

Title: Sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine plus azithromycin may improve birth outcomes through impacts on inflammation and placental angiogenesis independent of malarial infection

Authors:

Holger W. UNGER PhD¹

Annjaleen P. HANSA MBBS^{1,2}

Christelle BUFFET MSc¹

Wina HASANG BSc¹

Andrew TEO PhD¹

Louise RANDALL PhD¹

Maria OME KAIUS MBBS^{3,4,5}

Stephan KARL^{3,4,5,6}

Ayen A. ANUAN BSc¹

James G. BEESON PhD^{1,2,7}

Ivo MUELLER PhD^{4,5,8}

Sarah J STOCK PhD⁹

Stephen J. ROGERSON FRACP¹

¹Department of Medicine at the Doherty Institute, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

²Central Clinical School and Department of Microbiology, Monash University, Victoria, Australia

³Papua New Guinea Institute of Medical Research, Goroka, Papua New Guinea

⁴Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

⁵Department of Medical Biology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

⁶Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine, James Cook University, Cairns, Australia

⁷Burnet Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁸Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

⁹Tommy's Centre for Maternal and Fetal Health, MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, Queen's Medical Research Institute, Edinburgh, UK

Supplemental File 1: STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	<p>(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract Included in title (cohort study first and foremost)</p> <p>(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Abstract</p>
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	<p>Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Introduction</p>
Objectives	3	<p>State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, last paragraph</p>
Methods		
Study design	4	<p>Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, first paragraph</p>
Setting	5	<p>Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Methods, second paragraph. Parent trial paper.</p>
Participants	6	<p>(a) <i>Cohort study</i>—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i>—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i>—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, second paragraph. Parent trial paper.</p> <p>(b) <i>Cohort study</i>—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i>—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case</p>
Variables	7	<p>Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, statistical analyses</p>
Data sources/ measurement	8*	<p>For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods</p>
Bias	9	<p>Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, statistical analyses</p>
Study size	10	<p>Explain how the study size was arrived at Methods, second paragraph</p>
Quantitative variables	11	<p>Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why Methods, statistical analyses</p>
Statistical methods	12	<p>(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding Methods, statistical analyses</p>

(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions

Methods, statistical analyses

(c) Explain how missing data were addressed

Methods, statistical analyses

(d) *Cohort study*—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed

Original trial paper.

Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed

Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy

(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

Results

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Results, Figure 1, parent trial paper. (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Results, Figure 1, parent trial paper. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Figure 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders Table 1 (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest Table 1, results. (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) Until delivery/known pregnancy outcome. Parent trial paper.
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time Tables 1&2, results. <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included Methods, statistical analyses. Results, Tables 1-3, Supp Tables 1&2. (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized Methods, statistical analyses. Results, Tables 1-3, Supp Tables 1&2. (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses Methods, Results.

Discussion

Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discussion, Principal findings.
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Discussion, Strengths and limitations
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discussion, Meaning and implication of study findings.
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Discussion

Other information

Funding 22 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based
Funding declaration

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Supplemental Table 1 Association of maternal clinical factors at enrolment and delivery with AGP (α -1-Acid glycoprotein) and CRP (C-reactive protein) levels at the time of clinical assessment

	CRP (mg/L)		<i>P</i>	AGP (mg/L)		<i>P</i>
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
<i>Enrolment</i>						
Feeling unwell	2.7 (1.6, 4.4)	1.4 [1.3, 1.5]	<0.001	265 (213, 329)	220 [212, 228]	NS
History of fever (last 24 hours)	3.2 (1.9, 5.4)	1.4 (1.3, 1.5)	<0.001	252 (206, 310)	220 (212, 228)	NS
Patient-reported malaria	1.9 (1.5, 2.3)	1.4 (1.3, 1.4)	0.001	253 (228, 281)	217 (209, 226)	0.006
Malaria infection ^a	2.5 (2.0, 3.0)	1.3 (1.2, 1.4)	<0.001	241 (217, 267)	218 (210, 227)	NS
Sexually transmitted infection ^b	1.5 (1.1, 2.1)	1.3 (1.1, 1.6)	NS	198 (160, 244)	189 (165, 217)	NS
MUAC <23 cm	1.2 (1.1, 1.4)	1.5 (1.4, 1.6)	0.007	214 (200, 229)	224 (214, 234)	NS
MAP \geq 90mmHg	1.3 (1.2, 1.5)	1.4 (1.3, 1.5)	NS	239 (224, 255)	216 (207, 225)	0.020
Haemoglobin <70 g/L	2.5 (1.7, 3.8)	1.4 (1.3, 1.5)	<0.001	261 (217, 312)	217 (209, 226)	NS
<i>Delivery^c</i>						
Peripheral malaria infection (any species) ^c	2.5 (1.9, 3.3)	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	<0.001	187 (160, 219)	167 (162, 173)	NS
Placental malaria ^c	2.3 (1.5, 3.5)	1.0 (0.9, 1.1)	<0.001	165 (133, 204)	162 (156, 168)	NS
Active placental infection (histology)	1.7 (1.2, 2.4)	1.0 (0.9, 1.1)	<0.001	193 (167, 223)	160 (153, 167)	0.012
Past placental infection (histology)	1.3 (1.0, 1.7)	0.9 (0.9, 1.0)	0.020	151 (96, 134)	161 (155, 168)	NS

MAP, mean arterial pressure; MUAC, mid-upper arm circumference; NS, not significant, defined as $p \geq 0.05$. Data are presented as geometric mean (95% confidence interval).

^a Peripheral parasitaemia by light microscopy and/or real-time polymerase chain reaction (*Plasmodium falciparum*, *P. vivax*).

^b One or more of the following detected in self-collected low vaginal swab: *Trichomonas vaginalis*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (n=309)

^c Positive for infection by light microscopy and/or polymerase chain reaction (*P. falciparum*, *P. vivax*)

Supplemental table 2 Association of maternal clinical factors at enrolment and delivery with levels of soluble endoglin (sEng), s-Flt-1 (soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase), PlGF (placental growth factor) and the sFlt-1/PlGF ratio at the time of clinical assessment.

	sEng (pg/ml)			sFlt-1 (ng/ml)		
	Yes	No	<i>P</i>	Yes	No	<i>P</i>
Enrolment						
Feeling unwell	14.8 (12.5, 17.4)	14.7 (14.2, 15.2)	NS	2.6 (2.1, 3.1)	2.6 (2.5, 2.7)	NS
History of fever (last 24 hours)	14.3 (11.8, 17.3)	14.7 (14.2, 15.2)	NS	2.4 (1.9, 2.9)	2.6 (2.5, 2.7)	NS
Patient-reported malaria	15.3 (13.9, 16.7)	14.6 (14.1, 15.2)	NS	2.6 (2.4, 2.9)	2.5 (2.5, 2.7)	NS
Malaria infection ^a	15.8 (14.4, 17.2)	14.6 (14.0, 15.1)	NS	2.3 (2.1, 2.5)	2.6 (2.5, 2.7)	0.017
MUAC <23 cm	14.6 (13.7, 15.5)	14.8 (14.2, 15.4)	NS	2.8 (2.6, 3.0)	2.5 (2.4, 2.6)	0.018
MAP ≥90mmHg	16.3 (15.2, 17.4)	14.3 (13.7, 14.8)	<0.001	2.5 (2.4, 2.7)	2.6 (2.5, 2.7)	NS
Haemoglobin <70 g/L	15.9 (13.4, 19.0)	14.7 (14.2, 15.2)	NS	3.0 (2.4, 3.8)	2.5 (2.4, 2.6)	NS
Delivery^b						
Peripheral malaria infection (any species) ^b	21.9 (18.4, 26.1)	22.9 (21.9, 23.9)	NS	4.8 (3.8, 5.9)	4.8 (4.5, 5.1)	NS
Placental malaria ^b	24.7 (19.5, 31.4)	23.5 (22.4, 24.6)	NS	5.6 (4.3, 7.3)	6.3 (5.9, 6.7)	NS
Active placental infection (histology)	23.6 (19.6, 28.5)	23.4 (22.2, 24.7)	NS	6.7 (5.4, 8.4)	6.2 (5.8, 6.6)	NS
Past placental infection (histology)	18.9 (16.1, 31.7)	24.1 (22.8, 25.4)	0.003	4.9 (4.0, 6.0)	6.4 (5.9, 6.8)	0.013
	PlGF (pg/ml)			sFlt-1/PlGF		
	Yes	No	<i>P</i>	Yes	No	<i>P</i>
Enrolment						
Feeling unwell	123 (103, 155)	161 (155, 167)	0.017	20.4 (15.1, 27.7)	16.0 (15.2, 16.8)	NS
History of fever (last 24 hours)	146 (120, 177)	160 (154, 166)	NS	16.2 (12.2, 21.5)	16.1 (15.3, 16.9)	NS
Patient reported malaria	153 (139, 169)	160 (154, 166)	NS	17.2 (15.1, 19.6)	16.0 (15.1, 16.8)	NS
Malaria infection ^a	141 (128, 154)	163 (156, 169)	0.006	16.3 (14.4, 18.5)	16.1 (15.2, 16.9)	NS
MUAC <23 cm	157 (146, 168)	160 (154, 167)	NS	17.5 (16.0, 19.3)	15.6 (14.7, 16.5)	0.031
MAP ≥90mmHg	157 (146, 169)	160 (154, 167)	NS	16.2 (14.6, 17.8)	16.1 (15.2, 17.0)	NS
Haemoglobin <70 g/L	137 (115, 163)	160 (154, 166)	NS	22.1 (17.0, 28.8)	15.9 (15.0, 16.7)	0.011
Delivery^b						
Peripheral malaria infection (any species) ^c	74 (65, 84)	76 (73, 78)	NS	64.4 (50.6, 82.0)	63.6 (59.7, 67.9)	NS

Placental malaria ^b	80 (67, 96)	80 (77, 82)	NS	70.5 (50.4, 98.7)	78.6 (73.7, 84.0)	NS
Active placental infection (histology)	81 (71, 93)	77 (74, 80)	NS	83.1 (65.5, 105.4)	80.3 (74.7, 86.3)	NS
Past placental infection (histology)	79 (71, 89)	77 (74, 80)	NS	61.6 (49.4, 76.9)	83.2 (77.1, 89.8)	0.008

MAP, mean arterial pressure; MUAC, mid-upper arm circumference; NS, not significant, defined as $p \geq 0.05$. Data are presented as geometric mean (95% confidence interval).

^a Peripheral parasitaemia by light microscopy and/or real-time polymerase chain reaction (*Plasmodium falciparum*, *P. vivax*).

^b Positive for infection by light microscopy and/or polymerase chain reaction (*P. falciparum*, *P. vivax*).

Supplemental table 3 Biomarker levels at enrolment and birthweight, overall and by treatment arm

Biomarker levels		N	Mean birthweight in g (95% CI)	Adjusted mean difference in BW (g) (95% CI)	P
Overall					
CRP (mg/L)		1,647	2951	51 (-2, 104)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 5.0)	365	2907		
AGP (mg/L)		1,509	2951	34 (-14, 81)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 360)	503	2918		
sEng (pg/ml)		1,509	2956	38 (-9, 85)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 28.3)	503	2903		
sFlt-1 (ng/ml)		1,509	2955	23 (-25, 70)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 4.3)	503	2909		
PlGF (pg/ml)		1,509	2957	47 (-2, 47)	NS
	Low (≤ 93.5)	503	2901		
sFlt-1/PlGF		1,509	2960	45 (-3, 93)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 38)	503	2894		
SPCQ					
CRP (mg/L)		837	2937	96 (13, 179)	0.023
	Elevated (≥ 5.0)	166	2842		
AGP (mg/L)		740	2927	21 (-50, 92)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 360)	240	2906		
sEng (pg/ml)		756	2936	37 (-35, 109)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 28.3)	247	2880		
sFlt-1 (ng/ml)		742	2944	65 (-6, 135)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 4.3)	261	2860		
PlGF (pg/ml)		748	2931	38 (-35, 112)	NS
	Low (≤ 93.5)	255	2897		
sFlt-1/PlGF		761	2941	61 (-13, 134)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 38)	242	2865		
SPAZ					
CRP (mg/L)		810	2965	15 (-53, 83)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 5.0)	199	2961		
AGP (mg/L)		769	2974	43 (-21, 106)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 360)	240	2964		
sEng (pg/ml)		753	2977	40 (-22, 103)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 28.3)	256	2928		
sFlt-1 (ng/ml)		767	2965	18 (-45, 82)	NS

PIGF (pg/ml)	Elevated (≥ 4.3)	242	2963	58 (-7, 123)	NS
	Low (≤ 93.5)	761	2983		
sFlt-1/PIGF	Low (≤ 93.5)	248	2905	35 (-28, 97)	NS
	Elevated (≥ 38)	748	2979		
		261	2921		

AGP, α -1-Acid glycoprotein; *aOR*, adjusted odds ratio; *CI*, confidence interval; *CRP*, C-reactive protein; *PIGF*, placental growth factor; *sEng*, soluble endoglin; *sFlt-1*, soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase; *SPCQ*, sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine plus chloroquine; *SPAZ*, sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine plus azithromycin. *NS*, not significant, defined as $p \geq 0.05$.

^a Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using logistic regression and adjusted for sex, bed net use, mid-upper arm circumference, recruitment clinic, height, partner's work status, number of antenatal visits, timing of birthweight measurement, and fundal height at biomarker measurement

^b Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using logistic regression and adjusted for sex, bed net use, mid-upper arm circumference, recruitment clinic, height, partner's work status, number of antenatal visits, and gestational age at biomarker measurement by ultrasound

Supplemental Table 4 Adjusted odds ratios (aOR) for biomarker levels at enrolment (log-transformed) and adverse birth outcomes, overall and by trial arm, in women without detectable *Plasmodium falciparum/P. vivax* infection.

Outcome	SPCQ		SPAZ		All	
	aOR (95% CI)	P	aOR (95% CI)	P	aOR (95% CI)	P
Low birthweight^a	128/744		103/796		231/1,540	
CRP (mg/L)	1.10 (0.80, 1.51)	0.56	1.00 (0.70, 1.44)	1.00	1.04 (0.82, 1.32)	0.73
AGP (mg/L)	0.86 (0.50, 1.50)	0.60	1.66 (0.87, 3.16)	0.13	1.15 (0.76, 1.74)	0.51
sEng (pg/ml)	1.47 (0.78, 2.78)	0.23	1.38 (0.72, 2.67)	0.33	1.45 (0.93, 2.28)	0.10
sFlt-1 (ng/ml)	1.39 (0.83, 2.35)	0.21	1.86 (0.97, 3.57)	0.06	1.53 (1.02, 2.29)	0.041
PIGF (pg/ml)	0.64 (0.35, 1.16)	0.14	0.78 (0.41, 1.48)	0.44	0.70 (0.45, 1.08)	0.11
sFlt-1/PIGF	1.56 (1.02, 2.37)	0.039	1.58 (0.99, 2.54)	0.06	1.54 (1.13, 2.10)	0.006
Preterm birth^b	51/484		34/521		85/1,005	
CRP (mg/L)	2.30 (1.39, 3.79)	0.001	0.80 (0.43, 1.47)	0.46	1.45 (0.99, 2.12)	0.06
AGP (mg/L)	1.17 (0.49, 2.78)	0.72	0.90 (0.33, 2.44)	0.84	1.03 (0.55, 1.96)	0.92
sEng (pg/ml)	3.77 (1.32, 10.7)	0.013	0.61 (0.19, 1.94)	0.40	1.70 (0.82, 3.56)	0.16
sFlt-1 (ng/ml)	2.50 (1.10, 5.60)	0.029	1.68 (0.63, 4.50)	0.31	2.08 (0.11, 3.89)	0.02
PIGF (pg/ml)	1.92 (0.73, 5.08)	0.19	0.55 (0.17, 1.75)	0.31	1.14 (0.55, 2.37)	0.73
sFlt-1/PIGF	1.33 (0.70, 2.51)	0.38	1.72 (0.82, 3.60)	0.15	1.46 (0.90, 2.35)	0.13
SGA^b	120/484		120/521		240/1,005	
CRP (mg/L)	1.09 (0.77, 1.53)	0.64	0.97 (0.68, 1.39)	0.88	1.02 (0.80, 1.30)	0.89
AGP (mg/L)	0.98 (0.56, 1.74)	0.95	1.42 (0.78, 2.57)	0.25	1.18 (0.79, 1.78)	0.42
sEng (pg/ml)	0.75 (0.39, 1.45)	0.39	1.02 (0.52, 2.00)	0.95	0.89 (0.56, 1.42)	0.63
sFlt-1 (ng/ml)	0.89 (0.50, 1.60)	0.70	1.15 (0.63, 2.11)	0.65	1.01 (0.65, 1.52)	0.97
PIGF (pg/ml)	0.53 (0.25, 1.11)	0.09	0.55 (0.27, 1.11)	0.10	0.56 (0.34, 0.94)	0.026
sFlt-1/PIGF	1.20 (0.76, 1.92)	0.44	1.42 (0.89, 2.25)	0.14	1.28 (0.92, 1.77)	0.14

AGP, α -1-acid glycoprotein; CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; PIGF, placental growth factor; sEng, soluble endoglin; sFlt-1, soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase; SPCQ, sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine plus chloroquine; SPAZ, sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine plus azithromycin. NS, not significant, defined as $p \geq 0.05$.

^a Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using logistic regression and adjusted for sex, bed net use, mid-upper arm circumference, recruitment clinic, height, partner's work status, number of antenatal visits, timing of birthweight measurement, and fundal height at biomarker measurement

^b Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using logistic regression and adjusted for sex, bed net use, mid-upper arm circumference, recruitment clinic, height, partner's work status, number of antenatal visits, and gestational age at biomarker measurement by ultrasound

Supplemental Table 5 Adjusted odds ratios (aOR) for biomarker levels at delivery (log-transformed) and adverse birth outcomes, overall and by trial arm, in women without detectable *Plasmodium falciparum/P. vivax* infection.

Outcome	SPCQ		SPAZ		All	
	aOR (95% CI)	P	aOR (95% CI)	P	aOR (95% CI)	P
Low birthweight^a	122/712		99/769		221/1,481	
CRP (mg/L)	1.21 (0.90, 1.66)	0.21	0.90 (0.64, 1.27)	0.56	1.09 (0.87, 1.36)	0.47
AGP (mg/L)	2.47 (1.29, 4.73)	0.007	1.92 (0.97, 3.80)	0.06	2.16 (1.36, 3.45)	0.001
sEng (pg/ml)	2.34 (1.31, 4.20)	0.004	2.03 (1.07, 3.84)	0.030	2.15 (1.40, 3.29)	0.001
sFlt-1 (ng/ml)	1.29 (0.66, 2.54)	0.46	0.95 (0.44, 2.04)	0.90	1.24 (0.76, 2.01)	0.39
PIGF (pg/ml)	0.50 (0.24, 1.05)	0.07	0.57 (0.25, 1.27)	0.17	0.53 (0.31, 0.91)	0.021
sFlt-1/PIGF	1.16 (0.81, 1.65)	0.43	1.70 (1.10, 2.63)	0.017	1.34 (1.02, 1.76)	0.036
Preterm birth^b	50/464		33/505		83/969	
CRP (mg/L)	1.42 (0.87, 2.32)	0.16	0.52 (0.28, 0.95)	0.035	0.99 (0.69, 1.42)	0.95
AGP (mg/L)	4.27 (1.53, 11.7)	0.005	1.35 (0.45, 4.01)	0.59	2.47 (1.20, 5.07)	0.014
sEng (pg/ml)	3.02 (1.26, 7.22)	0.013	3.90 (1.24, 12.3)	0.020	3.06 (1.55, 6.07)	0.001
sFlt-1 (ng/ml)	0.99 (0.57, 1.71)	0.97	1.82 (0.83, 3.97)	0.13	1.23 (0.79, 1.90)	0.37
PIGF (pg/ml)	0.29 (0.09, 0.97)	0.045	0.49 (0.12, 1.98)	0.32	0.34 (0.14, 0.86)	0.02
sFlt-1/PIGF	1.30 (0.77, 2.20)	0.33	1.92 (0.96, 3.86)	0.07	1.51 (1.00, 2.28)	0.05
SGA^b	117/464		117/505		234/969	
CRP (mg/L)	1.31 (0.94, 1.82)	0.11	0.97 (0.70, 1.35)	0.86	1.12 (0.89, 1.41)	0.35
AGP (mg/L)	3.94 (1.91, 8.14)	<0.001	1.41 (0.75, 2.66)	0.29	2.22 (1.39, 3.56)	0.001
sEng (pg/ml)	1.82 (1.04, 3.18)	0.035	1.19 (0.70, 2.02)	0.53	1.44 (0.98, 2.10)	0.06
sFlt-1 (ng/ml)	1.24 (0.84, 1.82)	0.29	1.19 (0.78, 1.82)	0.42	1.20 (0.91, 1.60)	0.20
PIGF (pg/ml)	0.98 (0.48, 2.02)	0.96	0.82 (0.38, 1.77)	0.62	0.93 (0.55, 1.56)	0.78
sFlt-1/PIGF	1.21 (0.84, 1.74)	0.31	1.23 (0.83, 1.81)	0.31	1.20 (0.92, 1.56)	0.18

AGP, α -1-Acid glycoprotein; CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; PIGF, placental growth factor; sEng, soluble endoglin; sFlt-1, soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase; SPCQ, sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine plus chloroquine; SPAZ, sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine plus azithromycin. NS, not significant, defined as $p \geq 0.05$.

^a Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using logistic regression and adjusted for sex, bed net use, mid-upper arm circumference, recruitment clinic, height, partner's work status, number of antenatal visits, and timing of birthweight measurement

^b Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using logistic regression and adjusted for sex, bed net use, mid-upper arm circumference, recruitment clinic, height, partner's work status, and number of antenatal visits

Supplemental Table 6 Diagnostic characteristics of biomarkers for the detection of low birthweight (<2,500 g), spontaneous preterm birth (PTB, <37 weeks) and birthweight <10 birthweight centile (SGA) among women randomised to SPCQ

LBW	Prevalence:	17.5% (15.0, 19.9)		175/1003					
	AUC	Youden Index (YI)	Biomarker level at YI	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	Positive predictive value (95% CI)	Negative predictive value (95% CI)	+LR	-LR
LBW									
CRP	0.5186	0.08	6.1 mg/L	18.3 (12.9, 24.8)	88.2 (85.8, 90.3)	24.6 (17.5, 32.9)	83.6 (81.0, 86.0)	1.54	0.93
AGP	0.5067	0.06	265 mg/L	37.7 (30.5, 45.3)	56.3 (52.8, 59.7)	15.4 (12.1, 19.2)	81.0 (77.6, 84.2)	0.86	1.11
sEng	0.5693	0.13	21.5 pg/mL	49.7 (42.1, 57.4)	62.3 (58.9, 65.6)	21.8 (17.8, 26.2)	85.4 (82.4, 88.1)	1.32	0.81
sFlt-1	0.5356	0.10	4.2 ng/mL	35.4 (28.4, 43.0)	74.6 (71.5, 77.6)	22.8 (17.9, 28.2)	84.5 (81.7, 87.1)	1.40	0.87
PIGF	0.5264	0.07	336 pg/ml	13.7 (9.0, 19.7)	80.1 (77.2, 82.7)	12.7 (8.3, 18.3)	81.4 (78.6, 84.1)	1.08	0.64
sFlt1/PGF	0.5472	0.10	29.9	35.4 (28.4, 43.0)	74.0 (70.9, 77.0)	22.4 (17.6, 27.8)	84.4 (81.6, 87.0)	1.36	0.87
PTB		10.6% (8.4, 13.3)		69/649					
CRP	0.5678	0.14	6.6 mg/L	21.7 (12.7, 33.3)	90.7 (88.0, 92.8)	21.7 (12.7, 33.3)	90.7 (88.0, 92.9)	2.33	0.86
AGP	0.5327	0.13	244 mg/L	58.0 (45.5, 69.8)	53.3 (49.1, 57.4)	12.9 (9.4, 17.1)	91.4 (87.9, 94.2)	1.24	0.79
sEng	0.6310	0.22	25.3 pg/mL	49.3 (37.0, 61.6)	71.4 (67.5, 75.0)	17.0 (12.1, 22.9)	92.2 (89.3, 94.5)	1.72	0.71
sFlt-1	0.6072	0.21	2.7 ng/mL	65.2 (52.8, 76.3)	55.3 (51.2, 59.4)	14.8 (11.0, 19.3)	93.0 (89.8, 95.5)	1.46	0.63
PIGF	0.5240	0.11	60.6 pg/ml	95.7 (87.8, 99.1)	13.4 (10.8, 16.5)	11.6 (9.1, 14.5)	96.3 (89.6, 99.2)	1.11	0.32
sFlt1/PGF	0.5539	0.12	14.4	63.8 (51.3, 75.0)	47.1 (42.9, 51.2)	12.5 (9.3, 1.5)	91.6 (87.9, 84.5)	1.20	0.77
SGA		25.1% (22.0, 28.6)		163/649					
CRP	0.4960	0.05	1.3 mg/L	47.9 (40.0, 55.8)	56.0 (51.4, 60.4)	26.7 (21.7, 32.2)	76.2 (71.4, 80.5)	1.09	0.93
AGP	0.5135	0.05	406 mg/L	23.9 (17.6, 31.2)	80.7 (76.9, 84.1)	29.3 (21.8, 37.8)	76.0 (72.0, 79.6)	1.24	0.94
sEng	0.5078	0.06	11.1 pg/mL	65.0 (57.2, 72.3)	40.9 (36.5, 45.5)	27.0 (22.6, 31.6)	77.7 (72.1, 82.7)	1.1	0.85
sFlt-1	0.5120	0.07	1.2 ng/mL	79.8 (72.8, 85.6)	13.6 (10.7, 17.0)	23.6 (20.1, 27.4)	66.7 (56.5, 75.8)	0.92	1.49
PIGF	0.5624	0.13	80.3 pg/ml	74.2 (66.8, 80.8)	13.6 (10.7, 17.0)	22.4 (18.9, 26.1)	61.1 (51.3, 70.3)	0.86	1.90
sFlt1/PGF	0.5382	0.09	38.9	26.4 (19.8, 33.8)	82.1 (78.4, 85.4)	33.1 (25.1, 41.9)	76.9 (73.0, 80.4)	1.47	0.90

AGP, α -1-Acid glycoprotein; AUC, area under the curve (receiver-operator curve); CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; LR, likelihood ratio; PIGF, placental growth factor; sEng, soluble endoglin; sFlt-1, soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase; SPCQ; sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine plus chloroquine.

The best combination for prediction of PTB is sEng, sFlt-1 and maternal mid-upper arm circumference, which provides an AUC of 0.686.

Supplemental Figure 1. Comparison of levels of α -1-acid glycoprotein (AGP) between treatment arms by gestational age (GA) groupings.

