Cell Metabolism, Volume 28

## **Supplemental Information**

## **Nucleus of the Solitary Tract**

## Serotonin 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> Receptors Modulate Food Intake

Giuseppe D'Agostino, David Lyons, Claudia Cristiano, Miriam Lettieri, Cristian Olarte-Sanchez, Luke K. Burke, Megan Greenwald-Yarnell, Celine Cansell, Barbora Doslikova, Teodora Georgescu, Pablo Blanco Martinez de Morentin, Martin G. Myers Jr., Justin J. Rochford, and Lora K. Heisler



## **Supplemental Figures**

**Figure S1, related to Figure 1. (A)** Schematic of the strategy used to inhibit the activity of 5-HT<sub>2C</sub>R-expressing neurons within the NTS: 5-HT<sub>2C</sub>R<sup>CRE</sup> mice were stereotaxically injected into the NTS with a Cre-dependent DREADD vector (AAV-hM4D<sub>i</sub>-mCherry). (**B**) 5-HT<sub>2C</sub>R<sup>CRE</sup> construct and Cre-mediated recombination of DREADD allele within the NTS produced 5-HT<sub>2C</sub>R<sup>NTS</sup>-hM4D<sub>i</sub> mice. (**C**) Representative example of electrophysiological recording of an isolated hDM4i-transduced 5-HT<sub>2C</sub>R-expressing neuron from the NTS of 5-HT<sub>2C</sub>R<sup>NTS</sup>-hM4D<sub>i</sub> mice illustrating that CNO (10 µM) caused a reduction in the membrane potential (n=5/5). (**D**) Chemogenetic inhibition of 5-HT<sub>2C</sub>R<sup>NTS</sup> neurons via CNO (1 mg/kg, i.p.) administration in 5-HT<sub>2C</sub>R<sup>NTS</sup>-hM4D<sub>i</sub> mice did not alter food intake compared to saline up to 24 hours post-treatment (n= 6-7; 3h: t(11)=0.044, p=0.965; 5h: t(11)=0.038, p=0.970; 24h: t(11)=0.642, p=0.533). Data are presented as mean±SEM.



**Figure S2**, **related to Figure 2**. **(A)** Body weight of 4 month old male wild type  $(5-HT_{2C}R^{WT})$ , *loxtb5*- $HT_{2C}R$  null mice  $(5-HT_{2C}R^{KO})$  and *loxtb5*- $HT_{2C}R$  null mice with 5- $HT_{2C}Rs$  exclusively restored within the NTS/DMV following stereotaxic delivery of AAV-hSyn-Cre-mCherry into the NTS/DMV ( $5-HT_{2C}R^{NTS/DMV}$ ) (n=5-6 per group,  $F_{2,16}$ = 14.40, p=0.0003). **(B)** Representative recording of circadian energy expenditure (EE) measured by indirect calorimetry illustrating no effect of genotype on EE (kcal/h/kg) during the dark or light phase of the daily cycle in  $5-HT_{2C}R^{WT}$  (n=5),  $5-HT_{2C}R^{KO}$  (n=5) or  $5-HT_{2C}R^{NTS/DMV}$  (n=6) mice (Effect of genotype  $F_{2,13}$  = 0.26, p = 0.7739; effect of time  $F_{23,299}$  = 15.56, p <0.001; interaction  $F_{46,299}$  = 0.66, p = 0.9544); **(C)** Data expressed as cumulative nocturnal and diurnal EE also illustrate no differences by genotype in EE. **(D)** Cumulative *ad libitum* dark cycle food intake illustrating no significant differences by genotype. Sidak's post hoc comparisons \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001 compared to  $5-HT_{2C}R^{WT}$  mice. Data are presented as mean±SEM.