Strain or	Description	Source or
plasmid		Reference
E. coli		
DH10B	$F^{-}$ mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) $\phi$ 80dlacZ ΔM15 ΔlacX74 deoR recA1 araD139 Δ(ara leu)7697 galU galK rpsL endA1 nupG	Invitrogen
BL21 (DE3)	F <sup>-</sup> ompT gal dcm lon $hsdS_B(r_B^-m_B^-) \lambda$ (DE3 [lacI lacUV5- T7p07 ind1 sam7 nin5]) [malB <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>K-12</sub> ( $\lambda^{S}$ )	Invitrogen
M. smegmatis		
mc <sup>2</sup> 155	<i>ept-1</i> , efficient plasmid transformation mutant of $mc^2 6$	(1)
Δfsq	$mc^2 155 \Delta fsq$	This study
Δ6368	$mc^2 155 \Delta MSMEG_{6368}$	This study
$\Delta dos R$	mc <sup>2</sup> 155 with marked deletion of <i>MSMEG_3944</i> , Hyg <sup>R</sup>	(2)
Plasmids		
pX33	pPR23 carrying a constitutive <i>xylE</i> marker; Gm <sup>r+</sup>	(3)
pMind	Tetracycline inducible vector	(4)
pJEMhyd3- lacZ	pJEM15 fused to promoter region of the Hyd3 operon	(5)
pETMCSIII	T7 inducible vector	(6)
pETMCSIII- 5243	T7 inducible vector for Fsq expression His-tagged	(7)
pLH1	pX33 containing flanking regions of <i>fsq</i> for deletion	This study
pLH2	pMind containing <i>fsq</i> gene with an artificial RBS	This study
pLH3	pX33 containing flanking regions of <i>MSMEG_6368</i> for deletion	This study

## Table S1: Strains and plasmids used in this study

Primer	Sequence 5'-3'
Name	
LH1	TTTT <u>ACTAGT</u> AAGGTCGCCGAGGAGCGCAA
LH2	CGTCGGCGGTGGTGATCTGATCGCTCATGGAT
LH3	TCAGATCACCGCCGACGCCTCATGACC
LH4	AATT <u>ACTAGT</u> GGCAGGTTCGGCAGCATCAC
LH5	AATT <u>GGATCC</u> GGAGGAATAATGAGCGATCAGATCACCACG
LH6	TTTT <u>ACTAGT</u> GTCGAGCACGGAGAGCTGTT
LH7	CGTAGGGGGGGATCCACTAGTCCCGGCATCAGGTTGTGCTT
LH8	GTGAAGAACCGCCCCGAGATCTCGTTCTCGCTGAGCACTG
LH9	CAGTGCTCAGCGAGAACGAGATCTCGGGGGCGGTTCTTCAC
LH10	GCGGCCGCTCTAGAACTAGTCGGCTGGTACTTTCTGGTGT
LH11	TGTCAGTGCTCAGCGAGAAC
LH12	AACAGCACGCGATCACTCAT
LH13	GTCGCACATCTTCCCGATCA
LH14	ATGACGCTCCACCCTGTTC
sigA fw	GACTCTTCCTCGTCCCACAC
sigA rev	GAAGACACCGACCTGGAACT
tgsfw	GATGCTGGCCACCAATGT
tgsrev	GCGTCGTAGTCGGAGATGAT
fsqCfw	ACCGCGCTGATGTCCAAACT
fsqCrv	GACGCAGTGGTGGATCTTGA

Table S2: Primers used in this study. Underlined text represents restriction enzyme recognition site used.



**Fig. S1. Growth of** *M. smegmatis* **wild type (WT) compared to** Δ*fsq* **mutant under aerobic conditions.** (a) Growth on HdB with 22 mM glycerol as the sole carbon and energy source. Average optical density of three biological replicates shown with standard deviation. (b) Growth on HdB with 30 mM succinate as the sole carbon and energy source. Average optical density of three biological replicates shown with error bars representing standard deviation.



Fig. S2. MSMEG\_6368 does not affect growth of *M. smegmatis*. Wild type (WT) blue circles,  $\Delta 6368$  mutant red squares (a) Growth on HdB aerobically with 22 mM glycerol as the sole carbon and energy source. Average optical density of three biological replicates with error bars representing standard deviation. (b) Growth on HdB aerobically with 30 mM succinate as the sole carbon and energy source. Average of three biological replicates with error bars representing standard deviation. (c) Growth following entry into hypoxia measured by optical density. Average of three biological replicates with error bars representing standard deviation. (d) Survival following entry into hypoxia measured by optical density. Average of three biological replicates with error bars representing standard deviation. (d) Survival following entry into hypoxia measured by colony forming units on agar plates. Average of three biological replicates with error bars representing standard deviation. (e) Comparison of expression ratio of *MSMEG\_6368* in wild-type and  $\Delta fsq$  mutant genetic backgrounds from cells harvested at 6 days growth in aerobic and hypoxic conditions. *SigA* was used as the reference gene. Error bars represent standard deviation of biological triplicate. Unpaired students t test. NS=p<0.05.



Fig. S3. Comparison of structural changes induced by cofactor binding between members of the FDOR superfamily (a) Overlay of rv2074 complexed with citrate (2ASF, white) and complexed with  $F_{420}$  (5JAB, blue). (b) Overlay of rv1155 apo structure (1W9A, purple) and complexed with  $F_{420}$  (4QVB, yellow). (c) Overlay of MSMEG\_5243 partially complexed with FAD (OP dimer, light green) and fully complexed with FAD (AB dimer, gold). (d) R.M.S.D. of backbone residues between apo and holo complexes of rv2074 (purple), rv1155 (blue) and MSMEG\_5243 (red).

## Supplementary references

- 1. Snapper SB, Melton RE, Mustafa S, Kieser T, Jacobs WR, Jr. 1990. Isolation and characterization of efficient plasmid transformation mutants of Mycobacterium smegmatis. Mol Microbiol 4:1911-9.
- 2. O'Toole R, Smeulders MJ, Blokpoel MC, Kay EJ, Lougheed K, Williams HD. 2003. A twocomponent regulator of universal stress protein expression and adaptation to oxygen starvation in Mycobacterium smegmatis. J Bacteriol 185:1543-54.
- 3. Gebhard S, Tran SL, Cook GM. 2006. The Phn system of Mycobacterium smegmatis: a second high-affinity ABC-transporter for phosphate. Microbiology 152:3453-65.
- 4. Blokpoel MC, Murphy HN, O'Toole R, Wiles S, Runn ES, Stewart GR, Young DB, Robertson BD. 2005. Tetracycline-inducible gene regulation in mycobacteria. Nucleic Acids Res 33:e22.
- 5. Berney M, Greening C, Hards K, Collins D, Cook GM. 2014. Three different [NiFe] hydrogenases confer metabolic flexibility in the obligate aerobe Mycobacterium smegmatis. Environ Microbiol 16:318-30.
- Neylon C, Brown SE, Kralicek AV, Miles CS, Love CA, Dixon NE. 2000. Interaction of the Escherichia coli Replication Terminator Protein (Tus) with DNA: A Model Derived from DNA-Binding Studies of Mutant Proteins by Surface Plasmon Resonance<sup>†</sup>. Biochemistry 39:11989-11999.
- Ahmed FH, Carr PD, Lee BM, Afriat-Jurnou L, Mohamed AE, Hong NS, Flanagan J, Taylor MC, Greening C, Jackson CJ. 2015. Sequence-Structure-Function Classification of a Catalytically Diverse Oxidoreductase Superfamily in Mycobacteria. J Mol Biol 427:3554-3571.