

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1

Antibodies and Fluorochrome Used for Multiplex Immunofluorescence							
Primary antibodies	Clone or reference #	Provider	Order of serially stained markers	Dilution	Link (dilution, incubation time, ref #, company)	Secondary (tertiary) HRP-conjugated antibody	Tyramide conjugated flurochrome
Goat anti-TIM-3	AF2365	R&D systems	1	1/10000	rabbit-anti-goat (1/1500, 30 minutes, E0466, Agilent)	Rabbit-envision	Opal 540
Mouse anti-LAG-3	17B4	LifeSpan Biosciences	2	1/10000	none	Mouse-envision	Opal 620
Mouse anti-PD-1	EH33	Dr. Gordon Freeman	3	1/5000	none	Mouse-envision	Opal 690
Mouse anti-CD8	C8/144B	Agilent	4	1/10000	none	Mouse-envision	Opal 520

Abbreviations: CD8, cluster of differentiation 8; LAG-3, lymphocyte activation protein 3; PD-1, programmed cell death 1; TIM-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3.

Supplementary Table 2

Clinical endpoints by RECIST and irRECIST					
	RECIST (n=167)		irRECIST (n=167)		<i>p</i> -value
	n	%	n	%	
ORR vs irORR ^a	35	21.0	38	22.8	0.51 ^b
95% CI, %	15.1 - 27.9		16.6 - 29.9		
CR vs irCR	2	1.2	2	1.2	0.99 ^c
95% CI, %	0.1 - 4.3		0.1 - 4.3		
PR vs irPR	33	19.8	36	21.6	0.69 ^c
95% CI, %	14.0 - 26.6		15.6 - 28.6		
SD vs irSD	69	41.3	84	50.3	0.1 ^c
95% CI	28.1 - 43.1		42.5 - 58.1		
PD vs irPD	59	35.3	41	24.6	0.03 ^c
95% CI, %	28.1 - 43.1		18.2 - 31.8		
Not evaluable	4	2.4	4	2.4	
months					
median PFS vs median irPFS	3.3		5.5		< 0.001 ^d
95% CI	2.6 - 4.2		4.2 - 8.1		

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; ir, immune-related; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response; RECIST, response evaluation criteria in solid tumor; SD, stable disease.

^aCR plus PR per RECISTv1.1 and irCR plus irPR per irRECIST.

^bDifference between ORR and irORR was estimated using McNemar's test for paired categorical data.

^cDifferences between responses rates evaluated by RECISTv1.1 or irRECIST were estimated using Chi-Square test of equal proportion.

^dDifference between PFS and irPFS was estimated using Prentice-Wilcoxon test.

Supplementary Table 3

Association of Tumor Cell PD-L1 Expression Levels or Tumor Cell PD-L2 Expression Levels with Fuhrman Nuclear Grade					
Grade	TC PD-L1 Expression ^a				
	< 1% (n = 108)		≥ 1% (n = 17)		<i>p</i> -value ^b
2	31	96.9	1	3.1	
3	58	89.2	7	10.8	<0.01
4	19	67.9	9	32.1	
TC PD-L2 Expression ^c					
Grade	< 1% (n = 72)		≥ 1% (n = 43)		<i>p</i> -value ^b
	n	%	n	%	
2	20	69.0	9	31.0	
3	35	58.3	25	41.7	0.59
4	17	65.4	9	34.6	

Abbreviations: PD-L1/2, programmed cell death ligand 1/2; TC, tumor cell

^aExpression data or grade status for 42 patients were not available for analysis.

^b Chi-square test

^c Expression data or grade status for 52 patients were not available for analysis.

Supplementary Table 4

Summary of Efficacy Results Per Overall PD-L1 Expression Levels at 0.1%, 0.5%, 1% or 5% Cutoffs ^a				
Endpoints	<0.1% (n = 55 ^b)		$\geq 0.1\%$ (n = 76)	
	n	%	n	%
ORR	12	22.2	15	20.6
95% CI, %	12.0 - 35.6		12.0 - 31.6	
irORR	12	22.2	17	22.6
95% CI, %	12.0 - 35.6		13.8 - 33.8	
Median PFS, months	2.9		3.0	
95% CI	1.8 - 4.3		1.9 - 5.4	
Median irPFS, months	5.4		5.4	
95% CI	4.2 - 13.7		3.0 - 8.1	
Endpoints	<0.5% (n = 75 ^b)		$\geq 0.5\%$ (n = 56)	
	n	%	n	%
ORR	15	20.3	12	22.6
95% CI, %	11.8 - 31.2		12.3 - 36.2	
irORR	15	20.3	14	25.5
95% CI, %	11.8 - 31.2		14.7 - 39.0	
Median PFS, months	2.9		4.0	
95% CI	1.9 - 4.2		1.4 - 5.7	
Median irPFS, months	4.5		5.5	
95% CI	4.1 - 8.1		3.1 - 10.8	
Endpoints	<1% (n = 93 ^b)		$\geq 1\%$ (n = 38)	
	n	%	n	%
ORR	19	20.7	8	22.9
95% CI, %	12.9 - 30.4		10.4 - 40.1	
irORR	20	22.0	9	23.7
95% CI, %	14.0 - 31.9		11.4 - 40.2	
Median PFS, months	3		3.0	
95% CI	2.0 - 4.2		1.4 - 6.8	
Median irPFS, months	4.5		5.7	
95% CI	4.1 - 8.1		2.0 - 15.4	
Endpoints	<5% (n = 118 ^b)		$\geq 5\%$ (n = 13)	
	n	%	n	%
ORR	21	18.3	6	50.0
95% CI, %	11.7 - 26.6		21.1 - 78.9	
irORR	24	20.7	5	38.5
95% CI, %	13.7 - 29.2		13.9 - 68.4	
Median PFS, months	2.9		7.6	
95% CI	2.0 - 4.1		1.3 - 39.1	
Median irPFS, months	4.4		39.1	
95% CI	4.1 - 7.0		1.3 - 39.1	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; ir, immune-related; ORR, objective response rate; PD-L1, programmed death ligand 1; PFS, progression-free survival.

^a For 36 patients either tissues were not available or stained slides could not be evaluated by image analysis.

^b ORR data for 4 patients and irORR data for 2 patients were missing.

Supplementary Table 5

Summary of Efficacy Results Per Tumor Cell PD-L2 Expression Levels at 5%, 10% or 20% Cutoffs ^a					
Endpoints	< 5% (n = 97 ^b)		≥ 5% (n = 30)		
	n	%	n	%	
ORR	23	24.7	5	16.7	
95% CI, %	16.4 - 34.8		5.6 - 34.7		
irORR	23	24.2	7	23.3	
95% CI, %	16.0 - 34.1		9.9 - 42.3		
Median PFS, months	3.3		2.6		
95% CI	1.9 - 4.3		1.4 - 4.3		
Median irPFS, months	5.4		4.5		
95% CI	4.1 - 7.0		2.4 - 15.4		
Endpoints	< 10% (n = 108 ^b)		≥ 10% (n = 19)		
	n	%	n	%	
ORR	26	25.0	2	10.5	
95% CI, %	17.0 - 34.4		1.3 - 33.1		
irORR	26	24.5	4	21.1	
95% CI, %	16.7 - 33.8		6.1 - 45.6		
Median PFS, months	3.4		2.0		
95% CI	2.2 - 4.3		1.3 - 4.0		
Median irPFS, months	5.4		8.3		
95% CI	4.1 - 7.1		1.4 - 38.3		
Endpoints	< 20% (n = 114 ^b)		≥ 20% (n = 13)		
	n	%	n	%	
ORR	27	24.6	1	7.7	
95% CI, %	16.8 - 33.7		0.2 - 36.0		
irORR	28	25.0	2	15.4	
95% CI, %	17.3 - 34.1		1.9 - 45.4		
Median PFS, months	3		2.1		
95% CI	2.0 - 4.2		1.3 - 4.3		
Median irPFS, months	5.4		11.0		
95% CI	3.4 - 7.0		1.4 - 38.3		

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; ir, immune-related; ORR, objective response rate; PD-L2, programmed cell death ligand 2; PFS, progression-free survival

^a Expression data were not available for 40 patients.

^b ORR data for 4 patients and irORR data for 2 patients were missing.

Supplementary Table 6

Summary of Efficacy Results Per Tumor Cell PD-L1 and/or PD-L2 Expression Levels at 1% Cutoff ^a					
Endpoints	<1% (n = 71 ^b)		≥1% (n = 55)		
	n	%	n	%	
ORR	15	22.4	13	23.6	
95% CI, %		13.1 - 34.2		13.2 - 37.0	
irORR	15	21.7	15	27.3	
95% CI, %		12.7 - 33.3		16.1 - 41.0	
Median PFS, months		3.4		2.8	
95% CI		1.8 - 4.3		1.5 - 5.4	
Median irPFS, months		5.5		5.4	
95% CI		4.1 - 8.5		2.7 - 8.3	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; ir: immune related; ORR, objective response rate; PD-L1, programmed cell death ligand 1; PFS, progression-free survival; TC, tumor cell

^aExpression data were not available for 41 patients.

^bORR data for 4 patients and irORR data for 2 patients were missing.

Supplementary Table 7

Descriptive Statistics of the Biomarkers Quantified by Multiplex Immunofluorescence and Correlated with Clinical Outcomes.

Biomarkers	Number of values	Minimum	25% Percentile	Median	75% Percentile	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error of Mean	Lower 95% CI of mean	Upper 95% CI of mean	95% CI of median	Actual confidence level	Lower confidence limit	Upper confidence limit	Coefficient of variation	Sum
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells (cells per mm ²)	98	49.55	208.4	377.3	712.2	7076	592	823.1	83.15	427	757.1	96-66%	288.7	461.4	139.0%	58019	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻	98	1.579	88.88	234	494	5343	413	684	69.09	278.3	556.1	96-66%	104.7	261.4	165.6%	40471	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are TIM-3 ⁻	98	0	3.059	23.43	59.68	791.5	68.0	130	11.32	42.53	90.96	96-66%	18.47	29.93	17.1%	6556	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	1.182	10.74	211.6	22.36	58.45	5.914	10.62	23.39	56.13	96-66%	0.7994	4.342	243.6%	2141	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻	98	1.307	41.72	65.01	81.28	97.78	61.01	24.35	2.46	56.13	65.9	96-66%	55.81	73.99	39.6%	5979	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are TIM-3 ⁻	98	0	1.698	6.402	14.73	51.7	9.536	10.46	1.077	7.399	11.67	96-66%	3.877	8.553	111.7%	934.5	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0.5848	3.173	43.08	2.853	6.034	0.6095	1.643	4.062	96-66%	0.2597	1.257	211.5%	279.6	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	6.631	49.93	106.1	188.2	682.2	131	108.6	10.97	109.2	152.8	96-66%	81.51	129.1	82.9%	12836	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0.7894	5.69	156	333.1	4451	283	507.7	51.28	181.2	384.7	96-66%	8.684	21.18	179.41%	40324	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	1.568	12.22	34.89	333.3	41.1	77.04	7.782	25.72	56.61	96-66%	0	1.382	262.7%	761.1	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0.565	4.214	124.0	7.767	26.46	2.607	8.885	11.99	96-66%	0	0	0.821	278.7%	933.5
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0.7047	4.408	181.3	9.526	26.45	2.481	4.284	14.85	96-66%	0.00931	0.3436	331.92%	163.3	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0	8.289	1663	0.8842	0.69931	-0.01092	1.72	3.556	96-66%	0.4605	1.658	160.7%	248.7	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0	0.921	2.763	22.46	2.533	4.079	0.412	1.72	96-66%	0	0	0	355.01%	100.8
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0	0.5526	31.5	1.029	3.651	0.3688	0.2965	1.761	96-66%	0	0	0	355.01%	3727
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	2.162	17.91	33.06	56.31	98.69	38.03	24.08	2.432	33.21	42.86	96-66%	25.94	42.43	63.31%	40324	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	1.307	35.54	51.94	65.98	93.64	51.1	20.99	2.12	46.89	55.31	96-66%	46.88	56.57	41.07%	5008	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0.9151	4.15	11.36	41.59	7.25	8.318	0.8442	8.584	8.946	96-66%	2.099	6.233	114.96%	712.5	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0.2953	1.229	11.29	1.142	2.228	0.2544	0.9054	1.587	96-66%	0	0	0.4257	111.9%	40324
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0.1493	1.356	33.42	1.501	3.973	0.4015	0.704	2.297	96-66%	0	0	0.2957	264.77%	147.1
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0	0	1.237	0.0236	0.1301	0.01134	-0.002714	0.00944	96-66%	0	0	0	556.72%	2.29
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0.2238	0.8183	8.75	0.7421	1.31	0.1323	0.4795	1.005	96-66%	0.124	0.442	176.48%	72.72	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0	0	0.1085	2.75	0.1871	0.5101	0.05153	0.08483	96-66%	0	0	0	272.63%	18.33
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻	98	0	1.842	15.79	44.9	714.5	50.69	99.27	10.03	30.79	70.6	96-66%	9.868	22.1	195.82%	4988	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	1.071	8.832	267.5	17.31	44.94	4.54	8.303	26.32	96-66%	0.4605	2.368	259.58%	1697	
Density of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	2.187	15.2	53.85	80.7	58.4	14.4	11.59	55.48	81.48	96-66%	15.34	24.87	104.14%	5713	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0.9152	2.837	14.13	31.7	8.771	10.32	1.043	6.701	10.84	96-66%	2.273	7.411	117.71%	88.5
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0.5259	2.863	42.42	2.642	5.715	0.5793	1.492	3.792	96-66%	0.2494	0.96	217.65%	258.9	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are PD-1 ⁻ TIM-3 ⁻ and/or LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	1.576	6.079	15.35	61.1	9.912	11.49	1.161	7.608	12.22	96-66%	2.761	8.617	115.94%	971.4	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	98	33.71	79.53	90.3	96.78	100	85.82	14.89	1.504	82.83	88.8	96-66%	88	94.09	17.35%	8410	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are TIM-3 ⁻	98	0	2.56	8.403	18.25	59.7	12.47	13.43	1.357	9.773	15.16	96-66%	5.263	10.9	107.74%	1222	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	0	0.8514	3.82	46.46	3.755	7.37	0.7445	2.278	5.233	96-66%	0.3768	1.724	196.26%	388	
% of CD8 ⁺ cells that are TIM-3 ⁻ and/or LAG-3 ⁻	98	0	3.215	9.696	20.47	69.29	14.18	14.89	1.504	11.2	17.17	96-66%	5.915	12	105.00%	1190	

Abbreviations: CD8 cluster of differentiation 8; CI, confidence interval; LAG-3, lymphocyte activation protein 3; PD-1, programmed cell death 1; Std., standard; TIM-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3

Supplementary Table 8

Biomarkers with Significant Linear Relationship with irPFS			
Biomarker	Coefficient \pm SE ^a	irPFS HR (95% CI)	p-value
% of CD8 ⁺ TIC that are PD-1 ⁺ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	-0.54 \pm 0.20	0.58 (0.40 - 0.87)	0.007
density of CD8 ⁺ TIC that are PD-1 ⁺ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻	-0.13 \pm 0.07	0.87 (0.77 - 0.99)	0.039
% of CD8 ⁺ TIC that are PD-1 ⁺	-0.48 \pm 0.18	0.62 (0.43 - 0.89)	0.009

Abbreviations: CD8, cluster of differentiation 8; CI, confidence interval; LAG-3, lymphocyte activation protein 3; irPFS, immune-related progression free survival; HR, hazard ratio; PD-1, programmed cell death 1; SE, standard error 2; TIM-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3; TIC, tumor infiltrating cell

^aCoefficient \pm SE have been calculated after cube root transformation of biomarker expression level values.

Supplementary Table 9

Summary of Efficacy Results According Density Levels of CD8 ⁺ Tumor Infiltrating Cell that are PD-1 ⁺ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻ and Percentage Levels of CD8 ⁺ Tumor Infiltrating Cell that are PD-1 ⁺ ^a					
Endpoints	density of CD8 ⁺ TIC that are PD-1 ⁺ TIM-3 ⁻ LAG-3 ⁻				
	n	%	n	%	p-value ^d
irORR	7	12.7	14	34.2	0.01
95% CI, %		5.3 - 24.5		20.1 - 50.6	
Median irPFS, months		4.2		7.0	0.05
95% CI		1.8 - 7.0		4.2 - 15.4	
Endpoints	% of CD8 ⁺ TIC that are PD-1 ⁺				
	n	%	n	%	p-value ^c
irORR	0	0	21	25.6	0.02
95% CI, %		0.0 - 23.2		16.6 - 36.4	
Median irPFS, months		2.3		7.0	< 0.01
95% CI		1.2 - 4.3		4.2 - 11.0	

Abbreviations: CD8, cluster of differentiation 8; CI, confidence interval; LAG-3, lymphocyte activation protein 3; ir, immune-related; ORR, objective response rate; PD-1, programmed cell death 1; PFS, progression-free survival; TIC, tumor infiltrating cell; TIM-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3.

^a Expression data were not available for 69 patients.

^b irORR data for 1 patient was missing.

^c irORR data for 1 patient was missing.

^d Fisher's exact test was used to test the association with irORR and log-rank test was used to test the association with irPFS.