### **Supplementary Online Content**

Roerecke M, Kaczorowski J, Myers MG. Comparing automated office blood pressure readings with other methods of blood pressure measurement for identifying patients with possible hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med.* Published online February 4, 2019. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.6551

eTable 1. Search Strategy MEDLINE

**eTable 2.** Excluded Studies Based on Full-Text Assessment With Reason (n=28)

**eTable 3.** Study Characteristics Details of Blood Pressure Measurements for Included Studies (n=31)

eFigure 1. Flow Chart of Study Selection

eFigure 2. Forest Plot of the Mean Difference in Systolic BP Between Awake ABP (Reference) and Routine Office BP Measurement in Samples With Systolic AOBP  $\geq$ 130 mmHg eFigure 3. Forest Plot of the Mean Difference in Diastolic BP Between Awake ABP (Reference) and Routine Office BP Measurement in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg eFigure 4. Forest Plot of the Mean Difference in Systolic BP Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP <130 mmHg eFigure 5. Forest Plot of the Mean Difference in Diastolic BP Between AOBP (Reference) and AABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP  $\geq$ 130 mmHg eFigure 6. Forest Plot of the Mean Difference Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP  $\geq$ 130 mmHg, by Added Rest Period eFigure 7. Forest Plot of the Mean Difference Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg, by Interval Between AOBP Readings eFigure 8. Forest Plot of the Mean Difference Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP  $\geq$ 130 mmHg, by Device eFigure 9. Forest Plot of the Mean Difference Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg, by Source of the Sample eFigure 10. Forest Plot of the Mean Difference Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg, High Quality Studies eFigure 11. Funnel Plot of Mean Differences Between AOBP and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg, SBP eFigure 12. Funnel Plot of Mean Differences Between Diastolic AOBP and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP  $\geq$ 130 mmHg eFigure 13. Pooled Estimates of Mean Differences in Systolic BP Leaving Out One Study at a Time in Samples With Systolic AOBP  $\geq$ 130 mmHg

**eFigure 14.** Pooled Estimates of Mean Differences in Diastolic BP Leaving Out One Study at a Time in Samples With Systolic AOBP  $\geq$ 130 mmHg

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

### eTable 1. Search Strategy MEDLINE

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to April Week 3 2018> Search Strategy:

-----

- 1 Blood Pressure Determination/ (25755)
- 2 ("blood pressure" adj2 (measur\* or determin\* or assess\*)).ti. (3813)
- 3 Hypertension/di (17861)
- 4 Sphygmomanometers/ (820)
- 5 or/1-4 (41396)
- 6 exp Automation/ (35827)
- 7 automat\*.mp. (198176)
- 8 electronic.mp. (128482)
- 9 or/6-8 (336625)
- 10 5 and 9 (2150)
- 11 limit 10 to yr="2004 -Current" (1018)
- 12 limit 11 to (english language and humans) (936)

Deference	Dessen for Evolution
Keterence	Reason for Exclusion
A-Karkni I, A-Rubaly R, Rosenqvist U, Faik IVI, Nystrom FH. Comparisons of automated blood	Missing comparison
using the Omron i-C10 device. Blood Press Monit 2015:20(2):98-103	
Andreadis EA Agaliotis GD Angelopoulos ET Tsakanikas AP Chaveles IA Mousoulis GP	Dunlicate data
Automated office blood pressure and 24-h ambulatory measurements are equally associated	
with left ventricular mass index. Am J Hypertens. 2011:24(6):661-666	
Brothwell S. Dutton M. Ferro C. Stringer S. Cockwell P. Ontimising the accuracy of blood	Attended AOBP
pressure monitoring in chronic kidney disease: the utility of BnTRU BMC Nephrology	measurement
2013:14:218. doi:10.1186/1471-2369-14-218.	mododromont
Brown MA, Buddle ML, Martin A, Is resistant hypertension really resistant? Am J Hypertens.	Missing AOBP
2001:14(12):1263-1269.	measurement
Burgess SE, MacLaughlin EJ, Smith PA, Salcido A, Benton TJ. Blood pressure rising:	Missing AOBP
differences between current clinical and recommended measurement techniques. J AM Soc	measurement
Hypertens. 2011;5(6):484-488. doi: 10.1016/j.jash.2011.08.007.	
Campbell NR, McKay DW, Conradson H, Lonn E, Title LM, Anderson T. Automated	Duplicate data
oscillometric blood pressure versus auscultatory blood pressure as a predictor of carotid	
intima-medial thickness in male firefighters. J Hum Hypertens. 2007;21(7):588-590. doi:	
10.1038/sj.jhh.1002190.	
Culleton BF, McKay DW, Campbell NR. Performance of the automated BpTRU measurement	Interval between AOBP
device in the assessment of white-coat hypertension and white-coat effect. Blood Press Monit.	measurements >2 minutes
2006;11(1):37.42.	
Dawes MG, Coats AJ, Juszczack E. Daytime ambulatory systolic blood pressure is more	Missing AOBP
effective at predicting mortality than clinic blood pressure. <i>Blood Press Monit</i> . 2006;11(3):111-	measurement
118. doi: 10.1097/01.mbp.0000209086.32493.bd.	
de la Sierra A, Seguara J, Banegas JR, et al. Clinical features of 8295 patients with resistant	
2011:57(5):898-902. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.168948.	measurement
de la Sierra A. Banegas JR. Divisón JA. et al. Ambulatory blood pressure in hypertensive	Missing AOBP
patients with inclusion criteria for the SPRINT trial. J Am Soc Hypertens. 2016;10(12):947-	measurement
9535.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.jash.2016.10.013.	
Eguchi K, Kuruvilla S, Ishikawa J, et al. Correlations between different measures of clinic,	Missing AOBP
home, and ambulatory blood pressure in hypertensive patients. Blood Press Monit.	measurement
2011;16(3):142-148. doi: 10.1097/MBP.0b013e328346d669.	
Graves JW, Nash C, Burger K, Bailey K, Sheps SG. Clinical decision-making in hypertension	Attended AOBP
using an automated (BpTRU <sup>™</sup> ) measurement device. <i>J Hum Hypertens</i> . 2003;17(12):823-	measurement
827. doi:10.1038/sj.jhh.1001626.	
Graves JW, Grossardt BR. Discarding the first of three nurse-auscultatory or oscillometric	Missing AOBP
blood pressure measurements does not improve the association of office blood pressure with	measurement
ABPM. Blood Press Monit. 2010;15(3):146-151. doi: 10.1097/MBP.0b013e328337ce76.	hat a start start start start
Greiver M, white D, Kaplan DM, Katz K, Moineddin R, Dolabchian E. where should	Missing comparison
automated blood pressure measurements be taken? Pilot RCT of BpTRU measurements	
17(3):127 128 doi: 10.1007/MPD.0b01202282520044	
Custoven DH Haadholm A Bang LE Kristenson KS White cost hypertension is a	
cardiovascular risk factor: a 10-year follow-up study . I Hum Hypertens 2003:17/12):811-817	measurement
doi: 10.1038/si.jhb.1001643.	
Head GA. Mihailidou AS. Duggan KA. et al. Definition of ambulatory blood pressure targets for	Missing AOBP
diagnosis and treatment of hypertension in relation to clinic blood pressure: prospective cohort	measurement
study. The BMJ. 2010;340:c1104. doi:10.1136/bmj.c1104.	
Hong D, Su H, Li J, et al. The effect of physician presence on blood pressure. Blood Press	Missing comparison
Monit. 2012;17(4):145-148. doi: 10.1097/MBP.0b013e328355fe14.	

Johnson KC, Whelton PK, Cushman WC, et al. Blood pressure measurement in SPRINT (Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial). <i>Hypertension</i> . 2018;71(5)848-857. doi:	Missing comparison
10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10479.	
Myers MG, Valdivieso MA. Use of an automated blood pressure recording device, the BpTRU,	Sample size <30
to reduce the "white coat effect" in routine practice. Am J Hypertens. 2003;16(6):494-497.	
O'Flynn AM, Curtin RJ, Perry IJ, Kearney PM. Hypertension prevalence, awareness,	Missing AOBP
treatment, and control: should 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring be the tool of	measurement
choice? J Clin Hypertens. 2016;18(7):697-702. doi: 10.1111/jch.12737.	
O'Shaughnessy MM, Durcan M, Kinsella SM, Griffin MD, Reddan DN, Lappin DW. Blood	Sample size <30
Pressure Measurement in Peritoneal Dialysis: Which Method Is Best? Peritoneal Dialysis	
International: Journal of the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis. 2013;33(5):544-551.	
doi:10.3747/pdi.2012.00027.	
Reinhard M, Poulsen PL, Christensen KL. Very poor agreement between routine outpatient	Missing AOBP
clinic office and ambulatory blood pressure: time to improve an old hospital outpatient clinic	measurement
routine? Blood Press Monit. 2016;21(6):340-344. doi: 10.1097/MBP.0000000000000214.	
Rinfret F, Cloutier L, L'Archevêque H, et al. The gap between manual and automated office	Time elapse between two
blood pressure measurements results at a hypertension clinic. Can J Cardiol. 2017;33(5):653-	types of BP measurements
657. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2017.01.021.	>1 month
Seidlerová J, Gelžinsky J, Materánková M, Ceral J, Köng P, Filipovsky Jl. In the aftermath of	Duplicate data
SPRINT: further comparison of unattended office blood pressure measurement and 24-hour	
blood pressure monitoring. <i>Blood Press</i> . 2018;22:1-6. doi: 10.1080/08037051.2018.1454258.	
Steigerwalt SP, Brar N, Dhungel A. Improved 24-hour blood pressure control with sirolimus	Less than 3 BpTRU
versus calcineurin inhibitor based immunosuppression in renal transplant recipients.	readings
Transplant Proc. 2009;41(10):4184-4187. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2009.07.109.	
Stergiou GS, Efstathiou SP, Alamara CV, Mastorantonakis SE, Roussias LG. Home or self	Missing comparison
blood pressure measurement? What is the correct term? J Hypertens. 2003;21(12):2259-	
2264. doi: 10.1097/01.hjh.0000098142.70956.88.	
Van der Wel MC, Buunk IE, van Weel C, Thien TABM, Bakx JC. A Novel Approach to Office	Interval between AOBP
Blood Pressure Measurement: 30-Minute Office Blood Pressure vs Daytime Ambulatory Blood	measurements >2 minutes
Pressure. Ann Fam Med. 2011;9(2):128-135. doi:10.1370/afm.1211.	
Vieira da Silva MA, Mendes da Silva AP, Artigas Giorgi DM, Ganem F. Successive blood	Missing AOBP
pressure measurements to evaluate suspected and treated hypertension. Blood Press Monit.	measurement
2016;21(2):69-74. doi: 10.1097/MBP.0000000000000161.	

Study	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria	AOBP	ABPM	Research BP	Routine BP
Agarwal, 2017 <sup>1</sup>	Inclusion: BP measured in clinic on day of recruitment, BP in normotensive range (<140/90 mmHg), evidence of chronic kidney disease Exclusion: Kidney transplantation or dialysis,receiving immunosuppressive drugs	Device: Omron HEM 907 Rest: Standard 5-min rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 3 readings with a 0.5- min interval, first reading not discarded TBM: Routine BP obtained following AOBP measurement, then all participants underwent 24-h ambulatory BP monitoring	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: Not specified	N/A	Device: Omron HEM 705 CP Readings: Attended 1 reading
Andreadis, 2012 <sup>2</sup>	Inclusion: Never taken or not received antihypertensive medication for ≥6 months, office hypertension (average office BP reading of SBP ≥ 140 mmHg or DBP ≥90 mmHg) Exclusion: arrhythmia, stroke, mental disorders, severe noncardiovascular disease (cancer or liver cirrhosis), chronic inflammatory disease, worked night shifts	Device: WatchBP Office Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 6 readings (3 on each arm) with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: AOBP obtained following ABPM measurement	Device: Microlife WatchBP Readings: At 15-min intervals		
Andreadis, 2018 <sup>3</sup>	Inclusion: Referred for hypertension by family physicians Exclusion: Inability to adequately use ambulatory BP measurement device	Device: Omron HEM 907 Rest: Standard 5-min rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 3 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading not discarded TBM: All BP readings obtained at a single visit	Device: Microlife WatchBP 03 Readings: At 20-min intervals	N/A	N/A
Armstrong, 2015 <sup>4</sup>	Inclusion: Consecutive patients referred for ABPM Exclusion: Not specified	Device: BpTRU Rest: Not specified Readings: Unattended (other patients in the room) 5 readings with a 2-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained following AOBP measurement	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 30-min intervals	N/A	N/A
Bauer, 2018 <sup>5</sup>	Inclusion: Pre-existing hypertension documented by intake of antihypertensive medication or BP ≥140/90 mmHg Exclusion: Febrile conditions and hypotension (SBP <100 mmHg)	Device: Omron HEM 907 Rest: Standard 5-min rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 3 readings with 1 or 2 min. interval, first reading not discarded TBM: AOBP obtained following research BP measurement	N/A	Device: Aneroid sphygmmanometer Readings: Attended 1 reading	N/A
Beckett, 2005 <sup>6</sup>	Inclusion: Diagnosis of hypertension, treatment with antihypertensive medication, uncontrolled BP (mean of last 3 office visits ≥140/90 mmHg) Exclusion: <18 years of age, pregnant, known secondary cause for hypertension	Device: BpTRU Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1 or 2- min interval, first reading discarded	Device: A&D Model TM2430	N/A	Readings: Average BPs measured at last 3 office visits used, visits ranged

eTable 3. Study Characteristics Details of Blood Pressure Measurements for Included Studies (n=31)

		<b>TBM:</b> ABPM obtained following all other BP measurements	Readings: At 15-min intervals		from several weeks to months apart
Bhatt, 2016 <sup>7</sup>	Inclusion: Referred for uncontrolled resistant HTN between June 2013 and November 2015, uncontrolled apparent RHTN (SBP ≥140 mmHg and/or DBP ≥90 mmHg obtained during routine intake measurement while prescribed ≥3 antihypertensive medications at time of initial visit) Exclusion: Controlled triage and expertly obtained BP measurements and controlled triage and uncontrolled expertly obtained BP measurements	Device: BpTRU Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: AOBP obtained following routine BP measurement	N/A	N/A	Device: Welch Allyn Rest: BP measurement taken almost immediately Readings: Attended 1 reading
Campbell, 2005 <sup>8</sup>	<b>Inclusion:</b> Fire fighters recruited from FATE and 50 consecutive patients seen by one of the authors in urgent internal medicine assessment clinic and internal medicine clinic specializing in hypertension <b>Exclusion:</b> Not specified	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: All BP readings obtained at a single visit in random order	N/A	Device: Mercury Manometer Rest: 5-min rest prior to measurement, no rest for hypertensive group in reproducibility study Readings: Attended 2 readings, first reading not discarded	N/A
Edwards, 2013 <sup>9</sup>	Inclusion: Diagnosis of office-based hypertension according to Canadian Hypertension Education Program guidelines, age ≥18 with hypertension between May 1, 2004 to April 1, 2010, resting MOBP and AOBP measured on same clinic visit, ABPM performed within 24-h of study visit Exclusion: Not specified	Device: BpTRU Rest: 20-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained following all other BP measurements	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 15-min intervals	Device: Manual Rest: 5-min rest prior to measurement Readings: Attended 3 readings	N/A
Filipovsky, 2016 <sup>10</sup>	Inclusion: Stable outpatients treated for hypertension, examined in hypertension centre by one of three participating physicians Exclusion: Not specified	Device: BpTRU Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: Routine BP obtained immediately following AOBP measurement	N/A	N/A	Device: Nissei DM- 1000 and Riester N desk model Readings: Attended 2 readings
Filipovsky, 2018 <sup>11</sup>	Inclusion: Attended arterial hypertension or cardiology outpatients clinics in 4 university hospitals between March 2015 and March 2016, age >18 years, essential arterial hypertension, stable on drug treatment (attending physician should not expect need for antihypertensive medication change during 12 months of follow-up) Exclusion: Unstable health state (i.e. manifest heart failure, labile diabetes, advanced malignant disease),	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: Routine BP obtained immediately following AOBP measurement (85% of patients), ABPM scheduled at second visit (ABPM conducted within 24-h (69.6% patients) or one week (88.4%) from clinical visit)	Device: SpaceLabs Readings: Not specified	N/A	Device: Nissei DM- 3000 and Riester N desk model Readings: Attended 3 readings

	unstable hypertension (antihypertensive medication changed between 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> clinical visit)				
Garcia-Donaire, 2012 <sup>12</sup>	Inclusion: Aged >18 years, both sexes, normotensive or hypertensive patients (only hypertensive patients for TRUE-HTA study) Exclusion: Patients who are not participating in a clinical trial or do not understand content for informed consent	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 2-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: Not specified	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 20-min intervals	Device: Manual Readings: Attended 3 readings with 3-min intervals	N/A
Godwin, 2010 <sup>13</sup>	Inclusion: Diagnosis of hypertension, treatment with antihypertensive medications, uncontrolled BP (mean of last three office visits ≥140/90 mmHg) Exclusion: <18 years of age, pregnant, known secondary cause for hypertension	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1 or 2- min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained following AOBP measurement	Device: A&D Model TM2430 Readings: Not specified	N/A	Device: Manual Readings: Average BPs measured at last 3 office visits used, visits ranged from several weeks to months apart
Goldberg, 2017 <sup>14</sup>	Inclusion: Adult ED patients without known diagnosis of hypertension with triage BP that met CMS threshold for outpatient referral (triage SBP ≥120 mmHg and/or DBP ≥80 mmHg) between August 2014 and February 2016, ≥18 years old, speaks English Exclusion: Known to be hypertensive, taking antihypertensives, receiving dialysis, pregnant, prisoners, intoxicated, admitted, or in infectious disease isolation, had atrial fibrillation, chest pain, shortness of breath, one-sided weakness or numbness, altered mental status, acute psychiatric problems, used cocaine in previous 30 day, or received any antihypertensive medication between triage BP and BpTRU measurement	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: AOBP obtained following routine BP measurement at patient arrival	N/A	N/A	Device: Automated BP monitor Readings: Measurement attended
Ishikawa, 2012 <sup>15</sup>	Inclusion: Untreated or treated hypertensive patients on stable antihypertensive therapy for ≥4 weeks Exclusion: Never attended office visit or withdrew informed consent, dropped out midway through protocol, performed shift work, or whose BP could not be measured using oscillometric devices	Device: WatchBP Office Rest: No rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 3 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading not discarded TBM: AOBP and research BP measurements (random order) obtained at all 3 office visits at 2-week intervals, ABPM obtained at 2 <sup>nd</sup> office visits	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 30-min intervals	Device: Sphyg Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Attended 3 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading not discarded	N/A
Lamarre-Cliché, 2011 <sup>16</sup>	Inclusion: Recruited to clinic between December 2006 and December 2008, age ≥18 years, diagnosis of hypertension according to CHEP guidelines, ability to adequately use Self-Meas Exclusion: Recent changes in medications (≤2 weeks earlier), planned modifications of medications	Device: BpTRU Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: AOBP and research BP performed consecutively within 20-min timeline, all measurements obtained within a 2-week period.	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 30-min intervals	Device: Sphyg Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Attended 3 readings with a 1-min interval	N/A

	during study's duration, noncompliance with medications, presence of diabetes	Order AOBP vs ABPM was randomized.			
Moore, 2018 <sup>17</sup>	Inclusion: Confirmed or suspected arterial hypertension referred to attend specialist clinic between November 2013 and December 2016 Exclusion: Atrial fibrillation at time of assessment, other sustained heart rhythm irregularities, or upper limb obstructive atherosclerosis	Device: Mobil-o-graph IEM Rest: No rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 3 readings with a 2-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained following AOBP measurement	Device: Mobil-o- graph IEM Readings: At 20-min intervals	N/A	N/A
Myers, 2006 <sup>18</sup>	Inclusion: Patients referred to hypertension specialist for further management by primary care physicians in community Exclusion: Not specified	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 2-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: AOBP and routine BP obtained in random order	N/A	N/A	Device: Buamanometer mercury sphygmomanometer Readings: Measurement attended
Myers, 2008a <sup>19</sup>	Inclusion: Patients referred to ABPM center Exclusion: Not specified	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1 or 2- min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained following AOBP measurement	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 15-min intervals	N/A	N/A
Myers, 2008b <sup>20</sup>	Inclusion: Adult residents of Ontario, aged 20-79 years, with and without high BP Exclusion: Not specified	Device: BpTRU Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: 2-min break between BP measurements, random order	N/A	Device: Buamanometer mercury sphygmomanometer Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Attended 3 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded	N/A
Myers, 2009a <sup>21</sup>	Inclusion: Consecutive referrals by hypertension specialists for 24-h ABPM, treated and untreated patients, antihypertensive therapy left unchanged during period of 3 automated office BP recordings Exclusion: Not specified	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1 or 2- min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained following AOBP measurement	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: at 15-min intervals	Device: Mercury sphygmomanometer and Y-tube connector Readings: 2 readings	N/A
Myers, 2009b <sup>22</sup>	Inclusion: Consecutive referrals from family physician in community for 24-h ABPM Exclusion: Not specified	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1 or 2- min interval, first reading discarded TBM: AOBP obtained before and after ABPM measurements	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 15-min intervals	Device: Mercury sphygmomanometer and T-tube connector Readings: 2 readings	Device: BpTRU Readings: Last BP measurement by family physician in office used

Myers, 2010a <sup>23</sup>	Inclusion: Consecutive untreated patients referred for 24-h ABPM by family physicians in community Exclusion: Treated patients	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1 or 2- min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained following AOBP measurement	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 15-min intervals	N/A	Readings: Average BP recorded by patient's family physician at time of referral used
Myers, 2010024	Health Science center for assessment of hypertension, capable of self-measurement of BP in home for 7 days of study; no age or sex restrictions <b>Exclusion:</b> Changes in drug therapy 30 days earlier, failed to record all BP readings	Rest: No rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained following AOBP measurement	SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 15-min intervals	sphygmomanometer and T-tube connector Readings: 2 readings	N/A
Myers, 2011 <sup>25</sup>	Inclusion: Hypertension code on billing forms, satisfied initial screening criteria, > 45 years, no serious coexisting illness limiting participation, no history of non-compliance, not treated for diabetes mellitus, serum creatinine less than twice normal, not using or intending to use home BP measurements; untreated patients eligible if have SBP of ≥160 mmHg and DBP <95 mmHg on most recent pre-study visit as recorded in medical chart; patients receiving antihypertensive treatment eligible if SBP ≥140 mmHg and DBP <90 mmHg <b>Exclusion:</b> <5 patients recruited from each of their physicians' practices, declined to have 24-h ambulatory BP monitoring after signing consent	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 2-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained following AOBP measurement	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 15-min intervals	N/A	Readings: Last routine manual office BP immediately before entry into study used
Myers, 2012 <sup>26</sup>	Inclusion: Persistent systolic hypertension (SBP ≥140 mmHg and DBP <90 mmHg if treated, SBP ≥160 mmHg and <95 mmHg if not on antihypertensive therapy), who remained on same antihypertensive treatment during first 3 office visits after enrolment included in masked hypertension substudy Exclusion: Incomplete ABPM, <5 patients per physician (cluster), withdrew consent, refused to perform ABPM, left physician's practice, or deceased by 2-year follow up	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 2-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: Results from 2-year follow up of CAMBO. ABPM obtained following AOBP measurement	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 15-min intervals	N/A	N/A
Myers, 2012 <sup>27</sup>	Inclusion: Consecutive patients referred for 24-h BP monitoring to ABPM unit, ≥18 years, capable of performing ABPM Exclusion: Not specified	Device: WatchBP Office Rest: No rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 3 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained following AOBP measurement	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 Readings: At 15-min intervals	N/A	N/A
O'Shaughnessy, 2011 <sup>28</sup>	Inclusion: Recruited between June 2010 and August 2010, >18 years, nondialysis-dependent CKD (as	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest added prior to measurement	N/A	N/A	Device: Vital Signs Monitor 300 Series

	defined by KDOQI) or a functioning renal transplant, previously diagnosed hypertension documented treatment with antihypertensive therapy or SBP >130 mmHg and/or DBP >80 mmHg at any one of three earlier nephrology clinic visits <b>Exclusion:</b> Inability or refusal to give informed consent, absence of contemporaneous (within 1 month of study visit) serum creatinine measurement, arrhythmia precluding use of oscillometric device to measure BP, presence of acute intercurrent illness necessitating admission to hospital	<b>Readings:</b> Unattended 5 readings with a 2-min interval, first reading discarded <b>TBM:</b> Routine BP obtained following AOBP measurement			Readings: Measurement attended
Padwal, 2015 <sup>29</sup>	Inclusion: Community dwelling adults aged ≥18 years with history of treated or untreated hypertension Exclusion: Severe hypertension (SBP >180 mmHg or DBP >110 mmHg), pregnancy, inability to understand or comply with study procedures, non-sinus rhythm	Device: WatchBP Office Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 3 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading not discarded TBM: AOBP obtained at baseline, ABPM obtained between pharmacy visits 2 and 3	Device: SpaceLabs 90207 or 90217A Readings: At 20-min intervals	N/A	N/A
Ringrose, 2018 <sup>30</sup>	Inclusion: Patients ≥18 years referred to a 24-h ambulatory BP monitoring program embedded within a hypertension clinic Exclusion: Inadequate 24-h ambulatory studies, insufficient BpTRU readings	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest added prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 2-min interval, first reading discarded TBM: ABPM obtained immediately following AOBP measurements	Device: Oscar 2 oscillometric Readings: At 20-min intervals	N/A	N/A
Wohlfahrt, 2016 <sup>31</sup>	Inclusion: Aged 25-64 years, permanent residents of Brno City district, and completed the Kardiovize Brno 2030 population survey Exclusion: Incomplete BP data	Device: BpTRU Rest: No rest prior to measurement Readings: Unattended 5 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading not discarded TBM: All BP measurements obtained at same visit, order dependent on availability of each method	N/A	Device: Baumanometer Rest: 5-min rest added prior to measurement Readings: Attended 2 readings with a 1-min interval, first reading discarded	N/A
Abbreviations: AB pressure; TBM, ti	PM, ambulatory blood pressure monitoring; AOBP, autom me between measurements	ated office blood pressure; BP, blood pressure; h, hc	ours; mins, minu	tes; N/A, not assessed; SE	3P, systolic blood

eFigure 1. Flow Chart of Study Selection



2300 articles excluded with minimal uncertainty based on title and abstract

59 articles selected for full text review

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

28 full-text articles excluded

- 12 No data on AOBP
  - 5 No comparison to awake ABP, research, or routine office BP
- 3 Device not fully automated/Attended AOBP
- 2 More than 2 minutes between BP measurements
- 1 More than 1 month between BP measurements
- 2 Sample size <30
- 3 Duplicate reports of the same population

31 articles with AOBP included

- 22 versus awake ABP
- 11 versus research BP
  - 12 versus routine office BP



**eFigure 2.** Forest Plot of the Mean Difference in Systolic BP Between Awake ABP (Reference) and Routine Office BP Measurement in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg



**eFigure 3.** Forest Plot of the Mean Difference in Diastolic BP Between Awake ABP (Reference) and Routine Office BP Measurement in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg



**eFigure 4.** Forest Plot of the Mean Difference in Systolic BP Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP <130 mmHg

## **eFigure 5.** Forest Plot of the Mean Difference in Diastolic BP Between AOBP (Reference) and AABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg



**eFigure 6.** Forest Plot of the Mean Difference Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg, by Added Rest Period



Difference between subgroups: -2.41 mmHg, 95% CI -7.28 to 2.45, p=.31.

% AOBP N MD (95% CI) Weight Study 1 min. Myers, 2009b 2.00 (0.33, 3.67) 8.02 132 309 Ishikawa, 2012 134.7 87 2.40 (-0.63, 5.43) 6.20 Padwal, 2015 135.7 100 -0.20 (-2.57, 2.17) 7.11 Edwards, 2013 136.3 329 -3.20 (-5.06, -1.34) 7.78 Myers, 2012b 138.6 100 -1.80 (-3.36, -0.24) 8.15 Myers, 2008a (1 min.) 139 104 5.00 (1.56, 8.44) 5.68 Andreadis, 2012 -5.00 (-7.11, -2.89) 7.46 139.9 139 Myers, 2010b 141 139 1.00 (-1.43, 3.43) 7.02 Subtotal (I-squared = 86.4%, p = 0.000) -0.15 (-2.22, 1.92) 57.41 2 min. Myers, 2012a CAMBO 2 yr 133.1 252 -2.80 (-4.90, -0.70) 7.47 Myers, 2011 CAMBO base 135.6 299 -2.30 (-4.29, -0.31) 7.60 Moore, 2018 136 189 0.00 (-2.21, 2.21) 7.32 Myers, 2008a (2 min.) 140 100 0.00 (-3.01, 3.01) 6.23 Armstrong, 2015 140.5 422 -1.10 (-2.47, 0.27) 8.37 Garcia-Donaire, 2012 TRUE-HTA 144.4 101 -7.00 (-10.50, -3.50) 5.60 Subtotal (I-squared = 65.4%, p = 0.013) -1.95 (-3.48, -0.42) 42.59 Overall (I-squared = 81.2%, p = 0.000) -0.99 (-2.31, 0.34) 100.00 NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis 10 -10 -5 0 2 5 -2 AOBP is higher awake ABP is higher

**eFigure 7.** Forest Plot of the Mean Difference Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg, by Interval Between AOBP Readings

Difference between subgroups: -1.90 mmHg, 95% CI -5.32 to 1.53, p=.25.

# **eFigure 8.** Forest Plot of the Mean Difference Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg, by Device

Study	AOBP	Ν	MD (95% CI)	Weight
BpTRU				
Myers, 2009b	132	309	2.00 (0.33, 3.67)	5.69
Myers, 2010a	132.6	254	2.80 (1.15, 4.45)	5.70
Myers, 2012a	133.1	252	-2.80 (-4.90, -0.70)	5.44
Ringrose, 2018	133.3	76	13.10 (9.86, 16.34)	4.68
Myers, 2011	135.6	299	-2.30 (-4.29, -0.31)	5.51
Edwards, 2013	136.3	329	-3.20 (-5.06, -1.34)	5.59
Myers, 2008a (1 min.)	139	104	5.00 (1.56, 8.44)	4.54
Godwin, 2011	139.2	654	1.70 (0.57, 2.83)	5.95
Beckett, 2005	140	481	1.50 (0.17, 2.83)	5.86
Myers, 2008a (2 min.)	140	100	0.00 (-3.01, 3.01)	4.84
Myers, 2009a	140	62	1.00 (-3.27, 5.27)	3.97
Armstrong, 2015	140.5	422	-1.10 (-2.47, 0.27)	5.84
Myers, 2010b	141	139	1.00 (-1.43, 3.43)	5.23
Garcia-Donaire, 2012 TRUE	-HTA144.4	101	-7.00 (-10.50, -3.50)	4.50
Subtotal (I-squared = 90.5%	6, p = 0.000)		0.76 (-0.95, 2.48)	73.34
WatchBP				
Ishikawa, 2012	134.7	87	2.40 (-0.63, 5.43)	4.82
Padwal, 2015	135.7	100	-0.20 (-2.57, 2.17)	5.27
Myers, 2012b	138.6	100	-1.80 (-3.36, -0.24)	5.75
Andreadis, 2012	139.9	139	-5.00 (-7.11, -2.89)	5.44
Subtotal (I-squared = 83.3%	6, p = 0.000)		-1.31 (-3.98, 1.37)	21.29
Mobilo-graph				
Moore, 2018	136	189	0.00 (-2.21, 2.21)	5.38
Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p	= .)		0.00 (-2.21, 2.21)	5.38
Overall (I-squared = 89.5%)	, p = 0.000)		0.29 (-1.13, 1.71)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from rar	ndom effects	analysis		
			-10 -3 -2 0 2 3 10	

**eFigure 9.** Forest Plot of the Mean Difference Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg, by Source of the Sample



Difference between subgroups: -0.97 mmHg, 95% CI -3.60 to 5.55, p=.66.



**eFigure 10.** Forest Plot of the Mean Difference Between AOBP (Reference) and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg, High Quality Studies

High quality was defined as: no added rest, patient completely alone, not based on chart review, at least 3 readings for AOBP.

**eFigure 11.** Funnel Plot of Mean Differences Between AOBP and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg, SBP



P-value for small-study bias: .93

**eFigure 12.** Funnel Plot of Mean Differences Between Diastolic AOBP and Awake ABP in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg



P-value for small-study bias: .67

**eFigure 13.** Pooled Estimates of Mean Differences in Systolic BP Leaving Out One Study at a Time in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg



**eFigure 14.** Pooled Estimates of Mean Differences in Diastolic BP Leaving Out One Study at a Time in Samples With Systolic AOBP ≥130 mmHg



#### eReferences

- 1. Agarwal R. Implications of blood pressure measurement technique for implementation of Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e004536.
- 2. Andreadis EA, Angelopolous ET, Tsakanikas P, Agaliotis GD, Kravvariti SD, Mousoulis P. Automated office versus home measurement of blood pressure in the assessment of morning hypertension. *Blood Press Monit.* 2012;17(1):24-34.
- 3. Andreadis EA, Geladari CV, Angelopoulos ET, Savva FS, Georgantoni AI, Papademetrou V. Attended and unattended automated office blood pressure measurements have a better agreement with blood pressure monitoring than conventional office readings. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7:e008994.
- 4. Armstrong D, Matangi M, Brouillard D, Myers MG. Automated office blood pressure being alone and not location is what matters most. *Blood Press Monit.* 2015;20(4):204-208.
- 5. Bauer F, Seibert FS, Rohn B, et al. Attended versus unattended blood pressure measurement in a real life setting. *Hypertension*. 2018;71(2):243-249.
- Beckett L, Godwin M. The BpTRU automatic blood pressure monitor compared to 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring in the assessment of blood pressure in patients with hypertension. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2005;5:18.
- 7. Bhatt H, Siddiqui M, Judd E, Oparil S, Calhoun D. Prevalence of pseudoresistant hypertension due to inaccurate blood pressure measurement. *J Am Soc Hypertens.* 2016;10(6):493-499.
- Campbell NRC, Conradson HE, Kang J, Brant R, Anderson T. Automated assessment of blood pressure using BpTRU compared with assessments by a trained technician and a clinic nurse. *Blood Press Monit.* 2005;10(5):257-262.
- 9. Edwards C, Hiremath S, Gupta G, McCormack B B, Ruzicka M. BpTRUth: Do automated blood pressure monitors outperform mercury? *J Am Soc Hypertens*. 2013;7(6):448-453.
- Filipovsky J, Seidlerova J, Kratochvil Z, Karnosova P, Hronova M, Mayer Jr O. Automated compared to manual blood pressure and to home blood pressure in hypertensive patients. *Blood Press*. 2016;25(4):228-234.
- 11. Filipovsky J, Seidlerova J, Ceral J, et al. A multicentre study on unattended automated office blood pressure measurement in treated hypertensive patients. *Blood Press.* 2018;. doi: 10.1080/08037051.2018.1425606. [Epub ahead of print]
- 12. Garcia-Donaire JA, Baque AD, Sanclemente A, et al. Medida de la presion arterial en consulta y automatizada (BpTRU) para evaluar el efecto de bata blanca. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2012;138(14)597-601.
- 13. Godwin M, Birtwhistle R, Delva D, et al. Manual and automated office measurements in relation to

awake ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. *Fam Pract.* 2011;28(1):110-117.

- Goldberg EM, Wilson T, Saucier C, et al. Achieving the BpTRUth emergency department hypertension screening and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services quality measure. J Am Soc Hypertens. 2017;11(5):290-294.
- 15. Ishikawa J, Nasothimiou G, Karpettas N, et al. Automatic blood pressure measured without doctors or nurses present. *Blood Press Monit*. 2012;17(3):96-102.
- 16. Lamarre-Cliché M, Cheong N N G, Larochelle P. Comparative assessment of four blood pressure measurement methods in hypertensives. *Can J Cardiol.* 2011;27(4):455-460.
- Moore MN, Schultz MG, Nelson MR, et al. Identification of the optimal protocol for automated office blood pressure measurement among patients with treated hypertension. *Am J Hypertens*. 2018;31(3):299-304.
- Myers MG. Automated blood pressure measurement in routine clinical practice. *Blood Press Monit.* 2006;11(2):59-62.
- 19. Myers MG, Valdivieso M, Kiss A. Optimum frequency of automated blood pressure measurements using an automated sphygmomanometer. *Blood Press Monit.* 2008;13(6):333-338.
- 20. Myers MG, McInnis NH, Fodor GJ, Leenen. Comparison between an automated and manual sphygmomanometer in a population survey. *Am J Hypertens.* 2008;21(3):280-283.
- 21. Myers MG, Valdivieso M, Kiss A. Consistent relationship between automated office blood pressure recorded in different settings. *Blood Press Monit.* 2009;14(3):108-111.
- 22. Myers MG, Valdivieso M, Kiss A. Use of automated office blood pressure measurement to reduce the white coat response. *J Hypertens.* 2009;27(2):280-286.
- 23. Myers MG. A proposed algorithm for diagnosing hypertension using automated office blood pressure measurement. *J Hypertens.* 2010;28(4):703-708.
- Myers MG, Valdivieso M, Chessman M, Kiss A. Can sphygmomanometers designed for selfmeasurement of blood pressure in the home be used in office practice? *Blood Press Monit*. 2010;15(6):300-304.
- 25. Myers MG, Godwin M, Dawes M, et al. Conventional versus automated measurement of blood pressure in primary care patients with systolic hypertension: randomized parallel design controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2011;342:d286.
- 26. Myers MG, Godwin M, Dawes M, Kiss A, Tobe SW, Kaczorowski J. Conventional versus automated measurement of blood pressure in the office (CAMBO) trial. *Fam Pract.* 2012;29(4):376-382.
- 27. Myers MG, Valdivieso M. Evaluation of an automated sphygmomanometer for use in the office

setting. Blood Press Monit. 2012;17(3):116-119.

- 28. O'Shaunghnessy MM, Newman CA, Kinsella SM, Reddan DN, Lappin DW. In-office blood pressure assessment in chronic kidney disease: usual measurement versus automated BpTRU measurement. *Blood Press Monit.* 2011;16(3):124-128.
- 29. Padwal RS, Townsend RR, Trudeau L, Hamilton PG, Gelfer M. Comparison of an in-pharmacy automated blood pressure kiosk to ambulatory blood pressure in hypertensive patients. *J Am Soc Hypertens.* 2015;9(2):123-129.
- 30. Ringrose JS, Cena J, Ip S, Morales F, Hamilton P, Padwal R. Comparability of automated office blood pressure to daytime 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure. *Can J Cardiol.* 2018;34(1):61-65.
- 31. Wohlfahrt P, Cifkova R, Movsisyan N, et al. Thresholds for diagnosing hypertension by automated office blood pressure using random sample population data. *J Hypertens*. 2016;34(11):2180-2186.