nature research

Corresponding author(s): Amit Kapoor, PhD
NCOMMS-18-29523B

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see Authors & Referees and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

Statistical parameters

When statistical analyses are reported, confirm that the following items are present in the relevant location (e.g. figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section).

n/a	Confirmed	
☐	☒	The exact sample size (*n*) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
☒	☐	An indication of whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
☐	☒	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided *Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.*
☒	☐	A description of all covariates tested
☒	☐	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
☒	☐	A full description of the statistics including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
☒	☐	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. *F*, *t*, *r*) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and *P* value noted *Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.*
☒	☐	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
☒	☐	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
☒	☐	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's *d*, Pearson's *r*), indicating how they were calculated
☐	☒	Clearly defined error bars *State explicitly what error bars represent (e.g. SD, SE, CI)*

Our web collection on statistics for biologists may be useful.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection	*Provide a description of all commercial, open source and custom code used to collect the data in this study, specifying the version used OR state that no software was used.*
Data analysis	*Provide a description of all commercial, open source and custom code used to analyse the data in this study, specifying the version used OR state that no software was used.*

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The consensus coding sequence for the RHV-rn1 genome (accession number: KX905133) was used for peptide synthesis and mutational analysis.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☒ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/authors/policies/ReportingSummary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	Sample sizes were chosen based on the chronic nature of RHV-rn1 infection and the inbred background of our rat strain. Our experimental group sizes are in line with other immunological studies using rats as a host organism.
Data exclusions	No data was excluded from analysis.
Replication	In vivo depletions were not repeated due to cost, duration of experiments, and identical experimental outcome between animals of same group. Tetramer staining time course was not repeated due to limited sample availability.
Randomization	Sex and age-matched rats were randomly chosen for inclusion into experimental groups.
Blinding	Investigators were not blinded to group allocation or sample analysis. Majority of experiments were conducted and analyzed by a single member of the laboratory staff so blinding was not possible.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study
☐	☒ Unique biological materials
☐	☒ Antibodies
☐	☐ Eukaryotic cell lines
☐	☐ Palaeontology
☐	☒ Animals and other organisms
☐	☐ Human research participants

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study
☐	☐ ChIP-seq
☐	☒ Flow cytometry
☐	☐ MRI-based neuroimaging

Unique biological materials

Policy information about availability of materials

Obtaining unique materials	The Ad5 vector used for vaccination experiments is available for custom design and purchase from VectorBiolabs. Clone-derived RHV-rn1 virus can be obtained from our laboratory upon request.

Antibodies

Antibodies used	BD Biosciences: CD3-BV605 (1F4), CD8-BV786 (OX-8), granzyme B-FITC (REA226), TNFa-PE (TNE-19.12); BioLegend: CD4-AF647 (OX-35), CD44H-AF647 (OX-49), CD8-APC (G28), IFNγ-FITC (DB-1), IFNγ-AF647 (DB-1), FITC AnnexinV kit; eBiosciences: CD4-PerCP-eFluor710 (OX-35)
Validation	All antibodies were tested and titrated for optimal staining dilutions against cryopreserved rat splenocytes prior to their use in described experiments.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines

Cell line source(s)	*State the source of each cell line used.*

Authentication	*Describe the authentication procedures for each cell line used OR declare that none of the cell lines used were authenticated.*
Mycoplasma contamination	*Confirm that all cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination OR describe the results of the testing for mycoplasma contamination OR declare that the cell lines were not tested for mycoplasma contamination.*
Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)	*Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.*

Palaeontology

Specimen provenance	*Provide provenance information for specimens and describe permits that were obtained for the work (including the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information).*
Specimen deposition	*Indicate where the specimens have been deposited to permit free access by other researchers.*
Dating methods	*If new dates are provided, describe how they were obtained (e.g. collection, storage, sample pretreatment and measurement), where they were obtained (i.e. lab name), the calibration program and the protocol for quality assurance OR state that no new dates are provided.*

☐ Tick this box to confirm that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals	Male and female Lewis rats (Rattus norvegicus) were obtained from Charles Rivers laboratories. Animals were 7-9 weeks old at time of experimentation
Wild animals	*Provide details on animals observed in or captured in the field; report species, sex and age where possible. Describe how animals were caught and transported and what happened to captive animals after the study (if killed, explain why and describe method; if released, say where and when) OR state that the study did not involve wild animals.*
Field-collected samples	*For laboratory work with field-collected samples, describe all relevant parameters such as housing, maintenance, temperature, photoperiod and end-of-experiment protocol OR state that the study did not involve samples collected from the field.*

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

Population characteristics	*Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, gender, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."*
Recruitment	*Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.*

ChIP-seq

Data deposition

☐ Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as GEO.

☐ Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

Data access links *May remain private before publication.*	*For "Initial submission" or "Revised version" documents, provide reviewer access links. For your "Final submission" document, provide a link to the deposited data.*
Files in database submission	*Provide a list of all files available in the database submission.*
Genome browser session (e.g. UCSC)	*Provide a link to an anonymized genome browser session for "Initial submission" and "Revised version" documents only, to enable peer review. Write "no longer applicable" for "Final submission" documents.*

Methodology

Replicates	*Describe the experimental replicates, specifying number, type and replicate agreement.*
Sequencing depth	*Describe the sequencing depth for each experiment, providing the total number of reads, uniquely mapped reads, length of reads and whether they were paired- or single-end.*
Antibodies	*Describe the antibodies used for the ChIP-seq experiments; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.*

Peak calling parameters	*Specify the command line program and parameters used for read mapping and peak calling, including the ChIP, control and index files used.*
Data quality	*Describe the methods used to ensure data quality in full detail, including how many peaks are at FDR 5% and above 5-fold enrichment.*
Software	*Describe the software used to collect and analyze the ChIP-seq data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.*

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

☐ The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

☐ The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

☒ All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

☒ A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation	Following CO2-assisted euthanasia, livers were perfused with cold PBS via inferior vena cava. For isolation of liver-infiltrating leukocytes (LILs), livers were gently homogenized through a stainless-steel mesh, followed by 37% Percoll (GE Life Sciences) gradient density centrifugation. Spleens were mechanically dissociated and filtered through a 100 µm cell strainer. For all functional assays described, cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 containing GlutaMAX and HEPES (Gibco), supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), 50 U/mL penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco), and 55 µM 2-mercaptoethanol (Gibco) (R10). For long-term storage, cells were cryopreserved in FBS containing 10% DMSO. For detection of RHV-specific intracellular cytokine production, one million cells were stimulated in 96-well round bottom plates with peptide(s), or media alone or PMA/Ionomycin (BioLegend) as negative and positive controls, respectively, for 5-hr in the presence of GolgiPlug (BD Biosciences). Following incubation, cells were surface stained for CD3, CD4, and CD8 (20 min), fixed and permeabilized using the cytofix/cytoperm kit (BD Biosciences), and intracellularly stained for IFNγ and TNFα (30 min). Dead cells were removed using the LIVE/DEAD fixable blue dead cell stain kit (Invitrogen). A positive response was defined as > three times the background staining of the negative control sample. The percentage of cytokine positive cells was then calculated by subtracting the frequency of positive events in negative control samples from that of test samples.
Instrument	BD LSR II flow cytometer
Software	FlowJo v7.6.5
Cell population abundance	*Describe the abundance of the relevant cell populations within post-sort fractions, providing details on the purity of the samples and how it was determined.*
Gating strategy	Lymphocyte populations were gated from FSC/SSC data, followed by removal of single cells by FSC-H/FSC-W and SSC-H/SSC-W discrimination and dead cells by Live/Dead staining. Positive and negative populations were identified based upon clear visual separation.

☐ Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.

Magnetic resonance imaging

Experimental design

Design type	*Indicate task or resting state; event-related or block design.*
Design specifications	*Specify the number of blocks, trials or experimental units per session and/or subject, and specify the length of each trial or block (if trials are blocked) and interval between trials.*
Behavioral performance measures	*State number and/or type of variables recorded (e.g. correct button press, response time) and what statistics were used to establish that the subjects were performing the task as expected (e.g. mean, range, and/or standard deviation across subjects).*

Acquisition

Imaging type(s)	*Specify: functional, structural, diffusion, perfusion.*
Field strength	*Specify in Tesla*
Sequence & imaging parameters	*Specify the pulse sequence type (gradient echo, spin echo, etc.), imaging type (EPI, spiral, etc.), field of view, matrix size,*

Sequence & imaging parameters: *slice thickness, orientation and TE/TR/flip angle.*

Area of acquisition: *State whether a whole brain scan was used OR define the area of acquisition, describing how the region was determined.*

Diffusion MRI ☐ Used ☐ Not used

Preprocessing

Preprocessing software: *Provide detail on software version and revision number and on specific parameters (model/functions, brain extraction, segmentation, smoothing kernel size, etc.).*

Normalization: *If data were normalized/standardized, describe the approach(es): specify linear or non-linear and define image types used for transformation OR indicate that data were not normalized and explain rationale for lack of normalization.*

Normalization template: *Describe the template used for normalization/transformation, specifying subject space or group standardized space (e.g. original Talairach, MNI305, ICBM152) OR indicate that the data were not normalized.*

Noise and artifact removal: *Describe your procedure(s) for artifact and structured noise removal, specifying motion parameters, tissue signals and physiological signals (heart rate, respiration).*

Volume censoring: *Define your software and/or method and criteria for volume censoring, and state the extent of such censoring.*

Statistical modeling & inference

Model type and settings: *Specify type (mass univariate, multivariate, RSA, predictive, etc.) and describe essential details of the model at the first and second levels (e.g. fixed, random or mixed effects; drift or auto-correlation).*

Effect(s) tested: *Define precise effect in terms of the task or stimulus conditions instead of psychological concepts and indicate whether ANOVA or factorial designs were used.*

Specify type of analysis: ☐ Whole brain ☐ ROI-based ☐ Both

Statistic type for inference (See Eklund et al. 2016): *Specify voxel-wise or cluster-wise and report all relevant parameters for cluster-wise methods.*

Correction: *Describe the type of correction and how it is obtained for multiple comparisons (e.g. FWE, FDR, permutation or Monte Carlo).*

Models & analysis

n/a	Involved in the study
☐	☐ Functional and/or effective connectivity
☐	☐ Graph analysis
☐	☐ Multivariate modeling or predictive analysis

Functional and/or effective connectivity: *Report the measures of dependence used and the model details (e.g. Pearson correlation, partial correlation, mutual information).*

Graph analysis: *Report the dependent variable and connectivity measure, specifying weighted graph or binarized graph, subject- or group-level, and the global and/or node summaries used (e.g. clustering coefficient, efficiency, etc.).*

Multivariate modeling and predictive analysis: *Specify independent variables, features extraction and dimension reduction, model, training and evaluation metrics.*