patients |
| | | patients | 20.5 |
| Western General Hospital, Edinburgh | Dr Ian Arnott | /8 | 32.5 |
| Ninewells, Dundee | Dr Craig Mowat | 21 | 8.8 |
| Stobhill Hospital, Glasgow | Dr Aidan Cahill | 19 | 7.9 |
| Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Abderdeen | Dr Malcolm Smith | 17 | 7.1 |
| Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital, Exeter | Dr Tariq Ahmad | 11 | 4.6 |
| John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford | Dr Simon Travis | 9 | 3.8 |
| Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow | Dr John Morris | 8 | 3.3 |
| Royal Liverpool University Hospital, Liverpool | Sreedhar Subramanian | 8 | 3.3 |
| Leeds General Infirmary, Leeds | Dr John Hamlin | 8 | 3.3 |
| University Hospital Coventry, Coventry | Professor Chuka Nwokolo | 6 | 2.5 |
| Torbay Hospital, Torquay | Dr Cathryn Edwards | 6 | 2.5 |
| University Hospital of North Durham, Durham | Dr Anjan Dhar | 6 | 2.5 |
| Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol | Dr Tom Creed | 5 | 2.1 |
| UCLH, London | Dr Stuart Bloom | 5 | 2.1 |
| Singleton Hospital, Swansea | Dr Linzi Thomas | 4 | 1.7 |
| Rotherham General Hospital, Rotherham | Dr Mohamed Yousif | 4 | 1.7 |
| Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester | Dr Simon Campbell | 3 | 1.2 |
| Derriford Hospital, Plymouth | Dr Stephen Lewis | 3 | 1.2 |
| Hull Royal Infirmary, Hull | Dr Shaji Sebastian | 3 | 1.2 |
| Salford Royal Infirmary, Salford | Dr Simon Lal | 2 | 0.8 |
| Royal Free, London | Dr Charles Murray | 2 | 0.8 |
| Southampton General Hospital, Southampton | Dr Fraser Cummings | 2 | 0.8 |
| Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham | Professor Chris Hawkey | 2 | 0.8 |
| Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham | Dr Jason Goh | 2 | 0.8 |
| Darlington Memorial Hospital, Darlington | Dr Anjan Dhar | 2 | 0.8 |
| Barts and the London, London | Dr James Lindsay | 1 | 0.4 |
| North Staffs, Stoke-on-Trent | Dr Sandip Sen | 1 | 0.4 |
| (now Royal Stoke University Hospital) | * | | |
| St Marks, London | Dr Naila Arebi | 1 | 0.4 |
| Raigmore, Inverness | Dr Lindsay Potts | 1 | 0.4 |

Supplementary table 5: Data and sample completion rates across TOPPIC trial outcomes

| Parameter | Timepoint post- | 6MP (n=128) | Placebo (n=112) | Overall (n=240) |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | randomisation | | | |
| Clinical data | Week 0 | 128 (100) | 112 (100) | 240 (100) |
| Clinical data | Week 6 | 123 (96.1) | 108 (96.4) | 231 (96.3) |
| Clinical data | Week 13 | 117 (91.4) | 107 (95.5) | 224 (93.3) |
| Clinical data | Week 31 | 114 (89.1) | 99 (88.4) | 213 (88.8) |
| Clinical data | Week 49 | 111 (86.7) | 97 (86.6) | 208 (86.7) |
| Clinical data | Week 67 | 105 (82.0) | 87 (77.7) | 192 (80.0) |
| Clinical data | Week 85 | 100 (78.1) | 83 (74.1) | 183 (76.3) |
| Clinical data | Week 103 | 98 (76.6) | 78 (69.6) | 176 (73.3) |
| Clinical data | Week 121 | 94 (73.4) | 74 (66.1) | 168 (70.0) |
| Clinical data | Week 139 | 89 (69.5) | 71 (63.4) | 160 (66.7) |
| Clinical data | Week 147 | 89 (69.5) | 71 (63.4) | 161 (67.1) |
| Colonoscopy | Week 49 | 95 (74) | 77 (69) | 172 (72) |
| Colonoscopy | Week 157 | 69 (54) | 59 (53) | 128 (53) |
| Calprotectin | Week 0 | 108 | 96 | 204 |
| Calprotectin | Week 13 | 94 | 87 | 181 |
| Calprotectin | Week 49 | 87 | 67 | 154 |
| Calprotectin | Week 103 | 69 | 55 | 124 |
| Calprotectin | Week 157 | 65 | 52 | 117 |
| 6-TGN | Week 0 | 124 | 110 | 234 |
| 6-TGN | Week 13 | 114 | 97 | 211 |
| 6-TGN | Week 49 | 102 | 86 | 188 |
| 6-TGN | Week 103 | 82 | 65 | 147 |
| 6-TGN | Week 157 | 64 | 57 | 121 |
| IBDQ | Week 0 | 128 | 111 | 239 |
| IBDQ | Week 13 | 117 | 106 | 223 |
| IBDQ | Week 49 | 109 | 94 | 203 |
| IBDQ | Week 103 | 97 | 75 | 172 |
| IBDQ | Week 157 | 86 | 69 | 155 |

Supplementary table 6: Sensitivity and specificity (and 95% CIs) of faecal calprotectin for prediction of post-operative endoscopic recurrence of Crohn's disease (Rutgeerts' \geq i2)

| Endoscopic Parameter | FC cut-off ($\mu g/g$) | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Recurrence (≥i2) | 50 | 84.4% (77.0%, 91.9%) | 44.4% (35.6%, 53.1%) | 52.4% (44.3%, 60.5%) | 79.7% (70.2%, 89.2%) |
| | 100 (optimum*) | 72.2% (63.0%, 81.5%) | 62.1% (53.6%, 70.6%) | 58.0% (48.9%, 67.2%) | 75.5% (67.1%, 83.8%) |
| Remission (i0) | 50 | 51.8% (38.7%, 64.9%) | 74.7% (67.9%, 81.5%) | 42.0% (30.4%, 53.7%) | 81.4% (75.0%, 87.7%) |
| | 100 | 67.9% (55.6%, 80.1%) | 59.5% (51.8%, 67.2%) | 37.3% (27.9%, 46.6%) | 83.9% (77.1%, 90.7%) |
| | 60 (optimum*) | 55.4% (42.3%, 68.4%) | 70.9% (63.8%, 78.0%) | 40.3% (29.3%, 51.2%) | 81.8% (75.3%, 88.2%) |

These data derived from combined Visit 6 (week 49) and Visit 12 (week 157) data (n = 214)

* Optimum cut-off point calculated by maximising Youden's J statistic.

Supplementary table 7: Adverse events stratified by category, severity and treatment group

| | | Mercap | topurine | | Placebo | | | | |
|---|------|----------|----------|-------|---------|----------|--------|-------|--|
| Category | Mild | Moderate | Severe | Total | Mild | Moderate | Severe | Total | |
| Cancers | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| Deranged LFTs | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | |
| GI Symptoms - Abdominal pain | 41 | 64 | 26 | 132 | 32 | 85 | 24 | 141 | |
| GI Symptoms - Constipation/diarrhoea | 23 | 24 | 7 | 54 | 17 | 31 | 8 | 56 | |
| GI Symptoms - Nausea/vomiting | 25 | 42 | 11 | 78 | 18 | 21 | 2 | 41 | |
| GI Symptoms - Other | 23 | 26 | 4 | 53 | 22 | 18 | 0 | 40 | |
| Headache | 39 | 22 | 0 | 61 | 17 | 18 | 3 | 38 | |
| Infections | 85 | 80 | 6 | 171 | 75 | 102 | 7 | 184 | |
| Joint pain/arthralgia | 28 | 40 | 4 | 72 | 21 | 40 | 4 | 65 | |
| Pain | 11 | 15 | 4 | 30 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 19 | |
| Pancreatitis | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| Rash | 21 | 12 | 2 | 35 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | |
| Worsening Crohn's | 6 | 29 | 6 | 41 | 5 | 25 | 7 | 37 | |
| Other | 97 | 106 | 9 | 212 | 70 | 72 | 11 | 153 | |

Numbers indicate total number of events. There was one case of abdominal pain in the mercaptopurine group with missing severity, and one mild event with a missing category.

Supplementary figure 1: Meta-analysis of published randomised controlled trial data comparing thiopurines to placebo for prevention of post-operative recurrence within 12 months in Crohn's disease

FE = Fixed effects



Supplementary figure 2: Faecal calprotectin concentrations measured at weeks 49 and 157 plotted against corresponding Rutgeerts' score for endoscopic recurrence of Crohn's disease



Supplementary figure 3: Thioguanine nucleotide (TGN) blood concentrations in patients receiving MP plotted by study week, and grouped according to therapeutic range (<235 – 450, pmol/8x108 RBC), n=128



Supplementary figure 4: Endoscopic recurrence of Crohn's disease as measured by Rutgeerts' score at Week 49 postrandomisation in patients on mercaptopurine (MP) vs placebo



Supplementary figure 5: Endoscopic recurrence of Crohn's disease as measured by Rutgeerts' score at Week 157 postrandomisation in patients on mercaptopurine (MP) vs placebo comparing MP vs placebo

