Copyright WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69469 Weinheim, Germany, 2019.



# Supporting Information

for Adv. Sci., DOI: 10.1002/advs.201801180

Highly Efficient Flexible Polymer Solar Cells with Robust Mechanical Stability

Licheng Tan, Yilin Wang, Jingwen Zhang, Shuqin Xiao, Huanyu Zhou, Yaowen Li,\* Yiwang Chen,\* and Yongfang Li

## **Supporting Information**

### Highly Efficient Flexible Polymer Solar Cells with Robust Mechanical Stability

Licheng Tan<sup>1,2</sup>, Yilin Wang<sup>1</sup>, Jingwen Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Shuqin Xiao<sup>1,2</sup>, Huanyu Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Yaowen Li<sup>\*3</sup>, Yiwang Chen<sup>\*1,2</sup>, Yongfang Li<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Chemistry, Nanchang University, 999 Xuefu Avenue, Nanchang 330031, China

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Polymers and Energy Chemistry, Nanchang University, 999 Xuefu Avenue, Nanchang 330031, China

<sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Advanced Optoelectronic Materials, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, 199 Ren'ai Road, Suzhou 215123, China

Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 791 83968703; fax: +86 791 83969561. E-mail: ywchen@ncu.edu.cn (Y.W.C.), ywli@suda.edu.cn (Y.W.L.). Licheng Tan and Yilin Wang contributed equally.

#### **Experimental Section**

Materials and reagents: Patterned ITO glass substrates (sheet resistance  $\leq 10 \Omega/sq$ ; transmittance  $\geq 84\%$ ) were purchased from Zhuhai Kaivo Optoelectronic Technology Co. Ltd. PTB7-Th, PBDB-T and ITIC were purchased from 1-material, Chemscitech Inc., QC, Canada. PC<sub>71</sub>BM was purchased from Solarmer Materials Inc., China. Chlorobenzene (CB) and 1,8-diiodoctane (DIO) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. All available chemical reagents were used as received without any further purification.

**Preparation of PDA solution.** 0.09 g tris hydrochloride and 0.17 g trometamol were added into the 200 mL deionized water to prepare tris buffer. Then, 3-hydroxytyramine hydrochloride (J&K chemical) was dissolved in the tris buffer (pH 8.5). The dopamine monomer was self-aggregated at 25 °C.

**Preparation of AZO and AZO:PDA.** The general procedure for the preparation of AZO solution according to the published literature.<sup>[1]</sup> In details, 2.195 g zinc acetate dihydrate  $(Zn(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O)$ , Aldrich, 98%) and doping source of 0.038 g aluminum nitrate  $(Al(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O)$ , Aladdin 99.99%) were added into anhydrous ethanol (25 mL) in a round-bottom flask. After stirring for 10 min at 80 °C, 0.61 mL ethanolamine (EA, Aladdin, 99.5%) was injected into the turbid reaction solution and the ratio of EA to  $Zn(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$  was retained at 1.0. It is worth noting that the suspension dissolved with the addition of EA gradually. Transparent AZO solution was obtained after 2 h stir and reflux at 80 °C. For AZO:PDA hybrid solutions, 10 mg/mL PDA was added into the AZO solution with different molar fraction (1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0%). Desired concentration was obtained by diluting the AZO solution with anhydrous ethanol when used.

**Device preparation.** The inverted solar cells studied in this work are based on the following layer sequence: ITO/AZO ETLs/active layer/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Ag (or Al). For flexible device, the device structure is PET/Ag-mesh/PH1000/PEIE/ETLs/active

layer/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al. The treated substrates were dried with a flowing nitrogen stream and further treated by atmospheric plasma treatment for 3 min. After that, AZO and AZO:PDA solutions were spin-coated onto the ITO substrates as ETLs and annealed in air at 140 °C for 20 min. Then active layer was deposited by spin-coating from a PBDB-T-2F:IT-4F (10:10 wt%, 20 mg/mL) solution in mixed solvents of CB:DIO (99.0:1.0 vol%) under 1600 rpm for 60 s dried on a hot plate at 100 °C for 15 min. For PBDB-T:ITIC system, the active layer was deposited by spin-coating from a PBDB-T:ITIC (10:10 wt%, 20 mg/mL) solution in mixed solvents of CB:DIO (99.5:0.5 vol%) under 2500 rpm for 60 s. For PTB7-Th:PC71BM (6:9 wt%, 15 mg/mL) solution in mixed solvent of CB:DIO (97.0:3.0 vol%). Finally, MoO<sub>3</sub> and Ag (or Al) were deposited on top of the active layer by thermal evaporation in a high vacuum (<  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  Torr). For flexible device, the PEDOT layer (AI4083) was spin-coated onto PET/Ag-mesh electrode in ambient air and dried on a hot plate at 120 °C for 20 min. Then, PEIE is deposited on the PEDOT layer under 5000 rpm for 60 s.

**Characterizations and device measurement.** UV-Vis absorption and transmission spectra of AZO and AZO:PDA were taken with a Lambda 750 UV-Vis spectrometer. PL spectra of AZO and AZO:PDA were carried on a Hitachi F-7000 photoluminescence spectroscopy with a xenon lamp as the light source. The Philips X'Pert Pro MPD with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54056$  Å) was applied to gather XRD patterns under a scanning rate of 2 °/min. SEM images were taken by FEI XL30 Sirion SEM. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu IRPrestige-21 spectrometer. The surface morphologies of AZO and AZO:PDA were characterized by AFM (Digital Instrument Nanoscope 31). XPS was executed by employing a Kratos AXIS Ultra. UPS was carried out by the AXISULTRA DLD spectrometer (Kratos Analytical Ltd.). For the UPS measurements, He I (21.22 eV) radiation line from a discharge lamp was used, with an experimental resolution of 0.15 eV. All UPS measurements of the onset of photoemission for determining the work function were done using standard procedures with a -5 V bias applied to the

sample. J-V characteristics were tested using a Keithley 2400 sourcemeter under AM 1.5 G solar spectra illumination (100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, Abet Solar simulator Sun2000). IPCE values were measured under monochromatic illumination (Oriel Cornerstone 260 1/4 m monochromator equipped with an Oriel 70613NS QTH lamp), and the calibration of the incident light was performed using a monocrystalline silicon diode. Mechanical bending test was measured by a stepper motor controller (CL-01A). For peeling test, we apply a force of 0.5 MPa to the 3M tape and active layer by tabletting machine. Subsequently, the sample is putted on the universal testing machine (WOTEI-20) for peeling test (2 N peeling force, 50 mm/min peeling speed and 180° peeling direction).

#### **Energies level of ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS)**

The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energies were determined according to the equation:<sup>[2,3]</sup>

$$E_{LUMO} = hv - (E_{cutoff} - E_{onset}^{HOMO})$$

where hv is the incident photon energy (hv = 21.2 eV). As shown in **Figure S4**, the left panel is the  $E_{cutoff}$  gained from the high binding energy cutoff of a spectrum, and the right panel provides  $E_{onset}^{HOMO}$ , which is the onset relative to the Fermi level of Au (at 0 eV).

#### Space-charge-limited-current (SCLC) mobility measurement

In order to characterize the carrier mobility of modified device, electron-only devices with configuration of ITO/AZO ETLs/PBDB-T:ITIC/Al were fabricated The carrier mobility was measured using the Mott-Gurney SCLC model at low voltage which is described by the following equation:<sup>[4]</sup>

$$\mathbf{J} = (9/8)\,\mu_{\rm e}\,\varepsilon_0\,\varepsilon_{\rm r}\,(\mathrm{V}^2/\mathrm{L}^3)$$

where J is the current density,  $\mu_e$  is the effective charge carrier mobility which includes the effect of injection efficiency or traps,  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of free-space,  $\varepsilon_r$  is the relative dielectric constant, V is the applied voltage, and L is the thickness of ETLs and active layers.

# References

- X. H. Liu, X. D. Li, Y. R. Li, C. J. Song, L. P. Zhu, W. J. Zhang, H. Q. Wang, J. F. Fang, Adv. Mater. 2016, 28, 7405.
- J. H. Seo, R. Q. Yang, J. Z. Brzezinski, B. Walker, G. C. Bazan, T. Q. Nguyen, Adv. Mater. 2009, 21, 1006.
- 3. S. Braun, W. R. Salaneck, M. Fahlman, Adv. Mater. 2009, 21, 1450.
- 4. H. Y Park, D. Lim, K. D. Kim, S. Y. Jang, J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 6327.



Figure S1. N 1s X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of AZO and AZO:1.5%PDA.



**Figure S2.** (a) XPS spectra of AZO, AZO:1.0%PDA, AZO:1.5%PDA and AZO:2.0%PDA. (b) Zn 2p of AZO, AZO:1.0% PDA, AZO:1.5%PDA and AZO:2.0%PDA.



**Figure S3.** (a) Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) results of the corresponding energy range near the secondary electron cutoff and (b) Fermi/the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level.



**Figure S4.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of AZO, AZO:1.0%PDA, AZO:1.5%PDA and AZO:2.0%PDA.



**Figure S5.** Atomic force microscopy (AFM) surface plot images (5  $\mu$ m × 5  $\mu$ m) of AZO, AZO:1.0%PDA, AZO:1.5%PDA and AZO:2.0%PDA films: (a-d) ~ 80 nm, (e-h) ~ 40 nm and (i-l) ~ 20 nm, respectively.



**Figure S6.** (a) Current density<sup>0.5</sup>-voltage (J<sup>0.5</sup>-V) curves of electron-only devices based on ITO/AZO ETLs/PBDB-T:ITIC/Al, (b) J-V curves of ITO/AZO ETLs/Al.



Figure S7. The molecular structures of the active layer materials.



**Figure S8.** (a, c) The current density-voltage (J-V) curves and (b, d) incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) spectra of the inverted PSCs based on ITO/ETLs/PBDB-T:ITIC/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Ag and ITO/ETLs/PTB7-Th:PC<sub>71</sub>BM/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Ag, respectively.



**Figure S9.** Comparison of the average power conversion efficiency (PCE) stability of AZO/PBDB-T:ITIC and AZO:1.5%PDA/PBDB-T:ITIC based PSCs without encapsulation.





**Figure S11.** Young's model measured by peak-force model of atomic force microscopy (AFM) of AZO and AZO:1.5%PDA.



**Figure S12**. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra of a) PDA, PBDB-T:ITIC:PDA and PBDB-T:ITIC. b) PDA, PBDB-T-2F:IT-4F:PDA and PBDB-T-2F:IT-4F.

ETLs	AZO	AZO:1.0%PDA	AZO:1.5%PDA	AZO:2.0%PDA
Electron mobility [cm <sup>-2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	6.1×10 <sup>-4</sup>	8.2×10 <sup>-4</sup>	4.2×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.1×10 <sup>-3</sup>

 Table S1. Electron mobility of devices based on ITO/AZO ETLs/PBDB-T:ITIC/Al.

ETLs	AZO	AZO:1.0%PDA	AZO:1.5%PDA	AZO:2.0%PDA
Conductivity [S/m]	1.18×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.54×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.68×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.28×10 <sup>-3</sup>

 Table S2. Conductivity of devices based on ITO/AZO ETLs/Al.

**Table S3.** Device parameters of inverted PSCs based on PBDB-T:ITIC and PTB7-Th: $PC_{71}BM$  blend with AZO and bendable AZO ETLs under AM 1.5G irradiation (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

ETLs	ВНЈ	J <sub>sc</sub> V <sub>oc</sub> (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ) (V)		FF (%)	PCE (%)
AZO	PBDB-T:ITIC	16.81±0.18	0.880±0.002	62.5±1.2	9.5±0.2 (9.7) <sup>a</sup>
AZO:1.0%PDA	PBDB-T:ITIC	17.41±0.17	0.880±0.002	64.3±1.4	10.0±0.1 (10.1) <sup>a</sup>
AZO:1.5%PDA	PBDB-T:ITIC	17.54±0.14	0.882±0.004	64.3±1.0	10.1±0.2 (10.3) <sup>a</sup>
AZO:2.0%PDA	PBDB-T:ITIC	16.98±0.15	0.881±0.002	64.2±1.0	9.9±0.1 (10.0) <sup>a</sup>
AZO	PTB7-Th:PC71BM	17.33±0.17	0.770±0.004	64.1±1.2	8.6±0.1 (8.7) <sup>a</sup>
AZO:1.0%PDA	PTB7-Th:PC71BM	17.35±0.19	0.780±0.004	70.1±1.2	9.0±0.1 (9.1) <sup>a</sup>
AZO:1.5%PDA	PTB7-Th:PC71BM	17.53±0.14	0.780±0.003	70.4±1.1	9.5±0.1 (9.6) <sup>a</sup>
AZO:2.0%PDA	PTB7-Th:PC71BM	17.32±0.18	0.780±0.003	69.4±1.1	8.9±0.1 (9.0) <sup>a</sup>

The device effective area is  $0.04 \text{ cm}^2$  of a single chip; all the values represent averages from twelve devices on a single chip.

The device structure is ITO/AZO ETLs/Active layer/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Ag.

<sup>a</sup> The best PCE value.

Bending cycles ETLs PCE(%)	0	100	200	400	600	1000	1500	BHJ
AZO	11.2	10.6	10.2	10.0	9.0	8.5	8.3	PBDB-T-2F:IT-4F
AZO:1.5%PDA	11.5	11.3	11.1	11.1	10.8	10.6	10.3	
AZO	9.2	8.9	8.5	8.0	7.9	7.4	6.9	DDDD T.ITIC
AZO:1.5%PDA	9.6	9.4	9.3	9.2	8.9	8.6	8.6	PDDD-1.IIIC

**Table S4.** The decay of PCE for inverted PSCs based on PBDB-T-2F:IT-4F andPBDB-T:ITIC after bending test.

**Movie S1.** The video shows the 3M tape peeling test of ITO/AZO:1.5% PDA/PTB7-Th:PC<sub>71</sub>BM after 10 times.

**Movie S2.** The video shows the 3M tape peeling test of ITO/AZO/PTB7-Th:PC<sub>71</sub>BM after 5 times.

**Movie S3.** The video shows the 3M tape peeling test of ITO/AZO:1.5% PDA/PBDB-T:ITIC after 10 times.

**Movie S4.** The video shows the 3M tape peeling test of ITO/AZO/PBDB-T:ITIC after 5 times.