Early neuropathological and neurobehavioral consequences of preterm birth in a rabbit model

Johannes van der Merwe^{1,2}, Lennart van der Veeken^{1,2}, Sebastiano Ferraris³, Willy Gsell⁴, Uwe Himmelreich⁴, Jaan Toelen^{1,5}, Sebastien Ourselin^{3,6}, Andrew Melbourne³, Tom Vercauteren^{1,3,6}, Jan Deprest^{1,2,7}

¹Department of Development and Regeneration, Cluster Woman and Child, Group Biomedical Sciences, KU Leuven University of Leuven, Belgium

² Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Division Woman and Child, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

³Translational Imaging Group, Centre for Medical Image Computing (CMIC), Department of Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, University College London, London, UK

⁴moSAIC facility, Biomedical MRI, Department of Imaging and Pathology, KU Leuven, Belgium

⁵Department of Pediatrics, Division Woman and Child, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

⁶School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London, UK

⁷Institute for Women's Health, University College London, London, UK

Rabbit kit: Early neurobehavioral assessment PN+1d					Date of evaluation: _				
Rabbit ID: Weight (g):					PN da	ay: + Video ID:			
During	g adaptation: Sle	epy	Active and exploring						
NEUROMOTORIC					NEUR	NEUROSENSORY			
A. Posture					G. Surface Righting Reflex (5 attempts) (2)				
0	Lays supine					no. of times turned to prone position within 2 sec seconds when placed in supine position			
1	Lays on side/minimal movement								
2	Cannot maintain prone position (roll over > 50% time)				H. Sensation - Facial/Whisker touch response				
3 Prone position with legs coiled (roll over < 50% time)					0	No Response			
B. Gait (2)					1	Subtle (none directed reaction after \geq 3 stimuli)			
0	Not able to move/uses whole body to move				2	Mild (slow or directed response after 2 stimuli)			
1	Crawls, trunk touching ground, unstable > 50% time				3	Immediate avoidance or brushes side of head			
2	Walks, trunk low/touching ground, unstable <50% time				I. Suc	. Sucking and swallowing			
3	Walks but can't propel body using hind legs synchronously				0	No movement of jaw, milks dribbles out completely			
4	Walks, runs, and hops (synchronous) and coordinated				1	Some jaw/neck movement, most milk dribbles out			
C. Locomotion(4) [all criteria must be met]					2	Definite suck and swallow, some milk in nose			
0	0 Not able to move/uses whole body to move				3	Good suck and swallow, no milk in nose			
1	Some movement, single steps, slight hops, <15cm				J. Hea	. Head turning during feeding			
2	Good ROM, 2-3 continuous steps, 1-2 hops, 15-45cm				0	No movement			
3	Entire ROM, >3 continuous steps, >3 hops, >45cm				1	Slow, occasional movement of head			
	Maximum number of continuous steps				2	Distinct movement of only head			
	Total distance travelled (cm)				3	Rapid forceful movements of head and body			
	Total number of squares crossed (n)				K. Od	our Aversion Test	Ethanol		
					0	No Response (>20s)			
D. Motor Activity Head Fore		Fore limbs	Hind limbs	1	Subtle (6-20se)				
0 No Movement					2	Low (2-5sec)			
1 Slight Movement					3	Rapid/Normal (<2sec)			
2 Distinct Movement				L. Pai	in sensation – response to pin prick on limbs				
3 Rapid/Purposeful Movement				0	No Response within 20 seconds with >3 pricks				
E. Duration of activity during observation					1	Subtle (>2seconds, response with >2 pricks)			
0	No movement				2	Mild (<2 seconds, rapid response with >1prick)			
1	Active for < 20 seconds				3	Rapid/immediate, repetitive movement			
2	Active for 20-40 seconds								
3	3 Active for > 40 seconds								
F. Limb Tone (Hind limbs) (5)									
0	Limb rigid in flexion or extension								
1	Tone considerably increased, passive movement difficult								
2	Marked increase in tone but limb is easily flexed								
3	Slight increase in tone when limb is moved								
4 No increase in tone									

Performed on designated 25 x 25 cm area divided into 5 x 5 cm blocks over a time period of 90sec.

Supplement 1. Neurobehaviuoral assessment protocol

Inter-observer Agreement



Supplementary Figure 2: Bland Altman plot for the intra- and interobserver agreement in neuromotor and sensory scores. To test for the reliability of the neurobehavioral assessment, 30 randomly selected neurobehavioral videos were analyzed by 2 observers.



Supplementary Figure 3. Regions of interest annotated on the two levels taken serially from each brain. From the first set of coronal sections, the corpus callosum, caudate nucleus, putamen, internal capsule and claustrum were annotated. On the second set the corpus callosum, hippocampal regions CA1, CA3 and DG, caudate nucleus, the anterior ventral nucleus of the thalamus, internal capsule, claustrum and hypothalamus.



Figure 4a. Maternal characteristics of pregnant rabbit does at the time of delivery. PCA 31d n=12, PCA 28d n=14. Data displayed as median and IQR with significance as * $0.05 \ge p > 0.01$; ** $0.01 \ge p > 0.001$; ***p < 0.001.



Figure 4b. Postnatal weight of newborn rabbits. PCA 31d n=66, PCA 28d n=36. Data displayed as median and IQR with significance as * $0.05 \ge p > 0.01$; ** $0.01 \ge p > 0.001$; ***p < 0.001.



Figure 5. (A) Neuron and pyknotic cell counts (n/mm2) on Cresyl Violet (Nissl Stain) applying whole region counting. Representative fields from main regions of interest. Scale bar 50µm. (B) % NeuN positive cells in each region of interest. (C,D,E) Total number of positive cells for TUNEL, GFAP and Ki67 stains per region of interest. (F) Sy38 positive cells mean fluorescence intensity in selected region of interest. Data displayed as median and IQR with significance as * $0.05 \ge p > 0.01$; ** $0.01 \ge p > 0.001$; ***p < 0.001.



Figure 6a. Characteristics of the subjects that underwent MRI. Data displayed as median and IQR with significance as * $0.05 \ge p > 0.01$; ** 0.01 $\ge p > 0.001$; ***p < 0.001.



Figure 6b. T1-weighted volumetric data at postconceptional 32days. (A) Absolute volumes (B) Relative volume normalized to body weight of the animal. Data displayed as median and IQR with significance as $* 0.05 \ge p > 0.01$; $** 0.01 \ge p > 0.001$; ***p < 0.001.



Figure 7. Sex subgroup differences in relation to the brain weight (A), brain to body ratio (B), motor score (C), neuron densities per selected region of interest (D) and DTI Fractional Anisotropy per region of interest (E). Data displayed as median and IQR with significance as * $0.05 \ge p > 0.01$; ** 0.01 $\ge p > 0.001$; ***p < 0.001.