

Supplementary Information for

Histone 2B monoubiquitination complex integrates transcript elongation with splicing at circadian clock and flowering time regulators

Magdalena Woloszynska, Sabine Le Gall, Pia Neyt, Tommaso M. Boccardi, Marion Grasser, Gernot Längst, Stijn Aesaert, Griet Coussens, Stijn Dhondt, Eveline Van De Slijke, Leonardo Bruno, Jorge Fung-Uceda, Paloma Mas, Marc Van Montagu, Dirk Inzé, Kristiina Himanen, Geert De Jaeger, Klaus D. Grasser, and Mieke Van Lijsebettens

Corresponding author: Mieke Van Lijsebettens Email: mieke.vanlijsebettens@psb.ugent.be

This PDF file includes:

Supplementary text Figs. S1 to S6 Tables S1 to S3 SI References

Other supplementary materials for this manuscript include the following:

Dataset S1

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and growth conditions. *hub1-4* (SALK_122512), *spen3-1* (SALK_025388) (1), *spen3-3* (GABI_626H01) (2), *khd1-1* (SALK_046957) (1), *hub1-3* (GABI_276D08) (2), *hub1-4* (SALK_122512) (1), *hub2-1* (GABI_634H04) (2), *khd1-3* (SAIL_1285_H03C1) (3) in Col-0 background were obtained from the Nottingham *Arabidopsis* Stock Centre. T-DNA insertions were confirmed by PCR. A second T-DNA in *spen3-3* (GABI_626H01) was located at the 3' UTR of the *At1g77920* and the 5' end of the *At1g77930* genes, but did not affect their respective gene expression levels (Fig. S4). The *hub1-3 hub2-1* mutant had been described previously (4), whereas the *spen3-1 hub1-4* and *khd1-1 hub1-4* double mutants were constructed in this work and the genotypes were verified by PCR. The clock reporter lines expressing *pCCA1::LUC* (5) and *pTOC1::LUC* (6) were crossed into the *hub1-4*, *spen3-1,* and *khd1-1* mutants and homozygous lines analyzed by *in vivo* luminescence assays. The *p35S::GFP::SPEN3* and *p35S::GFP::KHD1* constructs were obtained by Gateway recombination and were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* cells that were used for tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana*) leaf infiltration and stable transformation into *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh., accession Columbia-0 (Col-0) by floral dip.

Seedlings were grown in soil (jiffy containers) under growth chamber conditions, namely 16 h day/8 h night with white light and 21°C for flowering time experiments. Seeds for *in vitro* time-lapse analysis on the IGIS platform (7) were sterilized in 3% (v/v) bleach for 15 min and sown on medium containing half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Duchefa), solidified with 0.9% (w/v) plant tissue culture agar (Lab M) on round Petri dishes, stratified for 2 days, then incubated in a growth chamber under long-day conditions (16 h light, 8 h darkness) at 21°C. The average light intensity supplied by cool-white fluorescent tubes (Spectralux Plus 36W/840; Radium) was approximately 60 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for *in vitro* and in soil-grown experiments. For bioluminescence assays, plants were stratified for 3 days at 4ºC on plates with MS agar medium and grown for 7 days under 12 h light, 12 h dark cycles with 60 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ white light at a constant 22ºC temperature. Seedlings were transferred to 96-well plates containing MS agar and 3 mM luciferine (Promega). Luminescence rhythms were monitored under constant white light conditions (60 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) with a luminometer LB-960 (Berthold Technologies) and analyzed with the software MikroWin 2000, version 4.34 (Mikrotek Laborsysteme).

Growth parameters and flowering time were also measured by means of an automated weighing, imaging, and watering phenotyping platform, acronym WIWAM XY (www.wiwam.be) according to established protocols (8, 9). WIWAM was placed in an *Arabidopsis* growth room at 21°C, 55% relative humidity, 16 h day/8 h night, and 100 mol m^{-2} s⁻¹ light intensity. Seeds were stratified 2 days before sowing in pots with 80-90 g of soil that were randomized by the WIWAM platform. During the entire experiment, the soil water content was set at a constant value of 2.19 g H_2O/g dry soil. Images were acquired for each pot on a daily basis and analyzed. The data were validated with the in-house Interface for Plant Phenotype Analysis (PIPPA) (10).

Circadian clock period calculation. The circadian periods were calculated with the Fast Fourier Transform–Non-Linear Least-squares (FFT-NLLS) suite of the BioDare online data repository [\(https://biodare2.ed.ac.uk/documents/period-methods\)](https://biodare2.ed.ac.uk/documents/period-methods) (11). This suite is commonly accepted and widely used in the circadian community and the method is clearly described on the web page. Essentially, the period estimation is based on curve fitting. FFT NLLS starts with a model with a single cosine and determines the parameters (τ 1, ϕ 1, α 1, and c) by means of a non-linear least squares fitting algorithm. This procedure is repeated with models with additional cosine components (increased N), until addition of a supplemental cosine term does not improve significantly the resulting fit. Once the best model and its parameters have been found, the period is taken to be the period of the cosine component lying within a user-defined range of likely circadian periods (typically 15-35 h).

Bioinformatic analysis. With the PLAZA 2.5 bioinformatic tool, common down- or upregulated genes were classified into significantly overrepresented $(P < 0.05)$ gene ontology (GO) classes of the Biological Process type (12).

Multiprobe *in situ* **hybridization.** Short and specific (GSTs) fragments of the *HUB1* (At2g44950), *SPEN3*(At1g27750), and *KHD1* (At1g51580) genes were cloned in the pGEM-T-Easy vector (Promega). Labeled RNA probes were synthesized by means of *in vitro* transcription in the presence of digoxigenin-11-UTP (*SPEN3* probe), biotin-16-UTP (*KHD1* probe), fluoroscein-12-UTP (*HUB1* probe) and processed as reported (13). Four-day-old *Arabidopsis* Col-0 seedlings were fixed, dehydrated, and handled as described (13). *In situ* hybridization was done with a mix of riboprobes and hybridized by *in situ* whole-mount methodology (13) with minor modifications, namely the hybridization step was carried out overnight at 55°C and the mixture of primary and secondary antibodies was diluted 1:500. Samples were imaged with a Leica inverted TCS SP8 confocal scanning laser microscope. The Alexa fluor dyes were detected simultaneously by combining the settings indicated in the sequential scanning facility of the microscope.

Root growth analysis. Root growth was measured on seedlings grown vertically on half-strength MS medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) sucrose, 0.8% (w/v) plant tissue culture agar (Lab M), pH 5.7, at 21°C under 24-h light conditions (75 µmol $m^2 s^{-1}$). The root meristem size was determined 5 days after germination (DAG) as the number of cells in the cortex cell file from the quiescent center to the first elongated cell (14). The samples were mounted with clearing solution $(80 \text{ g chloral hydrate}, 30 \text{ ml glycerol}, \text{and } 10 \text{ ml } \text{dH}_2\text{O})$ and observed immediately. Root length was marked at 10 DAG and measured with the ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). Means between samples were compared by a two-tailed Student's *t*-test and variances with an ANOVA.

Tandem Affinity Purification. TAP (15) or GS (16) tags were fused N-terminally to full length cDNAs of HUB1, HUB1pm, and HUB2, and C-terminally to SPEN3. In the HUB1pm, two cysteines of the RING domain (positions 826 and 829) were replaced by serines. The TAP-tagged HUB1 proteins were enzymatically active and complemented partially the *hub1-1* mutation (4). Tagged transgenes were expressed under the control of the constitutive cauliflower tobacco mosaic virus 35S promoter and transformed in *Arabidopsis* cell suspension cultures (17). Protocols of proteolysis and peptide isolation, acquisition of mass spectra by a 4800 Proteomics Analyzer (Applied Biosystems), and mass spectrometry-based protein homology identification based on the TAIR genomic database, were as described (18). Experimental background proteins were subtracted based on approximately 40 TAP experiments on wild-type cultures and cultures expressing the TAP-tagged mock proteins GUS, RFP, and GFP (18).

Production of recombinant proteins. The RRM domain-containing region of SPEN3 and the two KH domain-containing region of KHD1 were amplified by PCR with *HiFi* DNA polymerase (KAPA Biosystems) and the iProof high-fidelidy PCR kit (Bio-Rad), respectively, with an *Arabidopsis* cDNA library as template and primers providing the required restriction enzyme cleavage sites (Table S3). The amplified PCR fragment of SPEN3 was digested with *Bam*HI/*Sal*I and cloned into the *Bam*HI/*Sal*I-digested *E. coli* expression plasmid pGEX-5X-1 (GE Healthcare), providing an N-terminal GST with the pGEX-5X-1-RRM-SPEN3 plasmid for the RRM domain of SPEN3 as a result. The obtained PCR fragment of KHD1 was digested with *Bam*HI/*Sal*I and cloned into the *Bam*HI/*Sal*I-digested *E. coli* expression plasmid pQE9 (Qiagen), providing an N-terminal 6×His-tag, resulting in the pQE9-KHD1-N plasmid for the N-end part of KHD1 that contains two KH domains. Plasmid constructions were checked by DNA sequencing. For protein production, the pGEX-5X-1-RRM-SPEN3 expression vector was transformed into *E. coli* BL21+pRARE cells. After induction by 1 mM isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG; Sigma-Aldrich), the GST-tagged RRM-SPEN3 was purified by glutathione-sepharose affinity chromatography as previously described (19). *E. coli* M15 cells were transformed with the pQE9- KHD1-N expression vector. After induction by 1 mM IPTG, the 6×His-tagged KHD1-N was purified by metal-chelate chromatography with Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) from *E. coli* lysates essentially as described previously (20). By means of PD10 columns (Pharmacia), the purified proteins were collected in buffer (10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], and 0.5 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride [PMSF; Sigma-Aldrich]) and the recombinant proteins were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and mass spectrometry.

Fluorescent Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA) binding. RNA binding of the recombinant proteins was examined by EMSA as described (20) with fluorescently labeled ssRNA oligonucleotides (Table S3) (21) used before to study general RNA interactions of various *Arabidopsis* proteins (20, 21). Different protein concentrations were incubated for 15 min with the Cy3-labeled ssRNA (25 nM) probe in binding buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 3% [w/v] Ficoll, $10 \text{ mM } MgCl₂$, 5 mM KCl, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM spermidine, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin). Binding reactions were analyzed in $1 \times$ Tris/borate/EDTA (TBE) polyacrylamide gels. The RNA was visualized by imaging with a Typhoon 8600 instrument (GE Healthcare). Competition assays were done with constant protein concentrations (3 µM) and labeled ssRNA probes and increasing concentrations of unlabeled ssRNA or ssDNA.

Fluorescent MicroScale Thermophoresis (MST) binding assay. MST binding experiments were carried out essentially as previously described (20) with 200 nM 25-nucleotide-long Cy3 labeled ssRNA or ssDNA oligonucleotides. MST measurements were done in protein buffers with a protein concentration range at 40% MST power, 50% LED power in standard capillaries at 25°C on a Monolith NT.115 device (NanoTemper Technologies). The data were analyzed with the MO.Affinity Analysis software (V2.3, NanoTemper Technologies) and binding reactions were determined by examining Temperature Related Intensity Changes (TRIC effect). To calculate the fraction bound, the ΔF_{norm} value of each point was divided by the amplitude of the fitted curve, resulting in values from 0 to 1 ($0 =$ unbound, 1 = bound), and processed with the KaleidaGraph 4.5 (Synergy Software).

RNA methods. RNA was isolated with the RNeasy Plant Kit (Qiagen) with on-column DNase digestion. The manufacturer's protocol was modified by two additional washes of RNeasy spin columns with RPE buffer. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized with the SuperScript III First-strand Synthesis Kit (Life-Invitrogen, CAT. 18080051).

Real-time PCR was run in technical triplicates with the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche Life Science) and the Janus robot (PerkinElmer) for pipetting. The LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System was used for amplification (95°C for 10 min, 45 cycles of 95°C/10 s, 60° C/15 s, 72° C/30 s followed by a melting curve analysis). The qPCR results were analyzed with the qBase Plus software (Biogazelle). The PP2A (At1g13320) and UBC (At5g25760) genes were used as references for gene expression normalization. The primer sequences used are presented in Table S3. For the transcriptome**,** RNA was extracted from shoot apices of 10-day-old seedlings. The A260/A280 and the A260/A230 ratios were measured with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to evaluate the quantity and purity of the samples. Additionally, the high RNA quality was verified by means of the Agilent Bioanalyzer system. After the library preparation by TruSeq, RNA was sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq. Normalization statistics, and bioinformatics were carried out on the raw data to allow pairwise differential gene expression analyses (Nucleomics Core Facility, VIB, Leuven, Belgium). The Gene Ontology categories of the differentially expressed genes were identified with the PLAZA 2.5 software (12).

ChIP-qPCR. The isolated chromatin was sonicated in a Vibra-cell sonicator (Sonics & Materials) with four 15-s pulses at a 20% amplitude and immunoprecipitated with 5 µg of H2Bub antibodies (Medimabs, MM-029). Protein A Agarose (Millipore) was used to collect immunoprecipitated chromatin. After reverse cross-linking and proteinase K digestion, DNA was purified with the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) and eluted with the elution buffer supplemented with RNaseA (10 μ g/ml). Samples were analyzed by real-time qPCR with primers in the promoter and coding regions of the *FLC* (22) and *CCA1* (Table S3) genes. The amount of immunoprecipitated DNA was calculated relative to the input.

Yeast Two-Hybrid Analysis. Constructs used for Y2H were obtained by cloning cDNAs of the HUB1, HUB2, SPEN3, SPEN3 N-terminus (761 amino acids, including RRM domain), and SPEN3 C-terminus (488 amino acids, including SPOC domain) by the Gateway Technology (Life Technologies). Constructs were introduced by an LR recombination into the p DEST $m22$ and pDESTtm32 destination vectors, resulting in fusions to the GAL4 activation domain (AD) and $GAL4$ -binding domain (BD), respectively (ProQuestTM Two-HybridSystem, Life Technologies). All plasmids were transformed into yeast strains with the opposite mating types MaV203 MATa and MATα. Transformed yeast strains were selected for the presence of pDEST22 or the pDEST32 vector.

Transformed yeast strains were selected for the presence of pDEST22 or the pDEST32 vector and the abundance of the fusion proteins was assessed by Western-blot. Self-activation of both bait and prey constructs were tested by yeast transformation for non-PREY-specific activation of reporter gene expression by BAIT-constructs or BAIT-GAL-4-DNA-independent activation exerted by PREY constructs in a colony-lift filter assay with X-Gal as substrate. Fusion

proteins that showed self-activation, *i.e*., HUB2 and KHD1, were omitted from the pairwise screens. Diploid transformants were tested for positive interactions by growing the mating strains in SD-leucine-tryptophan-histidine medium with increasing concentrations (0 mM, 3 mM, and 10 mM) of 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT) to assess the interaction strengths. Constructs of known interacting proteins, DmDP and DmE2F, were used as the positive control and the negative control consisted of yeast strains containing an empty AD vector mated with the BD fusion of the protein of interest. For each interaction, three independent biological repeats were done and for the assays the TECAN Genesis Automation and TECAN TEMO-96 pipetting robot were used (TECAN, Munich, Germany).

Fig. S1. Yeast two-hybrid interactions between HUB1, SPEN3, SPEN3 N-terminus, and SPEN3 Cterminus.

The yeast strains expressing the HUB1, SPEN3, SPEN3 N-terminus, and SPEN3 C-terminus proteins fused to the activation domain (AD strains, ordinate) or binding domain (BD strains, panels *A-D*) did not show self-activation and were mated pairwise to test for direct interactions between proteins that allowed yeast growth on selective medium and quantification as the optical density (OD_{600}) of the culture. Different concentrations (0 mM, 3 mM, and 10 mM) of 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT) were applied to the medium to detect the high-affinity binding between two interactors allowing yeast to survive increased 3-AT concentrations. For each interaction, the average of three independent biological repeats are shown. As a positive control, known interactors (DmDP and DmE2F) were used and, as negative control, the empty AD vector strain was mated with the BD fusion of the protein of interest.

Fig. S2. Evolutionary relationships of SPEN3 (*A***) and KHD1 (***B***) proteins over taxa and within** *Arabidopsis thaliana***.** The evolutionary history was inferred from two independent maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian approaches (BA) with PhyML (23, 24) and MrBayes 3.2.6 (25), respectively. Both trees were estimated with a best-fit model obtained by MEGA X (26) as JTT + G for SPEN3 (*A*) and LG + G for KHD1 (*B*). The analysis involved 17 (*A*) and 12 (*B*) amino acid sequences retrieved from the UniProt database. In MrBayes, two independent runs were applied and trees were sampled every $200th$ generation for 5 000 000 generations (with a 25% burn-in). Overall, deviation of split frequencies for all trees used for consensus was much below 0.01. The reliability of the nodes is indicated by their posterior probability values (BA) and bootstrap values (1000 replicates) (ML) presented along the nodes. Node support values below 70% were not shown. The scale bar represents the genetic distance.

Fig. S3. MST analysis of SPEN3 and KHD1 interactions with nucleic acids.

(*A*) Increasing concentrations of the GST-RRM-SPEN3 protein incubated with 200 nM of 25 nucleotide-long ssRNA or ssDNA of the same sequence. Protein-nucleic acid interactions were quantified by MST. The approximate bound fraction of nucleic acids per tested protein concentration is plotted. (*B*) Increasing concentrations of binding of 6×His-KHD1-N protein incubated with ssRNA and ssDNA as in (*A*). Protein-nucleic acid interactions were measured by MST. In case of 6×His-KHD1-N binding to ssRNA and ssDNA, the MST analysis revealed a complex pattern of changes in the thermophoretic mobility of the interacting molecules. Instead of following a typical binding curve with increasing protein concentrations, a significant change in the mobility direction at a protein concentration of $1 \mu M$. At lower concentrations, the proteinnucleic acid complexes moved toward the heated region in the capillary, whereas at higher concentrations (above $4 \mu M$) they left the heated region. This behavior resulted in a "local minimum" of the plotted data that can be interpreted as two dependent binding events, creating two different protein-nucleic acid complexes with different thermophoretic behaviors established each one after the other with increasing protein concentration. The overlay of the thermophoretic properties can result in such plots. As no K_D or Hill fitting could be applied, the curves can only be described in a qualitative manner. The plot is displayed as changes in normalized fluorescence exhibiting qualitative differences in the presence of RNA or DNA. The "local minimum" was much more pronounced in the presence of RNA and the curve reached a plateau at high protein concentrations. In contrast, in the presence of DNA, the curve did not reach a plateau, hinting at partial DNA binding. Hence, this qualitative analysis supports the EMSA experiments, suggesting improved RNA binding. Measurements were done with three biological and three technical replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the biological replicates.

(*A* and *B*) Whole-mount, multiprobe *in situ* hybridization of the shoot apex (*A*) and the primary root meristem (*B*). Arrow indicates white-pink merge of *HUB1* (blue samples treated with HUB1 FITC riboprobe and rabbit anti-FITC followed by AF647 chicken antirabbit), *SPEN3* (red samples treated with *SPEN3* Dig-riboprobe and sheep anti-Dig, followed by AF555 Donkey anti-sheep), and *KHD1* (green samples treated with *KHD1* Bio-riboprobe and mouse anti-Bio followed by AF488-Donkey anti-mouse IgG) expression pattern in the shoot apex. (*C*) Rosette phenotype of the *spen3-3* and *spen3-1* alleles and their Col-0 controls at 25 DAG. (*D*) Flowering time of *spen3-3* and *spen3-1* and their Col-0 controls grown in jiffy pots ($n = 50$). (*E*) Number of rosette leaves per seedling at bolting in jiffy pots ($n = 51$). (*F*) Number of rosette leaves per seedling at bolting in jiffy pots ((*n* = 50). (*G*) Number of rosette leaves per seedling at bolting grown in the WIWAM-automated platform (*n* = 24). (*H*) Stockiness and (*I*) compactness of the rosettes in WIWAM experiment calculated at 23 DAS. (*J*) Leaf series of 26 DAG seedlings grown in jiffy container experiment. Control, Col-0; single mutants, *spen3-1*, *khd1-1* and *hub1-4*; double mutants, *spen3-1 hub1-4* and *khd1-1 hub1-4*. Error bars represent standard deviations. Ordinary one-way ANOVA with 95% confidence shows a significant difference between the genotypes, represented by the letters (panels *E,G,H,I*). Asterisks (*D* and *F*) indicate statistically significant differences by Student's *t*-test (**P* < 0.05, $***P<0.001$).

(*A*) Scheme of a second T-DNA insertion at the 3' end of *At1g77920* and the 5' end of *At1g77930* in the *spen3-3* allele. (*B*) qPCR analysis of *At1g77920* and *At1g77930* gene expression levels in seedling (S) and cauline (C) leaf tissue of *spen3-3* and Col-0 control (primers, see Table S3), five biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences by Student's *t*-test $(* P < 0.05)$

(*A*) Primary root length at 10 DAG ($n \ge 15$). (*B* and *C*) Root meristem size measured by the number of cortex cells of 5 DAG seedlings of overexpression lines $(n > 15)$ (*B*) and mutant lines $(n \geq 10)$ (*C*). Error bars represent standard deviations. Ordinary one-way ANOVA with 95% confidence shows a significant difference between the genotypes, represented by the letters (panel *A*). Asterisks (panels *B* and *C*) indicate statistically significant differences by Student's *t*-test (**P* $< 0.05, **P < 0.01$).

**P* values for all $log_2FC \leq 0.05$.

Table S2. PLAZA enrichment of Biological Process Gene Ontology (GO) categories identified within genes down- or upregulated in the indicated mutants. For the single mutants, only the mutant-specific down- or upregulated genes are presented. The GO ratio is the ratio between the number of analyzed annotated genes that belong to the given GO category and the number of all analyzed annotated genes.

GO term	$Log2-$	P value	GO	Description
	Enrichment		ratio	
			(%)	
Down-regulated in hub1-4, khd1-1, and spen3-1				
GO:0051726	4.63	5.67E-04	12	Regulation of cell cycle
GO:0016572	8.32	$4,0E-03$	5	Histone phosphorylation
GO:0007049	3.40	3.00E-02	12	Cell cycle
Down-regulated in hub1-4 and spen3-1				
GO:0051726	3.71	2.00E-02	6	Regulation of cell cycle
GO:0016572	7.40	3.00E-02	2	Histone phosphorylation
	Down-regulated in hub1-4 and khd1-1			
GO:0008152	0.54	4.52E-17	61	Metabolic process
GO:0019748	2.13	4.61E-16	9	Secondary metabolic process
GO:0006260	3.09	9.67E-13	4	Cellular DNA replication
GO:0006259	2.22	1.73E-12	7	Cellular DNA metabolic process
GO:0044238	0.53	6.76E-12	51	Primary metabolic process
GO:0044237	0.53	3.52E-11	49	Cellular metabolic process
GO:0044281	1.24	4.94E-11	16	Small molecule metabolic process
GO:0006519	1.76	9.67E-11	9	Cellular amino acid and derivative metabolic process
GO:0016144	3.62	1.52E-10	3	S-glycoside biosynthetic process
GO:0019758	3.62	1.52E-10	3	Glycosinolate biosynthetic process
GO:0019761	3.62	1.52E-10	3	Glucosinolate biosynthetic process
GO:0009812	3.23	1.64E-10	3	Flavonoid metabolic process
GO:0009987	0.42	2.07E-10	60	Cellular process
GO:0009813	3.30	2.84E-10	3	Flavonoid biosynthetic process
GO:0009058	0.70	4.05E-10	33	Biosynthetic process
GO:0034637	2.36	6.90E-10	5	Cellular carbohydrate biosynthetic process
GO:0044249	0.70	8.93E-10	33	Cellular biosynthetic process
GO:0044283	1.59	3.03E-09	9	Small molecule biosynthetic process
GO:0009698	2.50	3.42E-09	4	Phenylpropanoid metabolic process
GO:0006807	0.79	4.56E-09	26	Nitrogen compound metabolic process
GO:0016137	2.62	4.79E-09	4	Glycoside metabolic process
GO:0016138	3.07	5.27E-09	3	Glycoside biosynthetic process
GO:0016143	2.92	8.79E-09	3	S-glycoside metabolic process
GO:0019757	2.92	8.79E-09	3	Glycosinolate metabolic process
GO:0019760	2.92	8.79E-09	3	Glucosinolate metabolic process
GO:0009699	2.63	1.12E-08	4	Phenylpropanoid biosynthetic process
GO:0042398	2.26	5.03E-08	5	Cellular amino acid derivative biosynthetic process
GO:0019438	2.21	5.06E-08	5	Aromatic compound biosynthetic process
GO:0006725	1.87	5.39E-08	6	Cellular aromatic compound metabolic process
GO:0006790	2.25	5.93E-08	5	Sulfur metabolic process
GO:0016051	2.07	9.32E-08	5	Carbohydrate biosynthetic process
GO:0007049	2.14	1.47E-07	5	Cell cycle
GO:0006575	2.00	1.50E-07	5	Cellular amino acid derivative metabolic process
GO:0044272	2.73	2.87E-07	3	Sulfur compound biosynthetic process
GO:0005975	1.21	2.53E-06	10	Carbohydrate metabolic process
GO:0050896	0.61	2.58E-06	29	Response to stimulus
GO:0044262	1.49	6.89E-06	7	Cellular carbohydrate metabolic process
GO:0022402	2.31	1.22E-05	3	Cell cycle process
GO:0042440	2.48	1.22E-05	3	Pigment metabolic process
GO:0043455	3.52	1.31E-05	$\sqrt{2}$	Regulation of secondary metabolic process
GO:0010439	5.36	2.42E-05	1	Regulation of glucosinolate biosynthetic process
GO:0000103	4.34	2.64E-05	$\mathbf{1}$	Sulfate assimilation
GO:0006791	4.18	6.57E-05	$\mathbf{1}$	Sulfur utilization
GO:0006261	3.08	6.78E-05	$\sqrt{2}$	DNA-dependent DNA replication
GO:0034285	2.85	1.07E-04	2	Response to disaccharide stimulus
GO:0006270	4.51	1.23E-04	$\mathbf{1}$	DNA replication initiation
GO:0006950	0.71	1.68E-04	19	Response to stress
GO:0046148	2.45	3.03E-04	3	Pigment biosynthetic process
GO:0006281	2.07	3.43E-04	3	DNA repair

Table S3. Primer sequences

Supplemental dataset S1 (separate file)

Protein Identification details obtained with the 4800 MALDI TOF/TOFTM Proteomics analyzer (AB SCIEX) and the GPS explorer v3.6 (AB SCIEX) software package combined with the search engine Mascot version 2.1 (Matrix Science) and database TAIR8.

Supporting references

- 1. Alonso JM, et al. (2003) Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Science* 301(5633), 653-657 [Err. *Science* 301(5641), 1849].
- 2. Rosso MG, et al. (2003) An *Arabidopsis thaliana* T-DNA mutagenized population (GABI-Kat) for flanking sequence tag-based reverse genetics. *Plant Mol Biol* 53(1-2), 247-259.
- 3. Sessions A, et al. (2002) A high-throughput Arabidopsis reverse genetics system. *Plant Cell* 14(12), 2985-2994.
- 4. Fleury D, et al. (2007) The *Arabidopsis thaliana* homolog of yeast *BRE1* has a function in cell cycle regulation during early leaf and root growth. *Plant Cell* 19(2), 417-432.
- 5. Salomé PA, McClung R (2005) *PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR7* and *9* are partially redundant genes essential for the temperature responsiveness of the Arabidopsis circadian clock. *Plant Cell* 17(3), 791-803.
- 6. Portolés S, Más P (2007) Altered oscillator function affects clock resonance and is responsible for the reduced day-length sensitivity of CKB4 overexpressing plants. *Plant J* 51(6), 966-977.
- 7. Dhondt S, et al. (2014) High-resolution time-resolved imaging of *in vitro* Arabidopsis rosette growth. *Plant J* 80(1), 172-184.
- 8. Skirycz A, et al. (2011) Survival and growth of *Arabidopsis* plants given limited water are not equal. *Nat. Biotechnol* 29(3), 212-214.
- 9. Clauw P, et al. (2015) Leaf responses to mild drought stress in natural variants of Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 167(3), 800-816. [Corrigendum Plant Physiol. 168(3), 1180].
- 10. Coppens F, Wuyts N, Inzé D, Dhondt S (2017) Unlocking the potential of plant phenotyping data through integration and data-driven approaches. *Curr Opin Syst Biol* 4, 58-63.
- 11. Moore A, Zielinski T, Millar AJ (2014) [Online period estimation and determination of](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24792042) [rhythmicity in circadian data, using the BioDare data infrastructure.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24792042) *Methods Mol Biol* 1158, 13-44.
- 12. Van Bel M, et al. (2012) Dissecting plant genomes with the PLAZA comparative genomics platform. *Plant Physiol* 158(2), 590-600.
- 13. Bruno L, et al. (2011) Multi-probe *in situ* hybridization to whole mount *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *Int J Dev Biol* 55 (2), 197-203.
- 14. Casamitjana-Martínez E, et al. (2003) Root-specific *CLE19* overexpression and the *sol1/2* suppressors implicate a CLV-like pathway in the control of *Arabidopsis* root meristem maintenance. *Curr Biol* 13(16), 1435-1441.
- 15. Rigaut G, et al. (1999) A generic protein purification method for protein complex characterization and proteome exploration. *Nat Biotechnol* 17(10), 1030-1032.
- 16. Bürckstümmer T, et al. (2006) An efficient tandem affinity purification procedure for interaction proteomics in mammalian cells. *Nat Methods* 3(12), 1013-1019.
- 17. Van Leene J, et al. (2007) A tandem affinity purification-based technology platform to study the cell cycle interactome in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 6(7), 1226- 1238.
- 18. Van Leene J, et al. (2010) Targeted interactomics reveals a complex core cell cycle machinery in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol Syst Biol* 6(1), 397.
- 19. Krohn NM, Yanagisawa S, Grasser KD (2002) Specificity of the stimulatory interaction between chromosomal HMGB proteins and the transcription factor Dof2 and its negative regulation by protein kinase CK2-mediated phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem* 277(36), 32438- 32444.
- 20. Kammel C, et al. (2013) *Arabidopsis* DEAD-box RNA helicase UAP56 interacts with both RNA and DNA as well as with mRNA export factors. *PLoS ONE* 8(3), e60644.
- 21. Shen J, Zhang L, Zhao R (2007) Biochemical characterization of the ATPase and helicase activity of UAP56, an essential pre-mRNA splicing and mRNA export factor. *J Biol Chem* 282(31), 22544-22550.
- 22. Cao Y, Dai Y, Cui S, Ma L (2008) Histone H2B monoubiquitination in the chromatin of *FLOWERING LOCUS C* regulates flowering time in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 20(10), 2586- 2602.
- 23. Guindon S, et al. (2010) New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst Biol* 59(3), 307–321.
- 24. Guindon S, Gascuel O (2003) A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst Biol* 52(5), 696-704.
- 25. Ronquist F, et al. (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst Biol* 61(3), 539-542.
- 26. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* 35(6), 1547-1549.