## **Supplementary Online Content**

Tung EL, Hampton DA, Kolak M, Rogers SO, Yang JP, Peek ME. Race/ethnicity and geographic access to urban trauma care. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(3):e190138. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.0138

**eTable 1.** Characteristics of High and Low Access Clusters in Univariate LISA Models, Chicago, New York City, and Los Angeles, 2015

**eTable 2.** Access to Trauma Centers by Census Tract Racial/Ethnic Composition and Poverty, Chicago after Level I Trauma Center Opened at University of Chicago in May, 2018

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable 1. Characteristics of High and Low Access Clusters in Univariate LISA Models, Chicago, New York City,

and Los Angeles, 2015

_	Chicago		Los Angeles		New York City	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
	Access	Access <sup>a</sup>	Access	Access <sup>a</sup>	Access	Access <sup>a</sup>
	n=185	n=131	n=182	n=151	n=428	n=212
Census Tract Characteristics	% or mean (sd)		% or mean (sd)		% or mean (sd)	
Total residents						
< 2000	46 (24.9)	25 (19.1)	3 (1.7)	5 (3.3)	39 (9.1)	39 (18.4)
2000-3999	82 (44.3)	66 (50.4)	108 (59.3)	72 (47.7)	160 (37.4)	88 (41.5)
4000-5999	42 (22.7)	34 (26.0)	61 (33.5)	65 (43.1)	129 (30.1)	60 (28.3)
6000+	15 (8.1)	6 (4.6)	10 (5.5)	9 (6.0)	100 (23.4)	25 (11.8)
Racial/ethnic composition						
White majority	102 (55.1)	22 (16.8)	37 (20.3)	91 (60.3)	113 (26.4)	100 (47.2)
Black majority	30 (16.2)	83 (63.4)	2 (1.1)	5 (3.3)	115 (26.9)	62 (29.3)
Hispanic/Latino majority	30 (16.2)	15 (11.5)	100 (55.0)	35 (23.2)	103 (24.1)	0 (0)
Other majority/integrated	23 (12.4)	11 (8.4)	43 (23.6)	20 (13.3)	97 (22.7)	50 (23.6)
Median household income <sup>b</sup>						
< \$50K	75 (40.5)	99 (75.6)	106 (58.9)	50 (33.6)	252 (58.9)	53 (25.0)
\$50K-\$99K	71 (38.4)	31 (23.7)	66 (36.7)	76 (51.0)	116 (27.1)	149 (70.3)
\$100K-149K	38 (20.5)	1 (0.8)	5 (2.8)	17 (11.4)	52 (12.2)	10 (4.7)
\$150K+	1 (0.5)	0 (0)	3 (1.7)	6 (4.0)	8 (1.9)	0 (0)
Travel distance (km)	2.6 (1.3)	15.3 (2.6)	2.9 (1.1)	14.6 (2.3)	1.4 (0.5)	10.8 (3.1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Low access was defined based on relative travel distance in univariate LISA models

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Income data were not available for a small number of census tracts in Los Angles (n=4)

eTable 2. Access to Trauma Centers by Census Tract Racial/Ethnic Composition and Poverty, Chicago after

Level I Trauma Center Opened at University of Chicago in May, 2018

	Distance > 8 Kilometers from Nearest Trauma Center <sup>a</sup>						
Census Tract Characteristic	No. (%)	Model 1 OR (95% CI)	Model 2 AOR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Model 3 AOR (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>			
Chicago (n=798)							
Racial/ethnic composition							
White majority	52 (21.5)	Ref	Ref	Ref			
Black majority	86 (30.7)	1.62 (1.09-2.41)*	1.85 (1.09-3.15)*	1.25 (0.50-3.13)			
Hispanic/Latino majority	69 (41.1)	2.55 (1.65-3.93)***	2.86 (1.67-4.90)***	2.90 (1.30-6.46)**			
Other majority or integrated	14 (13.0)	0.54 (0.29-1.03)	0.59 (0.30-1.15)	0.81 (0.35-1.88)			
Concentrated poverty <sup>d</sup>							
Nonpoor	74 (24.0)	Ref	Ref	Ref			
Poor	147 (30.1)	1.37 (0.99-1.89)	0.85 (0.54-1.34)	0.82 (0.32-2.12)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Calculated as travel distance from census tract centroid based on previously published definitions by Crandall and colleagues; included trauma centers designated as level 1 or level 2 by state health departments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Model 2 included racial/ethnic composition and poverty status

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Model 3 included racial/ethnic composition, poverty status, and race-poverty interaction effects

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Poor was defined as a median household income < 200% federal poverty level for a household of 4

P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001