Author's Response To Reviewer Comments

Clo<u>s</u>e

Reviewer reports:

Reviewer #1: The authors did great job addressing all the comments provided. I recommend publication.

Minor point:

The antibodies/dilution used in the revised manuscript should be included in the methods. Reply: We thank the reviewer for pointing out this incomplete description. Accordingly, we have added the related information in "Materials and Methods-Immunofluorescence staining" (page 29, line 823-835).

Reviewer #2: The authors addressed all of my comments in a satisfying manner, and I recommend the revised manuscript for publication. I wish the authors luck with this interesting paper and their follow up studies.

Reply: We thank the reviewer very much for the insightful and constructive suggestions, which helped us a great deal.

Editor:

(1) The antibodies/dilution used in the revised manuscript should be included in the methods.

Reply: Thanks to the editor, we have added the related information in "Materials and Methods- Immunofluorescence staining" (page 29, line 823-835).

(2) Please ensure that the protocols.io citations under "availability of supporting data" are listed in the references and only the reference number cited in the paper. Reply: Thanks to the editor, accordingly, we have modified this in the text (Availability of data and materials; page 35, line 994-997).

Clo<u>s</u>e