# **Optimization of the Split-Spinach Aptamer for Monitoring Nanoparticle Assembly Involving Multiple Contiguous RNAs**

Jack M. O'Hara<sup>1</sup>, Dylan Marashi<sup>1</sup>, Sean Morton<sup>1</sup>, Luc Jaeger<sup>2</sup> and Wade W. Grabow<sup>1,\*</sup>



**Figure S1.** 2D sequences associated with the 5bp/6bp/6nt version of the split-aptamer integrated nanoring system.

<u>Full-length Spinach control</u> 5'-<mark>GGGAG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCCAGUGCG</mark>AAACA<mark>CGCACUG<u>UU</u>GA<u>GUAGAGUGUGAG</u>CUCCC</mark>-3'

<u>Ring Struts</u> beta 5'-GGGAAcCuC<u>GCAGGCU</u>GaGgUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGAACGC</u>CGUGAC-3'

gamma 5′-GGGAAcCuC<u>GCGUUCU</u>GaGgUUCCCGUCACG<u>ACGUCUC</u>CGUGAC-3′

epsilon 5′-GGGAAcCuC<u>ACCACGA</u>GaGgUUCCCGUCACG<u>AACCAUC</u>CGUGAC-3′

zeta

# 5'-GGGAAcCuCGAUGGUUGaGgUUCCCGUCACGAGUGGACCGUGAC-3'

## Stem/linker exploration

#### 5bp/6bp/6nt version of the split-aptamer

5′GGGAACCUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACGAGCCUGCCGUGACUUAACA<mark>GGGAGAAGGACGGGUCCA</mark> GU3′

5′GGGAACCUC<mark>GAGACGU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>UCGUGGU</u>CGUGAC<mark>UU<u>AACA</mark>ACUGUUGAGUAGAGUGU</u></mark> GAGCUCCC3'

#### 5bp/7bp/6nt version of the split-aptamer

5

GGGAACCUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAAcAgGGAG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCCAG</mark> U - 3′ 5

GGGAACCUC<mark>GAGACGU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>UCGUGGU</u>CGUGACUU<u>AAcA<mark>C</mark>ACUGUUGAGUAGAGUGU</u> GAGCUCCc-3′

#### 5bp/7bp/5nt version of the split-aptamer

GGGAACCUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGACUUAAA<mark>gGGAG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCCAGU</mark> - 3′

5′

GGGAACCUC<mark>GAGACGU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>UCGUGGU</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAAACACUG<u>UU</u>GA<u>GUAGAGUGUG</u></mark> AGCUCCc-3'

## alpha-strands tested

GGG-AGU

5′GGGAACCUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAACA</mark>GGGAGAAGGACGGGUCCA GU3′

GGA-AGU 5′GGGAACGUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GACGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGACUUAACA<mark>GG\_AGAAGGACGGG</mark>UCCA GU3'

GGG-GGU 5′GGGAACGUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GACGUUCCCGACACC<u>AGCCUGC</u>GGUGUCUUAACA<mark>GGGAG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCCC</mark> GU3′

GGG-AGU.1

5'GGGAACCUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC GGGAGAAGGACGGGUCC AGU3′

GGG-AG .1 5′GGGAACCUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC GGGAG<u>AAGGACGGGUCC</u> AG<mark></mark>3′

GGG-AGC.2

5′GGGAACCUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAACU</mark>GGGAG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCCA <mark>G</mark> 3′

GGG-AGC.3

5′GGGAACCUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAAUC</mark>GGGAG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCCA G<mark>3′</mark>

GG-AGC.1

5'GGGAACCUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC GGGAG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCC AG 3'

CCU-AGC

5′ GGGAACGUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GACGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAACA</mark>AG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCCAG C3′

CCC-GGU

5' GGGAACGUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GACGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAACA<mark>CCCAGAAGGACGGG</mark>UCCGG U3'</mark>

CCC-AGU

5′

GGGAACGUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GACGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAACA AG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCCAG</mark>

CCU-AGU 5'GGGAACGUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GACGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAACA AG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCCA GU3'</mark>

CCU-AGU

5′

GGGAACGUC<mark>GUCCACU</mark>GACGUUCCCGUCACG<u>AGCCUGC</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAACA AG<u>AAGGACGGG</u>UCCAG AGGACGGGUCCAG</mark>

delta-strands tested

ACU-CCC5'

GGGAACCUC<mark>GAGACGU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>UCGUGGU</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAACA<mark>ACUGUUGA</mark>GUAGAGUGUG AGCUCCC3'</mark>

ACU-UCC5'

GGGAACCUC<mark>GAGACGU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>UCGUGGU</u>CGUGAC<mark>UUAACA<mark>ACUGUUGA</mark>GUAGAGUGUG AGCU<mark>CC3′</mark></mark>

\_\_\_\_

ACU-CCC.1 5'GGGAACCUC<mark>GAGACGU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>UCGUGGU</u>CGUGAC GAGCUCCC-3' ACU-UCC.1 5'GGGAACCUC<u>GAGACGU</u>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>UCGUGGU</u>CGUGAC GAGCUUCC-3' ACC-UCC.1 5'GGGAACCUC<u>GAGACGU</u>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>UCGUGGU</u>CGUGAC GAGCUUCC-3' ACC-CCC.1

5'GGGAACCUC<mark>GAGACGU</mark>GAGGUUCCCGUCACG<u>UCGUGGU</u>CGUGAC<mark>AC</mark>GUUGA<u>GUAGAGUGU</u> <u>GAG</u>CUCCC -3'

GCU-CCC.1 5′GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCGUCACG <u>UCGUGGU</u> CGUGAC <mark>GAGCUCCC -3′</mark>	CUGUUGA <u>GUAGAGUGU</u>
GCU-CC1 5'GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCGUCACG <u>UCGUGGU</u> CGUGAC GAGCUCC -3'	CUGUUGA <u>GUAGAGUGU</u>
ACU-CC1 5′GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCGUCACG <u>UCGUGGU</u> CGUGAC <mark>GAG</mark> CUCC3′	ACUGUUGA <u>GUAGAGUGU</u>
ACU-CC1 5'GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCGUCACG <u>UCGUGGU</u> CGUGAC GAGCUCC -3'	ACUGUUGA <u>GUAGAGUGU</u>
ACU-GGG.2 5'GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCGUCACGUCGUGGUCGUGAC <mark>GAGCUGCG -3'</mark>	A ACUGUUGAGUAGAGUGU
ACU-AGG.2 5'GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCGUCACG <u>UCGUGGU</u> CGUGAC <mark>GAGCUAGG -3'</mark>	A ACUGUUGA <u>GUAGAGUGU</u>
GCU-GGG.2 5′GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCGUCACG <u>UCGUGGU</u> CGUGAC <mark>GAGCUGGG -3′</mark>	A GCUGUUGA <u>GUAGAGUGU</u>
GUU-GGG.2 5′GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCUGCACG <u>UCGUGGU</u> CGUGCA <mark>GAGCUGGG -3′</mark>	A <mark>GUUGUUGA<u>GUAGAGUGU</u></mark>
GUU-AGG.2 5′GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCUGCACG <u>UCGUGGU</u> CGUGCA <mark>GAGCUAGG -3′</mark>	A <mark>GUUGUUGA<u>GUAGAGUGU</u></mark>
GCU-GG2 5'GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCGUCACG <u>UCGUGGU</u> CGUGAC <mark>GAG</mark> CUGG3'	A GCUGUUGA <u>GUAGAGUGU</u>
GCU-AGG.3 5′GGGAACCUC <mark>GAGACGU</mark> GAGGUUCCCGUCACG <u>UCGUGGU</u> CGUGAC	A GCUGUUGA <u>GUAGAGUGU</u>

<u>GAG</u>CUA<mark>GG</mark> -3′

**Table S1.** Complete list of sequences used in study. Green highlights represent the portion of the Spinach aptamer that was appended to the alpha strand of the nanoring. Yellow highlights represent the portion of the aptamer appended to the delta strand. Light blue highlights show the kissing loop sequences associated with the programmable nanoring.



**Figure S2.** Predicted secondary structures for individual strands based on linker variants. The 2D structures were generated using the mfold server.1 The two hairpins of 9- and 6-bp represent the struts of the nanoring. The remaining portion represent the most stable predicted secondary structure of the linker and split-aptamer region. The predicted structures were evaluated to determine whether the linker prevented or facilitated additional secondary structure to sequester the aptamer strand.