

Supplementary Figure. Association of FLIP panometry with high-resolution

manometry (HRM) parameters and acid exposure. Each subject is represented by a row; subjects are listed by increasing esophagogastric junction (EGJ) distensibility indices (DI). Repetitive antegrade contractions (RACs) presence and occlusion status by distension volume are displayed, as are the EGJ-DI from the 60-ml fill volume, high-resolution manometry (HRM) motility diagnoses, median integrated relaxation pressure (IRP) and median distal contractile integral (DCI) values from the 10-supine swallows on HRM, and 24-hour acid exposure time (AET).

The correlations of EGJ-DI with median IRP, basal EGJ pressure, and AET were -0.67 ($P = 0.001$), -0.42 ($P = 0.067$), and -0.17 ($P = 0.49$) respectively. The correlations of maximum EGJ diameter with median IRP, basal EGJ pressure, and AET were -0.739 ($P < 0.001$), -0.08 ($P = 0.74$) and 0.14 ($p = 0.586$).

The median DCI was lower among subjects without occluding contractions at fill volumes ≥ 40 ml or with RAC cessation ($n = 5$), i.e. features potentially indicating a weak contractile response, median (IQR) median DCI 1096 (927 – 2545) mmHg•cm•s than those not meeting these criteria: median (IQR) median DCI 2340 (1762 – 4632); $P = 0.033$.

Supplementary Table. Normal values of FLIP panometry in 30 subjects. Results reflect combined results of the present cohort (n=20) and a previously described pilot cohort (n=10).² Minor study protocol differences that could contribute to result differences (particularly in maximum EGJ diameter and contractile response parameters) with the pilot cohort include a maximum distension volume of 60ml and time at each incremental distension volume of only 10-20 seconds. Additionally, HRM was not uniformly completed among this cohort. However, values do not substantially differ with those reported in the present cohort of 20 subjects. *Catheter positioning in one subject prevented distensibility plateau (DP) quantification. **n=28 subjects in which a repetitive antegrade contraction (RAC) pattern was observed. EGJ-DI – esophagogastric junction distensibility index

	Median	10 th -90 th percentile	Threshold	n (%) meeting threshold
EGJ-DI, 60ml (mm²/mmHg)	5.8	3.4 – 9.3	> 2.8	30 (100)
Maximum EGJ diameter (mm)	23.3	21.4 – 30.4	≥ 18	28 (93)
DP, distal body (mm)	20.5	19.6 – 21.8	≥ 18	28/29* (100)
Antegrade contractions	--		Present	30 (100)
RAC pattern	--		Present	28 (93)
Repetitive retrograde contraction (RRC) pattern	--		Absent	30 (100)
Antegrade contractions <i>characteristics</i>				
Lumen occlusion	--	--	At distension volumes 40-60 ml	23 (77)
Duration of RAC pattern (number of ACs)	24	12 – 32	≥ 10	25/28 (83)**
RAC pattern cessation	--	--	Absent	22/28 (79)**

EGJ-DI (mm ² /mmHg)	FLIP distension volume (ml)						HRM Motility diagnosis	Median IRP (mmHg)	Median DCI (mmHg·cm·s)	AET (%)
	20	30	40	50	60	70				
2.9	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	15	1090	0.9
4.0	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	12	4092	1.9
4.2	■	■	■	■	■	■	EGJOO	18	1097	1.5
4.5	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	9	1060	0.1
4.9	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	15	3801	0
5.0	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	10	2031	
5.1	■	■	■	■	■	■	EGJOO	16	3078	0.4
5.2	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	12	1634	1.4
5.6	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	14	2196	1.9
5.7	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	13	1762	
5.8	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	12	1535	0.3
5.8	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	14	7168	0.7
6.0	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	8	5592	0.6
6.5	■	■	■	■	■	■	IEM	14	763	0.3
6.7	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	8	2340	0.5
6.7	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	9	5652	0.2
7.8	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	12	3321	1.5
8.2	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	7	2340	2.6
9.3	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	9	4631	0.4
11.9	■	■	■	■	■	■	Normal	8	2018	5.5

Occluding RACs
 Non-occluding RACs
 No RACs