

1 **Pharmacokinetics of lenalidomide during high cut-off dialysis in a patient with multiple myeloma and**
2 **renal failure**

3

4 **Online resource 1:**

5 Kim Dao (Kim.Dao@chuv.ch)¹, Yimin Lu (Yimin.Lu@unil.ch)², Cody J. Peer (cody.peer@nih.gov)³, William D.
6 Figg (wfl3e@nih.gov)³, Raphael Stadelmann (Raphael.Stadelmann@chuv.ch)⁴, Michel Burnier
7 (Michel.Burnier@chuv.ch)², Thierry Buclin (Thierry.Buclin@chuv.ch)¹, Sebastien Kissling
8 (Sebastien.Kissling@chuv.ch)²

9

10 **Authors affiliations:**

11 *1) Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Biomedicine, Department of Laboratories, Lausanne University Hospital*
12 *and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.*

13 *2) Service of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne,*
14 *Lausanne, Switzerland.*

15 *3) Clinical Pharmacology Program, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda,*
16 *Maryland, USA.*

17 *4) Division of Hematology, Department of Oncology, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne,*
18 *Lausanne, Switzerland.*

19

20

21 ***Lenalidomide pharmacokinetic model development:***

22 A pharmacokinetic (PK) model was developed to predict plasma concentrations of lenalidomide during HCO
23 dialysis, using published lenalidomide PK parameters, the patient's renal status on admission and the dialysis
24 settings. [1-3] A PK model was built up initially without taking into account our observations, and then
25 compared to the observed plasma concentrations, to check whether they were consistent with the model.

26 One compartment kinetics best described lenalidomide disposition according to the literature. Lenalidomide
27 normal total clearance (CL_{Tot,N}), non-renal clearance (CL_{NR}), volume of distribution (V), unbound fraction (f_u),
28 and time of peak concentration (t_{max}) were obtained from the literature, as follows: CL_{Tot,N} = 11.8 L/h; CL_{NR} =
29 2.3 L/h; V = 0.77 L/kg; f_u = 0.56; t_{max} = 1.25 h. Note that CL and V are apparent values, incorporating
30 bioavailability.

31
 32 The patient's renal function (GFR) was estimated at 2.5 mL/min (i.e. 0.15 L/h). Lenalidomide normal renal
 33 clearance ($CL_{Tot,N} - CL_{NR}$) was proportionally reduced according to the ratio of GFR over normal creatinine
 34 clearance (6 L/h):

$$35 \quad CL_{R,patient} = (GFR / 6) \cdot (CL_{Tot,N} - CL_{NR}) \quad (1)$$

36
 37 Lenalidomide dialytic clearance (CL_{Dial} , L/h) was estimated based on blood flow (Q_{Blood}), unbound fraction (f_u)
 38 and blood/plasma concentration ratio (r_{bp}):

$$39 \quad CL_{Dial} = Q_{Blood} \cdot f_u \cdot r_{bp} \quad (2)$$

40 The r_{bp} was taken as 1 minus the hematocrit, i.e. 0.77 in the patient, despite the fact that a value of 1 had been
 41 published [3]. We considered that erythrocytes had not enough time to equilibrate with plasma during their
 42 transit through the filter due to the rate of dialysate flow.

43
 44 The patient's lenalidomide total clearance $CL_{Tot,patient}$ (L/h) was equated to the sum of the residual renal clearance
 45 ($CL_{R,patient}$), non-renal clearance (CL_{NR}) and dialytic clearance (CL_{Dial}):

$$46 \quad CL_{Tot,patient} = CL_{R,patient} + CL_{NR} + CL_{Dial} \quad (3)$$

47
 48 An absorption rate constant (k_a) of 0.12 h^{-1} was back estimated using the concentration peak time (t_{max}) from the
 49 literature (i.e. 1.25 h) [1].

50
 51 The predicted concentration C_{pred} ($\mu\text{g/L}$) of lenalidomide over time was calculated according to the differential
 52 equation:

$$53 \quad \frac{dC}{dt} = \frac{k_a \cdot A_a - CL_{Tot,patient} \cdot C}{V} \quad \text{with } C_{pred} = 0 \text{ at } t = 0 \quad (4)$$

54 Where A_a is the amount of lenalidomide in the absorption site, calculated over time according to:

$$55 \quad \frac{dA_a}{dt} = -k_a \cdot A_a \quad \text{with } A_a = \text{dose } (\mu\text{g}) \text{ at } t = 0 \quad (5)$$

56
 57 The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated over 24 hours as:

$$58 \quad AUC = \int_0^{24h} C \cdot dt \quad (6)$$

59

60 Lenalidomide extraction coefficient (E) was calculated from pre-filter (C_a) and post-filter (C_v) concentrations of
61 lenalidomide measured during HCO dialysis:

$$62 \quad E(\%) = \frac{C_a - C_v}{C_a} \cdot 100 \quad (7)$$

63
64 The predictions based on this model were compared with the observed concentrations. The model was further
65 refined by revising CL_{NR} to 1.44 L/h, V to 0.38 L/kg, f_u to 0.46 and k_a to 60 h⁻¹ in order to improve the model fit
66 and to obtain a likely description of lenalidomide concentrations between and during HCO dialysis sessions (Fig.
67 1). The model was implemented and optimized using Microsoft Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA)
68 with the Solver™ add-on. Sparse PK data does however not allow appropriate estimates of V and k_a . The
69 estimated AUC_{24h} amounted to 3273 μg·h/L for a dosage of 5 mg b.i.d. Lenalidomide extraction coefficient (E)
70 was 53% during the first HCO dialysis and 23% during the second one (Tab. 1). These values are consistent with
71 both our initial estimation of $f_u \cdot r_{bp}$ (43%) and data already published during intermittent haemodialysis [2].

72

73

74 *References*

- 75 1. Chen N, Lau H, Kong L, Kumar G, Zeldis JB, Knight R, Laskin OL (2007) Pharmacokinetics of lenalidomide
76 in subjects with various degrees of renal impairment and in subjects on hemodialysis. *Journal of clinical*
77 *pharmacology* 47 (12):1466-1475. doi:10.1177/0091270007309563
78 2. Hou J, Du X, Jin J, Cai Z, Chen F, Zhou DB, Yu L, Ke X, Li X, Wu D, Meng F, Ai H, Zhang J, Wortman-
79 Vayn H, Chen N, Mei J, Wang J (2013) A multicenter, open-label, phase 2 study of lenalidomide plus low-dose
80 dexamethasone in Chinese patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma: the MM-021 trial. *Journal of*
81 *hematology & oncology* 6:41. doi:10.1186/1756-8722-6-41
82 3. Chen N, Wen L, Lau H, Surapaneni S, Kumar G (2012) Pharmacokinetics, metabolism and excretion of
83 [(14)C]-lenalidomide following oral administration in healthy male subjects. *Cancer chemotherapy and*
84 *pharmacology* 69 (3):789-797. doi:10.1007/s00280-011-1760-3

85