

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Self-Reported Hearing Loss in Russians. The Ural Eye and Medical Study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-024644
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Jun-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Bikbov, Mukharram; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Fayzrakhmanov , Rinat; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Kazakbaeva, Gyulli; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Zainullin, Rinat; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Salavatova, Venera; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Gilmanshin, Timur; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Arslangareeva, INga; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Nikitin, Nikolai; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Panda-Jonas, Songhomitra; Augenpraxis Jonas, Seegartenklinik, Heidelberg Mukhamadieva, Svetlana; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Yakupova, Dilya; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Khikmatullin, Renat; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Xaminev, Said ; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Aminev, Said ; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Vatupova, Dilya; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Khikmatullin, Renat; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Urianbaeva, Yulia ; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Uzianbaeva, Yulia ; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Jonas, Jost; Department of Ophthalmology; Ruprecht-Karls-University Heidelberg
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, OTOLARYNGOLOGY, Adult otolaryngology < OTOLARYNGOLOGY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1		
2	1	
3	י ר	
4 5	2	
6	3	
7	4	Self-Reported Hearing Loss in Russians. The Ural Eye and Medical Study
8	5	
9 10	6	
11	7	
12	8	Mukharram M. Bikbov MD PhD(1), Rinat R. Fayzrakhmanov, MD PhD(1), Gyulli M. Kazakbaeva,
13	9	MD(1), Rinat M. Zainullin, MD(1), Venera F. Salavatova, MD(1), Timur R.Gilmanshin, MD(1), Inga I.
14	10	Arslangareeva, MD(1), Nikolai A, Nikitin, MD(1), Songhomitra Panda-Jonas, MD (2), Svetlana R
16	11	Mukhamadieva MD(1) Dilva E Yakupova MD(1) Repat I Khikmatullin MD(1) Said K Aminev
17	10	MD(1) Ider E. Nuriov, MD(1), Artur E. Zavnetdinov, MD(1), Vulia V. Uzianbaova, MD(1), Joet P. Jonas
18	12	MD(1), Ildal F. Nullev, MD(1), Altur F. Zaynetullov MD(1), Tulla V. Ozlahbaeva, MD(1), Jost B. Johas
19	13	MD(3)
20	14	
22	15	
23	16	
24	17	(1) Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia
25 26	18	(2) Augenpraxis Jonas, Seegartenklinik, Heidelberg
27	19	(3) Department of Ophthalmology, Medical Faculty Mannheim of the Ruprecht-Karls-University of
28	20	Heidelberg, Germany
29	21	
30 31	22	
32	22	
33	23	
34	24	
36	25	Key words: Hearing loss; Presbyakusis; Audiometry; Depression; Population-based study; Ural Eye
37	26	and Medical Study;
38	27	Funding: None
39	28	Financial disclosures: None
40	29	Corresponding author: Prof. Mukharram Bikbov, Ufa Eye Research Institute, 90 Pushkin Street, Ufa
42	30	450077, Bashkortostan, Russia; Tel.: +7(347)272-37-75; email: Bikbov.m@gmail.com; Prof. Jost
43	31	Jonas, Department of Ophthalmology, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Theodor-Kutzerufer 1, 68167
44	32	Mannheim, Germany; Tel.: +49-6221-3929320; email: Jost.Jonas@medma.uni-heidelberg.de.
45 46	33	Author Contribution: Design and conception: M.M. Bikboy, Fayzrakhmanov RR, G.M. Kazakbaeva.
47	34	J.B. Jonas: Data assessment: Bikboy MM, Favzrakhmanov RR, Kazakbaeva GM, Zainullin RM,
48	35	Arslandareeva II. Gilmanshin TR. Salavatova VF. Nikitin NA. Mukhamadieva SR. Yakunova DF.
49	26	Khikmatullin BL Zavnotdinov AE Uzianbaava XV/ Aminov SK Nurjov JE Jonas ID: Statistical
50	27	Anikinatuliin Ki, zaynetuliiov Ai, Ozianbaeva i v, Aninev SK, Nunev II, Johas JD, Statistical
52	37	analysis: J.B.Jonas, S. Panda-Jonas; Writing of the manuscript: J.B. Jonas, S. Panda-Jonas; Editing
53	38	and final approval of the manuscript: Bikbov MM, Fayzrakhmanov RR, Kazakbaeva GM, Zainullin RM,
54 55	39	Salavatova VF, Gilmanshin TR, Arslangareeva II, Nikitin NA, S. Panda-Jonas, Mukhamadieva SR,
56	40	Yakupova DF, Khikmatullin RI, Aminev SK, Nuriev IF, Zaynetdinov AF, Uzianbaeva YV, Jonas JB
57	41	- Data sharing statement: No additional data available
58	42	
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Abstract **Objectives:** With information about frequency of hearing loss in Russia and Eastern Europe mostly missing, we assessed the prevalence of hearing loss in a Russian population. Setting: The population-based study Ural Eye and Medical Study was conducted in a rural region of Bashkortostan and in the city of Ufa/Bashkortostan/Russia. Participants: With an inclusion criterion of 40+ years, the study included 5899 (80.5%) out of 7328 eligible individuals (mean age:59.0±10.7 years; range:40-94 years). Primary and secondary outcome measures: Hearing loss examined in 5397 (91.5%) study participants, as it was assessed in a standardized interview with questions on self-reported hearing difficulties. Results: The prevalence of hearing loss (26.1%;95% confidence interval (CI):24.2,27.2) increased from 10.9% (95%CI:8.0,13.7) in the 40-45 year-olds to 59.0% (95%CI:51.6,66.4) in the 80+ year-olds. It was higher for men than for women in the age group of 60-80 years (38.93%;95%CI:35.8,42.1 vs. 32.8%;95%CI:30.2,35.3;P=0.003). In multivariable analysis, higher prevalence of hearing loss was associated with older age (P<0.001;odds ratio (OR):1.06;95%CI:1.06,1.07), male gender (P<0.001;OR:1.26;95%CI:1.09,1.47), higher depression score (P<0.001;OR:1.06;95%CI:1.04,1.08), and higher prevalence of headache (P=0.001;OR:1.27;95%CI:1.10,1.47), history of cardiovascular disease including stroke (P=0.001;OR:1.32;95%CI:1.13,1.55), osteoarthritis (P<0.001;OR:1.40;95%CI:1.18,1.67), physically vigorous activity during work (P<0.001;OR:1.40;95%CI:1.21,1.62), alcohol consumption (P<0.001;OR:1.51;95%CI:1.28,1.78) and dry eye feeling (P<0.001;OR:1.67;95%CI:1.30,2.16). It was marginally correlated with a higher anxiety score (P=0.07;OR:1.03;95%CI:0.998,1.06). It was independent of diabetes (P=0.52), arterial hypertension (P=0.20), level of education (P=0.11;OR:0.97;95%CI:0.93,1.01), region of habitation (P=0.70), blood concentration of high-density lipoproteins (P=0.17) and low-density lipoproteins (P=0.52), current smoking (P=0.95) and smoking package years (P=0.37), and best corrected visual acuity (P=0.93). Conclusions: As in other countries the prevalence of hearing loss is high in this elderly population in Russia. It is primarily or secondarily associated with older age, depression, male gender, cardiovascular disease and alcohol consumption. **Article Summary** Strengths and limitations of this study: - The prevalence of hearing loss as a major parameter of the global burden of disease and the correlations of hearing loss with some other parameters have been examined so far mostly in Western countries. - The study adds information about the prevalence and associated factors of hearing loss in Russia where so far almost no information about the epidemiology of hearing loss was available. - The study adds information about the associations of hearing loss with a multitude of systemic parameters assessed in a multivariate analysis.

1		
2	83	- Limitation of our study were that hearing loss was assessed in a series of 11 standardized questions
4	84	without performing an audiometric examination.
5	85	
6	00	
7		
8		
9 10		
10		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30 31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
30 37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42 43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48 40		
49 50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
סס 57		
58		
59		

86 Introduction

Deficits of sensory organ function form a major part of the causes for disability associated life years (DALYs) as assessed by the Global Burden of Disease Study (GBD).¹ In the GBD 2016, sense organ diseases caused 66.7 million DALYS (95% uncertainty interval (UI): 46.5 million to 92.3 million) or 2.8% of all DALYs in 2016, up from 39.4 million DALYS (95%UI: 27.3 million to 54.5 million) in 1995 and 54.8 million DALYs (95%CI: 38.2 million to 76.0 million) in 2006.¹ Sense organ diseases-associated DALYs increased in the ranking of the most common causes of DALYs from position #16 in 1995 to rank #14 in 2006 and to rank #7 in 2016.¹ Age-related and other hearing loss accounted for 29.7 million DALYS (95%UI: 25.3 million to 50.9 million) or 44.5% of all sense organs-associated DALYs in 2016. The age-standardized DALY rate per 100,000 individuals for hearing loss was 524.3 (95%UI: 368.0 to 734.0) in 2016 and accounted for 54.7% of the age-standardized DALY rate for all sense organs-associated DALYs (959.3; 95%UI: 670.2 to 1331.0).¹

Despite its importance for public health, the prevalence of hearing loss and its associated factors have not widely been investigated worldwide.²⁻¹¹ For many countries, information on the prevalence of hearing loss has not been available yet, nor on the factors associated with hearing loss. It holds true in particular for Russia and Eastern Europe. We therefore conducted this study to assess the prevalence of hearing loss in a population in a Russian population and explored associations of hearing loss with other parameters such as gender, region of habitation and level of education. The aim was to investigate the prevalence of self-reported hearing problems, that is, hearing difficulties in general and difficulties in following a conversation in noise.

108 Methods

The Ural Eye and Medical Study (UEMS) is a population-based study which was carried out in the urban region of Kirovskii of the city of Ufa and in villages of the rural region of the Karmaskalinsky District in a distance of 65 km from Ufa.^{12,13} According to the Declaration of Helsinki, the Ethics Committee of the Academic Council of the Ufa Eye Research Institute approved the study and all participants gave informed written consent. The ethics committee confirmed that all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Inclusion criterion for the participation in the study was living in the study region and having an age of 40+ years. There were no exclusion criteria.

Trained social workers performed a standardized interview including more than 250 questions on socioeconomic parameters such as level of education, family income and family possessions, living conditions, diet, smoking or other types of tobacco consumption, daily physical activity, alcohol consumption, depression and suicidal ideas, and medical history including known diagnosis and therapy of major diseases. The interview consisted of standardized questions which had been validated in previous studies such as the mini-mental state examination or Folstein test or in Zung's self-rated depression scale.^{14,15} We collected and reported the data using the Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting (GATHER statement guidelines).¹⁶

For all study participants, we measured the arterial blood pressure and pulse rate and the
anthropomorphic parameters of body height, body weight and circumference of the hip and waist. The

Page 5 of 26

BMJ Open

5 Hearing Loss in Russians

handgrip strength was determined using a dynamometer (dynamometer - dk 140, ZAO Nizhnetagilskiy Medical Instrument Plant, Nizhniy Tagil, Russia). Blood samples taken under fasting conditions were biochemically examined. All participants underwent a pulmonary function test by spirometry (Riester spirotest, Riester Company, Jungingen, Germany). We defined arterial hypertension by a systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg and/or a diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg, and/or self-reported history or current treatment of arterial hypertension with antihypertensive medication. A glucose concentration ≥7.0 mmol/L or a self-reported history of physician diagnosis of diabetes mellitus or a history of drug treatment for diabetes (insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents) characterized the presence of diabetes mellitus. Depression was assessed applying the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) Scoresheet. The study design has been described in detail recently.¹²

Hearing loss was assessed by a series of 11 standardized questions (Table 1). The questions could be answered by "no" (0 points), "sometimes" (2 points) and "yes (4 points). The total hearing loss score was the sum of all points and could range between 0 points and 44 points. The amount of hearing loss was assessed by the hearing loss score. As a binary variable, hearing loss was additionally defined as a definite answer of "Yes" (in contrast to the answer of "Sometimes" or "No") to the question "Do you experience a hearing loss?"

We used a commercially available statistical software program (Statistical Package for Social Science, SPSS, version 25.0; IBM-SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) for statistical analysis. In a first step, we determined the frequency of hearing loss, presenting the results as mean and 95% confidence intervals (CI). In a second step, we searched for associations in univariate analysis between the hearing loss score and other parameters. In a third step, we conducted a multivariable regression analysis with the hearing loss score as dependent variable and as independent variables all those parameters which were significantly associated with the hearing loss score in the univariate analysis. All variables in the list of independent parameters were tested for multicollinearity. Associations of the prevalence of hearing loss as binary variable were examined in binary regression analysis. Odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. All P-values were two-sided and considered statistically significant when the values were less than 0.05.

155 Patient and Public Involvement

156 Patients were not involved in the study.

158 Results

The study included 5899 individuals (2580 (43.7%) men) out of a population of 7328 eligible individuals, who resided in the study regions and who fulfilled the inclusion criterion of an age of 40+ years. The participation rate as ratio of 5899 / 7328 was 80.5%. Out of the 5889 individuals primarily participating in the Ural Eye and Medical Study, the present study included 5397 (91.5%) individuals with available information on the hearing loss score. The mean age of the study population (2450 (45.4%) men) was 58.6 ± 10.6 years (median: 58 years; range: 40 – 94 years). The composition of the study population with respect to gender and age corresponded to the gender and age distribution in the Russian population according to the most recent census carried out in 2010.¹⁷ The mean body height was 165.0 ± 8.8 cm (median: 164 cm; range: 112 - 196 cm), and the mean body mass index

168 was 27.9 ± 5.0 kg/m² (median: 27.3 kg/m²; range: 13.96 - 60.96 kg/m²). Illiteracy was present for 14 169 (0.3%) individuals, 86 (1.6%) participants had passed the fifth grade, 557 (10.3%) participants the 8th 170 grade, 628 (11.6%) participants the 10th grade, and 638 (11.8) individuals the 11th grade. Graduates 171 were 1785 (33.1%) individuals, and post graduates were 51 (0.9%) study participants, and 1634 172 individuals had a specialized secondary education.

The group of individuals with information on hearing loss as compared with the group of subjects without hearing loss data was significantly younger (58.6 \pm 10.6 years versus 63.0 \pm 11.3 years; P<0.001), and had significantly more men (2450 (45.4%) men / 2947 (54.6%) women) versus 130 (25.9%) men / 372 (74.1%) women); P<0.001). The mean hearing loss score was 5.13 ± 10.95 (median: 0; range: 0 – 44). Within the ethnically Russian group (n=1185; 508 men, 677 women) with a mean age of 60.1 ± 11.1 years, the mean hearing loss score was 5.58 ± 11.09 (median: 0; range: 0 -44), with no significant (P=0.11) difference to the non-Russian group. An abnormal result of Weber's test was found for 210 (3.9%; 95%CI: 3.4, 4.4) study participants and an abnormal result for Rinné test for 3390 (63.0%; 95%CI: 61.7, 64.3) individuals. The hearing loss score differed significantly between individuals with a positive Weber's test and individuals with a negative Weber's test $(23.9 \pm 14.7 \text{ vs.})$ 4.4 ± 10.1; P<0.001).

In univariate analysis, the hearing loss score was correlated with parameters such as older age (P<0.001) (Table 2) (Fig. 1), male gender (P<0.001) (Fig. 1) and other parameters (Supplementary Table 1). The multivariable regression analysis included the hearing loss score as dependent variable and as independent variables all those parameters which were significantly associated with the hearing loss score in the univariate analysis. Due to collinearity, we first dropped body weight (variance inflation factor (VIF): 6.8) and waist circumference (VIF: 4.0). We then dropped step by step those parameters which were no longer statistically significantly associated with hearing loss in the multivariate analysis. In the resulting final model, a higher hearing loss score was associated (regression coefficient r: 0.33) with older age (P<0.001), male gender (P<0.001), a higher depression score (P<0.001), a higher prevalence of headache (P<0.001) and a higher prevalence of history of cancer (P=0.008), cardiovascular disease including stroke (P=0.003), osteoarthritis (P=0.006) and skin disease (P=0.01), a lower number of days with intake of fruits (P=0.02), and a higher amount of physically vigorous activity during work (P=0.008) and of physically moderate activity during leisure time (P<0.001) (Table 3).

Hearing loss defined as binary variable by a definite answer of "Yes" to the question "Do you experience a hearing loss?" was prevalent in 1406 (26.1%; 95%CI: 24.2, 27.2) participants. It was significantly associated with a positive Weber's test (OR: 24.0; 95%CI: 15.7, 36.7). The prevalence of hearing loss increased with older age (P<0.001; OR: 1.06; 95%CI: 1.05, 1.07) (Table 4). In the age group between 60 and <80 years, prevalence of hearing loss was significantly (P=0.003) higher for men than for women (38.93% (95%CI: 35.8, 42.1) vs. 32.8% (95%CI: 30.2, 35.3). For the age group of less than 60 years (P=0.57) and for the age group of 80+ years (P=0.87), both gender did not differ significantly in the prevalence of hearing loss (Fig. 2). In the total study population, the prevalence of hearing loss increased from 10.9% (95%CI: 8.0, 13.7) in the age group of 40 to <45 years, to 22.7% (95%Cl: 20.0, 25.4) in the age group of 55 to <60 years, and to 59.0% (95%Cl: 51.6, 66.4) in the age group of 80+ years (Fig. 2).

60

BMJ Open

1		
2	209	In multivariable binary regression analysis, higher prevalence of hearing loss was associated
4	210	with older age (<i>P</i> <0.001), male gender (<i>P</i> <0.001), a higher depression score (<i>P</i> <0.001), a higher
5	211	prevalence of headache (P =0.001) and a higher prevalence of history of cancer (P =0.04),
6 7	212	cardiovascular disease including stroke ($P=0.001$) and osteoarthritis ($P<0.001$), a higher amount of
8	213	physically vigorous activity during work (P <0.001), higher prevalence of alcohol consumption
9	214	(P<0.001) and of dry eye feeling $(P<0.001)$ (Table 5). Prevalence of hearing loss was marginally
10	215	correlated with a higher anxiety score, when added to the model ($P=0.07$: OR: 1.03: 95%CI: 0.998
12	216	1.06) If diabetes ($P=0.52$) arterial hypertension ($P=0.20$) level of education ($P=0.11$: OR: 0.97)
13	210	95% CI: 0.03, 1.01) urban versus rural region of habitation ($P=0.70$) blood concentration of high
14	217	density linear totals ($P=0.17$) and low density linear totals ($P=0.70$), block concentration of high-
15 16	210	density ipoproteins ($r = 0.17$) and low-density ipoproteins ($r = 0.32$), current smoking ($r = 0.93$) and
17	219	smoking package years ($P=0.37$), and best corrected visual acuity ($P=0.93$) and presenting visual
18	220	aculty ($P=0.62$) and anxiety score ($P=0.07$; OR: 1.03; 95%CI: 0.998, 1.06) were added to the model,
19 20	221	these variables were not significantly associated with hearing loss.
20	222	
22	223	
23	224	Discussion
24 25	225	In this Russian population, hearing loss, increasing in its prevalence from 10.9% (95%CI:8.0,13.7) in
26	226	the 40 to 45 year-olds to 59.0% (95%CI:51.6,66.4) in the 80+ years-olds, was associated with older
27	227	age, male gender, a higher depression score and a higher prevalence of headache, history of
28	228	cardiovascular disease including stroke, osteoarthritis, physically vigorous activity during work, alcohol
30	229	consumption and dry eye feeling. It was marginally significantly correlated with a higher anxiety score
31	230	(<i>P</i> =0.07).
32	231	The findings obtained in our study on a population in Russia can be compared with
34	232	observations made in previous investigations conducted in other world regions. The high overall
35	233	prevalence of hearing loss of 26.1% (95%CI: 24.2, 27.2) in our study population aged 40+ years
36	234	agreed well with the data of the World Health Organization WHO that over 5% of the world's
37 38	235	population – or 466 million people – has disabling hearing loss (432 million adults and 34 million
39	236	children). ¹⁸ The prevalence of hearing loss as found in our study population also compared well with
40	237	figures reported by Ikeda and colleagues and by the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study after
41 42	238	adjusting for age differences in the study populations. ^{19,20} It was lower than the figures found by
43	239	Hannula and associates (prevalence of self-reported hearing problems of 37.1% and of 43.3% for
44	240	difficulties in following a conversation in noise) ²¹ and it was lower than the prevalence of unilateral
45 46	241	and bilateral speech-frequency hearing impairment in the National Health and Nutrition Examination
47	242	Survey 22-24
48	242	In all studios as in our investigation, the provolence of bearing less strengty increased with
49	243	In all studies as in our investigation, the prevalence of heating loss strongly increased with elder are far both gender (Fig. 1, 2) 2642 in our study period in a second related increases in the
50	244	bider age for both gender (Fig. 1, 2). In our study population, the age-related increase in the
52	240	prevalence of nearing loss was less marked for women than for men in the age group of 60 to <80
53	240	years (Fig. 1, 2). As in the previous studies on other ethnicities, the frequency of hearing loss was $\frac{24.42}{1000}$
54 55	247	nigner for men than for women. The our study, the gender difference hold true for the age group of
56	248	60 to <80 years (38.93%;95%CI:35.8,42.1 vs. 32.8%;95%CI:30.2,35.3; <i>P</i> =0.003). In the age group
57	249	between 60 and <80 years, prevalence of hearing loss was significantly (P=0.003) higher for men than

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

8 Hearing Loss in Russians

for women (38.93% (95%CI: 35.8, 42.1) vs. 32.8% (95%CI: 30.2, 35.3). For the age group of less
than 60 years (*P*=0.57) and for the age group of 80+ years (*P*=0.87), both gender did not differ
significantly in the prevalence of hearing loss.

In our study, a higher prevalence of hearing loss was associated with a higher prevalence of vigorous physical activity during work. It was in contrast to the finding reported by Gispen and coworkers who used data of the National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (2005-06) and found that individuals with moderate or greater hearing impairment had greater odds than those with normal hearing of being in a lower category of physical activity.³⁴ The association between vigorous physical activity at work and higher prevalence of hearing loss in our study might have been due to a potentially confounding correlation between heavy work and higher noise level at work.

In the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey and in a study by Sommer et al. hearing impairment was more prevalent among adults with diabetes in a multivariable analysis.⁸ It is in contrast to our study in which neither diabetes nor blood concentrations of glucose were significantly correlated with hearing loss (Supplementary Table 1). As a corollary, hearing loss in our study was not significantly correlated with the body mass index (Supplementary Table 1). It is in partial contrast to the results of the study by Lalwani and colleagues who found that in children of 12 to 19 years of age, obese adolescents as compared adolescents with normal body weight had elevated pure tone hearing thresholds and greater prevalence of unilateral low-frequency sensorineural hearing loss (P=0.01).³²

A higher prevalence of self-reported hearing impairment was associated with a higher depression score in our study as well as in the study by Li and colleagues who used data of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 2005-2010, and who found that that self-reported hearing impairment and audiometrically determined hearing loss were significantly associated with depression, particularly in women.³³

The association between hearing loss and cardiovascular risk factors has remained unclear so far. While in our study population and in a study by Lohi et al. cardiovascular risk factors were not significantly associated with hearing loss, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey and the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study reported that cardiovascular risk generated by smoking and diabetes was associated with both high- and low-frequency hearing loss.^{21,24,26,30,36} Reasons for the discrepancy between the studies may be differences in the study population (lifestyle in Russia versus lifestyle in the US or in Korea), differences in the multivariable analysis and others.

Limitations of our study should be discussed. First, the main outcome parameter was self-reported hearing loss assessed in a series of 11 standardized questions. In previous studies, audiometry was applied to guantify the hearing impairment. Although the latter method is a more quantitative one, the degree of self-reported hearing impairment as compared to audiometrically defined hearing loss may be more important to reflect the quality of the daily life of the individual. Interestingly, a study by Hannula et al. showed that self-reported hearing difficulties were more frequent than hearing impairment defined by audiometric measurement.²⁰ Hannula also reported that self-reported hearing difficulties predicted hearing impairment at high frequencies (4-8 kHz) rather than at the frequencies of 0.5-4 kHz, which were commonly used to define the degree of hearing impairment in medical and legal issues. Second, as for any population-based study the participation

BMJ Open

rate and the representativeness of the study population as compared to the population of the region or country the study is aiming at is critical. In our study, 80.5% of the eligible population participated in the survey so that a major bias in the inclusion of study participants appears unlikely. The multi-ethnic composition of our study population was typical for the region and showed as compared to North-Western Russia and Central Russia a lower percentage of Russians on the total population. To overcome this limitation, we assessed the frequency of hearing loss in dependence of the ethnic background and found that the prevalence of hearing impairment did not differ significantly between the Russian groups than in the non-Russian group.

In conclusion, in our typically ethically mixed, urban and rural Russian population aged 40+ years, the mean prevalence of hearing loss was 26.1% and increased from 10.9% in the 40 to 45 year-olds to 59.0% in the 80+ years-olds. In addition to older age, it was associated with male gender, depression and a higher prevalence of headache, history of cardiovascular disease including stroke, physically vigorous activity during work, alcohol consumption and dry eye feeling, and marginally significantly correlated with a higher anxiety score. These data may be useful to elucidate the epidemiology of hearing loss in Russia and to assess factors associated with hearing impairment.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 10 of 26

BMJ Open

2	307	References
5 4	308	1. GBD 2016 DALYs and HALE Collaborators. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-
5	309	vears (DALYs) for 333 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and
6	310	territories 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet
/ 8	311	2017-300-1260 1344
9	212	2 Crujekshanka K L Dhar S. Dinaga E. Eifer DC. Conzelez E. II. Heige C. et al. Cigarette emoking and
10	212	2. Chuckshanks KJ, Dhar S, Dinces E, Filer KC, Gonzalez F, II, Heiss G, et al. Cigarette shoking and
11 12	313	A Vilavar E. Conjugate D. Learling IOSS study. JAMA. 1996,279.1715–1719.
12	314	3. Vilayur E, Gopinath B, Harris DC, Bunutsky G, McMahon CM, Mitchell P. The association between
14	315	reduced GFR and hearing loss: a cross-sectional population-based study. Am J Kidney Dis.
15	316	2010;56:661-669.
16	317	4. Béria JU, Raymann BC, Gigante LP, Figueiredo AC, Jotz G, Roithman R, et al. Hearing impairment
18	318	and socioeconomic factors: a population-based survey of an urban locality in southern Brazil. Rev
19	319	Panam Salud Publica. 2007;21:381-387.
20	320	5. Klein BE, Cruickshanks KJ, Nondahl DM, Klein R, Dalton DS. Cataract and hearing loss in a
22	321	population-based study: the Beaver Dam studies. Am J Ophthalmol. 2001;132:537-543.
23	322	6. Raynor LA, Pankow JS, Miller MB, Huang GH, Dalton D, Klein R, et al. Familial aggregation of age-
24	323	related hearing loss in an epidemiological study of older adults. Am J Audiol. 2009;18:114-118.
25 26	324	7. Gurgel RK, Ward PD, Schwartz S, Norton MC, Foster NL, Tschanz JT. Relationship of hearing loss
27	325	and dementia: a prospective, population-based study. Otol Neurotol. 2014;35:775-781.
28	326	8. Su P, Hsu CC, Lin HC, Huang WS, Yang TL, Hsu WT, et al. Age-related hearing loss and dementia:
29 30	327	a 10-year national population-based study. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;274:2327-2334.
31	328	9. Sommer J, Brenann-Jones CG, Eikelboom RH, Hunter M, Davis WA, Atlas MD, et al. A population-
32	329	based study of the association between dysglycaemia and hearing loss in middle age. Diabet Med.
33 34	330	2017;34:683-690.
35	331	10. Asghari A, Farhadi M, Daneshi A, Khabazkhoob M, Mohazzab-Torabi S, Jalessi M, et al. The
36	332	prevalence of hearing impairment by age and gender in a population-based study. Iran J Public
37 38	333	Health. 2017; 46:1237-1246.
39	334	11. Smith AK, Ritchie CS, Miao Y, Boscardin WJ, Wallhagen ML. Self-reported hearing in the last 2
40	335	vears of life in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016:64:1486-1491.
41 42	336	12. Bikboy M. Fayzrakhmanov RR. Kazakbaeva G. Jonas JB. Ural Eve and Medical Study: description
43	337	of study design and methodology. Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2018:25:187-198.
44	338	13. Wikipedia, Bashkortostan, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bashkortostan; assessed 4.3.2018
45 46	339	14 Folstein ME Folstein SF McHugh PR "Mini-mental state" A practical method for grading the
47	340	cognitive state of natients for the clinician J Psychiatr Res 12, 189-198 (1975)
48	3/1	15. Zung W/W A Self-rating depression scale. Arch Gen Bsychiatry, 12, 63-70 (1965)
49	240	16. Stevene CA, Alkema L, Black RE, Bearma JT, Cellina CS, Erzeti M, et al. Cuidelinea for Accurate
50	342	To. Stevens GA, Aikema L, black RE, boernia JT, Collins GS, Ezzali M, et al. Guidelines for Accurate
52	043 044	anu mansparent meatin Estimates Reporting. the GATMER statement. Lancet. 2010;388: 619-23.
53	344	17. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_Russia. Assessed 5.11.2017
54 55	345	18. WHO. Dearness and nearing loss. Fact sheet. Updated March 2018.
56	346	http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs300/en/; assessed 18.3.2018,
57		
58 59		

60

BMJ Open

11 Hearing Loss in Russians

2		
3	347	19. Ikeda N, Murray CJ, Salomon JA. Tracking population health based on self-reported impairments:
4	348	Trends in the prevalence of hearing loss in US adults, 1976-2006. Am J Epidemiol. 2009;170:80-87.
6	349	20. Helzner EP, Cauley JA, Pratt SR, Wisniewski SR, Zmuda JM, Talbott EO, et al. Race and sex
7	350	differences in age-related hearing loss: the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr
8	351	Soc. 2005;53:2119-2127.
9 10	352	21. Hannula S, Bloigu R, Majamaa K, Sorri M, Mäki-Torkko E. Self-reported hearing problems among
11	353	older adults: prevalence and comparison to measured hearing impairment. J Am Acad Audiol.
12	354	2011;22:550-559.
13 14	355	22. Hoffman HJ, Dobie RA, Losonczy KG, Themann CL, Flamme GA. Declining prevalence of hearing
14	356	loss in US adults aged 20 to 69 years. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;143:274-285.
16	357	23. Ciletti L, Flamme GA. Prevalence of hearing impairment by gender and audiometric configuration:
17	358	results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (1999-2004) and the Keokuk
18 19	359	County Rural Health Study (1994-1998). J Am Acad Audiol. 2008;19:672-685.
20	360	24. Agrawal Y. Platz EA. Niparko JK. Prevalence of hearing loss and differences by demographic
21	361	characteristics among US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.
22 23	362	1999-2004 Arch Intern Med. 2008 168:1522-1530
24	363	25 Cruicksbanks KJ Dhar S Dinces E Fifer RC Gonzalez E 2nd Heiss G et al Hearing impairment
25	364	prevalence and associated risk factors in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos
26 27	365	IAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2015:141:641-648
28	366	26 Agrawal V. Platz FA. Ninarko, IK. Pisk factors for hearing loss in LIS adults: data from the National
29	267	Logith and Nutritian Examination Survey, 1000 to 2002, Otal Neurotal, 2000;20:120, 145
30 21	260	Realth and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999 to 2002. Otor Neurotol. 2009,50,139-145.
32	300	27. Shargorodsky J, Currian SG, Currian GC, Eavey R. Change in prevalence of hearing loss in OS
33	369	adolescents. JAMA. 2010;304:772-778.
34	370	28. Lin FR, Thorpe R, Gordon-Salant S, Ferrucci L. Hearing loss prevalence and risk factors among
35 36	371	older adults in the United States. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2011;66:582-590.
37	372	29. Lin FR, Niparko JK, Ferrucci L. Hearing loss prevalence in the United States. Arch Intern Med.
38	373	2011;171:1851-1852.
39 40	374	30. Bainbridge KE, Hoffman HJ, Cowie CC. Risk factors for hearing impairment among U.S. adults
41	375	with diabetes: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999-2004. Diabetes Care.
42	376	2011;34:1540-1545.
43 44	377	31. Lin FR, Maas P, Chien W, Carey JP, Ferrucci L, Thorpe R. Association of skin color,
45	378	race/ethnicity, and hearing loss among adults in the USA. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol. 2012;13:109-117.
46	379	32. Lalwani AK, Katz K, Liu YH, Kim S, Weitzman M. Obesity is associated with sensorineural hearing
47 49	380	loss in adolescents. Laryngoscope. 2013;123:3178-3184.
40 49	381	33. Li CM, Zhang X, Hoffman HJ, Cotch MF, Themann CL, Wilson MR. Hearing impairment
50	382	associated with depression in US adults, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005-
51	383	2010. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014;140:293-302.
52 53	384	34. Gispen FE, Chen DS, Genther DJ, Lin FR. Association between hearing impairment and lower
54	385	levels of physical activity in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2014;62:1427-1433.
55	386	35. Jun HJ, Hwang SY, Lee SH, Lee JE, Song JJ, Chae S. The prevalence of hearing loss in South
50 57	387	Korea: data from a population-based study. Laryngoscope. 2015;125:690-694.
58		
59		For poor review only http://hogionen.http://discon/site/shout/avidalines.uktor
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xntmi

Hearing Loss in Russians

36. Lohi V, Hannula S, Ohtonen P, Sorri M, Mäki-Torkko E. Hearing impairment among adults: the impact of cardiovascular diseases and cardiovascular risk factors. Int J Audiol. 2015;54:265-273. 37. Hong JW, Jeon JH, Ku CR, Noh JH, Yoo HJ, Kim DJ. The prevalence and factors associated with hearing impairment in the Korean adults: the 2010-2012 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (observational study). Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94:e611. 38. Chang J, Ryou N, Jun HJ, Hwang SY, Song JJ, Chae SW. Effect of cigarette smoking and passive smoking on hearing impairment: data from a population-based study. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0146608. 39. Park YH, Shin SH, Byun SW, Kim JY. Age- and Gender-Related Mean Hearing Threshold in a Highly-Screened Population: The Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2010-2012. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0150783. 40. Hoffman HJ, Dobie RA, Losonczy KG, Themann CL, Flamme GA. Declining prevalence of hearing loss in US adults aged 20 to 69 years. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;143:274-285. 41. Su BM, Chan DK. Prevalence of Hearing Loss in US Children and Adolescents: Findings from NHANES 1988-2010. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;143:920-927. Ie. J.C. Diabe. Health and Nuti. 42. Bainbridge KE, Hoffman HJ, Cowie CC. Diabetes and hearing impairment in the United States: audiometric evidence from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999 to 2004. Ann Intern Med. 2008;149:1-10.

1		
2	407	Tables
4	408	Table 1
5	409	Standardized guestions to assess the presence and sequels of hearing problems
6 7	410	1. Do vou experience a hearing loss?
8	411	2. Does a hearing problem make you feel embarrassed when meeting new people?
9	412	3. Does a hearing problem make you feel frustrated when talking to members of your family?
10	413	4 Do you have difficulties hearing when someone speaks in a whisper?
12	414	5. Do you feel handicapped by a hearing problem?
13	415	6. Does a hearing problem cause you difficulties when visiting friends, relatives, or neighbors?
14	416	7. Does a hearing problem make you attending religious services less often than you would like?
15 16	410	2. Does a hearing problem make you attending religious services less often than you would like?
17	417	8. Does a hearing problem make you having arguments with family members?
18	418	9. Does a hearing problem cause you difficulties when listening to TV or radio?
19	419	10. Do you feel that any difficulty with your hearing limits or does it hamper your personal or social
20 21	420	life?
22	421	11. Does a hearing problem cause you difficulty when you are in a restaurant together with relatives or
23	422	friends?
24	423	
25 26	424	
27	425	
28	426	
29		
30 31		
32		
33		
34 25		
36		
37		
38		
39 40		
41		
42		
43		
44 45		
46		
47		
48 40		
49 50		
51		

427 Table 2

428 Mean hearing loss score in the Ural Eye and Medical Study, stratified by sex and age

AgenHearing Loss Score95% Confidage Intervals 431 Group (Years)Intervals 432 Men43240-44209 2.1 ± 7.4 $1.0, 3.1$ $45-49$ 350 1.9 ± 6.9 $1.2, 2.7$ $50-54$ 422 2.9 ± 8.4 $2.1, 3.7$ $55-59$ 471 4.7 ± 10.6 $3.7, 5.6$ $60-64$ 383 7.6 ± 13.2 $6.3, 8.9$ $65-69$ 273 8.5 ± 13.4 $6.9, 10.1$ $70-74$ 124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ $75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
Group (Years)Intervals 431 (Years)Men432Men40-4440-44209 2.1 ± 7.4 40-44209 2.1 ± 7.4 45-49350 1.9 ± 6.9 $1.2, 2.7$ 50-54422 2.9 ± 8.4 $2.1, 3.7$ 55-59471 4.7 ± 10.6 $3.7, 5.6$ $60-64$ 383 7.6 ± 13.2 $6.3, 8.9$ $65-69$ 273 8.5 ± 13.4 $6.9, 10.1$ $70-74$ 124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ $75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3
(Years)432Men 432 40-44209 2.1 ± 7.4 $1.0, 3.1$ $45-49$ 350 1.9 ± 6.9 $1.2, 2.7$ $50-54$ 422 2.9 ± 8.4 $2.1, 3.7$ $55-59$ 471 4.7 ± 10.6 $3.7, 5.6$ $60-64$ 383 7.6 ± 13.2 $6.3, 8.9$ $65-69$ 273 8.5 ± 13.4 $6.9, 10.1$ $70-74$ 124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ $75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
MenImage: Second state $40-44$ 209 2.1 ± 7.4 $1.0, 3.1$ $45-49$ 350 1.9 ± 6.9 $1.2, 2.7$ $50-54$ 422 2.9 ± 8.4 $2.1, 3.7$ $55-59$ 471 4.7 ± 10.6 $3.7, 5.6$ $60-64$ 383 7.6 ± 13.2 $6.3, 8.9$ $65-69$ 273 8.5 ± 13.4 $6.9, 10.1$ $70-74$ 124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ $75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
$40-44$ 209 2.1 ± 7.4 $1.0, 3.1$ $45-49$ 350 1.9 ± 6.9 $1.2, 2.7$ $50-54$ 422 2.9 ± 8.4 $2.1, 3.7$ $55-59$ 471 4.7 ± 10.6 $3.7, 5.6$ $60-64$ 383 7.6 ± 13.2 $6.3, 8.9$ $65-69$ 273 8.5 ± 13.4 $6.9, 10.1$ $70-74$ 124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ $75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
$45-49$ 350 1.9 ± 6.9 $1.2, 2.7$ $50-54$ 422 2.9 ± 8.4 $2.1, 3.7$ $55-59$ 471 4.7 ± 10.6 $3.7, 5.6$ $60-64$ 383 7.6 ± 13.2 $6.3, 8.9$ $65-69$ 273 8.5 ± 13.4 $6.9, 10.1$ $70-74$ 124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ $75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
$50-54$ 422 2.9 ± 8.4 $2.1, 3.7$ $55-59$ 471 4.7 ± 10.6 $3.7, 5.6$ $60-64$ 383 7.6 ± 13.2 $6.3, 8.9$ $65-69$ 273 8.5 ± 13.4 $6.9, 10.1$ $70-74$ 124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ $75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
55-59471 4.7 ± 10.6 $3.7, 5.6$ 60-64383 7.6 ± 13.2 $6.3, 8.9$ 65-69273 8.5 ± 13.4 $6.9, 10.1$ 70-74124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ 75-79150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ 80+68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ 45-49361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ 50-54465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ 55-59500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ 60-64449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ 65-69430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
$60-64$ 383 7.6 ± 13.2 $6.3, 8.9$ $65-69$ 273 8.5 ± 13.4 $6.9, 10.1$ $70-74$ 124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ $75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
$65-69$ 273 8.5 ± 13.4 $6.9, 10.1$ $70-74$ 124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ $75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
$70-74$ 124 8.5 ± 13.1 $6.1, 10.8$ $75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
$75-79$ 150 10.9 ± 14.7 $8.5, 13.3$ $80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
$80+$ 68 15.9 ± 16.2 $12.0, 19.8$ Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
Women $40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
$40-44$ 252 1.2 ± 4.4 $0.7, 1.8$ $45-49$ 361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ $50-54$ 465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ $55-59$ 500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ $60-64$ 449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ $65-69$ 430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
45-49361 2.2 ± 7.1 $1.4, 2.9$ 50-54465 3.1 ± 8.7 $2.3, 3.9$ 55-59500 4.1 ± 9.6 $3.3, 5.0$ 60-64449 4.8 ± 10.0 $3.9, 5.7$ 65-69430 5.8 ± 11.3 $4.7, 6.8$
50-54 465 3.1 ± 8.7 2.3, 3.9 55-59 500 4.1 ± 9.6 3.3, 5.0 60-64 449 4.8 ± 10.0 3.9, 5.7 65-69 430 5.8 ± 11.3 4.7, 6.8
55-59 500 4.1 ± 9.6 3.3, 5.0 60-64 449 4.8 ± 10.0 3.9, 5.7 65-69 430 5.8 ± 11.3 4.7, 6.8
60-64 449 4.8 ± 10.0 3.9, 5.7 65-69 430 5.8 ± 11.3 4.7, 6.8
65-69 430 5.8 ± 11.3 4.7, 6.8
70-74 182 6.2 ± 10.9 4.6, 7.8
75-79 203 10.8 ± 14.8 8.7, 12.8
80+ 105 15.3 ± 15.6 12.2, 18.3

BMJ Open

433	Table 3

434 Associations (multivariate analysis) between the hearing loss score and other systemic parameters in

435 the Ural Eye and Medical Study

Parameters	<i>P</i> -	Standardized	Non-	95%	Variance
	Value	Regression	Standardize	Confidence	Inflation
		Coefficient beta	d	Interval	Factor
			Regression		
			Coefficient B		
Age (Years)	<0.001	0.23	0.27	0.23, 0.31	1.14
Sex (Women / Men)	<0.001	0.07	1.69	0.89, 2.50	1.13
Depression Score	<0.001	0.07	0.22	0.11, 0.33	1.11
History of Headache	<0.001	0.07	1.50	0.71, 2.29	1.09
History of Cancer	0.008	0.05	3.53	0.91, 6.16	1.01
History of	0.003	0.05	1.45	0.51, 2.39	1.11
Cardiovascular Disease					
Including Stroke					
History of Osteoarthritis	0.006	0.05	1.43	0.42, 2.44	1.06
History of Skin Disease	0.01	0.04	2.18	0.48, 3.89	1.01
In a week how many	0.02	-0.04	-0.24	-0.44, -0.04	1.03
days do you eat fruits?					
"Does your work involve	0.042	0.04	1.13	0.04, 2.22	1.04
physically vigorous					
activity (like heavy lifting					
or digging) or					
moderately intensive					
activity (like brisk					
walking or carrying light					
loads during work for at					
least 10 minutes at a					
time?"					
"How many days a	0.008	0.05	0.36	0.10, 0.63	1.10
week do you do such					
physically vigorous					
activity during work?"					
"In your leisure time, do	<0.001	0.09	1.96	1.19, 2.74	1.03
you do any moderate					
intensity activities like					

16 Hearing Loss in Russians

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
<u> </u>	

58 59

60

1

brisk walking, cycling or

	swimming for at least 10 minutes at a time?"			
438 439				
441				

BMJ Open

442	Table 4
443	Prevalence of self-reported hearing loss (definite answer of "Yes" (in contrast to the answer of
444	"Sometimes" or "No") to the question "Do you experience a hearing loss?") stratified by age and
445	gender in the Ural Eye and Medical Study
446	

	, • • • • •		
Age	n	Prevalence of Self-	95% Confidence
Group		Reported Hearing	Intervals
(Years)		Loss	
Men			
40-44	209	10.1	5.9, 14.2
45-49	350	13.4	9.8, 17.0
50-54	422	17.5	13.9, 21.2
55-59	471	22.3	18.5, 26.1
60-64	383	32.3	27.7, 37.1
65-69	273	40.3	34.4, 46.2
70-74	124	41.1	32.3, 49.9
75-79	150	51.3	43.2, 59.4
80+	68	60.3	48.4, 72.2
Women		6	
40-44	252	11.5	7.5, 15.5
45-49	361	14.7	11.0, 18.4
50-54	465	18.1	14.6, 21.6
55-59	500	23.0	19.3, 26.7
60-64	449	27.4	23.3, 31.5
65-69	430	31.9	27.4, 36.3
70-74	182	32.4	25.6, 39.3
75-79	203	46.8	39.9, 53.7
80+	105	58.1	48.5, 67.7

451 Table 5

- 452 Associations (multivariate analysis) between the prevalence of hearing loss loss (definite answer of
- 453 "Yes" (in contrast to the answer of "Sometimes" or "No") to the question "Do you experience a hearing

454 loss?") and other systemic parameters in the Ural Eye and Medical Study

Parameters	P-Value	Odds	95% Confidence
		Ratio	Interval
Age (Years)	<0.001	1.06	1.06, 1.07
Sex (Men / Women)	<0.001	1.26	1.09, 1.47
Depression Score	<0.001	1.06	1.04, 1.08
History of Headache	0.001	1.27	1.10, 1.47
History of Cancer	0.04	1.51	1.02, 2.24
History of Cardiovascular Disease Including	0.001	1.32	1.13, 1.55
Stroke			
History of Osteoarthritis	<0.001	1.40	1.18, 1.67
"Does your work involve physically vigorous	<0.001	1.40	1.21, 1.62
activity (like heavy lifting or digging) or			
moderately intensive activity (like brisk walking			
or carrying light loads during work for at least			
10 minutes at a time?"	6		
Alcohol consumption	<0.001	1.51	1.28, 1.78
Dry eye Feeling	<0.001	1.67	1.30, 2.16
	C		

2		
3	465	Fig. 1
4	466	Graph showing the distribution of the hearing loss score stratified by age and gender in the Ural Eye
5	467	and Medical Study
7	468	
8	469	Fig. 2
9 10	470	Graph showing the prevalence of self-reported hearing loss (defined by a definite answer of "Yes" (in
11	471	contrast to the answer of "Sometimes" or "No") to the question "Do you experience a hearing loss?")
12	472	stratified by age and gender in the Ural Eye and Medical Study

for beer terien only

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml



59





Page 21 of 26



- 1 Supplementary Table 1
- 2 Associations between the hearing loss score and other systemic parameters after adjusting for age in
- 3 the Ural Eye and Medical Study

Parameter	P-Value	Standardized	Non-	95%
		Regression	Standardized	Confidence
		Coefficient	Regression	Interval of B
		beta	Coefficient B	
Gender: Women / Men	<0.001	0.05	1.03	0.47, 1.60
Urban / rural region of habitation	0.002	0.04	0.93	0.34, 1.52
Family status: Married versus any	0.64			
other status				
Family type: Joint (three generations) /	0.02	0.03	0.29	0.04, 0.53
nuclear (two generations) / single /				
family of 2 people				
Ethnicity: Russian / any other ethnicity	0.88	0.002	0.05	-0.63, 0.74
Body height (cm)	0.82	0.003	0.004	-0.03, 0.04
Body weight (kg)	0.03	-0.03	-0.02	-0.04, -0.002
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	0.01	-0.03	-0.07	-0.13, -0.02
Waist circumference (cm)	0.02	-0.03	-0.03	-0.05, -0.004
Hip circumference cm)	0.01	-0.03	-0.03	-0.05, -0.006
Waist-Hip-Ratio	0.61	-0.007	-0.81	-3.91, 2.29
Sc	cioeconomic p	parameters	L	
Level of education	0.49	0.009	0.06	-0.11, 0.23
Monthly Income (Below poverty line /	0.99	0.000	-0.01	-0.58, 0.58
average / above average / high)		C		
Own ownership of house (yes / no)	0.90	-0.002	-0.09	-1.51, 1.33
Own ownership of refrigerator (yes /	0.19	-0.03	-2.98	-7.40, 1.44
no)				
Own ownership of second house (yes	0.03	-0.04	-1.15	-2.18, -0.12
/ no)				
Own ownership of telephone (yes / no)	0.13	-0.02	-0.64	-1.48, 0.19
Own ownership of smartphone (yes /	0.87	-0.003	-0.07	-0.91, 0.77
no)				
Own ownership of television set (yes /	0.13	-0.02	-0.64	-1.48, 0.19
no)				
Own ownership of car (yes / no)	0.07	-0.03	-0.82	-1.72, 0.07
Own ownership of two-wheeler (yes /	0.87	-0.002	-0.05	-0.65, 0.56
no)				
Own ownership of tractor (yes / no)	0.73	0.007	0.34	-1.55, 2.23

Own ownership of bullock cart (yes / no)	0.76	0.006	0.32	-1.71, 2.24
Own ownership of computer / laptop (yes / no)	0.13	-0.03	-0.71	-1.62, 0.21
	Physical ac	ctivity		
How long is your usual work day? (Minutes)	0.17	-0.02	-0.001	-0.002, 0.000
Does your work involve mostly sitting	0.76	0.004	0.11	-0.57, 0.78
or standing with less than 10 minutes of walking at a time? (Yes / No)				
Does your work involve physically vigorous activity (like heavy lifting or digging) or physically moderate intensity activity (like brisk walking or carrying light loads) (Yes / No)	<0.001	0.07	1.59	0.97, 2.20
How many days a week do you do such physically vigorous activity during work? (Yes / No)	0.001	0.06	0.47	0.21, 0.73
On a usual day how much time do you spend on such physically vigorous work during work? (Minutes)	<0.001	0.06	0.002	0.001, 0.004
Does your work involve physically moderate-intensive activity, like brisk walking or carrying light loads for at least 10 minutes at a time?	<0.001	0.06	1.32	0.66, 1.98
Do you walk or use a bicycle (pedal cycle) for at least 10 minutes continuously to get to and from places?	0.63	0.006	0.25	-0.77, 1.26
In your leisure time, do you do any moderate intensity activities like brisk walking, cycling or swimming for at least 10 minutes at a time?	<0.001	0.09	2.09	1.47, 2.72
Over the past 7 days, how much time did you spend sitting or reclining on a typical day?	0.40	0.01	0.000	0.000, 0.000
	History of dis	seases		
History of angina pectoris	0.96	0.001	0.03	-0.97, 102
History of asthma	0.03	0.03	1.95	0.20, 3.71
History of arterial hypertension	0.01	0.03	0.76	0.17, 1.36

3 Hearing Loss in Russians

History of arthritis	<0.001	0.07	1.65	1.00, 2.29
History of previous bone fractures	0.18	0.02	0.42	-0.19, 1.03
History of low back pain	0.001	0.04	0.97	0.41, 1.53
History of thoracic spine pain	<0.001	0.07	1.72	1.06, 2.38
History of neck pain	<0.001	0.05	1.18	0.56, 1.80
History of headache	<0.001	0.07	1.54	0.98, 2.10
History of cancer	0.006	0.04	2.38	0.67, 4.08
History of cardiovascular disorders	<0.001	0.05	1.27	0.62, 1.92
including stroke				
History of dementia	0.004	0.04	5.05	1.63, 8.48
History of diabetes mellitus	0.52	-0.009	-0.34	-1.38, 0.69
History of diarrhea	0.86	0.002	0.37	-3.62, 4.36
History of iron-deficiency anemia	0.34	0.01	0.59	-0.63, 1.81
History of low blood pressure and	0.97	-0.001	-0.03	-1.67, 1.54
hospital admittance				
History of osteoarthritis	<0.001	0.07	1.89	1.16, 2.62
History of skin disease	0.07	0.02	1.16	-0.09, 2.41
History of thyreopathy	0.92	-0.001	-0.05	-1.00, 0.90
History of tumbling	0.11	0.02	0.58	0.13, 1.29
History of unconsciousness	0.02	0.03	1.19	0.17, 2.22
Age of the last menstrual bleeding	0.66	-0.009	-0.02	-0.11, 0.07
(years)				
Age of last regular menstrual bleeding	0.92	-0.002	-0.005	-0.09, 0.09
(years)		4		
History of menopause	0.55	-0.01	-0.35	-1.51, 0.80
Blood	concentration	is (mmol/L) of:		I
Alanine aminotransferase (IU/L)	0.41	0.01	0.01	-0.01, 0.03
Aspartate aminotransferase (IU/L)	0.50	0.009	0.009	-0.02, 0.03
Bilirubin, total (µmol/L)	0.65	0.006	0.006	-0.02, 0.03
High-density lipoproteins (mmol/L)	0.78	-0.004	-0.05	-0.36, 0.27
Low-density lipoproteins (mmol/L)	0.62	0.007	0.06	-0.18, 0.30
Cholesterol (mmol/L)	0.79	-0.03	-0.02	-0.19, 0.14
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	0.28	-0.01	-0.21	-0.59, 0.17
Rheumatoid factor (IU/mL)	0.14	-0.02	-0.25	-0.58, 0.09
Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm /	0.95	-0.001	-0.001	-0.03, 0.03
hour)				
Glucose (mmol/L)	0.48	-0.009	-0.06	-0.23, 0.11
Prevalence of diabetes mellitus	0.12	-0.02	-0.71	-1.62, 0.20
Creatinine (µmol/L)	0.45	0.01	0.004	-0.007, 0.02
Urea (mmol/L)	0.22	-0.02	-0.12	-0.32, 0.07

Residual nitrogen (g/L)	0.74	-0.004	-0.66	-4.53, 3.21
Total protein (g/L)	0.75	0.004	0.007	-0.04, 0.05
International normalized ratio (INR)	0.13	-0.02	-1.50	-3.41, 0.42
Prothrombin time (%)	0.98	0.000	0.007	-0.53, 0.54
Hemoglobin	0.45	-0.01	0.007	-0.03, 0.01
Erythrocytes (10 ⁶ cells / µL)	0.93	0.001	0.04	-0.71, 0.78
Leukocytes (10 ⁹ cells / L)	0.55	-0.008	-0.06	-0.26, 0.14
Rod-core granulocyte (% of	0.77	0.004	0.03	-0.18, 0.24
leukocytes)				
Segment nuclear granulocyte (% of	0.45	-0.01	-0.02	-0.05, 0.02
leukocytes)				
Eosinophil granulocytes (% of	0.42	-0.01	-0.12	-0.40, 0.17
leukocytes)				
Lymphocytes (% of leukocytes)	0.11	0.02	0.04	-0.008, 0.08
Monocytes (% of leukocytes)	0.49	-0.009	-0.04	-0.17, 0.08
Blood pressure, systolic (mmHg)	0.74	0.005	0.002	-0.01, 0.02
Blood pressure, diastolic (mmHg)	0.77	0.004	0.004	-0.02, 0.03
Blood pressure, mean (mmHg)	0.45	.0.01	-0.01	-0.05, 0.02
Prevalence of arterial hypertension	0.03	0.03	0.64	0.05, 1.22
Prevalence of chronic obstructive	0.67	0.006	0.24	-0.87, 1.35
pulmonary disease				
	Diet			
Vegetarian diet / mixed diet	0.57	0.007	2.43	-6.01, 10.9
Number of meals per day	0.22	0.02	0.23	-0.13, 0.58
In a week how many days do you eat	0.001	-0.05	-0.25	-0.40, -0.11
fruits?		C		
In a week how many days do you eat	<0.001	0.05	0.005	0.002, 0.008
vegetables?				
Type of oil used for cooking: vegetable	0.058	-0.03	-0.20	-0.40, 0.006
oil / non-vegetable oil				
Salt consumed per day (g)	0.10	0.02	0.002	0.000, 0.005
Degree of processing of meat (weak /	0.24	-0.02	-0.64	-1.71, 0.43
medium / well done)				
	Smokin	Ig		
Do you currently smoke any tobacco	0.17	0.02	0.59	-0.26, 1.44
products? (yes)				
Do you smoke daily? (yes / no)	0.30	0.01	0.38	-0.48, 1.24
	0.59			
Package years (package = 20	0.25	0.02	0.01	-0.009, 0.04
Package years (package = 20 cigarettes)	0.25	0.02	0.01	-0.009, 0.04

5 Hearing Loss in Russians

0.05	0.03	0.67	-0.008, 1.35
0.16	0.04	0.003	-0.001, 0.007
0.16	0.04	0.003	-0.001, 0.007
0.16	0.04	0.003	-0.001, 0.007
			1
	1		
0.36	0.03	0.38	-0.43, 1.19
Depressi	on	I	1
<0.001	0.08	0.25	0.17, 0.32
STAI)			
<0.001	0.08	0.25	0.17, 0.33
Dynamom	letry	<u>L</u>	
0.40	0.01	0.01	-0.02, 0.04
0.08	0.03	0.02	-0.003, 0.05
	0.36 Depressi <0.001 TAI) <0.001 Dynamom 0.40 0.08	0.36 0.03 Depression <0.001	0.36 0.03 0.38 Depression <0.001

BMJ Open

Self-Reported Hearing Loss in Russians. The populationbased Ural Eye and Medical Study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-024644.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Oct-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Bikbov, Mukharram; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Fayzrakhmanov , Rinat; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Kazakbaeva, Gyulli; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Zainullin, Rinat; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Salavatova, Venera; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Gilmanshin, Timur; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Arslangareeva, INga; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Nikitin, Nikolai; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Nikitin, Nikolai; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Panda-Jonas, Songhomitra; Augenpraxis Jonas, Seegartenklinik, Heidelberg Mukhamadieva, Svetlana; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Yakupova, Dilya; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Khikmatullin, Renat; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Xaupova, Dilya; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Khikmatullin, Renat; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Xaupova, Dilya; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Xaisia Uzianbaeva, Yulia ; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Zaynetdinov , Artur; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Zaynetdinov , Artur; Ufa Eye Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia Jonas, Jost; Department of Ophthalmology; Ruprecht-Karls-University Heidelberg
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Global health, Occupational and environmental medicine, Ear, nose and throat/otolaryngology, Public health
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, OTOLARYNGOLOGY, Adult otolaryngology < OTOLARYNGOLOGY

1 2	
5 4 5	SCHOLARONE™
6 7	Manuscripts
8 9	
10 11	
12	
14	
15 16	
17 18	
19 20	
21 22	
23 24	
25 26	
27 28	
29 30	
31	
33	
34 35	
36 37	
38 39	
40 41	
42 43	
44 45	
46 47	
48 49	
50	
52	
55 54	
55 56	
57 58	
59 60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.x

1		
2	1	
4	י ר	
5	2	Oalf Demostral Usering Loop in Dussians. The nexulation based Und Fus and Medical Otudu
6 7	3	Self-Reported Hearing Loss in Russians. The population-based Ural Eye and Medical Study
8	4	
9	5	
10	6	
12	7	Mukharram M. Bikbov MD PhD(1), Rinat R. Fayzrakhmanov, MD PhD(1), Gyulli M. Kazakbaeva,
13	8	MD(1), Rinat M. Zainullin, MD(1), Venera F. Salavatova, MD(1), Timur R.Gilmanshin, MD(1), Inga I.
14 15	9	Arslangareeva, MD(1), Nikolai A. Nikitin, MD(1), Songhomitra Panda-Jonas, MD (2),Svetlana R.
16	10	Mukhamadieva, MD(1), Dilya F. Yakupova, MD(1), Renat I. Khikmatullin, MD(1), Said K. Aminev,
17 10	11	MD(1), Ildar F. Nuriev, MD(1), Artur F. Zaynetdinov MD(1), Yulia V. Uzianbaeva, MD(1), Jost B. Jonas
19	12	MD(3)
20	13	
21 22	14	
23	15	
24	16	(1) Ufa Eve Research Institute, Ufa, Bashkortostan, Russia
25 26	17	(2) Augenpraxis Jonas Seegartenklinik Heidelberg
27	18	(3) Department of Ophthalmology, Medical Eaculty Manpheim of the Ruprecht-Karls-University of
28	10	(3) Department of Ophthalmology, Medical Faculty Mainment of the Ruprecht-Rans-Oniversity of
29 30	19	Heidelberg, Germany
31	20	
32 33	21	
34	22	
35	23	Running title: Hearing loss in Russians
36 37	24	Key words: Hearing loss; Presbyakusis; Audiometry; Depression; Population-based study; Ural Eye
38	25	and Medical Study;
39 40	26	Funding: None
40 41	27	Competing interests statement: None declared
42	28	Corresponding author: Prof. Mukharram Bikbov, Ufa Eye Research Institute, 90 Pushkin Street, Ufa
43 44	29	450077, Bashkortostan, Russia; Tel.: +7(347)272-37-75; email: Bikbov.m@gmail.com; Prof. Jost
45	30	Jonas, Department of Ophthalmology, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Theodor-Kutzerufer 1, 68167
46	31	Mannheim, Germany; Tel.: +49-6221-3929320; email: Jost.Jonas@medma.uni-heidelberg.de.
47 48	32	Author Contribution: Design and conception: M.M. Bikbov, Fayzrakhmanov RR, G.M. Kazakbaeva,
49	33	J.B. Jonas; Data assessment: Bikbov MM, Fayzrakhmanov RR, Kazakbaeva GM, Zainullin RM,
50	34	Arslangareeva II, Gilmanshin TR, Salavatova VF, Nikitin NA, Mukhamadieva SR, Yakupova DF,
52	35	Khikmatullin RI, Zaynetdinov AF, Uzianbaeva YV, Aminev SK, Nuriev IF, Jonas JB; Statistical
53	36	analysis: J.B.Jonas, S. Panda-Jonas: Writing of the manuscript: J.B. Jonas, S. Panda-Jonas: Editing
54 55	37	and final approval of the manuscript; Bikbov MM. Favzrakhmanov RR. Kazakbaeva GM. Zainullin RM
56	38	Salavatova VF, Gilmanshin TR, Arslangareeva II, Nikitin NA, S, Panda-Jonas, Mukhamadieva SR
57	30	Yakunova DE Khikmatullin RI Aminev SK Nuriev IE Zavnetdinov AE Uzianhaeva VV Jonas IB
58 59	<u>40</u>	- Data sharing statement: No additional data available
	+0	- Data sharing statement. No avvilional vala avallable

Page 3 of 29

BMJ Open

1		
2 3	40	Abstract
4	42	Abstract
5	43	missing we appeared the providence of bearing loss in Russia and Eastern Europe mostly
7	44	missing, we assessed the prevalence of hearing loss in a Russian population.
8	45	Setting: The population-based study Ural Eye and Medical Study was conducted in a rural and urban
9 10	46	region of Bashkortostan/Russia.
11	47	Participants: With an inclusion criterion of 40+ years, the study included 5899 (80.5%) out of 7328
12 12	48	eligible individuals (mean age:59.0±10.7 years; range:40-94 years).
14	49	Primary and secondary outcome measures: Hearing loss examined in 5397 (91.5%) study
15	50	participants, as it was assessed in a standardized interview with questions of the "Hearing Handicap
16 17	51	Inventory for the Elderly Screening Version (HHIE-S)".
18	52	Results: The prevalence of self-reported hearing loss (26.1%;95% confidence interval [CI):24.2,27.2]
19	53	increased from 10.9% [95%CI:8.0,13.7] in the 40-45 year-olds to 59.0% [51.6,66.4] in the 80+ year-
20 21	54	olds. It was higher for men than for women in the age group of 60-80 years (38.93% [35.8,42.1] vs.
22	55	32.8% [30.2,35.3]; <i>P</i> =0.003). In multivariable analysis, higher prevalence of hearing loss was
23 24	56	associated with older age (<i>P</i> <0.001;odds ratio (OR) (per year of age):1.06 [1.06,1.07]), male gender
25	57	(<i>P</i> <0.001;OR:1.26 [1.09,1.47]), higher depression score (<i>P</i> <0.001;OR:1.06 [1.04,1.08]), and higher
26	58	prevalence of headache (P=0.001;OR:1.27 [1.10,1.47]), history of cardiovascular disease including
27 28	59	stroke (<i>P</i> =0.001;OR:1.32 [1.13,1.55]), osteoarthritis (<i>P</i> <0.001;OR:1.40 [1.18,1.67]), physically
29	60	vigorous activity during work (P<0.001;OR:1.40 [1.21,1.62]), alcohol consumption (P<0.001;OR:1.51
30 21	61	[1.28,1.78]) and dry eye feeling (P<0.001;OR:1.67 [1.30,2.16]). It was marginally correlated with a
32	62	higher anxiety score (P=0.07;OR:1.03 [0.998,1.06]). It was independent of diabetes (P=0.52), arterial
33	63	hypertension ($P=0.20$), level of education ($P=0.11$), region of habitation ($P=0.70$), blood concentration
34 35	64	of high-density lipoproteins (P =0.17) and low-density lipoproteins (P =0.52), current smoking (P =0.95)
36	65	and smoking package years ($P=0.37$), and best corrected visual acuity ($P=0.93$).
37 29	66	Conclusions: As in other countries the prevalence of hearing loss is high in this elderly population in
39	67	Russia. It is primarily or secondarily associated with older age, depression, male gender.
40	68	cardiovascular disease and alcohol consumption
41 42	69	
43	70	
44 45	70	
45 46	70	Article Summery
47	72	Strongthe and limitations of this study:
48 49	73	Strengt is and initiations of this study.
50	74	The assessment of hearing loss in an interview containing a series of 11 standardized
51 52	75	questions without performing an audiometric examination was a limitation of the study.
52 53	76	• Although noise is a major determinant of hearing loss along with aging, the exposure to noise
54	77	at the working place was not directly and specifically assessed in the study.
55 56	78	 Performing the study in Russia from where no population-based information on hearing loss
57	79	has been available so far was a strength of the investigation.
58	80	• The study sample size of 5899 participants and the participation rate of 80.5% were strengths
59 60	81	of the study.

- 3 Hearing Loss in Russians
- The relatively high number of parameters examined in addition to hearing loss and enabling a
 wide search for associations between hearing loss and other parameters was strength of the
 investigation.

For peer review only

86 Introduction

Deficits in the function of sensory organs are major reasons for so called disability associated life years (DALYs).¹ In the Global Burden of Disease Study (GBD) 2016, sense organ diseases caused 66.7 million DALYS (95% uncertainty interval (UI): 46.5 million to 92.3 million) or 2.8% of all DALYs in 2016, up from 39.4 million DALYS (95%UI: 27.3 million to 54.5 million) in 1995 and 54.8 million DALYs (95%CI: 38.2 million to 76.0 million) in 2006.¹ Sense organ diseases-associated DALYs increased in the ranking of the most common causes of DALYs from position #16 in 1995 to rank #14 in 2006 and to rank #7 in 2016.1 Age-related and other hearing loss accounted for 29.7 million DALYS (95%UI: 25.3 million to 50.9 million) or 44.5% of all sense organs-associated DALYs in 2016.1

Despite its importance for public health, the prevalence of hearing loss and its associated
factors have not widely been investigated worldwide.²⁻¹¹ For many countries, information on the
prevalence of hearing loss has not been available yet, and the factors associated with hearing loss
have not been examined in a detailed manner. It holds true in particular for Russia and Eastern
Europe. We therefore conducted this study to assess the prevalence of hearing loss in a Russian
population and to explore associations of hearing loss with other parameters such as gender, region of
habitation and level of education.

104 Methods

The Ural Eye and Medical Study (UEMS) is a population-based investigation. It was performed in the Russian Republic of Bashkortostan, in its capital Ufa (region Kirovskii) and in a rural region consisting of villages in the Karmaskalinsky District. The latter was located in a distance of 65 km from Ufa.¹²⁻¹⁴ The Ethics Committee of the Academic Council of the Ufa Eye Research Institute approved the study and informed written consent was obtained from all participants. Inclusion criteria were living in the study regions and an age of 40+ years. Assuming a participation rate of approximately 80% and aiming at a study population size of about 5500 to 6000 participants, the number of eligible individuals was calculated to be approximately 7000 to 7500 individuals. The number of 5500 to 6000 study participants was based on the experience gained in previous population-based investigations the study populations of which were assumed to have a similar prevalence of major diseases as the present study population.

The first part of the series of examinations was a standardized interview. It was conducted by
 trained social workers and it consisted of more than 250 standardized questions on parameters of
 various fields, such as socioeconomics, diet, alcohol and tobacco consumption, physical exercise and
 medical history.^{12,13,15} The Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting
 (GATHER statement guidelines) were applied in reporting the data.¹⁶

53
54121As described in detail recently, the series of examination further consisted of measurements of55122arterial blood pressure, pulse rate and body height and weight, handgrip strength (as measured by56123dynamometry), blood concentrations of glucose, blood lipids and other substances, and pulmonary57124function (spirometry).^{12,13} Arterial hypertension was defined by a systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg59125and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg, and/or self-reported history or current treatment of arterial60126hypertension with antihypertensive medication. Diabetes mellitus was defined by a blood glucose

5 Hearing Loss in Russians

127 concentration ≥7.0 mmol/L or a self-reported history of physician diagnosis or of therapy of diabetes
 128 mellitus.

Hearing loss was assessed by a series of 11 standardized questions ten of which were derived from the "Hearing Handicap Inventory for the Elderly Screening Version (HHIE-S)" (Table 1).¹⁷⁻ ¹⁹ The guestions could be answered by "no" (0 points), "sometimes" (2 points) and "yes (4 points). The total hearing loss score was the sum of all points and could range between 0 points and 44 points. The amount of hearing loss was assessed by the hearing loss score. The HHIE-S had been applied in previous investigations.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The diagnostic performance of the HHIE-S against five definitions of hearing loss as assessed by pure-tone audiometry had assessed in a previous investigation on 178 elderly subjects.²⁰ The HHIE-S had sensitivities ranging from 53 to 72% and specificities ranging from 70 to 84% with the different definitions. The receiver-operating characteristics and the likelihood ratios of the HHIE-S were similar regardless of the hearing loss definitions. Another investigation had examined the reliability, validity, and associations of the HHIE-S with quality of life measures such as the subjective well-being, depressive symptoms, subjective loneliness, and physical functioning.²¹ It revealed that the reliability of the HHIE-S was relatively high with a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.91, a Spearman-Brown coefficient of 0.90 and an intra-class correlation coefficient of 0.85. The prevalence of self-reported hearing loss as a binary variable was assessed by the single question "Do you experience a hearing loss?". We additionally carried out Weber's test and Rinne's test.

Applying a statistical software program (Statistical Package for Social Science, SPSS, version 25.0; IBM-SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA), we first calculated the prevalence of hearing loss, and then assessed its associations with other parameters in a univariate analysis. Finally, we performed a multivariable regression analysis with the hearing loss score as dependent variable. The list of independent variables included all those variables which were associated ($P \le 0.10$) with the hearing loss score in the univariate analysis. Multicollinearity was also tested. The prevalence of hearing loss as analyzed in a binary regression analysis. We assessed the odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI). The level of statistical significance for the *P*-values was set as <0.05.

43 155 Patient and Public Involvement
44

156 Patients were not involved in the study.

158 Results

Out of a total population of 7328 eligible individuals, 5899 (80.5%) individuals participated in the Ural Eye and Medical Study. Out of these, information on the hearing loss score was available for 5397 (91.5%) individuals (2450 (45.4%) men). Their mean age was 58.6 ± 10.6 years (range: 40-94 years). The study population did not differ markedly in its age and sex composition from the Russian population as published in the census of 2010.22

57
58164The subjects with information on hearing loss as compared with the individuals without hearing
loss data had a significantly lower age (P < 0.001) and were significantly more often men (P < 0.001).59
60166The mean hearing loss score was 5.13 ± 10.95 (median: 0; range: 0 - 44). Within the group of
ethnically Russians (n=1185; age: 60.1 ± 11.1 years), the mean hearing loss score was 5.58 ± 11.09

Page 7 of 29

BMJ Open

168 (median: 0; range: 0 – 44), with no significant (P=0.11) difference to the non-Russian group. An 169 abnormal result of Weber's test was found for 210 (3.9%; 95%CI: 3.4, 4.4) study participants and an 170 abnormal result for Rinné test for 3390 (63.0%; 95%CI: 61.7, 64.3) individuals. The hearing loss score 171 differed significantly between individuals with a positive Weber's test and individuals with a negative 172 Weber's test (23.9 ± 14.7 vs. 4.4 ± 10.1; P<0.001).

In univariate analysis, a higher hearing loss score was correlated with parameters such as older age (P<0.001) (Table 2) (Fig. 1), male gender (P<0.001) (Fig. 1) and other variables (Supplementary Table 1). The multivariable regression analysis included the hearing loss score as dependent variable and as independent variables all those parameters which were associated ($P \le 0.10$) with the hearing loss score in the univariate analysis. Due to collinearity, we first dropped the parameters of body weight (variance inflation factor (VIF): 6.8) and waist circumference (VIF: 4.0). We then dropped step by step those parameters, such as the prevalence of arterial hypertension, which were no longer statistically significantly associated with hearing loss in the multivariate analysis. In the resulting final model, a higher hearing loss score was associated (regression coefficient r: 0.33) with older age (P<0.001), male gender (P<0.001), a higher depression score (P<0.001), a higher prevalence of headache (P<0.001) and a higher prevalence of history of cancer (P=0.008), cardiovascular disease including stroke (P=0.003), osteoarthritis (P=0.006) and skin disease (P=0.01), a lower number of days with intake of fruits (P=0.02), and a higher amount of physically vigorous activity during work (P=0.008) and of physically moderate activity during leisure time (P<0.001) (Table 3).

Hearing loss defined as a binary variable (question "Do you experience a hearing loss?") was prevalent in 1406 (26.1%; 95%CI: 24.2, 27.2) participants. It was significantly associated with a positive Weber's test (OR: 24.0; 95%CI: 15.7, 36.7). The prevalence of hearing loss increased with older age (P<0.001; OR: 1.06; 95%CI: 1.05, 1.07) (Table 4). In the age group between 60 and <80 years, the prevalence of hearing loss was significantly (P=0.003) higher for men than for women (38.93% (95%Cl: 35.8, 42.1) vs. 32.8% (95%Cl: 30.2, 35.3). For the age group of less than 60 years (P=0.57) and for the age group of 80+ years (P=0.87), both genders did not differ significantly in the prevalence of hearing loss (Fig. 2). In the total study population, the prevalence of hearing loss increased from 10.9% (95%CI: 8.0, 13.7) in the age group of 40 to <45 years, to 22.7% (95%CI: 20.0, 25.4) in the age group of 55 to <60 years, and to 59.0% (95%CI: 51.6, 66.4) in the age group of 80+ years (Fig. 2).

In a multivariable binary regression analysis, higher prevalence of hearing loss was associated with older age (P<0.001), male gender (P<0.001), a higher depression score (P<0.001), a higher prevalence of headache (P=0.001) and a higher prevalence of history of cancer (P=0.04), cardiovascular disease including stroke (P=0.001) and osteoarthritis (P<0.001), a higher amount of physically vigorous activity during work (P<0.001), higher prevalence of alcohol consumption (P<0.001) and of dry eye feeling (P<0.001) (Table 5). Prevalence of hearing loss was marginally correlated with a higher anxiety score, when added to the model (P=0.07; OR: 1.03; 95%CI: 0.998, 1.06). If diabetes (P=0.52), arterial hypertension (P=0.20), level of education (P=0.11; OR: 0.97; 95%CI: 0.93, 1.01), urban versus rural region of habitation (P=0.70), blood concentration of high-density lipoproteins (P=0.17) and low-density lipoproteins (P=0.52), current smoking (P=0.95) and

7 Hearing Loss in Russians

smoking package years (P=0.37), and best corrected visual acuity (P=0.93) and presenting visual acuity (P=0.62) and anxiety score (P=0.07; OR: 1.03; 95%CI: 0.998, 1.06) were added to the model,

these variables were not significantly associated with hearing loss.

10 214 **Discussion**

In this Russian population, the prevalence of hearing loss increased from 10.9% in the 40 to 45 yearolds to 59.0% in the 80+ years-olds. It was associated with older age, male gender, a higher
depression score and a higher prevalence of headache, history of cardiovascular disease including
stroke, osteoarthritis, physically vigorous activity during work, alcohol consumption and dry eye
feeling. It was marginally significantly correlated with a higher anxiety score (*P*=0.07).

The findings obtained in our study on a population in Russia can be compared with observations made in previous investigations conducted in other world regions. The high overall prevalence of hearing loss of 26.1% (95%CI: 24.2, 27.2) in our study population aged 40+ years agreed well with the data of the World Health Organization WHO that over 5% of the total world's population – or 466 million people – has disabling hearing loss (432 million adults and 34 million children).²³ The prevalence of hearing loss as found in our study population also well with figures found by Ikeda and colleagues for the United States, and with other data reported for the United States by the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study after adjusting for age differences in the study populations.^{24,25} The prevalence of hearing loss in our study population was lower than the figures found by Hannula and associates for Northern Finland (prevalence of self-reported hearing problems of 37.1% and of 43.3% for difficulties in following a conversation in noise),²⁶ and it was lower than the prevalence of unilateral and bilateral speech-frequency hearing impairment in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey for the United States.27-29

In all the previous studies as in our investigation, the prevalence of hearing loss strongly increased with older age for both genders (Fig. 1, 2).^{27,30-46} In our study population, the age-related increase in the prevalence of hearing loss was less marked for women than for men in the age group of 60 to <80 years (Fig. 1, 2). As in the previous studies on other ethnicities, the frequency of hearing loss was higher for men than for women.^{27,29-46} In our study, the gender difference hold true for the age group of 60 to <80 years (38.93%;95%CI:35.8,42.1 vs. 32.8%;95%CI:30.2,35.3;P=0.003). In the age group between 60 and <80 years, the prevalence of hearing loss was significantly (P=0.003) higher for men than for women (38.93% (95%CI: 35.8, 42.1) vs. 32.8% (95%CI: 30.2, 35.3). For the age group of less than 60 years (P=0.57) and for the age group of 80+ years (P=0.87), both genders did not differ significantly in the prevalence of hearing loss.

In our study, a higher prevalence of hearing loss was associated with a higher prevalence of vigorous physical activity during work. It was in contrast to the finding reported by Gispen and coworkers who used data of the National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (2005-06) and who found that individuals with moderate or greater hearing impairment had greater odds than those with normal hearing of being in a lower category of physical activity.³⁹ The association between vigorous physical activity at work and higher prevalence of hearing loss in our study might have been due to a potentially confounding correlation between heavy work and higher noise level at work.

Page 9 of 29

1

BMJ Open

2	
3	250
4 5	251
6	252
7	253
8	200
9	254
10 11	255
12	256
13	257
14 15	258
16	259
17	260
18 10	261
20	201
21	202
22 23	263
23 24	264
25	265
26	266
27	267
28 29	268
30	269
31	200
32	270
34	271
35	272
36	273
37 38	274
39	275
40	276
41 42	277
43	278
44	270
45 46	279
40 47	280
48	281
49	282
50 51	283
51	

In the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey and in a study by Sommer et al.
hearing impairment was more prevalent among adults with diabetes in a multivariable analysis.⁹ It is
in contrast to our study in which neither diabetes nor blood concentrations of glucose were
significantly correlated with hearing loss (Supplementary Table 1). As a corollary, the hearing loss in
our study was not significantly correlated with the body mass index (Supplementary Table 1). It is in
partial contrast to the results of the study by Lalwani and colleagues who found that in children of 12 to
19 years of age, obese adolescents as compared adolescents with normal body weight had elevated
pure tone hearing thresholds and greater prevalence of unilateral low-frequency sensorineural hearing
loss (*P*=0.01).³⁷

A higher prevalence of self-reported hearing impairment was associated with a higher depression score in our study as well as in the study by Li and colleagues who used data of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 2005-2010. They found that that selfreported hearing impairment and audiometrically determined hearing loss were significantly associated with depression, particularly in women.³⁸

The association between hearing loss and cardiovascular risk factors has remained unclear so far. While in our study population and in a study by Lohi et al. cardiovascular risk factors were not significantly associated with hearing loss, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey and the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study reported that cardiovascular risk generated by smoking and diabetes was associated with both high- and low-frequency hearing loss.^{26,29,30,35,41} Reasons for the discrepancy between the studies may have been differences in the study population (lifestyle in Russia versus lifestyle in the US or in Korea), differences in the multivariable analysis and others.

Limitations of our study should be discussed. First, the main outcome parameter was selfreported hearing loss assessed in a series of 11 standardized questions. In previous studies, audiometry was applied to quantify the hearing impairment. Although the latter method is a more quantitative one, the degree of self-reported hearing impairment as compared to audiometrically defined hearing loss may be more important to reflect the quality of the daily life of the individual. Interestingly, a study by Hannula et al. showed that self-reported hearing difficulties were more frequent than hearing impairment defined by audiometric measurement.²⁶ Hannula also reported that self-reported hearing difficulties predicted hearing impairment at high frequencies (4-8 kHz) rather than at frequencies of 0.5-4 kHz, which were commonly used to define the degree of hearing impairment in medical and legal issues. The test-retest reliability of the Hearing Handicap Inventory for Adults was evaluated in a study showing a correlation coefficient of r²=0.94.⁴⁷ In another investigation, the HHIE-S showed a significant reduction in perceived emotional and social/situational 52 284 effects of hearing impairment following the use of hearing aids.⁴⁸ Second, although noise is a major 53 285 determinant of hearing loss along with aging, the exposure to noise at the working place was not 54 286 specifically assessed in the study. This lack of data on noise exposure was therefore one of the 55 56 287 limitations of the study. The amount of physical activity at the working place however was evaluated 57 288 and in the multivariable model, a higher hearing loss score was associated with a higher amount of 58 59 289 physically vigorous activity during work (P=0.008) (Table 3). Although the amount of physical activity 60 290 at the working place is not a direct measure for the noise exposure, both parameters are correlated

with each other so that a higher amount of physically vigorous activity during work may be a surrogate for an increased noise exposure.

In conclusion, in our typically ethically mixed, urban and rural, Russian population aged 40+ years, the mean prevalence of hearing loss was 26.1% and increased from 10.9% in the 40 to 45 year-olds to 59.0% in the 80+ years-olds. In addition to older age, the prevalence of hearing loss was associated with male gender, depression and a higher prevalence of headache, history of cardiovascular disease including stroke, physically vigorous activity during work, alcohol consumption and dry eye feeling, and marginally significantly correlated with a higher anxiety score. These data may be useful to assess the epidemiology of hearing loss in Russia and to assess factors associated with hearing impairment in Russia.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

```
Page 11 of 29
```

BMJ Open

2		
3 ⊿	302	References
5	303	1. GBD 2016 DALYs and HALE Collaborators. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-
6	304	years (DALYs) for 333 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and
/ 8	305	territories, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet.
9	306	2017;390:1260-1344.
10 11	307	2. Cruickshanks KJ, Dhar S, Dinces E, Fifer RC, Gonzalez F, II, Heiss G, et al. Cigarette smoking and
12	308	hearing loss: the epidemiology of hearing loss study. JAMA. 1998;279:1715–1719.
13	309	3. Vilayur E, Gopinath B, Harris DC, Burlutsky G, McMahon CM, Mitchell P. The association between
14 15	310	reduced GFR and hearing loss: a cross-sectional population-based study. Am J Kidney Dis.
16	311	2010;56:661-669.
17 18	312	4. Béria JU, Raymann BC, Gigante LP, Figueiredo AC, Jotz G, Roithman R, et al. Hearing impairment
19	313	and socioeconomic factors: a population-based survey of an urban locality in southern Brazil. Rev
20 21	314	Panam Salud Publica. 2007;21:381-387.
22	315	5. Klein BE, Cruickshanks KJ, Nondahl DM, Klein R, Dalton DS. Cataract and hearing loss in a
23	316	population-based study: the Beaver Dam studies. Am J Ophthalmol. 2001;132:537-543.
24 25	317	6. Raynor LA, Pankow JS, Miller MB, Huang GH, Dalton D, Klein R, et al. Familial aggregation of age-
26	318	related hearing loss in an epidemiological study of older adults. Am J Audiol. 2009;18:114-118.
27 28	319	7. Gurgel RK, Ward PD, Schwartz S, Norton MC, Foster NL, Tschanz JT. Relationship of hearing loss
29	320	and dementia: a prospective, population-based study. Otol Neurotol. 2014;35:775-781.
30 21	321	8. Su P, Hsu CC, Lin HC, Huang WS, Yang TL, Hsu WT, et al. Age-related hearing loss and dementia:
32	322	a 10-year national population-based study. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;274:2327-2334.
33	323	9. Sommer J, Brenann-Jones CG, Eikelboom RH, Hunter M, Davis WA, Atlas MD, et al. A population-
34 35	324	based study of the association between dysglycaemia and hearing loss in middle age. Diabet Med.
36	325	2017;34:683-690.
37 38	326	10. Asghari A, Farhadi M, Daneshi A, Khabazkhoob M, Mohazzab-Torabi S, Jalessi M, et al. The
39	327	prevalence of hearing impairment by age and gender in a population-based study. Iran J Public
40 41	328	Health. 2017; 46:1237-1246.
42	329	11. Smith AK, Ritchie CS, Miao Y, Boscardin WJ, Wallhagen ML. Self-reported hearing in the last 2
43	330	years of life in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016;64:1486-1491.
44 45	331	12. Bikbov M, Fayzrakhmanov RR, Kazakbaeva G, Jonas JB. Ural Eye and Medical Study: description
46	332	of study design and methodology. Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2018;25:187-198.
47 48	333	13. Bikbov MM, Fayzrakhmanov RR, Kazakbaeva GM, Zainullin RM, Salavatova VF, Gilmanshin TR,
49	334	et al. Frequency and Associated Factors of Bone Fractures in Russians: The Ural Eye and Medical
50 51	335	Study. Sci Rep. 2018;8:7483.
52	336	14. Wikipedia. Bashkortostan. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bashkortostan; assessed 4.3.2018
53	337	15. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the
55	338	cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975;12:189-198.
56	339	16. Stevens GA, Alkema L, Black RE, Boerma JT, Collins GS, Ezzati M, et al. Guidelines for Accurate
57 58	340	and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting: the GATHER statement. Lancet. 2016;388: e19-23.
59	341	17. Aiello CP, Lima II, Ferrari DV. Validity and reliability of the hearing handicap inventory for adults.
60	342	Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2011;77:432-438.

18. Souza VC, Lemos SM. Tools for evaluation of restriction on auditory participation: systematic review of the literature. Codas. 2015;27:400-406. 19. Bae S, Lee S, Lee S, Harada K, Makizako H, Park H, et al. Combined effect of self-reported hearing problems and level of social activities on the risk of disability in Japanese older adults: A population-based longitudinal study. Maturitas. 2018;115:51-55. 20. Lichtenstein MJ, Bess FH, Logan SA. Diagnostic performance of the hearing handicap inventory for the elderly (screening version) against differing definitions of hearing loss. Ear Hear 1988;9:208-211. 21. Tomioka K, Ikeda H, Hanaie K, Morikawa M, Iwamoto J, Okamoto N, et al. The Hearing Handicap Inventory for Elderly-Screening (HHIE-S) versus a single question: reliability, validity, and relations with quality of life measures in the elderly community, Japan. Qual Life Res 2013;22:1151-1159. 22. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_Russia. Assessed 5.11.2017 23. WHO. Deafness and hearing loss. Fact sheet. Updated March 2018. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs300/en/; assessed 18.3.2018, 24. Ikeda N, Murray CJ, Salomon JA. Tracking population health based on self-reported impairments: Trends in the prevalence of hearing loss in US adults, 1976-2006. Am J Epidemiol. 2009;170:80-87. 25. Helzner EP, Cauley JA, Pratt SR, Wisniewski SR, Zmuda JM, Talbott EO, et al. Race and sex differences in age-related hearing loss: the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005;53:2119-2127. 26. Hannula S, Bloigu R, Majamaa K, Sorri M, Mäki-Torkko E. Self-reported hearing problems among older adults: prevalence and comparison to measured hearing impairment. J Am Acad Audiol. 2011;22:550-559. 27. Hoffman HJ, Dobie RA, Losonczy KG, Themann CL, Flamme GA. Declining prevalence of hearing loss in US adults aged 20 to 69 years. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;143:274-285. 28. Ciletti L, Flamme GA. Prevalence of hearing impairment by gender and audiometric configuration: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (1999-2004) and the Keokuk County Rural Health Study (1994-1998). J Am Acad Audiol. 2008;19:672-685. 29. Agrawal Y, Platz EA, Niparko JK. Prevalence of hearing loss and differences by demographic characteristics among US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2004. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:1522-1530. 30. Cruickshanks KJ, Dhar S, Dinces E, Fifer RC, Gonzalez F 2nd, Heiss G, et al. Hearing impairment prevalence and associated risk factors in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2015;141:641-648. 31. Agrawal Y, Platz EA, Niparko JK. Risk factors for hearing loss in US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999 to 2002. Otol Neurotol. 2009;30:139-145. 32. Shargorodsky J, Curhan SG, Curhan GC, Eavey R. Change in prevalence of hearing loss in US adolescents. JAMA. 2010;304:772-778. 33. Lin FR, Thorpe R, Gordon-Salant S, Ferrucci L. Hearing loss prevalence and risk factors among older adults in the United States. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2011;66:582-590. 34. Lin FR, Niparko JK, Ferrucci L. Hearing loss prevalence in the United States. Arch Intern Med. 2011;171:1851-1852.

1		
2	384	35 Bainbridge KE, Hoffman HJ, Cowie CC, Risk factors for bearing impairment among U.S. adults
4	385	with diabetes: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999-2004. Diabetes Care
5 6	386	2011:34:1540-1545
7	387	36 Lin FR Maas P Chien W Carey IP Ferrucci L Thorpe R Association of skin color race/ethnicity
8	388	and bearing loss among adults in the USA LAssoc Res Otolaryngol 2012:13:109 117
9 10	380	37 Lalwani AK Katz K Liu XH Kim S Weitzman M Obesity is associated with sensoring ural bearing
11	300	loss in adolescents Langascone 2013:123:3178 3184
12 13	301	38 Li CM Zhang X Hoffman H L Cotch ME Thomann CL Wilson MP Hearing impairment
14	303	36. Li CM, Zhang X, Horman HS, Colon MF, Themann CL, Wilson MK. Hearing impairment
15 16	302	2010 JAMA Otologyagol Hood Nock Surg. 2014:140-203 202
17	204	20 Ciopon EE, Chon DS, Conther D L Lin EB, Accessiblion between bearing impeirment and lower
18	394	Se. Gisperi FE, Cherr DS, Gentrier DS, Lin FR. Association between hearing impairment and lower
19 20	390	10 Jun H L Hwang SX Loo SH Loo JE Song J L Chao S. The provelence of bearing loss in South
21	390	40. Juli HJ, Hwang ST, Lee SH, Lee SE, Song JJ, Chae S. The prevalence of hearing loss in South
22 23	200	Al Lohi V. Hannula S. Ohtanan P. Sarri M. Mäki Tarkka E. Haaring impairment among adulta: the
24	390	41. Loni V, Hannula S, Ontonen P, Sonn M, Maki-Torkko E. Hearing impairment among adults. the
25 26	399	10 Lines IV/ leep IV/ leep IV/ CD. Neb IV/ Yes IV/ Kim D. The providence and factors accessized with
20 27	400	42. Hong JW, Jeon JH, Ku CR, Non JH, Yoo HJ, Kim DJ. The prevalence and factors associated with
28	401	Rearing Impairment in the Korean adults: the 2010-2012 Korea National Health and Nutrition
29 30	402	Examination Survey (observational study). Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94:e611.
31	403	43. Chang J, Ryou N, Jun HJ, Hwang SY, Song JJ, Chae SW. Effect of cigarette smoking and passive
32 33	404	smoking on hearing impairment: data from a population-based study. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0146608.
34	405	44. Park YH, Shin SH, Byun SW, Kim JY. Age- and Gender-Related Mean Hearing Threshold in a
35	406	Highly-Screened Population: The Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2010-
36 37	407	2012. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0150783.
38	408	46. Su BM, Chan DK. Prevalence of Hearing Loss in US Children and Adolescents: Findings from
39 40	409	NHANES 1988-2010. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;143:920-927.
41	410	46. Bainbridge KE, Hoffman HJ, Cowie CC. Diabetes and hearing impairment in the United States:
42	411	audiometric evidence from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999 to 2004. Ann
43 44	412	Intern Med. 2008;149:1-10.
45	413	47. Newman CW, Weinstein BE, Jacobson GP, Hug GA. Test-retest reliability of the hearing handicap
46 47	414	inventory for adults. Ear Hear. 1991;12:355-357.
48	415	48. Newman CW, Jacobson GP, Hug GA, Weinstein BE, Malinoff RL. Practical method for quantifying
49 50	416	hearing aid benefit in older adults. J Am Acad Audiol. 1991;2:70-75.
50		
52		
53 54		
55		
56 57		
58		
59		

2		
3	418	Tables
4 5	419	Table 1
6	420	Standardized questions to assess the presence and sequels of hearing problems
7 8	421	1. Do you experience a hearing loss?
9	422	2. Does a hearing problem make you feel embarrassed when meeting new people?
10	423	3. Does a hearing problem make you feel frustrated when talking to members of your family?
12	424	4. Do you have difficulties hearing when someone speaks in a whisper?
13	425	5. Do you feel handicapped by a hearing problem?
14 15	426	6. Does a hearing problem cause you difficulties when visiting friends, relatives, or neighbors?
16	427	7. Does a hearing problem make you attending religious services less often than you would like?
17	428	8. Does a hearing problem make you having arguments with family members?
18 19	429	9. Does a hearing problem cause you difficulties when listening to TV or radio?
20	430	10. Do you feel that any difficulty with your hearing limits or does it hamper your personal or social
21 22	431	life?
23	432	11. Does a hearing problem cause you difficulty when you are in a restaurant together with relatives or
24 25	433	friends?
26		
27		
28 29		
30		
31		
32 33		
34		
35		
36 27		
37 38		
39		
40 41		
42		
43		
44 45		
46		
47		
48 49		
50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55 56		
57		
58		
59 60		
60		

2 3	435	Table 2				
4	400	Moon bo	arina l	oss score in the Urel Ev	e and Medical Study	etratified by cay and
5	430	wear ne	anny i	uss score in the oral Ey	e and medical Sludy	, stratilied by sex and
6 7	437 438	Age	n	Hearing Loss Score	95% Confidence]
8	430	Group			Intervals	
9 10	400	(Years)				
11		Men				-
12		40-44	209	2.1 ± 7.4	1.0, 3.1	-
14 15		45-49	350	1.9 ± 6.9	1.2, 2.7	-
15 16		50-54	422	2.9 ± 8.4	2.1, 3.7	-
17		55-59	471	4.7 ± 10.6	3.7, 5.6	-
18 19		60-64	383	7.6 ± 13.2	6.3, 8.9	-
20		65-69	273	8.5 ± 13.4	6.9, 10.1	-
21 22		70-74	124	8.5 ± 13.1	6.1, 10.8	-
23		75-79	150	10.9 ± 14.7	8.5, 13.3	-
24 25		80+	68	15.9 ± 16.2	12.0, 19.8	-
26		Women			6	-
27 28		40-44	252	1.2 ± 4.4	0.7, 1.8	-
29		45-49	361	2.2 ± 7.1	1.4, 2.9	-
30 31		50-54	465	3.1 ± 8.7	2.3, 3.9	-
32		55-59	500	4.1 ± 9.6	3.3, 5.0	
33 34		60-64	449	4.8 ± 10.0	3.9, 5.7	1
35		65-69	430	5.8 ± 11.3	4.7, 6.8	
36 37		70-74	182	6.2 ± 10.9	4.6, 7.8	
38		75-79	203	10.8 ± 14.8	8.7, 12.8	6
39 40		80+	105	15.3 ± 15.6	12.2, 18.3	
<u>4</u> 1		L			1	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Table 3

Associations (multivariate analysis) between the hearing loss score and other systemic parameters in

443 the l	Iral Eye and Medical Study
-----------	----------------------------

12	Parameters	<i>P</i> -	Standardized	Non-	95%	Variance
13 14		Value	Regression	Standardize	Confidence	Inflation
15			Coefficient beta	d	Interval	Factor
16 17				Regression		
17				Coefficient B		
19	Age (Years)	<0.001	0.23	0.27	0.23.0.31	1 14
20		<0.001	0.23	1.60	0.20, 0.01	1.17
22		<0.001	0.07	1.69	0.69, 2.50	1.13
23	Depression Score	<0.001	0.07	0.22	0.11, 0.33	1.11
24 25	History of Headache	<0.001	0.07	1.50	0.71, 2.29	1.09
25	History of Cancer	0.008	0.05	3.53	0.91, 6.16	1.01
27	History of Cardiovascular	0.003	0.05	1.45	0.51, 2.39	1.11
28 29	Disease Including Stroke					
30	History of Osteoarthritis	0.006	0.05	1.43	0.42, 2.44	1.06
31	History of Skin Disease	0.01	0.04	2.18	0.48, 3.89	1.01
32 33	In a week how many days do	0.02	-0.04	-0.24	-0.44, -0.04	1.03
34	vou eat fruits?					
35	Does your work involve	0.042	0.04	1.13	0.04.2.22	1.04
30 37	physically vigorous activity				,	
38	(like heavy lifting or diaging)					
39 40	or moderately interesive					
40 41						
42						
43 44	carrying light loads during					
45	work for at least 10 minutes					
46	at a time?"					
47 48	"How many days a week do	0.008	0.05	0.36	0.10, 0.63	1.10
49	you do such physically					
50	vigorous activity during					
51 52	work?"					
53	"In your leisure time, do you	<0.001	0.09	1.96	1.19, 2.74	1.03
54	do any moderate intensity					
55 56	activities like brisk walking					
57	cycling or swimming for at					
58	least 10 minutes at a time?"					
59 60 4						

2		
3	449	Table 4
4 5	450	Prevalence of self-reported hearing loss (definite answer of "Yes" (in contrast to the answer of
6	451	"Sometimes" or "No") to the question "Do you experience a hearing loss?") stratified by age and
7 8	452	gender in the Ural Eye and Medical Study
9	453	

9	453
10	454
11	155
12	400
13	456
14	
15	
10	
12	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41 72	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52 52	
55 51	
55	
56	
57	

Age	n	Prevalence of Self-	95% Confidence
Group		Reported Hearing	Intervals
(Years)		Loss	
Men			
40-44	209	10.1	5.9, 14.2
45-49	350	13.4	9.8, 17.0
50-54	422	17.5	13.9, 21.2
55-59	471	22.3	18.5, 26.1
60-64	383	32.3	27.7, 37.1
65-69	273	40.3	34.4, 46.2
70-74	124	41.1	32.3, 49.9
75-79	150	51.3	43.2, 59.4
80+	68	60.3	48.4, 72.2
Women			0.
40-44	252	11.5	7.5, 15.5
45-49	361	14.7	11.0, 18.4
50-54	465	18.1	14.6, 21.6
55-59	500	23.0	19.3, 26.7
60-64	449	27.4	23.3, 31.5
65-69	430	31.9	27.4, 36.3
70-74	182	32.4	25.6, 39.3
75-79	203	46.8	39.9, 53.7 <
80+	105	58.1	48.5, 67.7

2		
3	458	Table 5
4 5	459	Associations (multivariate analysis) between the prevalence of hearing loss (definite answer of "Yes"
6	460	(in contrast to the answer of "Sometimes" or "No") to the question "Do you experience a hearing

loss?") and other systemic parameters in the Ural Eye and Medical Study

	Parameters	<i>P</i> -Value	Odds	95% Confidence
			Ratio	Interval
	Age (Years)	<0.001	1.06	1.06, 1.07
	Sex (Men / Women)	<0.001	1.26	1.09, 1.47
	Depression Score	<0.001	1.06	1.04, 1.08
	History of Headache	0.001	1.27	1.10, 1.47
	History of Cancer	0.04	1.51	1.02, 2.24
	History of Cardiovascular Disease Including	0.001	1.32	1.13, 1.55
	Stroke			
	History of Osteoarthritis	<0.001	1.40	1.18, 1.67
	"Does your work involve physically vigorous	<0.001	1.40	1.21, 1.62
	activity (like heavy lifting or digging) or			
	moderately intensive activity (like brisk walking			
	or carrying light loads during work for at least	V,		
	10 minutes at a time?"	4.		
	Alcohol consumption	<0.001	1.51	1.28, 1.78
	Dry eye Feeling	<0.001	1.67	1.30, 2.16
54		-		
55				

1		
2 3	467	Fig. 1
4	468	Graph showing the distribution of the hearing loss score stratified by age and gender in the Ural Eye
5 6	469	and Medical Study
7	470	
8 9	471	Fig. 2
10	472	Graph showing the prevalence of self-reported hearing loss (defined by a definite answer of "Yes" (in
11 12	473	contrast to the answer of "Sometimes" or "No") to the question "Do you experience a hearing loss?")
13	474	stratified by age and gender in the Ural Eye and Medical Study
14 15	475	
16	476	
17 18		
19 20		
20 21		
22		
25 24		
25 26		
20		
28 20		
30		
31 32		
33		
34 35		
36		
37 38		
39		
40 41		
42		
43 44		
45		
46 47		
48		
49 50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55 56		
57		
58 59		
60		

19 Hearing Loss in Russians

1				
י ר				
2				
3	478			
4	170			
5	4/9			
6				
7				
,				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
15				
10				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
25				
33				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
7-J ///				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				
51				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				

I Men

I Women



Graph showing the distribution of the hearing loss score stratified by age and gender in the Ural Eye and







Graph showing the prevalence of self-reported hearing loss (defined by a definite answer of "Yes" (in contrast to the answer of "Sometimes" or "No") to the question "Do you experience a hearing loss?") stratified by age and gender in the Ural Eye and Medical Study

125x87mm (300 x 300 DPI)

- 1 Supplementary Table 1
 - 2 Associations between the hearing loss score and other systemic parameters after adjusting for age in
 - 3 the Ural Eye and Medical Study

Parameter	P-Value	Standardized	Non-	95%
		Regression	Standardized	Confidence
		Coefficient	Regression	Interval of B
		beta	Coefficient B	
Gender: Women / Men	<0.001	0.05	1.03	0.47, 1.60
Urban / rural region of habitation	0.002	0.04	0.93	0.34, 1.52
Family status: Married versus any other status	0.64			
Family type: Joint (three generations) /	0.02	0.03	0.29	0.04.0.53
nuclear (two generations) / single /	0.02	0.00	0.20	0.07, 0.00
family of 2 people				
Ethnicity: Russian / any other ethnicity	0.88	0.002	0.05	-0.63, 0.74
Body height (cm)	0.82	0.003	0.004	-0.03. 0.04
Body weight (kg)	0.03	-0.03	-0.02	-0.040.002
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	0.01	-0.03	-0.07	-0.130.02
Waist circumference (cm)	0.02	-0.03	-0.03	-0.05, -0.004
Hip circumference cm)	0.01	-0.03	-0.03	-0.050.006
Waist-Hip-Ratio	0.61	-0.007	-0.81	-3.91. 2.29
Sc		parameters	••••	
Level of education	0.49	0.009	0.06	-0 11, 0,23
Monthly Income (Below poverty line /	0.99	0.000	-0.01	-0.58 0.58
average / above average / high)	0.00	0.000	0.01	0.00, 0.00
Own ownership of house (yes / no)	0.90	-0.002	-0.09	-1.51. 1.33
Own ownership of refrigerator (ves /	0.19	-0.03	-2.98	-7.40, 1.44
no)				
Own ownership of second house (yes	0.03	-0.04	-1.15	-2.18, -0.12
/ no)	-	-		- ,
Own ownership of telephone (yes / no)	0.13	-0.02	-0.64	-1.48, 0.19
Own ownership of smartphone (yes /	0.87	-0.003	-0.07	-0.91, 0.77
no)				
Own ownership of television set (yes /	0.13	-0.02	-0.64	-1.48, 0.19
no)				
Own ownership of car (yes / no)	0.07	-0.03	-0.82	-1.72, 0.07
Own ownership of two-wheeler (yes /	0.87	-0.002	-0.05	-0.65, 0.56
no)				
Own ownership of tractor (yes / no)	0.73	0.007	0.34	-1.55, 2.23
		1		

2 Hearing Loss in Russians

Own ownership of bullock cart (yes /	0.76	0.006	0.32	-1.71, 2.24
no)				
Own ownership of computer / laptop	0.13	-0.03	-0.71	-1.62, 0.21
(yes / no)				
	Physical ad	ctivity		I
How long is your usual work day?	0.17	-0.02	-0.001	-0.002, 0.000
(Minutes)				
Does your work involve mostly sitting	0.76	0.004	0.11	-0.57, 0.78
or standing with less than 10 minutes				
of walking at a time? (Yes / No)				
Does your work involve physically	<0.001	0.07	1.59	0.97, 2.20
vigorous activity (like heavy lifting or				
digging) or physically moderate				
intensity activity (like brisk walking or				
carrying light loads) (Yes / No)				
How many days a week do you do	0.001	0.06	0.47	0.21, 0.73
such physically vigorous activity during	0			
work? (Yes / No)				
On a usual day how much time do you	<0.001	0.06	0.002	0.001, 0.004
spend on such physically vigorous				
work during work? (Minutes)				
Does your work involve physically	<0.001	0.06	1.32	0.66, 1.98
moderate-intensive activity, like brisk				
walking or carrying light loads for at		4		
least 10 minutes at a time?				
Do you walk or use a bicycle (pedal	0.63	0.006	0.25	-0.77, 1.26
cycle) for at least 10 minutes				
continuously to get to and from				
places?				
In your leisure time, do you do any	<0.001	0.09	2.09	1.47, 2.72
moderate intensity activities like brisk				
walking, cycling or swimming for at				
least 10 minutes at a time?				
Over the past 7 days, how much time	0.40	0.01	0.000	0.000, 0.000
did you spend sitting or reclining on a				
typical day?				
	History of dis	seases		
History of angina pectoris	0.96	0.001	0.03	-0.97, 102
History of asthma	0.03	0.03	1.95	0.20, 3.71
History of arterial hypertension	0.01	0.03	0.76	0.17, 1.36

History of arthritis	<0.001	0.07	1.65	1.00, 2.29
History of previous bone fractures	0.18	0.02	0.42	-0.19, 1.03
History of low back pain	0.001	0.04	0.97	0.41, 1.53
History of thoracic spine pain	<0.001	0.07	1.72	1.06, 2.38
History of neck pain	<0.001	0.05	1.18	0.56, 1.80
History of headache	<0.001	0.07	1.54	0.98, 2.10
History of cancer	0.006	0.04	2.38	0.67, 4.08
History of cardiovascular disorders	<0.001	0.05	1.27	0.62, 1.92
ncluding stroke				
History of dementia	0.004	0.04	5.05	1.63, 8.48
History of diabetes mellitus	0.52	-0.009	-0.34	-1.38, 0.69
History of diarrhea	0.86	0.002	0.37	-3.62, 4.36
History of iron-deficiency anemia	0.34	0.01	0.59	-0.63, 1.81
History of low blood pressure and	0.97	-0.001	-0.03	-1.67, 1.54
nospital admittance				
History of osteoarthritis	<0.001	0.07	1.89	1.16, 2.62
History of skin disease	0.07	0.02	1.16	-0.09, 2.41
History of thyreopathy	0.92	-0.001	-0.05	-1.00, 0.90
History of tumbling	0.11	0.02	0.58	0.13, 1.29
History of unconsciousness	0.02	0.03	1.19	0.17, 2.22
Age of the last menstrual bleeding	0.66	-0.009	-0.02	-0.11, 0.07
years)				
Age of last regular menstrual bleeding	0.92	-0.002	-0.005	-0.09, 0.09
years)		4		
History of menopause	0.55	-0.01	-0.35	-1.51, 0.80
Blood	concentration	s (mmol/L) of:		
Alanine aminotransferase (IU/L)	0.41	0.01	0.01	-0.01, 0.03
Aspartate aminotransferase (IU/L)	0.50	0.009	0.009	-0.02, 0.03
3ilirubin, total (μmol/L)	0.65	0.006	0.006	-0.02, 0.03
High-density lipoproteins (mmol/L)	0.78	-0.004	-0.05	-0.36, 0.27
_ow-density lipoproteins (mmol/L)	0.62	0.007	0.06	-0.18, 0.30
Cholesterol (mmol/L)	0.79	-0.03	-0.02	-0.19, 0.14
Triglycerides (mmol/L)				
	0.28	-0.01	-0.21	-0.59, 0.17
Rheumatoid factor (IU/mL)	0.28 0.14	-0.01 -0.02	-0.21 -0.25	-0.59, 0.17 -0.58, 0.09
Rheumatoid factor (IU/mL) Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm /	0.28 0.14 0.95	-0.01 -0.02 -0.001	-0.21 -0.25 -0.001	-0.59, 0.17 -0.58, 0.09 -0.03, 0.03
Rheumatoid factor (IU/mL) Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm / nour)	0.28 0.14 0.95	-0.01 -0.02 -0.001	-0.21 -0.25 -0.001	-0.59, 0.17 -0.58, 0.09 -0.03, 0.03
Rheumatoid factor (IU/mL) Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm / nour) Glucose (mmol/L)	0.28 0.14 0.95 0.48	-0.01 -0.02 -0.001 -0.009	-0.21 -0.25 -0.001 -0.06	-0.59, 0.17 -0.58, 0.09 -0.03, 0.03 -0.23, 0.11
Rheumatoid factor (IU/mL) Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm / nour) Glucose (mmol/L) Prevalence of diabetes mellitus	0.28 0.14 0.95 0.48 0.12	-0.01 -0.02 -0.001 -0.009 -0.02	-0.21 -0.25 -0.001 -0.06 -0.71	-0.59, 0.17 -0.58, 0.09 -0.03, 0.03 -0.23, 0.11 -1.62, 0.20
Rheumatoid factor (IU/mL) Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm / hour) Glucose (mmol/L) Prevalence of diabetes mellitus Creatinine (µmol/L)	0.28 0.14 0.95 0.48 0.12 0.45	-0.01 -0.02 -0.001 -0.009 -0.02 0.01	-0.21 -0.25 -0.001 -0.06 -0.71 0.004	-0.59, 0.17 -0.58, 0.09 -0.03, 0.03 -0.23, 0.11 -1.62, 0.20 -0.007, 0.02

4 Hearing Loss in Russians

Residual nitrogen (g/L)	0.74	-0.004	-0.66	-4.53, 3.21
Total protein (g/L)	0.75	0.004	0.007	-0.04, 0.05
International normalized ratio (INR)	0.13	-0.02	-1.50	-3.41, 0.42
Prothrombin time (%)	0.98	0.000	0.007	-0.53, 0.54
Hemoglobin	0.45	-0.01	0.007	-0.03, 0.01
Erythrocytes (10 ⁶ cells / μL)	0.93	0.001	0.04	-0.71, 0.78
Leukocytes (10 ⁹ cells / L)	0.55	-0.008	-0.06	-0.26, 0.14
Rod-core granulocyte (% of	0.77	0.004	0.03	-0.18, 0.24
leukocytes)				
Segment nuclear granulocyte (% of	0.45	-0.01	-0.02	-0.05, 0.02
leukocytes)				
Eosinophil granulocytes (% of	0.42	-0.01	-0.12	-0.40, 0.17
leukocytes)				
Lymphocytes (% of leukocytes)	0.11	0.02	0.04	-0.008, 0.08
Monocytes (% of leukocytes)	0.49	-0.009	-0.04	-0.17, 0.08
Blood pressure, systolic (mmHg)	0.74	0.005	0.002	-0.01, 0.02
Blood pressure, diastolic (mmHg)	0.77	0.004	0.004	-0.02, 0.03
Blood pressure, mean (mmHg)	0.45	.0.01	-0.01	-0.05, 0.02
Prevalence of arterial hypertension	0.03	0.03	0.64	0.05, 1.22
Prevalence of chronic obstructive	0.67	0.006	0.24	-0.87, 1.35
pulmonary disease				
	Diet			
Vegetarian diet / mixed diet	0.57	0.007	2.43	-6.01, 10.9
Number of meals per day	0.22	0.02	0.23	-0.13, 0.58
In a week how many days do you eat	0.001	-0.05	-0.25	-0.40, -0.11
fruits?				
In a week how many days do you eat	<0.001	0.05	0.005	0.002, 0.008
vegetables?				
Type of oil used for cooking: vegetable	0.058	-0.03	-0.20	-0.40, 0.006
oil / non-vegetable oil				
Salt consumed per day (g)	0.10	0.02	0.002	0.000, 0.005
Degree of processing of meat (weak /	0.24	-0.02	-0.64	-1.71, 0.43
medium / well done)				
	Smokin	g		
Do you currently smoke any tobacco	0.17	0.02	0.59	-0.26, 1.44
products? (yes)				
Do you smoke daily? (yes / no)	0.39	0.01	0.38	-0.48, 1.24
Package years (package = 20	0.25	0.02	0.01	-0.009, 0.04
cigarettes)				
	Alcohol Consi	umption	I	1

	Alashal consumed such as beer	0.05	0.02	0.67	0.009 1.25
	Alconol consumed such as beer,	0.05	0.03	0.67	-0.006, 1.35
	whisky, rum, gin brandy or other local				
	products? (yes / no)				
	How many alcoholic drinks do you	0.16	0.04	0.003	-0.001, 0.007
	have on a typical day when you are				
	drinking)				
	How often do you have 6 or more	0.36	0.03	0.38	-0.43, 1.19
	drinks on one occasion? (never /				
	rarely / sometimes / often / cannot				
	say)				
		Depress	ion		
	Depression score	<0.001	0.08	0.25	0.17, 0.32
	State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (S	TAI)			
	Anxiety score	<0.001	0.08	0.25	0.17, 0.33
		Dynamor	netry		•
	Manual dynamometry, right hand	0.40	0.01	0.01	-0.02, 0.04
	(dekaNewton)	0			
	Manual dynamometry, left hand	0.08	0.03	0.02	-0.003, 0.05
	(dekaNewton)				
5					
6					
7					

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	ltem No	Recommendation	Changes Made
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	The title contains the description of the study design ("population- based")
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	"As in other countries the prevalence of hearing loss is high in this elderly population in Russia. It is primarily or secondarily associated with older age, depression, male gender, cardiovascular disease and alcohol consumption"
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Page 4, para 1, 2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Page 4, para 1, 2
Methods	_	N	
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Page 4, para 3
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Page 4, para 3
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Page 4, para 3
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	-
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Page 4, para 4, page 5, page 6, para 1
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Page 4, para 4, page 5, page 6, para 1
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Page 4, para 4, page 5, page 6, para 1
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Page 4, para 3
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Page 6, para 1
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including	Page 6, para 1
			· · · ·

For peer review only - http://bmjopen!bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

]

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow- up, and analysed	Page 6, para 2
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Page 6, para 2
<u> </u>	4.4.4	(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Page 6, para 2
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Page 6, para 2, 3
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Page 7, para 2, 3, 4
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder- adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Page 7, para 2, 3, 4
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Page 8, para 1
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Page 9, para 4
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Page 8, para 2
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Page 8, para 2-4; page 9 para 1-3
Other informati	on		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Page 1

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.