

Supplemental material

Tilley et al. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201812213



Figure S1. **Comparisons of kinetic models to fitted data parameters. (A)** Comparison of the values of τ_{act} from fits of Model F simulations to τ_{act} from Kv2.1 experiments in Fig. 2 C. Hollow circles or diamonds, mean Kv2.1 $\tau_{act} \pm$ SEM; filled circles, τ_{act} from Model F fits; black, control; red, 1 μ M GxTx. **(B)** Comparison of the values of σ from fits of Model F simulations to σ from Kv2.1 experiments in Fig. 3 B. Hollow circles or diamonds, mean Kv2.1 $\sigma \pm$ SEM; filled circles, σ from fits of Model F fits; black, vehicle; red, 1 μ M GxTx. **(C)** Comparison of the values of τ_{act} from fits of Model FR simulations to τ_{act} from Kv2.1 experiments in Fig. 2 C. Hollow circles or diamonds, mean Kv2.1 $\tau_{act} \pm$ SEM; filled circles, τ_{act} from Model FR fits; black, control; red, 1 μ M GxTx. **(D)** Comparison of the values of σ from fits of Eq. 3 to simulated open probability of Model FR to σ from Fig. 3 B. Hollow circles, mean Kv2.1 $\sigma \pm$ SEM; filled circles, τ_{act} from fits of Model FR to σ from model fRT simulations to τ_{act} from Kv2.1 experiments in Fig. 2 C. Hollow circles, σ from model fR to σ from Fig. 3 B. Hollow circles, mean Kv2.1 $\sigma \pm$ SEM; filled circles, σ from Fig. 3 B. Hollow circles, mean Kv2.1 $\sigma \pm$ SEM; filled circles, σ from fits of Model FR to σ from fits of Model FR to σ from fits of Model FR to σ from model fits; black, vehicle; red, 1 μ M GxTx. **(E)** Comparison of the values of τ_{act} from model fRT simulations to τ_{act} from Kv2.1 experiments in Fig. 2 C. Hollow circles, mean 1 μ M GxTx Kv2.1 $\tau_{act} \pm$ SEM; filled diamonds, τ_{act} from model fits. **(F)** Comparison of the values of σ from fits of Model FRT simulations to σ from Kv2.1 experiments in Fig. 3 B. Hollow circles, mean 1 μ M GxTx Kv2.1 $\sigma \pm$ SEM; filled circles, σ from model fits.