nature research

Corresponding author(s): NCOMMS-18-30448
Professor David C Rubinsztein

Last updated by author(s): Feb 7, 2019

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see Authors & Referees and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a	Confirmed	
☐	☒	The exact sample size (*n*) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
☐	☒	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
☐	☒	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided *Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.*
☐	☒	A description of all covariates tested
☐	☒	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
☐	☒	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
☐	☒	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. *F*, *t*, *r*) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and *P* value noted *Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.*
☐	☐	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
☐	☐	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
☐	☐	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's *d*, Pearson's *r*), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection	Gels were imaged using LICOR-Odyssey apparatus using IMAGE STUDIO Lite Licor. Confocal LSM 710 (Carl Zeiss) operated with ZEN imaging software. Microscope imaging system (Olympus BX53) equipped operated with Stereo Investigator software (MicroBrightField).
Data analysis	IMAGE STUDIO Lite and Image J for gel analysis. ZEN imaging software and Stereo Investigator software (MicroBrightField) for microscopic image analysis. Adobe Photoshop CS6 (64 bit) was used for image size and crop. Microscoft Excel and GraphPad Prism 7.0 software for statistical analysis.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☒ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	For new mice line such as mRFP-GFP-LC3 mice experiments: an initial experiment was performed with n=3 mice per group and on the basis of these, power analysis was performed using online tool. www.biomath.info/power/. We also used more mRFP-GFP-LC3 mice than calculated, as some mice tend to have reduced expression of the transgene and were excluded from analyses. For B6HD mice experiment, n is based on previous knowledge and studies of sample size. For SNCA mice, we used as many mice as we did for B6HD mice efficacy study. Minipigs: n is small, n=2 and n=4, based on previous knowledge. Zebrafish: n is based on previous knowledge of the lines.
Data exclusions	Only data for double transgenic mice in Fig 4D, E&F were excluded for two reasons. First, only mice where pump showed reduced flow rate (determined by plasma concentration <5ng/ml) and those with blocked pumps (determined by remaining volume) were excluded (Table S9). Second, only mice expressing detectable fluorescence of the mRFP-GFP-LC3 transgene were included in the final analysis in Fig 4D.
Replication	Each independent experiment involving neuronal culture was performed in triplicates. We used the average of each triplicate as a biological replicate for statistical analyses.
Randomization	All the experiments and tests were randomly assigned. However, in Fig 3, felodipine efficacy study in B6HD mice, we pre-tested male mice at 5 weeks of age to estimate the baseline motor performances using rotarod testing, to ensure that the randomly assigned treatment and vehicle groups (also control wild-type littermates) were not significantly different in their ability to perform on the rotarod test.
Blinding	The experimenter was blind to the treatments or slide names or numbers. The experimentor was also blind for the treatment groups of mice in the behavioral testing of B6HD mice.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study
☐	☒ Antibodies
☐	☐ Eukaryotic cell lines
☐	☐ Palaeontology
☐	☒ Animals and other organisms
☐	☐ Human research participants
☐	☐ Clinical data

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study
☐	☐ ChIP-seq
☐	☐ Flow cytometry
☐	☐ MRI-based neuroimaging

Antibodies

Antibodies used	(1) Mouse mAb anti Tau5 antibody (ab80579, Abcam, Lot no. GR279490-6). (2)Mouse mAb anti-alpha-tubulin (T9026, Sigma-Aldrich, clone DM1A, Lot no. 047M4789V). (3) Mouse mAb anti-huntingtin antibody (MAB5374, Chemicon, Millipore, cat no. clone mEM48, Lot no. 2665063,). (4) Rabbit pAb anti- Tyrosine Hydooxylase (TH) antibody (ab112; Abcam, Lot no. GR 166961-4). (5) Rabbit mAb anti-alpha-synuclein antibody (ab138501; Abcam, clone MJFR1, Lot no. GR221666-12). (6) Mouse mAb anti-GAPDH antibody (ab8245, Abcam, clone 6C5, Lot no. GR3235553-4). (7) Mouse mAb actin [ACTN05(C4)] (ab3280, Abcam, Lot no. GR92319-1). (8) Rabbit mAb LC3b (ab192890, Abcam, clone EPR18709, Lot no. GR3213821-3).
Validation	These are all commercially available antibodies, described on data sheets and online. We have confirmned that the LC3 antibody does not give an LC3-II band in autophagy null cells and have confirmed the specificity of the human SNCA antibody in mouse brains that do not contain human SNCA.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines

Cell line source(s)	*State the source of each cell line used.*
Authentication	*Describe the authentication procedures for each cell line used OR declare that none of the cell lines used were authenticated.*
Mycoplasma contamination	*Confirm that all cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination OR describe the results of the testing for mycoplasma contamination OR declare that the cell lines were not tested for mycoplasma contamination.*
Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)	*Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.*

Palaeontology

Specimen provenance	*Provide provenance information for specimens and describe permits that were obtained for the work (including the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information).*
Specimen deposition	*Indicate where the specimens have been deposited to permit free access by other researchers.*
Dating methods	*If new dates are provided, describe how they were obtained (e.g. collection, storage, sample pretreatment and measurement), where they were obtained (i.e. lab name), the calibration program and the protocol for quality assurance OR state that no new dates are provided.*

☐ Tick this box to confirm that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals	(A) Zebra fish: (1) Rho::EGFP-Tau atg -/- and Rho::EGFP-Tau atg +/+ , (2) UAS::Dendra-tauA152T (B) Mice: (1) mRFP-GFP-LC3 (both male and females 6-12 weeks old), (2) HD-N171-82Q mice (B6HD) mice males and females, 5 to 21 weeks old (3)SNCA(A53T)G2-3, males and females 6.5 to 7.5 months old.(4) wild type mice (C57Bl/6J), males, 10 weeks old. (C) Minipigs: wild type Bama pigs, females.
Wild animals	*Provide details on animals observed in or captured in the field; report species, sex and age where possible. Describe how animals were caught and transported and what happened to captive animals after the study (if killed, explain why and describe method; if released, say where and when) OR state that the study did not involve wild animals.*
Field-collected samples	*For laboratory work with field-collected samples, describe all relevant parameters such as housing, maintenance, temperature, photoperiod and end-of-experiment protocol OR state that the study did not involve samples collected from the field.*
Ethics oversight	All zebrafish and mouse procedures were performed in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act with appropriate Home Office Project and Personal animal licenses and with local Ethics Committee approval. Zebrafish and mice were maintained and experiments were performed in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines. The protocols and procedures for the study of minipigs, were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee, Guangzhou Institutes of Biomedicine and Health, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

Population characteristics	*Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, gender, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."*
Recruitment	*Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.*
Ethics oversight	*Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.*

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Clinical data

Policy information about clinical studies

All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJE guidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.

Clinical trial registration	*Provide the trial registration number from ClinicalTrials.gov or an equivalent agency.*
Study protocol	*Note where the full trial protocol can be accessed OR if not available, explain why.*
Data collection	*Describe the settings and locales of data collection, noting the time periods of recruitment and data collection.*
Outcomes	*Describe how you pre-defined primary and secondary outcome measures and how you assessed these measures.*

ChIP-seq

Data deposition

☐ Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as GEO.

☐ Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

Data access links *May remain private before publication.*	*For "Initial submission" or "Revised version" documents, provide reviewer access links. For your "Final submission" document, provide a link to the deposited data.*
Files in database submission	*Provide a list of all files available in the database submission.*
Genome browser session (e.g. UCSC)	*Provide a link to an anonymized genome browser session for "Initial submission" and "Revised version" documents only, to enable peer review. Write "no longer applicable" for "Final submission" documents.*

Methodology

Replicates	*Describe the experimental replicates, specifying number, type and replicate agreement.*
Sequencing depth	*Describe the sequencing depth for each experiment, providing the total number of reads, uniquely mapped reads, length of reads and whether they were paired- or single-end.*
Antibodies	*Describe the antibodies used for the ChIP-seq experiments; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.*
Peak calling parameters	*Specify the command line program and parameters used for read mapping and peak calling, including the ChIP, control and index files used.*
Data quality	*Describe the methods used to ensure data quality in full detail, including how many peaks are at FDR 5% and above 5-fold enrichment.*
Software	*Describe the software used to collect and analyze the ChIP-seq data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.*

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

☐ The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

☐ The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

☐ All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

☐ A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation	*Describe the sample preparation, detailing the biological source of the cells and any tissue processing steps used.*
Instrument	*Identify the instrument used for data collection, specifying make and model number.*
Software	*Describe the software used to collect and analyze the flow cytometry data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.*

Cell population abundance

Describe the abundance of the relevant cell populations within post-sort fractions, providing details on the purity of the samples and how it was determined.

Gating strategy

Describe the gating strategy used for all relevant experiments, specifying the preliminary FSC/SSC gates of the starting cell population, indicating where boundaries between "positive" and "negative" staining cell populations are defined.

☐ Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.

Magnetic resonance imaging

Experimental design

Design type

Indicate task or resting state; event-related or block design.

Design specifications

Specify the number of blocks, trials or experimental units per session and/or subject, and specify the length of each trial or block (if trials are blocked) and interval between trials.

Behavioral performance measures

State number and/or type of variables recorded (e.g. correct button press, response time) and what statistics were used to establish that the subjects were performing the task as expected (e.g. mean, range, and/or standard deviation across subjects).

Acquisition

Imaging type(s)

Specify: functional, structural, diffusion, perfusion.

Field strength

Specify in Tesla

Sequence & imaging parameters

Specify the pulse sequence type (gradient echo, spin echo, etc.), imaging type (EPI, spiral, etc.), field of view, matrix size, slice thickness, orientation and TE/TR/flip angle.

Area of acquisition

State whether a whole brain scan was used OR define the area of acquisition, describing how the region was determined.

Diffusion MRI ☐ Used ☐ Not used

Preprocessing

Preprocessing software

Provide detail on software version and revision number and on specific parameters (model/functions, brain extraction, segmentation, smoothing kernel size, etc.).

Normalization

If data were normalized/standardized, describe the approach(es): specify linear or non-linear and define image types used for transformation OR indicate that data were not normalized and explain rationale for lack of normalization.

Normalization template

Describe the template used for normalization/transformation, specifying subject space or group standardized space (e.g. original Talairach, MNI305, ICBM152) OR indicate that the data were not normalized.

Noise and artifact removal

Describe your procedure(s) for artifact and structured noise removal, specifying motion parameters, tissue signals and physiological signals (heart rate, respiration).

Volume censoring

Define your software and/or method and criteria for volume censoring, and state the extent of such censoring.

Statistical modeling & inference

Model type and settings

Specify type (mass univariate, multivariate, RSA, predictive, etc.) and describe essential details of the model at the first and second levels (e.g. fixed, random or mixed effects; drift or auto-correlation).

Effect(s) tested

Define precise effect in terms of the task or stimulus conditions instead of psychological concepts and indicate whether ANOVA or factorial designs were used.

Specify type of analysis: ☐ Whole brain ☐ ROI-based ☐ Both

Statistic type for inference
(See Eklund et al. 2016)

Specify voxel-wise or cluster-wise and report all relevant parameters for cluster-wise methods.

Correction

Describe the type of correction and how it is obtained for multiple comparisons (e.g. FWE, FDR, permutation or Monte Carlo).

Models & analysis

n/a	Involved in the study
☐	☐ Functional and/or effective connectivity
☐	☐ Graph analysis
☐	☐ Multivariate modeling or predictive analysis

Functional and/or effective connectivity

Report the measures of dependence used and the model details (e.g. Pearson correlation, partial correlation, mutual information).

Graph analysis

Report the dependent variable and connectivity measure, specifying weighted graph or binarized graph, subject- or group-level, and the global and/or node summaries used (e.g. clustering coefficient, efficiency, etc.).

Multivariate modeling and predictive analysis

Specify independent variables, features extraction and dimension reduction, model, training and evaluation metrics.