Supporting Information for

## The interplay of the metallosensor CueR with two distinct CopZ chaperones defines copper homeostasis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Lorena Novoa-Aponte, David Ramírez, and José M. Argüello\*

From the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, 60 Prescott St, Worcester, Massachusetts, 01605, United States of America

Running title: Cytoplasmic Cu<sup>+</sup> distribution in *P. aeruginosa* 

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dr. José M. Argüello, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, 60 Prescott St, Worcester, Massachusetts, 01605, United States of America. Phone: (508) 330-5169, e-mail: <u>arguello@wpi.edu</u>

## This PDF file includes:

Figures S1 to S6 Tables S1 to S3 References for SI citations



**Fig. S1.** CueR-Cu<sup>+</sup> dissociation constant  $K_D$  determined using a BCS competition assay (2). Reaction mixtures were prepared in buffer H with constant concentrations of Cu<sup>+</sup> (10 µM) and BCS (25 or 100 µM) and varying concentrations of CueR (1-30 µM monomer). After 10 min equilibration, CuBCS<sub>2</sub> concentrations were estimated using A<sub>483</sub> ( $\beta_2$  10<sup>19.8</sup> M<sup>-2</sup>,  $\epsilon_{483}$  13000 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>). CueR-Cu<sup>+</sup>  $K_D$  was calculated by fitting the experimental data to the equilibrium binding equation (2,3). As CueR dimers bind two Cu<sup>+</sup> (4,5), [P]<sub>total</sub> = [CueR monomer].  $K_D$  values determined using 25 µM CuBCS<sub>2</sub> (black circles) and 100 µM CuBCS<sub>2</sub> (open circles) were curve fitted to  $K_D = 3.1 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-16}$  M and  $K_D = 7.9 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-17}$  M, respectively. An average  $K_D = 2.5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-16}$  M was calculated based on 6 independent experiments.



**Fig. S2.** Interaction CueR<sub>*apo*</sub>-*PcopZ2* examined by Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay. 10 pmol of 50-bp unlabeled *PcopZ2* (Table S1) were incubated 30 min at room temperature with 500 pmol CueR dimer in 10 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, 5% glycerol, 0.01 mg/mL BSA. The electrophoretic mobility of *PcopZ2* in the absence (left) and the presence of CueR<sub>*apo*</sub> (right), was observed in 10% polyacrylamide/TAE gels stained with ethidium bromide. The arrow highlights the mobility shift of *PcopZ2* in the presence of CueR.



**Fig. S3.** *P. aeruginosa copZ1* and *copZ2* operon mapping. Total RNA from *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 in exponential growth phase was reverse transcribed and the cDNA used as template for PCR. Primers were designed in order to amplify the junctions between adjacent genes. Primers in intragenic regions were included as controls (Table S1). Schematic representation of the position of primers designed for co-transcription analysis of the gene cluster of (A) *copZ1* and (B) *copZ2* operons. (C) Each panel is constituted by three lanes: negative control, H<sub>2</sub>O; gDNA lane, which contains amplified products from genomic DNA and serves as positive control; and the cDNA lane, that contains the RT-PCR products.



**Fig. S4.**  $Cu^+$  protection of Cys residues at the metal binding sites from biotin-maleimide alkylation. Pure extracts of His-tagged CopZ1 and CopZ2 were separately alkylated with biotin-maleimide in the presence of 0, 0.5, and 1 eq of  $Cu^+$ . After removing excess of alkylating agent by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography, proteins were dot-blotted and immune stained either with anti-His antibodies or anti-Biotin antibodies. The dividing lines indicate where the images have been spliced; signals were from an identical original image and have not been altered. A decrease in anti-biotin staining indicated that  $Cu^+$  protects Cys against chemical modification.



**Fig. S5.** Levels of CopZ1 (ochre) and CopZ2 (black) in the presence of  $0.5 \text{ mM CuSO}_4$  (10 min) relative to those in the absence of metal, determined by MS/MS and immunostaining. Relative ratios in MS/MS were generated by spectral counts (total spectra). In both approaches, samples were normalized prior to the experiment (equal cell counts).



**Fig. S6.** Levels of GSH in WT,  $\Delta copZ2$ ,  $\Delta copZ1/\Delta copZ2$  mutant strains and *copZ2* complemented strain upon 0.5 mM CuSO<sub>4</sub> treatment (10 min). The amounts of total GSH in the absence (white) and the presence of copper (black) were measured spectrophotometrically using a kinetic assay of DNTB reduction coupled to GSSG recycling. Cells were incubated in 0.5 mM CuSO<sub>4</sub> in antibiotic-free LB medium. 2 mL aliquots were taken before and after 10 min of CuSO<sub>4</sub> addition, harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 150 µL 5% sulfosalicylic acid, sonicated 30 sec in a dry-ice/ethanol bath, and incubated for 10 min on ice. Cellular debris and proteins were removed by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min, and supernatants used for total GSH quantification. 20 uL samples were pre-incubated 5 min with 78 µM DTNB, 0.115 units/mL GSH reductase, 100 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>/Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 7), 1 mM EDTA. Upon addition of 46 µM NADPH, A412 was measured at 1 min intervals for 7 minutes. 0-200 µM GSH in 5% sulfosalicylic acid were used as standards. Data are the mean ± SE of three independent experiments. Significant differences from the WT as determined by paired two-tailed Student's t-test are \**P* < 0.05.

Strains	Relevant features	Reference
Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
PAO1	Wild type	(6)
$\Delta copZl$	<i>copZ1</i> gene replaced by a <i>BamH</i> site	(7)
$\Lambda conZ1$ conZ1	<i>copZ1</i> complemented gene. C-terminal TEV His-tag.	This study
	under endogenous promoter. Gm <sup>R</sup>	
$\Lambda con Z^2$	conZ2 gene interrupted by a <i>Pvu</i> II site and a stop codon	This study
$\Lambda con Z^{2} con Z^{2}$	<i>conZ2</i> complemented gene C-terminal TEV His-tag	This study
	under endogenous promoter Gm <sup>R</sup>	1 1115 5 tudy
$\Lambda con Z1/\Lambda con Z2$	<i>conZ2</i> gene interrupted by a <i>Pvu</i> II site and a stop codon	This study
	in the $\Lambda_{con} ZI$ background	1 1115 5 tudy
AconAl	PW7626 $con 41$ (PA 3920) ··· ISpho A ·· Tet <sup>R</sup>	(8)
AcuaP	PW0026 cup $P(PA4778)$ $P07$ ··ISphoA/hah··Tat <sup>R</sup>	(8)
	1 w 9020, cuer(1 A4778)-b0715p10A/11dil1et	(8)
DL 21(DE2)	$E^{-}$ and $T$ and $d$ and $had S (n^{-}m^{-}) 1/DE2$ [las]	Neveran
BL21(DE3)	$\Gamma$ omp1 gal acm ion $nsas_B(r_B m_B) \wedge (DES [laci)$	Novagen
DI 21(DE2)mL vog	$IacOV 5-1/p0/Inal sam/Inn5j) [malB ]_{K-12}(\lambda)$ $PL 21(DE2) strain PLus S(T7n20 srift) = 1/Cm^{R})$	Neveran
BL21(DE3)pLysS	$BL21(DE3) \text{ strain } pLyss[1/p20 \text{ orl}_{p15A}](Cm)$	
TopTo	F mcrA $\Phi$ 80lacZ $\Delta$ M15 $\Delta$ lacX/4 recA1 araD139	Invitrogen
	$\Delta(ara-leu)/69/galU galK rpsL (Strx) endA1 nupG$	
S17.1	$Tp^{\Lambda} Sm^{\Lambda} recA$ , thi, pro, hsdR <sup>-</sup> M <sup>-</sup> RP4- 2	(9)
	Tc:Mu::Kan::Tn7/λpir.	
Plasmids	Relevant features	Reference
pET-30b+	T7 promoter, <i>lac</i> operator, 6xHis tag, <i>lac</i> I, Kan <sup>R</sup>	Novagen
<i>copZ1</i> ::pET-30b+	PA3520::pET-30b+, Kan <sup>R</sup>	(2)
copZ2::pET-30b+	PA3574.1::pET-30b+, Kan <sup>R</sup>	(2)
pBADtopo	<i>araBAD</i> promoter, V5 epitope tag, $6xHis$ tag, $Amp^R$	Invitrogen
<i>cueR</i> strep::pBAD	PA4778 strep::pBAD, Amp <sup>R</sup>	This study
pDONRPEX18Gm	Gateway allelic exchange vector. Gm <sup>R</sup>	(10)
pUC18-mini-Tn7-Gm	Suicide delivery vector, $Gm^R$	(11)
pTNS2	Helper plasmid (TnsABCD site) Amp <sup>R</sup>	(12)
Primers	Sequence (5'-3')	()
	Sequence (3 -5 )	
$cop Z_2$ mutant		
CopZ2upF-GwB1	ggggacaagtttgtacaaaaaagcaggctacatcagcaccgcgtacagcag	
CopZ2upK01	cagetgteaggegtggeeggteatgeeetgaacettgaaa	
CopZ2downF01	gccggccacgcctgacagctggtacgggcgatcacccatgc	
CopZ2downR-GWB2	ggggaccactttgtacaagaaagctgggtagcgccgtctactggcacttc	
Mutant complementation		
Comp_CopZ1_F	ttttactagtetggcgcggatcactcgtc	
Comp_CopZ1_R	ttttggtacctcaatgatgatgatgatgatgggactgaaaatacaggttttcgccg	etgetaeggeeeteeggataaeegg
Comp_CopZ2_F	ttttactagtcggcatgacgatcagcaccg	
Comp_CopZ2_R	ttttggtacctcaatgatgatgatgatgatgggactgaaaatacaggttttcgccg	etgetggeeageteggegeegta
copZ2 promoter		
<i>copZ2</i> prom_F	tatttcgaggattgaccttgacaccatgtcaaggtcgaaaatcgccccat	
<i>copZ2</i> prom_R	atggggcgattttcgaccttgacatggtgtcaaggtcaatcctcgaaata	
Operon mapping		
$OW_PA3519_to_copZI(A)$	tgtaacggatttgaagtcat	
$OW_PA3521_to_copZ1$ (D)	agcgcgacagtcgctcctga	
OW_ <i>PA3575</i> _to_ <i>copZ2</i> (H)	gaatagcggcggatggacat	
OW_ <i>PA3574</i> _to_ <i>copZ2</i> (E)	gcgccgcatcctgaccatcc	
qPCR		
qPA4268F	gcaaaactgcccgcaacgtc	
qPA4268R	tacacgaccgccacggatca	
qCopZ1F (C)	aagactgtcacccgtatcct	
qCopZ1R (B)	aatgcgtgcttgtccaga	
qCopZ2F (G)	ggtgcaggcgaaggatt	
qCopZ2R (F)	ggatcgcctcgagtacct	
qCopA1F	gaaacggtgctggcgaagat	
qCopA1R	ttaaccagggcctgctccag	

Table S1. Bacterial strains, plasmids and primers used in this study

Cuel	R <sub>holo</sub> – C	CopZ1					
Clu	ister	HADDOCK	RMSD	vdW	Electrostatic	Desolvation	7
No.	Size	a.u.	Å	kcal/mol	kcal/mol	kcal/mol	L
1	56	$-82 \pm 1$	$3.9 \pm 0.2$	$-29 \pm 2$	$-324 \pm 8$	$12 \pm 2$	-2.0
2	41	-71 ± 3	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	$-37 \pm 4$	$-195 \pm 59$	$4 \pm 10$	-1.0
3	20	$-61 \pm 2$	$2.6 \pm 0.2$	$-28 \pm 3$	$-179 \pm 34$	$3\pm 8$	-0.1
4	16	$-62 \pm 2$	$3.6 \pm 1.1$	$-27 \pm 5$	$-231 \pm 30$	$11 \pm 3$	-0.2
5	15	$-47 \pm 1$	$7.1 \pm 0.5$	$-28 \pm 5$	$-132 \pm 18$	$7 \pm 6$	1.1
6	8	$-49 \pm 7$	$5.3 \pm 0.1$	$-38 \pm 5$	$-96 \pm 19$	$7 \pm 3$	1.0
7	5	$-45 \pm 5$	$6.2 \pm 0.5$	$-28 \pm 4$	$-106 \pm 23$	$4 \pm 4$	1.3
8	5	$-58 \pm 15$	$1.1 \pm 0.7$	$-34 \pm 4$	$-179 \pm 43$	$12 \pm 8$	0.1
9	4	$-63 \pm 4$	$4.8 \pm 0.2$	$-27 \pm 5$	$-268 \pm 34$	$11 \pm 7$	-0.3
Average cluster size $\pm$ SD = 18.9 $\pm$ 18.1							
CueR <sub>holo</sub> – CopZ2							
Clu	ister	HADDOCK	RMSD	vdW	Electrostatic	Desolvation	Z

Table S2. Docking studies of CopZ1 and CopZ2 with CueR.

CueR <sub>holo</sub> – CopZ2							
Clu	ister	HADDOCK	RMSD	vdW	Electrostatic	Desolvation	7
No.	Size	a.u.	Å	kcal/mol	kcal/mol	kcal/mol	L
10	113	-73 ± 1	$7.4 \pm 0.1$	$-32 \pm 7$	$-183 \pm 22$	$-5 \pm 4$	-1.4
11	14	$-71 \pm 6$	$0.1\pm0.3$	$-34 \pm 4$	$-242 \pm 70$	$9\pm 8$	-1.2
12	13	$-53 \pm 5$	$5.7 \pm 0.1$	$-29 \pm 4$	$-81 \pm 24$	$-8 \pm 5$	0.5
13	13	$-54 \pm 3$	$8.6\pm0.1$	$-30 \pm 4$	$-152 \pm 25$	$6 \pm 7$	0.5
14	9	$-45 \pm 2$	$4.3\pm0.1$	$-35 \pm 3$	$-44 \pm 10$	$-1 \pm 5$	1.3
15	6	$-65 \pm 11$	$6.5\pm0.1$	$-35 \pm 2$	$-215 \pm 30$	$10 \pm 6$	-0.6
16	6	$-49 \pm 8$	$6.0\pm0.1$	$-26 \pm 3$	$-186 \pm 28$	$12 \pm 4$	0.9
Average cluster size $\pm$ SD = 24.9 $\pm$ 39.0							

HADDOCK: HADDOCK score in a.u. (arbitrary units); RMSD: Root-mean-square deviation from the overall lowest-energy structure; vdW: van der Waals; Z: Z-Score indicates how many SD from the average the cluster is located in terms of score (negative values indicate stronger simulation). Significant conformational clusters are highlighted in grey.

Table S3.	Interacting	residues	of CopZ1	and Co	pZ2 with	CueR
			1		1	

CueR <sub>holo</sub> – CopZ1						
	Hydrogen bond		Salt bridges			
CueR	CueR Distance (Å) Co		CueR	Distance (Å)	CopZ1	
Asp107	1.7	Lys16	Asp107	2.6	Lys16	
Glu110	1.7	Lys16	Glu110	4.0	Lys16	
His111	2.0	Thr17	Glu110	2.7	Lys16	
Gln113	2.2	Cys11	Asp125	2.8	Arg20	
Gln113	2.2	Cys14	Asp125	3.6	Arg20	
Arg117	2.0	Tyr60	Asp125	3.0	Arg20	
Lys124	1.7	Glu57	Asp125	3.4	Arg20	
Asp125	1.9	Arg20	•			
Asp125	2.2	Arg20				
Cys131	3.0	Glu57				
		CueRhole	, – CopZ2			
Hydrogen bond			Salt bridges			
CueR	Distance (Å)	CopZ2	CueR	Distance (Å)	CopZ2	
Glu110	2.2	Cys11	Lys124	3.8	Glu58	
Arg117	2.1	Gly8	Lys124	2.6	Glu58	
Arg117	2.4	Thr10				
Arg117	1.8	Thr10				
Asp119	1.7	Tyr60				
Lys124	1.6	Glu58				
Cys131	1.7	Glu58				

## References

- 1. Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2006) Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 127-128
- 2. Quintana, J., Novoa-Aponte, L., and Argüello, J. M. (2017) Copper homeostasis networks in the bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Biol. Chem. **292**, 15691-15704
- 3. Xiao, Z., Brose, J., Schimo, S., Ackland, S. M., La Fontaine, S., and Wedd, A. G. (2011) Unification of the copper(I) binding affinities of the metallo-chaperones Atx1, Atox1, and related proteins: detection probes and affinity standards. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286**, 11047-11055
- 4. Changela, A., Chen, K., Xue, Y., Holschen, J., Outten, C. E., O'Halloran, T. V., and Mondragon, A. (2003) Molecular basis of metal-ion selectivity and zeptomolar sensitivity by CueR. *Science* **301**, 1383-1387
- 5. Philips, S. J., Canalizo-Hernandez, M., Yildirim, I., Schatz, G. C., Mondragon, A., and O'Halloran, T. V. (2015) Allosteric transcriptional regulation via changes in the overall topology of the core promoter. *Science* **349**, 877-881
- 6. Winsor, G. L., Griffiths, E. J., Lo, R., Dhillon, B. K., Shay, J. A., and Brinkman, F. S. (2015) Enhanced annotations and features for comparing thousands of Pseudomonas genomes in the *Pseudomonas* genome database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, D646-D653
- 7. Thaden, J. T., Lory, S., and Gardner, T. S. (2010) Quorum-sensing regulation of a copper toxicity system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Bacteriol.* **192**, 2557-2568
- 8. Jacobs, M. A., Alwood, A., Thaipisuttikul, I., Spencer, D., Haugen, E., Ernst, S., Will, O., Kaul, R., Raymond, C., Levy, R., Chun-Rong, L., Guenthner, D., Bovee, D., Olson, M. V., and Manoil, C. (2003) Comprehensive transposon mutant library of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 14339-14344
- Simon, R., Priefer, U., and Puhler, A. (1983) A broad host range mobilization system for *In vivo* genetic-engineering-transposon mutagenesis in gram-negative bacteria. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 1, 784-791
- Hmelo, L. R., Borlee, B. R., Almblad, H., Love, M. E., Randall, T. E., Tseng, B. S., Lin, C., Irie, Y., Storek, K. M., and Yang, J. J. (2015) Precision-engineering the *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa genome with two-step allelic exchange. *Nat. Protoc.* 10, 1820
- 11. Choi, K. H., and Schweizer, H. P. (2006) mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with single *attTn7* sites: example *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Nat. Protoc.* **1**, 153-161
- 12. Choi, K.-H., Gaynor, J. B., White, K. G., Lopez, C., Bosio, C. M., Karkhoff-Schweizer, R. R., and Schweizer, H. P. (2005) A Tn7-based broad-range bacterial cloning and expression system. *Nat. methods* **2**, 443