# Supplementary Material

# Beyond RPE: The perception of exercise under normal and ketotic conditions

Olivia K Faull<sup>1,2</sup>, David J Dearlove<sup>1</sup>, Kieran Clarke<sup>1</sup>, Pete J Cox<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physiology, Anatomy & Genetics, <sup>2</sup>Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

## **Corresponding author:**

Dr Olivia Faull

Translational Neuromodeling Unit

Institute for Biomedical Engineering

University of Zurich and ETH Zurich

Email: faull@biomed.ee.ethz.ch

Phone: +41 (0)44 634 91 36

#### Supplementary materials and methods

#### Conversions for arterialised blood-gas corrections

To convert the measured pH and  $HCO_3^-$  into approximate arterial values, a 'buffer line' was drawn through the venous pH and  $HCO_3^-$  values (denoted as venpH and ven $HCO_3^-$  respectively) with a gradient of -(8.2 + 9.2 \* [Hb]). The buffer line was then shifted to the left by a distance of  $0.1 * (0.98 - SvO_2)$ , where  $SvO_2$  is the oxygen saturation in venous blood. This shift represents the increased saturation of haemoglobin in arterialised blood and, therefore, reduced buffering capacity. This line is given by the equation:  $[HCO_3^-] = -(8.2+9.2*Hb)*pH+venHCO_3^- +a*venpH-a*0.1*(0.98-SvO_2)$ .

The intersection point between the second buffer line and the line given by the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation  $pH = pK + log_{10}([HCO_3^-]/(\alpha.P_{CO2}))$  in the Davenport diagram was sought by rearranging the equation:  $[HCO_3^-] = \alpha.P_{CO2.}.10^{(pH-pK)}$ . The root-finding method fsolve in MATLAB was then applied to find the point where these two curves meet, which gives the arterial values for  $[HCO_3^-]$  and pH. This description is taken from Dearlove et al. (in submission).

#### **Rating scales**

Rating of perceived	Breathlessness	Anxiety of	Leg	Anxiety of
exertion	Intensity	Breathing	Discomfort	leg discomfort
0 No exertion	0 No breathlessness	0 No breathing anxiety	0 No leg discomfort	0 No leg anxiety
1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3
4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5
6	6	6	6	6
7	7	7	7	7
8	8	8	8	8
9	9	9	9	9
10 Extremely hard	10 Extremely hard	10 Extremely hard	10 Extremely hard	10 Extremely hard
Maximal exertion	Maximal	Maximal breathing	Maximal leg	Maximal leg
	breathlessness	anxiety	discomfort	discomfort anxiety

# Supplementary results

Perception	Variable	DF	F value	P value
		T		1
RPE	Power	11	62.41	< 0.01*
	Ketone ester	1	0.09	0.77
	Interaction	11	0.57	0.85
Breathlessness	Power	11	50.70	< 0.01*
	Ketone ester	1	1.11	0.29
	Interaction	11	0.42	0.95
		•		
Anxiety of breathing	Power	11	12.55	< 0.01*
	Ketone ester	1	4.75	0.03*
	Interaction	11	0.71	0.73
Leg discomfort	Power	11	44.47	< 0.01*
	Ketone ester	1	12.91	< 0.01*
	Interaction	11	2.11	0.02*
Anxiety of leg discomfort	Power	11	10.04	< 0.01*
	Ketone ester	1	8.33	< 0.01*
	Interaction	11	0.63	0.80

# Supplementary Table 1. ANOVA results

## Variables included in mixed effects regression models

## RPE models:

- Power: Included to account for any linear relationship between RPE and increasing power.
- Heart rate: Included to account for any relationship between RPE and heart rate, as a measure of central cardiac sympathetic response to exercise intensity.
- Sex: Included to account for any differences in RPE between males and females.
- Breathlessness: Included to account for any independent effects of perceptions of breathlessness towards RPE scores.
- Leg discomfort: Included to account for any independent effects of perceptions of leg discomfort towards RPE scores.
- Anxiety of breathing: Included to account for any independent effects of perceptions of breathlessness anxiety towards RPE scores.
- Anxiety of leg discomfort: Included to account for any independent effects of perceptions of anxiety of leg discomfort towards RPE scores.
- Interaction terms (included following all singular fixed-effect terms): These allow for the influence of one independent variable on RPE to change according the magnitude of another variable. These included interactions between power and heart rate (to account for possible factors such non-linearity in heart rate increases and heart rate plateaus despite added sympathetic during heavy exercise), power and sex (to account for possible power-dependent influences of sex), and heart rate and sex (to account for possible heart rate-dependent influences of sex) for the null model, and additional interactions between power and leg discomfort and power and breathlessness (to account for non-linear changes in these variables as power increases) for the initial formulation of the hypothesis model.
- Random subject intercept effect: Allows each subject to be modelled with their own regression line with a different intercept.

## Psychological component global models:

- Power: Included to account for any linear relationship between the modelled perception score and increasing power.
- Heart rate: Included to account for any relationship between the modelled perception score and heart rate, as a measure of central cardiac sympathetic response to exercise intensity.
- Sex: Included to account for any differences in the modelled perception score between males and females.
- [H<sup>+</sup>]: Included to account for the effect of circulating hydrogen ion concentration on the modelled perception score.
- [Lactate<sup>-</sup>]: Included to account for the effect of circulating lactate ion concentration on the modelled perception score.
- Ventilation: Included to account for the effect of increasing ventilation on the modelled breathingrelated perception scores (included for breathlessness and breathlessness anxiety modelling only).
- [βHB<sup>-</sup>]: Included to account for the effect of circulating β-hydroxybutyrate ion concentration on the modelled perception score.
- [Glucose]: Included to account for the effect of circulating glucose concentration on the modelled perception score.
- Interaction terms (included following all singular fixed-effect terms): These allow for the influence of one independent variable on the modelled perception score to change according the magnitude of another variable. These included interactions between power and heart rate (to account for possible factors such non-linearity in heart rate increases and heart rate plateaus despite added sympathetic drive during heavy exercise), power and sex (to account for possible power-dependent influences of sex), heart rate and sex (to account for possible heart rate-dependent influences of sex), and power and [H<sup>+</sup>] (to account for any power-dependent influences of the circulating hydrogen ion concentration).
- Random subject intercept effect: Allows each subject to be modelled with their own regression line with a different intercept.

# Mixed effects regression model parameters

	Variable	Coeff	T value	P value	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>
Null DDE model	Intercent	260	1740	< 0.01*	
Null KPE model	Intercept	2.00	17.49	< 0.01	-
	Power	1.00	4.13	< 0.01*	0.13
	Heart rate	-0.01	-0.05	0.96	< 0.01
	Sex (male)	-0.46	-4.07	< 0.01*	0.29
	Power x heart rate	-0.15	-3.21	< 0.01*	0.24
	Power x sex (male)	-0.55	-2.27	0.02*	0.04
	Heart rate x sex (m)	0.55	2.34	0.02*	0.06
		Intercept		Residual	
(Random effect)	Subject intercept	0.006		0.728	
Final DDF model	Intercent	1.62	20.09	< 0.01*	
Final KFE mouel	Intercept	1.05	29.90	< 0.01	-
	Power	0.33	4.24	< 0.01*	0.22
	Sex (male)	-0.26	-3.71	< 0.01*	0.29
	Leg discomfort	0.12	3.00	< 0.01*	0.08
	Breathlessness	0.38	7.53	< 0.01*	0.31
	Power x sex	0.17	2.79	< 0.01*	0.13
	Power x breathlessness	-0.24	-10.72	< 0.01*	0.58
		Intercept		Residual	
(Random effect)	Subject intercept	0.003		0.392	

Supplementary Table 2. RPE null and full model parameters

	Variable	Coeff	T value	P value	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	
Breathlessness	Intercept	1.29	15.50	< 0.01	-	
	Power	0.31	4.13	< 0.01	0.14	
	Heart rate	0.61	6.47	< 0.01	0.39	
	Sex (male)	-0.30	-3.39	< 0.01	0.19	
		Intercept Residual				
(Random effect)	Subject intercept	0.008	0.008 1.028			
Anxiety of breathing	Intercept	0.76	4.83	< 0.01	-	
	Power	0.90	8.41	< 0.01	0.53	
	[H+]	0.41	4.18	< 0.01	0.16	
	Power x [H+]	-0.33	-3.56	< 0.01	0.19	
· ·		Intercept		Residual		
(Random effect)	Subject intercept	0.305		1.771		
Leg discomfort	Intercept	1.15	13.71	< 0.01	-	
	Power	0.52	3.85	< 0.01	0.20	
	Heart rate	0.37	2.46	0.01	0.11	
	[H+]	0.23	3.73	< 0.01	0.18	
	Power x [H+]	-0.20	-3.59	< 0.01	0.22	
		Intercept Residua		Residual	l	
(Random effect)	Subject intercept	0.047		1.186		
Anxiety of leg discomfort	Intercept	0.23	0.41	0.68	-	
	Power	-0.69	-1.46	0.15	0.01	
	Heart rate	1.95	3.38	< 0.01	0.08	
	Sex (male)	0.79	1.36	0.17	0.09	
	[H+]	0.30	4.64	< 0.01	0.06	
	[Lac <sup>-</sup> ]	0.15	3.02	< 0.01	0.01	
	[βHB ·]	-0.05	-1.97	0.05	0.01	
	[Glucose]	-0.05	-2.02	0.04	< 0.01	
	Heart rate x sex (m)	-1.71	-2.76	0.01	0.06	
	Power x sex (m)	1.33	2.77	0.01	0.02	
	Power x [H+]	-0.39	-6.52	< 0.01	0.14	
		Intercept Residual				
(Random effect)	Subject intercept	0.286		0.644		

Supplementary Table 3. Final compartmentalised model parameter results



Supplementary Figure 1. Data and residual diagnostics for generalised mixed effects models. For each model, the distribution of the dependent variable more closely matches a log-normal than a normal distribution (left two plots of each row). Following model fitting, residuals display relative homoskedasticity and normal distributions.