Supplementary Table 1. Additional task performance measures

PERFORMANCE	REWARD HIGH		REWARD LOW		NEUTRAL		PUNISHMENT LOW		PUNISHMENT HIGH	
VARIABLE	Repeat	Switch	Repeat	Switch	Repeat	Switch	Repeat	Switch	Repeat	Switch
Missed trials										
Mean (%)	0.73	3.87	2.09	4.55	2.69	4.10	3.05	2.22	0.87	3.14
(SD)	(1.57)	(7.36)	(3.29)	(9.18)	(3.51)	(5.45)	(3.84)	(4.34)	(2.11)	(6.26)
Accuracy Switch Cost	3.23 (11.38)	5.08 (13.07)	4.75	(8.47)	1.68 (13.13)	3.31 (11.27)
RT Switch Cost	0.055 (0.054)	0.068	(0.07)	0.063	(0.041)	0.055 (0.046)	0.064 ((0.052)

Accuracy switch cost is computed as the difference in percent correct responses on repeat minus switch trials.

in response times ... RT switch cost is computed as the difference in response times for switch minus repeat trials in milliseconds.

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/scan

Supplementary Table 2. Regions showing greater activity on Switch versus Repeat trials under reward relative to punishment incentives in GLM-1.

Region	Cluster	x; y; z	TFCE T-
Democingulate gumus cingulate Curris enterior	Size 802	5. 16. 10	stat
division; Frontal Pole; Superior Frontal Gyrus	802	-3, 40, 18	5.14
Lingual gyrus, Cingulate gyrus posterior division; Precentral gyrus; Intracalcarine Cortex; Precuneous Cortex; Cuneal Cortex; Lingual Gyrus; Supracalcarine Cortex	183	22; -48; 4	5.98
Middle frontal gyrus; Inferior frontal gryus pars opercularis; Inferior frontal gyrus pars triangularis; Precentral gyrus; Central Opercular Cortex	132	-50; 14; 40	5.5
Middle frontal gyrus; Frontal Pole; Superior Frontal Gyrus; Inferior Frontal Gyrus, pars triangularis	113	22; 14; 40	4.62
Juxtapositional Lobule Cortex (formerly Supplementary Motor Cortex); Cingulate Gyrus, anterior division; Cingulate Gyrus, posterior division; Precentral Gyrus; Paracingulate Gyrus	91	-10; 1; 47	4.43
Superior Frontal Gyrus; Frontal Pole; Middle Frontal Gyrus	26	-17; 39; 36	5.29
Middle Frontal Gyrus; Precentral Gyrus; Superior Frontal Gyrus	22	27; 6; 43	3.6
Frontal Pole; Frontal Orbital Cortex; Inferior Frontal Gyrus, pars triangularis	13	45; 39; -14	6.13
Precentral Gyrus; Postcentral Gyrus	12	-42; -13; 43	4.61
Lingual Gyrus; Cingulate Gyrus, posterior division	8	12; -43; -7	4.25
Angular Gyrus; Lateral Occipital Cortex, superior division; Middle Temporal Gyrus, temporooccipital part; Lateral Occipital Cortex, inferior division	7	-52; -58; 18	4.9
Lateral Occipital Cortex, superior division; Angular Gyrus; Lateral Occipital Cortex, inferior division; Middle Temporal Gyrus, temporooccipital part	6	52; -58; 22	3.73
Lingual Gyrus; Occipital Fusiform Gyrus; Temporal Occipital Fusiform Cortex; Precuneous Cortex	5	-17; -56; -4	3.84
Intracalcarine Cortex; Precuneous Cortex; Supracalcarine Cortex; Lingual Gyrus; Cuneal Cortex	5	-10; -73; 11	3.81
Intracalcarine Cortex; Precuneous Cortex; Lingual Gyrus; Cingulate Gyrus, posterior division; Supracalcarine Cortex	5	-15; -61; 7	4.05
Angular Gyrus; Supramarginal Gyrus, posterior division	5	45; -51; 25	4.18
All reported regions are significant at p the voxel level and a cluster extent of 5 5000 permutations of the threshold fre TFCE values and permutation-derived Randomise function implemented in FS and represent the peaks of all clusters for > 20 mm apart within the same cluster	 <0.05 after voxels. The cluster end test stati SL. All coordinates 	er whole brain FWE the FWE correction with nhancement (TFCE) istics were calculate prdinates are listed in pontiguous voxels as with	correction a was based or values. The d using the MNI space well as peak

Supplementary Table 3. Regions showing increased activation for Low magnitude incentives relative to High magnitude incentives (independent of incentive value) in <u>GLM-1</u>

Region	Cluster Size	x; y; z	TFCE T- stat
Occipital Pole: Intracalcarine Cortex: Lateral	4020	-10964	9.04
Occipital Cortex inferior division: Occipital		10, 20, 1	
Fusiform Gyrus: Lateral Occinital Cortex		-10: 96: -4	9.04
superior division: Precupeous Cortex: Cupeal		20; -88; -7	7.51
Contour Linguel Currue: Supresselesting Contour		-25; -96; 22	7.11
Contex, Lingual Gyrus, Supracarcarme Contex		-25; -51; -4	6.95
		22; -63; -7	5.94
		-22; -78; -11 15: 01: 18	5.92
		-7: -58: 14	4.98
		22; -56; 14	4.57
		-30; -73; 25	4.55
		32; -83; 36	4.49
		37; -73; 7	4.39
		-47; -81; 14	4.37
		-4/; -01; 11 40: 86: 14	4.13
		-2: -86: 36	4.08
		15; -38; 0	3.74
Temporal Pole; Frontal Orbital Cortex	150	-30; 9; -32	5.63
Parahinnocampal Gyrus anterior division:	90	_25322	1.68
L of Hinnessemmus L of Amugdala	90	-23, -3, -22	4.00
Dura untual Courses De sta entral Courses	77	40. 16.40	(17
Precentral Gyrus, Postcentral Gyrus,		-40; -16; 40	0.17
Supramarginal Gyrus, anterior division	50	25 12 25	4.54
Parahippocampal Gyrus, anterior division;	58	25; -13; -25	4.76
Right Hippocampus; Right Amygdala			
Temporal Pole	53	50; 14; -32	4.39
Postcentral Gyrus; Precentral Gyrus	26	57; -6; 32	5.01
Precentral Gyrus; Postcentral Gyrus; Middle Frontal Gyrus	24	40; -8; 61	4.5
Subcallosal Cortex: Frontal Medial Cortex:	16	-7: 29: -18	4.11
Frontal Orbital Cortex		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Superior Frontal Gyrus	14	-7; 21; 65	6.18
Juxtapositional Lobule Cortex (formerly	8	08.76	3 78
Supplementary Motor Cortex): Precentral		0, 0, 70	5.70
Gurua			
Galagilla al Cartara Francis 10,15,10, 1		5, 24, 22	2.05
Subcallosal Cortex; Frontal Orbital Cortex	/	5; 24; -22	5.95
Temporal Pole; Planum Polare; Insular Cortex; Frontal Orbital Cortex	6	-40; 4: -18	3.79
<u></u>		•	

All reported regions are significant at p <0.05 after whole brain FWE correction at the voxel level and a cluster extent of 5 voxels. The FWE correction was based on 5000 permutations of the threshold free cluster enhancement (TFCE) values. The TFCE values and permutation-derived test statistics were calculated using the Randomise function implemented in FSL. All coordinates are listed in MNI space and represent the peaks of all clusters formed by contiguous voxels as well as peaks > 20 mm apart within the same cluster.

Supplementary Table 4. Regions showing increased activation for Low magnitude incentives relative to High magnitude incentives (independent of incentive valence) in GLM-2

Region	Cluster	x; y; z	TFCE T-		
	Size		stat		
Lateral Occipital Cortex, superior division;	9829	-10; -96; -14	11.7		
Lateral Occipital Cortex, inferior division:					
Intracalcarine Cortex: Lingual Gyrus:		-10: -96: -4	11.7		
Occipital Euciform Currus: Supression		-22; -51; -4	9.83		
Occipital Fusitorini Gyrus, Supracalcarine		-25; -96; 18	8.87		
Cortex; Occipital Pole		22; -86; -7	8.66		
		15; -96; 11	8.47		
		27; -51; -7	7.57		
		-25; -78; -11	7.07		
		-7; 26; -18	6.76		
		25; -83; 25	6.48		
		-7; -58; 14	6.48		
		17; -61; 7	6.27		
		25; 6; -25	6.06		
		-5; -26; -4	5.78		
		-42; -76; 25	5.71		
		27; -13; -25	5.48		
		-22; -1; -25	5.15		
		-27, -23, -10	5.02		
		-37, 10, -32	J.08 4.03		
		$-37 \cdot 4 \cdot 0$	4.95		
Postcentral Gyrus: Precentral Gyrus:	222	-32: -18: 57	5 33		
Supremerginal Curris, antariar division		-52, -10, 57	5.55		
Supramarginar Gyrus, anterior drivision	10	40.04.0			
Frontal Operculum Cortex; Insular Cortex;	43	-40; 24; 0	5.2		
Frontal Orbital Cortex; Inferior Frontal					
Gyrus, pars triangularis; Inferior Frontal					
Gyrus, pars opercularis					
All reported regions are significant at $n < 0.05$	after whole h	rain FWF correction at	the voxel level		
and collected regions are significant at p >0.05 are also unto train 1 wE contection at the voxel level					
and a cruster extent of 5 voxels. The F wE correction was based on 5000 permutations of the					
threshold free cluster enhancement (IFCE) values. The IFCE values and permutation-derived test					
statistics were calculated using the Randomise function implemented in FSL. All coordinates are					
listed in MNI space and represent the peaks of	f all clusters f	formed by contiguous vo	xels as well as		
peaks > 20 mm apart within the same cluster.					

CZ

Supplementary Table 5. Associations between brain activation and reward and punishment sensitivity

	Size	x; y; z	TFCE T-stat
Positive correlations between	BAS-Drive a	nd RH (S>R) > RL (S>	R)
rontal Pole; Paracingulate Gyrus; Superior rontal Gyrus	3	-5; 61; 7	5.98
Negative correlations betw	een BIS and	PH (S>R) > PL (S>R)	
rontal Pole; Inferior Frontal Gyrus, pars iangularis; Frontal Orbital Cortex	40	-47; 44; -7	6.15
Il reported regions are significant at p <0.05 a WE correction was based on 5000 permutations he TFCE values and permutation-derived test aplemented in FSL. All coordinates are listed in / contiguous voxels as well as peaks > 20 mm a H = Reward high; RL = Reward low; PH = Pu vitch minus repeat trials.	fter whole br of the thresho statistics wer MNI space a apart within the nishment hig	ain FWE correction at the old free cluster enhancement of the cluster enhancement of the same cluster. In the same cluster. The same cluster is present to be same cluster.	he voxel level. The hent (TFCE) values. Randomise function f all clusters formed ; S>R = contrast of

Supplementary Table 6. Regions showing BOLD activity associated with longer reaction times in GLM-1s

Region	Cluster	x; y; z	TFCE T-			
	Size		stat			
A) Positive correlations: increased activation with longer RTs						
Inferior Frontal Gyrus, pars opercularis;	4788	45; 14; 29	7.39			
Middle Frontal Gyrus; Precentral Gyrus;						
Frontal Pole; Cingulate Gyrus, anterior		45; 14; 29	7.39			
division; Paracingulate Gyrus; Superior		0; 19; 40	6.74			
Frontal Gyrus: Insular Cortex: Cingulate		30; 0.0; / 22: 21: 11	0.01			
Gyrus posterior division: Precuneous Cortex:		52; 21; -11 -2·-41·47	5.85			
Frontal Orbital Cortex: Inferior Frontal		57; -1; 7	5.48			
Gyrus, pars triangularis: Juxtanositional		35; 39; 0	5.42			
Lobula Cortex (formarly Supplementary		47; 41; 18	5.25			
Motor Cortex (10111e11y Supplementary		5; 39; 40	5.07			
Motor Cortex); Temporal Pole		22; 16; 54	4.92			
		7, 20, 22 5: 24: 61	4.0			
		-2; 46; 22	4.39			
		-12; -23; 36	4.37			
		-12; -58; 68	4.3			
		57; 24; 11	4.04			
		25; 51; 25	3.9			
		2; -11; 72 15: -48: 36	3.9			
		10: -38: 79	3.78			
Inferior Frontal Gyrus, pars opercularis;	1937	-40; 19; 22	7			
Middle Frontal Gyrus; Frontal Orbital Cortex;						
Frontal Pole; Thalamus; Precentral Gyrus;		-40; 19; 22	7			
Temporal Pole; Inferior Frontal Gyrus, pars		10; -11; -7	5.84			
triangularis; Insular Cortex; Frontal		-52; 14; -25 10: 4: 7	5.01			
Operculum Cortex; Caudate		-27: 19: 4	5.4			
		-40; 41; 4	5.09			
		-10; -3; 11	5.05			
		-52; 6; 36	4.48			
		-4/; 21; -4	4.34			
		-12: 16: -11	3.69			
Supramarginal Gyrus, anterior division:	282	55: -33: 50	5.61			
Supramarginal Gyrus, posterior division		,,				
Angular Gyrus: Postcentral Gyrus: Superior						
Parietal Lobule						
	100	12 72 26	5.25			
Lateral Occipital Cortex, superior division;	189	42; -73; 36	5.35			
Angular Gyrus						
Middle Frontal Gyrus	7	-27; 16; 32	3.2			
Temporal Pole: Temporal Fusiform Cortex	6	-35: 4: -32	3.91			
anterior division. Parahippocampal Gyrus						
anterior division						
B) Negative correlations: increased activation with shorter RTs						
Occipital Pole; Lingual Gyrus; Lateral	465	17; -88; 0	6.96			
Occipital Cortex, inferior division; Occipital						
Fusiform Gyrus; Intracalcarine Cortex;						
Lateral Occipital Cortex, superior division						
Occipital Pole; Occipital Fusiform Gyrus;	357	-12; -104; 7	6.42			
Lingual Gyrus; Lateral Occipital Cortex,						
inferior division						























Supplementary Figure 2. Clusters taken as seed regions for Psychophysiological (PPI) analyses. Voxels in yellow depict the mask of the dACC from the value effects, voxels in blue depict the mask of the dACC from the magnitude effects; voxels in red depict the mask of the vStr from the magnitude effects.

209x297mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Supplementary Figure 3. Beta coefficients for each of the three PPI analyses showing functional connectivity between each seed region and cognitive control regions. (a) shows the regions of increased activation during switch relative to repeat trials across all incentive types (b) shows the Betas for the functional connectivity analysis between each of the three seed regions and the cognitive control regions shown in (a).

209x297mm (300 x 300 DPI)