

## Reviewer Report

**Title: Divergent evolution in the genomes of closely-related lacertids, *Lacerta viridis* and *L. bilineata* and implications for speciation**

**Version: Revision 1** Date: 10/28/2018

**Reviewer name: Anthony Geneva**

### Reviewer Comments to Author:

Kolora and colleagues have performed extensive revisions to their manuscript "Divergent evolution in the genomes of closely-related lacertids, *Lacerta viridis* and *L. bilineata* and implications for speciation". In my opinion, the revisions made to the text have improved the clarity of the manuscript. New and improved analyses performed by the authors have changed the inferences concerning effective population size and the direction of introgression between these species. Finally, potential relationships between divergence observed and the evolution of reproductive isolation have been appropriately (in my view) framed as hypotheses to be tested. Nearly all reviewer comments have been addressed by these changes. I suggest only a few minor changes below.

Line 140: "since" seems out of place in the sentence

Line 221: "our estimate of the split between *L. viridis* and *L. bilineata* corresponds to 1.15 Mya and 20.37 Mya respectively (Table S8)." Add comma before respectively

Line 413: "Animals were captures" should be "Animals were captured"

### Methods

Are the methods appropriate to the aims of the study, are they well described, and are necessary controls included? Choose an item.

### Conclusions

Are the conclusions adequately supported by the data shown? Choose an item.

### Reporting Standards

Does the manuscript adhere to the journal's guidelines on [minimum standards of reporting?](#) Choose an item.

Choose an item.

### Statistics

Are you able to assess all statistics in the manuscript, including the appropriateness of statistical tests used? Choose an item.

### Quality of Written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript: Choose an item.

## Declaration of Competing Interests

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

- Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- Do you have any other financial competing interests?
- Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal

To further support our reviewers, we have joined with Publons, where you can gain additional credit to further highlight your hard work (see: <https://publons.com/journal/530/gigascience>). On publication of this paper, your review will be automatically added to Publons, you can then choose whether or not to claim your Publons credit. I understand this statement.

Yes Choose an item.