Supplementary Information for

Quantifying the Triboelectric Series

Haiyang Zou^{1*}, Ying Zhang^{1,2*}, Litong Guo^{1,3*}, Peihong Wang¹, Xu He¹, Guozhang Dai¹, Haiwu Zheng¹, Chaoyu Chen¹, Aurelia Chi Wang¹, Cheng Xu^{1,3}, Zhong Lin Wang^{1, 4†}

¹ School of Materials Science and Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0245, United States.

² Key Laboratory of Thermo−Fluid Science and Engineering, Ministry of Education, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi Province 710049, P. R. China

³ School of Materials Science and Engineering, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221116, P.R. China

⁴ Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100083, P.R. China.

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

† Correspondence to: zhong.wang@mse.gatech.edu

This file includes:

Supplementary Note 1 to 7 Supplementary Figure 1 to 10 Supplementary Table 1 to 2

Supplementary Note 1 | Contact angle between mercury and tested materials

Supplementary Figure 1 | Contact angle between mercury and tested materials. It can be seen that the mercury has a large surface tension, the tested materials will be not wetted by the liquid metal. The mercury tends to repel when it touch the surfaces of tested materials. This is the reason that the mercury is the idea choice to be the other triboelectric material for measuring. The volume of the mercury measured on the surfaces is 25 mL.

Supplementary Note 2 | The effect of surface morphology

For the measurement on solid-solid interface, the surface morphology has a great influence on the measurement results. However, it is difficult to confirm each sample has perfect surface smoothness or the exactly the same surface roughness. Even the same patch of the same polymer made by the manufacturer, the surfaces of materials are different. Small scratches or other defects will make such measurement have measurement errors. Therefore, the liquid metal is used as one contact to greatly improve the contact intimacy. The measurement results of matte surface and smooth surface of clear polycarbonate is shown in Fig. S3. There is no obvious difference between the two measured values. The results demonstrate that the nanometer-to-micrometer-level surface roughness would not have a big influence on the measured results.

Supplementary Figure 2 | The comparison of Q-t curve of the material of clear polycarbonate with Matte surface (a) and glossy surface (b).

Supplementary Figure 3 | Photos of the measurement system.

Supplementary Note 3 | Linear motor parameters

The linear motor was set to rise at maximum speed of 0.02 m/s with acceleration of 0.1 m/s². The rise position wait time was 1 second. The fall maximum speed was set to 0.02 m/s with acceleration of 0.1 m/s². The position of maximum height to rise is 75 mm. The relationship of distance between the surface of the polymer and surface of the liquid metal is shown in Fig. S2.

Supplementary Figure 4 | The motion track of the surface of the tested sample. The distance is the gap distance between the surface of the sample and the liquid level of the mercury.

Supplementary Note 4 | The surface contact adjustments

It is important to control the surface contact between two surfaces of tested sample and liquid metal to minimize measurement errors. If the surfaces are not well contacted, the measured value would not be reliable. There are two conditions that might happened, not fully contact or over contact. If the two surfaces are not horizontal leveled in the same plane, then only part of the tested sample was contacted with mercury, the reduced contact area will make the measured value smaller. To demonstrate this, we intentionally tilt the angle of the PTFE plane, so that only part (nearly 2/3 area) of the surface of PTFE contact the mercury. The signal is shown in Fig. S6, the measured value is much smaller than the value when the two planes are well contacted.

Supplementary Figure 6 | The comparison of Q-t curve of PTFE when the two planes are not fully contact (a) and well contact (b).

Secondly, if the tested sample was inserted deep into the mercury level, the edge of the acrylic substrate will contact mercury, will cause noise as shown in Fig. S7. We call it "cat ears". When it is in over contact condition, the measured value is usually smaller than the value measured when they are well contacted.

Supplementary Figure 7 | The comparison of Q-t curve of PTFE when the two planes are over contact (a) and well contact (b).

In our design, we have several measures to adjust the contact between the two objects including the height of samples to contact the liquid surface and the contact angle to allow the perfect contact with mercury. The height of samples can be controlled by a high load lab jack and linear motor. The resolution of the high load lab jack can be less than 1 mm, the linear motor can be 0.1 mm. Since the liquid phase of mercury has large surface tension, the two measures are enough to control the height of the sample to just contact the liquid level. The angle could be well controlled by a miniature platform optical mount and a 2 axis tilt & rotation platform. According to the information from the vendor, the sensitivity of the miniature platform is 8.3 arc sec, and the 2 axis tilt & rotation platform is 2 arc sec. These designs will help to ensure the two planes can be finely adjusted to have the good contact.

In our measurement, the basic logic to make the adjustments is very similar to the adjustment of the focusing for Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). Firstly, coarse adjustments will be judges by eyes: through eyes, the height and angle of the sample will be adjusted to make sure the planes have contacted well. Secondly, fine adjustments will be made by try and error method. The reliable data always have the maximum value without "cat ears". More importantly, we have fabricated more than 3 samples to check the results, so we were able to know the results were good or not. We would go back to measure the samples which is varied much from other samples of the same material, and fabricate new samples if it was needed.

Supplementary Note 5 | Noise control

Supplementary Figure 5 | The signals of noise before (a) and after (b) the actions. (a) Without any steps to control the noise, the noise of the measuring system has a large value, which will greatly influence the measured results. The noise mostly like come from the AC electric power since the frequency is about 60 Hz. Many actions have been taken, which are listed as following: the charge is measured in a Faraday cage; shield wires are used as the connecting wires; the cage with all possible electrical charged objects including the body of the linear motor are connected with ground. The noise has been effectively reduced to a reasonable low value.

Supplementary Note 6 | Initial charge has to be avoided

The surfaces of the tested samples have initial surface charges at the beginning stage, especially when the surface has to be cleaned carefully before the test. Different samples would have different initial charges, even the sign of the charge would turn out to be opposite. For example, one of the samples - copy paper was measured to have positive charges at the beginning, then the positive charges gradually disappeared, and was measured to have negative charges finally. The copy paper was ultra-thin, it takes a longer time to eliminate the surface initial charge. The initial surface charge was found to cause the baseline shift. Finally, the copy materials will have a stable output value of their triboelectric charge after many cycles. This is similar to the result for slippery Nylon. The initial charges would be consumed by the impedance of the devices and measurement system.

Supplementary Figure 8 | The influence of the opposite initial charge for the material of copy paper and slippery Nylon. (a, c) The copy paper and slippery Nylon originally had positive charges, but turned out to have negative charges after contacting with mercury many times. (b, d) The enlarge figures at the turning point when the charge was changed from positive to negative.

Supplementary Note 7 | The validity of the triboelectric series by cross-check

Supplementary Figure 9 | The charge transfer between Nylon and various materials. (a) The ranking for the tested materials in the triboelectric series. (b-e) The measured charge transfer between Nylon and PE (b), PVDF (c), PET (d), and PTFE (e).

Supplementary Figure 10 | The charge transfer between Nylon and various materials. (a) The ranking for the tested materials in the triboelectric series. (b-e) The measured charge transfer between PE and PVDF (b), PET (c), and PTFE (d).

Supplementary Table 1 | The Information of Tested Materials

Supplementary Table 2 | The metals in Triboelectric series in polymers

Note: Metals can induce triboelectric charges, but cannot kept charges at their surface like polymers. The charges are distributed in the whole body rather than the surface. The TECD of metals cannot be obtained by the proposed method. Firstly, mercury can dissolve most metals; secondly, the two metals of mercury and tested metals has no charge transfer. Since the metal-on-dielectric model in TENGs is important, here we adopted the traditional method of ranking the metals according to their tendency to gain or lose electrons. Here, the ranking of metals in triboelectric series is measured by contacting and separating various polymers and the pure tested metals (99.99%) deposited on substrates.