

Supplementary File 2: Juror Satisfaction Survey. This survey was completed by all jurors at the jury’s conclusion.

Acknowledgement: This evaluation Survey was written by Professor Stacy Carter & Dr Chris Degeling, University of Wollongong, Australia. It was adapted for this jury and used with permission

Citizens’ jury experience: mitochondrial donation in Australia

The following questions are designed to assess your experience of participating.

For each of these questions, **please rate from 1 (not at all) to 10 (very much).**

	Not at all								Very much	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. Do you feel that your opinions were respected by the group?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2. Do you feel that you were listened to by the facilitator?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3. Do you feel that the process that led to the group’s responses was fair?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
4. How willing are you to abide by the group’s final position, even if you personally have a different view?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5. How helpful did you find each of the following:										
a. The video presentations from the expert witnesses	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
b. Question and answer interaction with experts	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
c. The discussion led by the facilitator	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
d. The discussion with other participants when the researchers left the room	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
6. How much did participating in the jury change your <i>understanding</i> of the process of mitochondrial donation?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7. How much did attending the session change your <i>opinion</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

about allowing children to be born
via mitochondrial donation?

Questions adapted from De Vries, R., et al. (2011). A Framework for Assessing the Quality of Democratic Deliberation: Enhancing Deliberation as a Tool for Bioethics." *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics* 6(3): 3-17.

For each of these questions, **please circle one**:

8. Throughout the process my awareness of different points of view on allowing children to be born via mitochondrial donation has:

Increased Stayed about the same Decreased

9. Throughout the process my confidence in my ability to present my point of view has:

Increased Stayed about the same Decreased

10. Throughout the process the confidence of most participants in their ability to present their point of view has:

Increased Stayed about the same Decreased

11. My sense of connection to the group has:

Increased Stayed about the same Decreased

For each of these questions, **please rate from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree)**.

	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree				
12. I feel a part of the group now	1	2	3	4	5					
13. The outcome of this event matched my expectations	1	2	3	4	5					
14. I feel that the views I expressed during this jury will influence policy on allowing children to be born via mitochondrial donation	1	2	3	4	5					
15. I feel that the views expressed by this jury will influence policy on allowing children to be born via mitochondrial donation	1	2	3	4	5					
16. I want to participate in other events like this one in the future	1	2	3	4	5					

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17. Citizens should be given more opportunity to participate in events like these | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. Participating in the jury was a worthwhile experience | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Participating in the jury was enjoyable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Questions adapted from Timotijevic, L. and M. M. Raats (2007). Evaluation of two methods of deliberative participation of older people in food-policy development. *Health Policy* **82**(3): 302-319.