

Guidelines for reporting meta-epidemiological methodology research

Mohammad Hassan Murad and Zhen Wang

Table 1

Proposed items to be used for reporting methodology research, adapted from the PRISMA Checklist (<http://prisma-statement.org/PRISMA Statement/Checklist.aspx>)

Section/topic	Proposed item to be used in methodology research	Location in the manuscript
Title		
Title	Identify the report as a meta-epidemiologic study.	Lines 1-2
Abstract		
Structured summary	Provide a structured summary that includes the background of the topic, goal of the study, data sources, method of data selection, appraisal and synthesis methods, results, limitations, conclusions and implications of key findings.	Lines 46-68
Introduction		
Rationale	Describe the rationale for the meta-epidemiological study in the context of what is already known.	Lines 71-103
Objectives	Provide an explicit statement of the goal of the meta-epidemiological study and the hypothesis being empirically tested.	Lines 104-109
Methods		
Protocol	Indicate if a protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (eg, Web address). Registration of a protocol is not mandatory.	Line 124
Eligibility criteria	Specify study characteristics used as criteria for eligibility with a rationale.	Lines 111-115
Information sources	Describe all information sources (eg, databases with dates of coverage, contact with experts to identify additional studies, Internet searches) and search date.	Lines 126-134
Search	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated. Search is commonly not driven by a clinical question.	Appendix
Study selection	Describe the process for selecting studies for inclusion (ie, how many reviewers selected studies, reviewing in duplicate or by single individuals).	Lines 171-186
Data collection process	Describe method of data extraction from reports (eg, piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes used for manipulating data or obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	Lines 171-186 and 178-180
Data items	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and imputations made.	Lines 188-191

Risk of bias in individual studies	If risk of bias assessment of individual studies was relevant to the analysis, describe the items used and how this information is to be used during data synthesis.	173 – 175 Appendix 4
Summary measures	State the principal summary measures (eg, ratio of risk ratios, difference in means) and explain its meaning and direction to readers.	N/A
Synthesis of results	Describe the statistical or descriptive methods of synthesis including measures of consistency if relevant. If applicable, describe the development of statistical or simulation modelling based on theoretical background. Describe and justify assumptions and computational approximations. Describe methods of additional analyses (eg, sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were prespecified.	Lines 193-195
Results		
Study selection	Give numbers of studies assessed for eligibility and included in the study, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. Present a measure of inter-reviewer agreement (eg, kappa statistic).	Figure 1 Lines 198 - 199
Study characteristics	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted and provide the citations. Clinical characteristics may not always be relevant.	Lines 201-262
Risk of bias within studies	If risk of bias assessment of individual studies was used in the meta-epidemiological analysis, report risk of bias indicators of each study to allow replication of findings.	Lines 264 - 270
Results of individual studies	Present data elements used in the meta-epidemiological analysis from each study (results of clinical outcomes may not be relevant).	N/A
Synthesis of results	Present results of statistical analysis done, including measures of precision and measures of consistency. Present validity of assumptions and fit of statistical or simulation modelling, if applicable.	NA
Additional analysis	Give results of additional analyses, if done (eg, sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression).	N/A
Discussion		
Summary of evidence	Summarise the main findings and compare them with existing knowledge about the topic. The quality of evidence may not be relevant; however, investigators should describe their certainty in the results to readers.	Lines 281 - 359
Limitations	Discuss limitations at research methodology level (eg, likelihood of reporting or publication bias).	Lines 361 - 390
Conclusions	Provide general interpretation of the results and implications for future research. Provide any plausible impact on clinical practice.	Lines 392 - 397
Funding		

Funding	Describe sources of funding for the methodology research and role of funders.	Lines 419 - 420
---------	---	-----------------