Supplementary file 3 EQPERA data quality assurance reporting tool

Domain 1: Research team	n and reflexivity
Item	Guide questions/description
Researcher characteristics	
1. Interviewer /	Who conducted the interviews/ focus groups? (who observed the
moderator/observer	focus groups?)
	►Maximum 2 interviewers at t ₁ and t ₂ /country and maximum 1 moderator at t ₂ /country
	► Preferably the same observer(s) for each focus group
2. Credentials / background	What were the researcher's credentials? (e.g., PhD, RN)
3. Occupation	What were the researcher's occupation at the time of the study?
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?
5. Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?
Relationship with participants	
6. Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencements? (e.g., health professional)
7. Participant knowledge of	What did the participant know about the researcher? (e.g., personal
the interviewer	goals, reasons for doing the research)
8. Interviewer	What characteristics were reported about the
characteristics	interviewer/moderator/observer? (e.g., bias, assumptions, reasons
	and interest in the research topic)
	(a longitudinal, qualitative, explorative study)
Participant selection	
9. Sampling	How were participants selected (e.g., purposively)
	Mono or multicenter sampling?
	Type of recruitment center(s)? (i.e., academic hospital, general
	hospital or private practice)
10. Method of approach	Who invited the participants?
	How were participants approached? (e.g., face to face, telephone, mail, email)
11. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?
	♦at t ₁ : number of individual interviews
	◆at t₂: number of participants per focus group / number of individual interviews
12. Non-participation	How many eligible patients could potentially be recruited?
	How many people were approached and how many of them refused to participate or dropped out?
	Reasons? (if shared)
	◆Not interested in participation (refusal)
	◆Drop out (type 1): in case t₁ interview was scheduled and

cancelled

cancelled

♦Not interested in participation at t₂ (drop out, type 2)

participate in an individual interview instead at t_2 \bullet Drop out (type 3): in case t_2 interview was scheduled and

♦Not interested in participation in a focus group, but willing to

Setting

13. Setting of data

Where was the data collected?

collection

Was anyone else present besides the participant and researchers?

Presence of non-participants

was anyone else present desides the participant and researchers?

Description of sample

What are the important characteristics of the sample? (e.g., demographic

data)

Data collection

16. Interview guide Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors?

Was the interview guide pilot tested?

Is it being made available?

17. Focus group

Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors?

guide

Was the interview guide pilot tested?

Is it being made available?

18. Audio / visual

Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?

recording

Ÿ

19. Data collection

How were the data collected? (t2: focus group or individual interview?)

method

Were repeat interviews carried out at t₂?

20. Field notes

Were field notes made during and/ or after the interview or focus group?

▶ if yes, please record them in the descriptive or methodological interview

report.

Were short reports prepared after each interview?

21. Duration

What was the duration of the interviews or focus groups?

22. Data saturation

Was data saturation discussed?

After how many interviews was data saturation reached? (Definition in EQPERA: "if the last 3 interviews do not provide new information, insights

or additional understanding to accomplish the study aims")

Domain 3: Analysis and findings

Data analysis

23. Number of data How many data coders coded the data?

coders Who coded the data?

24. Independent

Was the analysis repeated by more than 1 researcher to ensure reliability?

coding

25. Data analysis

method

How were themes and concepts identified from the data? (e.g., Were themes identified in advance (framework-based) or derived from the data (data-

driven)?)

26. Patient research

partners

Did patient research partners provide feedback on the findings, and in which

part(s) of the data analysis were they involved? What software was used to manage the data?

27. Software Reporting

28. Quotations presented

Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quote identified? (e.g., participant number, gender, age)

Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?

Data and findings consistent

Were themes clearly presented in the findings?

Clarity of themes

Domain 4: Data management strategies

Data recording
31. Recording

changes and decisions

Were changes to the interview guide, the evolution in themes, deviations from the research protocol, and major local project decisions carefully documented along with the rationale for change?

- ▶ to recall decisions
- ▶ the use of a research log book is recommended

32. Recording Did you record the data with at least 2 audio recorders?

▶ to prevent missing data

interview data

Data storing

33. Routinely storing of data

Was the data (e.g., audio files, transcripts, interview reports and field notes, patient-reported and clinical data, informed consents) or the project database routinely submitted to a central data repository or a secured cloud storage system?

- ▶ to avoid missing data and to easily manage large amounts of data like in qualitative research
- ► a uniform transcript header and file name could facilitate data storing (e.g., T1.number of interview.ddmmyyyy.initials of interviewer)

Data check

34. Internal audit

Could the evidence (field notes, interview transcripts, recordings, reasons for interview guide adaptations,...) be inspected by others?

35. Preventing missing data

g Did the principal investigator routinely check for missing data?

Data collection

36. Recruitment flow

37. Templates

38. Local interview guide

Was the recruitment flow carefully documented?

▶ the use a research log book (enrollment spread sheet) is suggested Did you check the data collection templates and the Excel spread sheet? Translation/cultural adaptation interview guide:

Did you use the proposed framework to translate the interview guide into the source language?

Were cultural adaptations needed?

▶ please, record these in your research log book, together with the timing and the reason for adjustment

39. Avoiding and handling the presence of a third person

40. Introducing the interview

Focus of attention during interview scheduling:

Was the purpose of a one to one interview mentioned to the participant? If someone else was present, did this affect the interview/data collection?

▶ please, reflect on this in the descriptive interview report

Did you prepare and practice the interview introduction?

- ▶ to maximize the interview return
- ▶ key words: welcoming the participant; introducing yourself; clarifying the purpose and importance of research, the importance of participant contribution, expectations regarding the participant (e.g., no good or wrong answers), role of the interviewer/moderator/observer, (t₂: "rules" regarding group discussion), ethical aspects; "Any questions?"; mobile phone on silent mode)

41. Interview burden	It is recommended to conduct 1 individual interview/day, with a maximum of 2 interviews/day
	▶ to avoid interview burden and to have sufficient time to reflect on each interview
42. Interview reports	Did you write for each interview/focus group 3 short reports? (i.e., content report, descriptive report, methodological report)
43. Iterative process	Did you use an iterative process of data collection and analysis? ▶to support data saturation
Data analysis	
44. Analysis guide	Did you use Qualitative Analysis Guide of Leuven (QUAGOL) to guide your data analysis?
	Did you use Saldaña's guiding questions for analyzing the longitudinal data?
45. Peer debriefings	Were regular peer debriefings held?
	▶time for reflection (in team): to discuss the interview return, the
	development of new themes, and to question and confirm saturation of themes
	early in de coding and interviewing process, more frequent meetings are suggested
	►please make a short report of each debriefing to recall discussions
46. Team analysis	Was looked at the data in team (from different perspectives looking at the data)
Transcription	
47. Transcription	Who transcribed the data?
guidelines	▶>1 person: did you apply a uniform transcription method? (e.g.,
	agreements about the level of details, to obtain confidentially, to reproduce the exact words spoken)
Team approach	reproduce the exact words spoken) ▶external transcriber: was the interview transcript reviewed by the interviewer on data quality and accuracy of transcribing? How did you
Team approach 48. Patient research partners	reproduce the exact words spoken) ▶external transcriber: was the interview transcript reviewed by the interviewer on data quality and accuracy of transcribing? How did you
48. Patient research	reproduce the exact words spoken) lacktrian exact words spoken exact words wor
48. Patient research partners49. Interdisciplinary	reproduce the exact words spoken) lacktrian exact words spoken) lacktrian exact role of the patient research partners in the study

 t_1 : time point 1= 3-6 months after start of the initial treatment for early rheumatoid arthritis; t_2 : time point 2= 12-18 months after start of the initial treatment for early rheumatoid arthritis.