# **Cell Reports**

# Quantitative Characterization of  $\alpha$ -Synuclein Aggregation in Living Cells through Automated Microfluidics Feedback Control

# Graphical Abstract



# Authors

Giansimone Perrino, Cathal Wilson, Marco Santorelli, Diego di Bernardo

# **Correspondence**

[dibernardo@tigem.it](mailto:dibernardo@tigem.it)

# In Brief

Perrino et al. quantitatively measured a-synuclein inclusion formation and clearance in a yeast cell model of Parkinson's disease. A computercontrolled microfluidics device precisely regulated  $\alpha$ -synuclein levels in cells by means of closed-loop feedback control. Inclusion formation was found to be concentration dependent, whereas inclusion clearance was mediated by autophagy.

## **Highlights**

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet\n\end{array}$ 

- $\bullet$  In silico feedback control enables regulation of  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression in yeast
- $\bullet$   $\alpha$ -Synuclein inclusion formation is strictly concentration, but not time, dependent
- The aggregation threshold of the  $\alpha$ -synuclein A53T mutant is 56% of the wild-type
- Autophagy induction speeds up inclusion clearance in the A53T a-synuclein strain

Perrino et al., 2019, Cell Reports 27, 916–927 April 16, 2019 © 2019 The Author(s). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.03.081>



# Quantitative Characterization of  $\alpha$ -Synuclein Aggregation in Living Cells through Automated Microfluidics Feedback Control

Giansimone Perrino,<sup>[1](#page-1-0)</sup> Cathal Wilson,<sup>1</sup> Marco Santorelli,<sup>1</sup> and Diego di Bernardo<sup>[1,](#page-1-0)[2,](#page-1-1)[3,](#page-1-2)[\\*](#page-1-3)</sup>

<span id="page-1-0"></span>1Telethon Institute of Genetics and Medicine (TIGEM), Via Campi Flegrei 34, 80078 Pozzuoli (NA), Italy

<span id="page-1-1"></span>2Department of Chemical, Materials and Industrial Production Engineering, University of Naples Federico II, Piazzale Tecchio 80, 80125 Naples, Italy

<span id="page-1-3"></span>\*Correspondence: [dibernardo@tigem.it](mailto:dibernardo@tigem.it)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.03.081>

#### <span id="page-1-4"></span>**SUMMARY**

Aggregation of  $\alpha$ -synuclein and formation of inclusions are hallmarks of Parkinson's disease (PD). Aggregate formation is affected by cellular environment, but it has been studied almost exclusively in cell-free systems. We quantitatively analyzed  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusion formation and clearance in a yeast cell model of PD expressing either wild-type (WT)  $\alpha$ -synuclein or the disease-associated A53T mutant from the galactose (Gal)-inducible promoter. A computercontrolled microfluidics device regulated a-synuclein in cells by means of closed-loop feedback control. We demonstrated that inclusion formation is strictly concentration dependent and that the aggregation threshold of the A53T mutant is about half of the WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein (56%). We chemically modulated the proteasomal and autophagic pathways and demonstrated that autophagy is the main determinant of A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions' clearance. In addition to proposing a technology to overcome current limitations in dynamically regulating protein expression levels, our results contribute to the biology of PD and have relevance for therapeutic applications.

#### INTRODUCTION

a-Synuclein, encoded by the *SNCA* gene, is a small (14.5 kDa), intrinsically disordered protein expressed abundantly in a healthy brain. The precise physiological functions of  $\alpha$ -synuclein remain poorly understood [\(Fusco et al., 2016\)](#page-11-0), although recent findings point to a role in vesicle trafficking and synaptic physiology [\(Wislet-Gendebien et al., 2006; Auluck et al., 2010\)](#page-12-0). In the human brain, an abnormal increase of  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression levels may result in the aggregation of the protein into large complexes and amyloidogenic fibrils with the formation of intraneuronal proteinaceous inclusions known as Lewy bodies ([Goedert et al., 2013](#page-11-1)), linked to the Parkinson's disease (PD) pathogenesis ([Goedert et al., 2017\)](#page-11-2). Although the matter is still the subject of debate, it is thought that inclusions in the cell are generated by the impairment of degradative pathways and activation of the protein quality-control system [\(Ingelsson,](#page-11-3) [2016\)](#page-11-3). The mechanisms underlying the formation of protein aggregates seem to be concentration dependent [\(Singleton et al.,](#page-12-1) [2003\)](#page-12-1). Indeed, either duplication or triplication of the wild-type (WT) a-synuclein gene locus is sufficient to cause familial PD (Singleton et al., 2003; Farrer et al., 2004; Ibáñez et al., 2004). Moreover, missense mutations in the *SNCA* gene cause earlyonset (A53T, E64K, A30P, G51D, and A53E) and late-onset (H50Q) forms of PD (Polymeropoulos et al., 1997; Krüger et al., [1998; Zarranz et al., 2004; Appel-Cresswell et al., 2013; Prouka](#page-12-2)[kis et al., 2013; Kiely et al., 2013; Pasanen et al., 2014; Martikai](#page-12-2)[nen et al., 2015](#page-12-2)).

Cell-free, cellular, and animal models of PD have been developed to study the formation of inclusions ([Visanji et al.,](#page-12-3) 2016; Koprich et al., 2017; Lázaro et al., 2017). Pioneering studies have dissected aggregate and fibril formation in cellfree systems using purified  $\alpha$ -synuclein protein ([Giasson](#page-11-4) [et al., 1999; Conway et al., 1998](#page-11-4)). These earlier *in vitro* studies were semiquantitative in that they did not quantify threshold concentrations for aggregation nor the difference between WT and mutant a-synuclein proteins. Subsequent *in vitro* studies, building on these previous works, have now precisely quantified the molecular steps of  $\alpha$ -synuclein fibril formation and rate constants of associated reactions, thus greatly contributing to current understanding of  $\alpha$ -synuclein pathobiology ([Giehm et al., 2011; Cohen et al., 2011, 2012; Buell](#page-11-5) [et al., 2014; Garcia et al., 2014; Lorenzen et al., 2014;](#page-11-5) [Galvagnion et al., 2015, 2016; Flagmeier et al., 2016; Iljina](#page-11-5) [et al., 2016](#page-11-5)). These *in vitro* studies have also shown that a-synuclein aggregation kinetics are strongly affected by the presence of lipid vesicles, thus highlighting the importance of studying such processes in whole cells, because the cellular environment is much more complex than the commonly used *in vitro* conditions [\(Flagmeier et al., 2016; Gal](#page-11-6)[vagnion et al., 2015\)](#page-11-6).

Biological processes involved in a-synuclein inclusion formation and clearance are well conserved across evolution, hence yeast can be used to elucidate the molecular basis of the human disease and to screen for therapeutic drugs ([Menezes et al.,](#page-11-7) [2015; Schneider et al., 2018](#page-11-7)). Since its inception ([Outeiro and](#page-11-8) [Lindquist, 2003\)](#page-11-8), the yeast PD model with heterologous expression of  $\alpha$ -synuclein has been successfully used not only to study molecular mechanisms of the PD but also for high-throughput

<span id="page-1-2"></span><sup>3</sup>Lead Contact

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

#### Figure 1. Construction and Characterization of Yeast Strains Expressing Wild-Type or Mutant (A53T) Human a-Synuclein from the Galactose-Inducible Promoter

(A) Schematic of the constructs to express either the human a-synuclein gene (*SNCA*) or the disease-associated A53T mutant in yeast. a-Synuclein is fused to a GFP reporter under the control of the galactose-inducible promoter P*GAL1*. In each strain (WT or A53T), two copies of the construct were genomically integrated and at least one copy was expressed from a yeast centromeric plasmid (YCp) ([STAR Methods](#page-13-0)). A red fluorescent reporter (mCherry protein) under the control of the constitutive promoter  $P_{T\text{EF2}}$  was also integrated in both yeast strains.

(B) Ten-fold serial dilutions of yeast strains expressing either WT and A53T in log-phase cultures were spotted on synthetic complete drop-out media supplemented with either glucose (uninduced) or galactose (induced) to assess cell viability upon a-synuclein induction ([STAR Methods\)](#page-13-0).

(C) Schematic illustration of the integrated experimental platform for automated microfluidics feedback control of  $\alpha$ -synuclein in yeast cells.

depth characterization currently available is that three copies of WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein, versus two copies of A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein,

drug and genetic screenings ([Zabrocki et al., 2008; Menezes](#page-12-4) [et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017\)](#page-12-4). In this model,  $\alpha$ -synuclein is expressed from the galactose-inducible promoter, and protein inclusions form with ensuing growth defects and cell death [\(Outeiro and Lindquist, 2003; Cooper et al., 2006; Petroi et al.,](#page-11-8) [2012\)](#page-11-8).

The main limitation of the yeast PD model is that despite forming a-synuclein cytoplasmic inclusions [\(Outeiro and Lind](#page-11-8)[quist, 2003; Cooper et al., 2006](#page-11-8)), which are also found in human neurons [\(Giasson et al., 1999; Cooper et al., 2006\)](#page-11-4), these are not comprised of insoluble  $\alpha$ -synuclein amyloid fibrils as found in Lewy bodies ([Soper et al., 2008\)](#page-12-5). Rather, inclusions in yeast consist of clusters of vesicles that contain  $\alpha$ -synuclein monomers, as well as  $\alpha$ -synuclein aggregates formed by large oligomeric species ([Soper et al., 2008; Sancenon et al., 2012;](#page-12-5) [Tenreiro et al., 2014; Menezes et al., 2015\)](#page-12-5). Interestingly, soluble oligomers of  $\alpha$ -synuclein are also enriched in PD patients, and they likely represent an early aggregated form of the protein that over time transitions to much larger, insoluble aggregates and amyloid fibrils [\(Sharon et al., 2003\)](#page-12-6).

Because a-synuclein controls vesicular dynamics and recycling in neurons, its basic functions seem to be maintained when the protein is expressed in yeast, thus making yeast a relevant model to study the biology and pathobiology of  $\alpha$ -synuclein [\(Zabrocki et al., 2008\)](#page-12-4).

So far, the process of  $\alpha$ -synuclein aggregation and inclusion formation *in vivo* in the yeast PD model has been characterized only qualitatively in terms of the number of integrated genome copies because of technological limitations ([Menezes et al., 2015; Outeiro](#page-11-7) [and Lindquist, 2003; Popova et al., 2015](#page-11-7)). Hence, the most inare needed to observe  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions in the yeast PD model ([Petroi et al., 2012\)](#page-12-7).

Here, we overcame current technical limitations enabling characterization of the yeast PD model quantitatively by dynamically regulating  $\alpha$ -synuclein protein expression over time in the very same cell population, that is, without the need of using strains with different numbers of genomic integrations of  $\alpha$ -synuclein. In so doing, we demonstrated that  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusion formation in yeast is strictly concentration dependent, but not time dependent. We precisely measured both the WT and disease-associated A53T a-synuclein protein aggregation thresholds, we studied the effects of inclusions on cell-cycle progression, and we dissected the contributions of proteasomal and autophagic pathways on the dynamics of A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions' clearance.

In addition to contributing to the biology of PD, our results have relevance for therapeutic applications because the yeast PD model is extensively used in large-scale screening of therapeutic small molecules and modifier genes [\(Cooper et al., 2006; Chen](#page-11-9) [et al., 2017](#page-11-9)).

#### RESULTS

#### Construction and Characterization of Yeast Strains Expressing WT or Mutant (A53T) Human  $\alpha$ -Synuclein from the Galactose-Inducible Promoter

We generated two *S. cerevisiae* strains expressing multiple integrated copies of either human WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein or the mutant A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein fused to the GFP under the control of the GAL-inducible promoter P*GAL1* [\(Figure 1A](#page-2-0)). Two copies were

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

#### Figure 2. Automated Microfluidics Feedback Control Enables Precise Regulation of a-Synuclein Expression over Time in Both WT  $\alpha$ -Synuclein Strain and A53T Mutant Strain

a-Synuclein-GFP fluorescence was quantified in each cell and normalized to the red fluorescence (mCherry protein) with a custom-made image processing algorithm [\(STAR Methods](#page-13-0)). Light gray lines show representative examples of single-cell traces ([STAR Methods\)](#page-13-0). Single-cell traces may start or end at different times, because of cells being born and cells being pushed out of the field of view.

(A and B) Population-averaged a-synuclein-GFP fluorescence (blue with SD across cells in gray) for the WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein strain (A) and the A53T mutant a-synuclein strain (B) grown in the microfluidics device in the presence of galactose. Examples of images below each time course show WT and A53T mutant a-synuclein-GFP at the indicated time points.

(C and D) Population-averaged a-synuclein-GFP fluorescence (blue with SD in gray) was controlled to the reference target value (yellow) by automatically switching between glucose and galactose (brown) as computed in real time by the Model Predictive Control strategy ([STAR Methods\)](#page-13-0). No  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions were observed over the course of the experiment in both strains. Examples of images below each time course show (C) WT and (D) A53T mutant  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP at the indicated time points.

See also [Figure S1.](#page-10-0)

integrated in specific loci on the chromosomes, and at least one copy was expressed from a yeast centromeric plasmid (YCp) ([STAR Methods](#page-13-0)). In addition, a genomically integrated red fluorescent reporter (mCherry) was constitutively expressed from the P*TEF2* promoter ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)A). mCherry protein fluorescence was used to normalize a-synuclein-GFP fluorescence across different experiments and strains ([STAR](#page-13-0) [Methods\)](#page-13-0). As shown in [Figure 1](#page-2-0)B, induction of  $\alpha$ -synuclein by galactose treatment resulted in a reduced growth rate in both strains, likely caused by toxicity of protein aggregates, as previously reported ([Outeiro and Lindquist, 2003; Cooper](#page-11-8) [et al., 2006\)](#page-11-8). Time-lapse microfluidics experiments confirmed the formation of cytoplasmic inclusions when  $\alpha$ -synuclein was overexpressed ([Figures 2](#page-3-0)A and 2B). The observed cell-to-cell variability in fluorescence levels (shadowed area in [Figures 2](#page-3-0)A and 2B) is well documented and extensively studied in yeast, and is mainly caused by extrinsic noise, that is, global differences in cellular environment, including growth rate and the concentration of RNA polymerases and ribosomes [\(Elowitz](#page-11-10) [et al., 2002; Raser and O'Shea, 2004; Rosenfeld et al.,](#page-11-10) [2005; Pedraza and van Oudenaarden, 2005](#page-11-10)). We quantified cell-to-cell variability as the SD of fluorescence across cells divided by their mean for each time point (also known as coefficient of variation [CV]) as reported in [Figure S1.](#page-10-0) The value of the CV is well in line with those previously observed in yeast [\(Volfson et al., 2006](#page-12-8)).

#### Automatic Feedback Control of a-Synuclein Expression

To quantitatively study WT and mutant  $\alpha$ -synuclein aggregation in the two strains, we made use of automated microfluidics feedback control of gene expression [\(Fiore et al., 2016; Menolascina](#page-11-11) [et al., 2014](#page-11-11)) to precisely regulate the amount of  $\alpha$ -synuclein produced by the cells over time, as shown in [Figure 1](#page-2-0)C [\(STAR](#page-13-0) [Methods](#page-13-0)). In brief, this automated platform performs the following steps: (1)  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP expression is measured in yeast cells with a time-lapse fluorescence microscope at 5-min sampling intervals,  $(2)$   $\alpha$ -synuclein protein level is quantified in individual cells from fluorescent images with a custom image processing algorithm, (3) a computer implementing a controller computes the duration of the galactose pulse (ranging from 0 to 5 min) needed to minimize the difference between the target a-synuclein level and the actual population-averaged fluorescence intensity across the cells, and (4) an automated set of syringes delivers galactose (or glucose) to the cell chamber in the microfluidic device. The controller implements a model predictive control (MPC) strategy to guarantee the tracking of the target reference a-synuclein concentrations at the cell population level ([Perrino et al., 2016\)](#page-12-9) ([STAR Methods\)](#page-13-0). We first applied this control strategy to regulate  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression at a sub-aggregation level (four normalized fluorescence units) for 2,000 min in both the WT strain [\(Figure 2](#page-3-0)C) and the A53T mutant strain [\(Figure 2](#page-3-0)D). Both time-lapse feedback control experiments did not show any protein aggregates in the cell population, unlike

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



(A-F) Six control experiments were performed to increase  $\alpha$ -synuclein protein expression stepwise in both the WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein strain (A-C) and mutant A53T a-synuclein strain (D–F). Population-averaged a-synuclein expression (blue with SD in gray) was tightly regulated to the target expression levels (yellow) by automatically switching between galactose and glucose (brown) as directed by the controller ([STAR Methods](#page-13-0)).  $\alpha$ -Synuclein-GFP fluorescence in single cells is normalized to mCherry fluorescence within each cell ([STAR Methods](#page-13-0)) to enable comparison across cells, strains, and experiments. Examples of images below each time course show WT and A53T mutant  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP at the indicated time points (A–F). Prior to the formation of inclusions,  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP is mainly on the membrane, whereas inclusions appear as bright cytoplasmic spots. See also [Videos S1](#page-10-0) and [S2.](#page-10-0)

cells continuously grown in galactose [\(Figures 2A](#page-3-0) and 2B), thus demonstrating the ability of the platform to control  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression in the cell population.

#### Inclusion Formation in Both WT and Mutant a-Synuclein Strains Is Strictly Concentration Dependent

We regulated  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression to nine increasing levels in both WT and A53T mutant strains in a stepwise fashion, as shown in [Figure 3.](#page-4-0) Each level was maintained for 500 min. At low expression levels ( $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP fluorescence  $\leq 4$ normalized units), both WT and A53T mutant  $\alpha$ -synuclein proteins were localized to the cell membrane ([Figures 3A](#page-4-0) and 3D). At higher concentrations, WT and A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein proteins began aggregating in some cells, with inclusions first appearing close to the plasma membrane [\(Figures 3C](#page-4-0) and 3F; [Videos S1](#page-10-0) and [S2](#page-10-0)), in agreement with previous observations ([Lee et al.,](#page-11-12) [2002; Galvagnion et al., 2015; Popova et al., 2015](#page-11-12)).

To test whether there is a precise concentration threshold above which  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions occur, we selected singlecell time course profiles with a minimum duration of 500 min from the time-lapse control experiments in [Figure 3](#page-4-0) ([STAR](#page-13-0) [Methods\)](#page-13-0). We thus obtained two single-cell datasets: one for the WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein strain and the other for the A53T mutant a-synuclein strain. For each cell, we quantified the normalized fluorescence of  $\alpha$ -synuclein upon inclusion formation (red dots, [Figures 4](#page-5-0)A and 4B). As shown in [Figure 4](#page-5-0)C, the distributions of fluorescence in the WT strain are significantly higher than in the A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein strain (Student's t test, p = 1.06  $\times$  10<sup>-23</sup>). In order to precisely quantify WT and A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein aggregation thresholds, we trained a classifier that, based solely on the measured fluorescence, assigned to each single-cell image (i.e., for each cell at each time point) a label indicating the presence or absence of inclusions in the image. When the fluorescence level is above a selected threshold, the classifier assigns

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

#### Figure 4. Single-Cell Time Course of  $\alpha$ -Synuclein Expression Reveals Specific Aggregation Thresholds for Both the Wild-Type and Disease-Associated Mutant Forms

a-Synuclein-GFP fluorescence was quantified in each cell and normalized to the red fluorescence (mCherry protein) with a custom-made image processing algorithm ([STAR Methods](#page-13-0)). \*\*\*p <  $0.001$ , \*\*\*\*p <  $0.0001$ , Student's t test.

(A and B) Heatmap representation of single-cell a-synuclein-GFP fluorescence for the WT strain (A) and A53T strain (B) during the control experiments reported in [Figure 3,](#page-4-0) where a-synuclein-GFP increases in a stepwise fashion. Each row represents a single cell and each column a 5-min time interval. Single-cell time-lapse imaging was used to detect the aggregation time point (red dot in each row), defined as the moment at which  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions become visible at the cell membrane. Only cells that formed inclusions are shown. Some cells did not show any inclusions during their lifespan because they did not reach the aggregation threshold. Of the cells that reach the aggregation threshold, the majority (83% for WT and 86% for A53T) exhibited inclusions. Single-cell traces may start or end at different times, because of cells being born and cells being pushed out of the field of view.

(C) Distribution of the  $\alpha$ -synuclein fluorescence at the aggregation time point across single cells in the WT (n = 53) and A53T (n = 64)  $\alpha$ -synuclein strains. The aggregation threshold of the WT strain is significantly higher than the A53T strain (Student's t test,  $p = 1.06 \times 10^{-23}$ ).

(D) Distribution of the a-synuclein aggregation delay across single cells in the WT (n = 53) and A53T (n = 64) a-synuclein strains. Aggregation delay is defined as the time interval prior to the aggregation time point during which the  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP fluorescence level was within 1 normalized unit from the the ''aggregation'' label, whereas when the fluorescence level is below the threshold, it assigns the ''no aggregation'' label. The performance of the classifier was evaluated according to its accuracy, *ACC*, defined as the rate of true predictions ([STAR](#page-13-0) [Methods\)](#page-13-0). The fluorescence threshold that gives the most accurate prediction is of 13.1 normalized units for WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein  $(ACC = 83.26%)$  and 7.4 normalized units for A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein (*ACC* = 85.97%). These results show that the A53T mutation causes the aggregation threshold to become about half of the WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein threshold (56%) ([STAR Methods](#page-13-0)).

Next, we asked how variable this threshold is across individual cells. To this end, we computed the CV of the fluorescence of individual cells at the time of aggregation. We found that the CV is quite small for both WT and A53T mutant  $\alpha$ -synuclein strains  $(CV_{WT} = 0.18; CV_{A53T} = 0.28)$ , and that the variability in the aggregation threshold is slightly increased by the mutation.

Finally, we estimated the aggregation delay as the time needed for inclusion formation once a-synuclein-GFP fluorescence level in the cell has reached the aggregation threshold. We found that inclusions form within 12 min after reaching the agaregation threshold in the WT strain and within 20 min in the A53T strain [\(Figure 4](#page-5-0)D; [STAR Methods](#page-13-0)). Despite these values being statistically different [\(Figure 4D](#page-5-0)), they differ by only 8 min, hence this difference may be negligible in biological terms, suggesting that the A53T mutation does not affect inclusion formation dynamics *in vivo*. It could also be possible that this difference is caused by the higher concentration of the WT a-synuclein needed for inclusion formation with respect to the mutant form ([Figure 4C](#page-5-0)), resulting in faster protein aggregation [\(Galvagnion et al., 2015](#page-11-13)).

Our results support the conclusion that  $\alpha$ -synuclein aggregation and inclusion formation in live yeast cells strictly follow a phase transition-like phenomenon governed by a threshold effect, such that inclusions will form only in cells expressing the protein above the threshold. Previous studies have suggested that yeast cells stop dividing upon  $\alpha$ -synuclein aggregation. We therefore analyzed single-cell traces to quantify cell-cycle duration before and after aggregation. We estimated an increase in cell-cycle duration for both the WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein strain (from 110 to 145 min; [Figure 4E](#page-5-0); Student's t test, p = 7.29  $\times$  10<sup>-7</sup>) and the A53T mutant strain (96 to 140 min, [Figure 4E](#page-5-0); Student's t test,  $p =$ 1.41  $\times$  10<sup>-17</sup>). Interestingly, we observed only a few instances of cells that completely stopped dividing upon aggregation (6% for the WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein strain and 11% for the A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein mutant strain). These results show that  $\alpha$ -synuclein toxicity in our setting mainly manifests in a slowdown of cell-cycle progression, which is similar between the WT and A53T mutant strains.

### Single-Cell Feedback Control of A53T Mutant a-Synuclein to Study Inclusion Formation and Clearance

Experiments performed so far were aimed at controlling the average expression of  $\alpha$ -synuclein across the cell population. We next regulated  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression at the single-cell level in order to observe inclusion formation and clearance in the same cell. We first performed a time-lapse control experiment to regulate A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression at two different levels: 500 min at 6 normalized units (below the A53T mutant aggregation threshold of 7.4) and 500 min at 10 normalized units (above the aggregation threshold) [\(Figures 5A](#page-7-0) and 5B; [Video S3](#page-10-0)). At the beginning of the control experiment, we randomly selected one cell to be controlled. Single-cell a-synuclein concentration was maintained close to the reference target levels by the automated microfluidics control platform, as shown in [Figures 5A](#page-7-0) and 5B [\(STAR Methods\)](#page-13-0). As expected, no inclusions were present when the expression level was kept close to but under the threshold level [\(Figure 5B](#page-7-0)). Inclusions instead became apparent when the level was increased to 10 normalized units (n.u.) [\(Fig](#page-7-0)[ure 5](#page-7-0)B). Concomitantly, we observed an increase in cell-cycle duration ([Figure 5](#page-7-0)A; [Video S3](#page-10-0)) in agreement with the results obtained when controlling the whole population ([Figure 4](#page-5-0)E). We then performed another single-cell control experiment [\(Fig](#page-7-0)[ure 5C](#page-7-0); [Video S4](#page-10-0)), this time regulating A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression at three different levels: 500 min at 6 n.u., 500 min at 10 n.u., and 500 min at 14 n.u. Single-cell time-lapse imaging confirmed that  $\alpha$ -synuclein did not form inclusions when expressed below the threshold [\(Figure 5D](#page-7-0)) and that the cell was dividing normally [\(Figure 5C](#page-7-0); [Video S4\)](#page-10-0). As expected, inclusions appeared soon after the threshold was reached, together with an increase in cell-cycle duration ([Figure 5](#page-7-0)C; [Video S4](#page-10-0)). Interestingly, during the first 1,200 min in [Figure 5C](#page-7-0), before the cell cycle starts to slow down, a small drop in fluorescence (on average 2.86%) can be observed after each cell division. Because the Gal promoter driving  $\alpha$ -synuclein transcription is not cell cycle dependent and because proteins are constantly produced in yeast during the cell cycle [\(Polymenis and Aramayo, 2015\)](#page-12-10), this small drop may be the result of an  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP dilution caused by volume growth during the cell cycle.

To investigate the dynamics of inclusion clearance, we repressed A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression at time 1,500 min by providing glucose to the cell for an additional 500 min ([Figure 5C](#page-7-0)). Interestingly, fluorescence intensity remained constant for 130 min from the removal of galactose (black dashed line in [Fig](#page-7-0)[ure 5](#page-7-0)C); afterward the cell cycle restarted and its duration returned close to pre-aggregation values despite inclusions still being present. Inclusions disappeared completely 495 min following galactose removal [\(Figure 5D](#page-7-0); [Video S4\)](#page-10-0).

#### Quantification of Autophagic and Proteasomal Contributions to the Clearance of Mutant A53T a-Synuclein

To further investigate the dynamics of A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions' clearance and to dissect the relative contributions of proteasomal and autophagic pathways, we performed a series of time-lapse microfluidics experiments chemically modulating

aggregation threshold. The average aggregation delay of the A53T mutant strain is only a few minutes higher than the WT strain (Student's t test,  $p = 0.0003$ ).

<sup>(</sup>E) Distributions of the cell-cycle duration across single cells before and after formation of  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions in both the WT (n = 53) and A53T (n = 64)  $\alpha$ -synuclein strains. The cell-cycle duration after  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions appear increases significantly in both WT (Student's t test, p = 7.29  $\times$  10<sup>-7</sup>) and A53T (Student's t test,  $p = 1.41 \times 10^{-17}$ ) strains. Outliers were removed according to the boxplot rule.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

#### Figure 5. Single-Cell Automated Microfluidics Feedback Control Enables Real-Time Monitoring of Mutant A53T a-Synuclein Aggregation and Clearance Dynamics

(A–D) Two single-cell control experiments are shown. a-Synuclein-GFP expression was quantified with an image processing algorithm [\(STAR Methods](#page-13-0)) and normalized to the red fluorescent reporter (mCherry protein; [STAR Methods](#page-13-0)). Cells were tracked in real-time using a custom algorithm ([STAR Methods](#page-13-0)). The budded phase of the cell cycle indicated by shaded gray areas was identified using a custom procedure ([STAR Methods\)](#page-13-0). Bud formation (dashed blue line) and cell division (dotted blue line) are also shown. Red circles indicate  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP fluorescence at cell divisions.

(A) Automatic feedback control of A53T a-synuclein-GFP expression (blue) in a single cell at two different levels (6 and 10 in yellow) below and above the A53T aggregation threshold (7.4 in red).

(B) Single-cell fluorescence images of the a-synuclein-GFP time course in (A). Images are taken at 5-min intervals. Red squares highlight images where  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP fluorescence level is at or above the aggregation threshold.  $\alpha$ -Synuclein-GFP inclusions appear only once the aggregation threshold is reached. (C) Automatic feedback control of A53T a-synuclein-GFP expression (blue) in a single cell at three different levels (6, 10, and 14 in yellow) below and above the A53T aggregation threshold (7.4 in red). To investigate clearance of  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions, at the end of the control experiment (1,500 min), glucose was provided to the cells, thus inhibiting A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP expression.

(D) Single-cell fluorescence images of the a-synuclein-GFP time course in (C). Red squares highlight images where a-synuclein-GFP fluorescence level is at or above the aggregation threshold.  $\alpha$ -Synuclein-GFP inclusions appear once the aggregation threshold is reached. Upon glucose treatment (1,500 min), a-synuclein-GFP expression decreases (from 1,500 to 2,000 min), and inclusions are cleared. See also [Videos S3](#page-10-0) and [S4](#page-10-0).

the proteasomal and autophagic pathways. Indeed, a-synuclein protein can be degraded both by the proteasome and by autophagy [\(Webb et al., 2003\)](#page-12-11), but the latter has been proposed to be the main driver in clearing WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions in the yeast PD model [\(Petroi et al., 2012; Popova et al., 2015](#page-12-7)). Here, we tested the effects of: (1) rapamycin, an autophagy inducer ([Kamada et al., 2004](#page-11-14)); (2) PMSF, an autophagy inhibitor [\(Take](#page-12-12)[shige et al., 1992](#page-12-12)); and (3) MG132, a proteasome inhibitor ([Lee](#page-11-15) [and Goldberg, 1998\)](#page-11-15). In order for these compounds to accumulate within yeast cells, we modified the mutant A53T strain by deleting the Pleiotropic Drug Resistance gene *PDR5* encoding an efflux transporter ([Ernst et al., 2005](#page-11-16)).

We analyzed A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions' clearance both at the population and single-cell level in the mutant  $\Delta$ pdr5 A53T strain upon treatment with the three compounds, as shown in [Figure 6.](#page-8-0) We grew cells overnight in the presence of galactose in the microfluidics device to induce formation of  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions; at time 0 min, galactose was replaced by glucose and fluorescence was quantified in individual cells ([STAR Methods](#page-13-0)).

[Figure 6A](#page-8-0) reports the population-averaged fluorescence computed from single-cell traces across the indicated condi-

tions. Enhancement of autophagy by rapamycin (yellow line) accelerates clearance compared with untreated control (red line), whereas inhibition of autophagy by PMSF slows it down (dark blue line). The proteasome inhibitor MG132 also slowed clearance dynamics, but to a lesser extent than PMSF (light blue line). These results clearly support the role of autophagy as a major player in the clearance of A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions.

At the population level, however, it is not possible to assess what are the main determinants of the observed differences in A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions' clearance dynamics. We thus analyzed single-cell traces upon the different treatment conditions [\(Figures 6](#page-8-0)B–6E). For each cell, we measured four parame-ters as depicted in [Figure 6](#page-8-0)B: (1) the time to first bud (TFB), defined as the time elapsed between the beginning of the experiment and the formation of the first cell bud; (2) the mean fluorescence value during the TFB; (3) the duration of each cell cycle; and (4) the drop at division after each cell cycle, defined as the percentage decrease in fluorescence between two consecutive cell cycles. [Figure 6](#page-8-0)F shows the distribution of the TFB for all the cells and across treatments. Rapamycin significantly reduced the TFB compared with untreated cells, whereas

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

#### Figure 6. Quantification of Autophagic and Proteasomal Contributions to the Clearance of Mutant A53T a-Synuclein

We tested the effects of three compounds: (1) rapamycin (100 nM), an autophagy inducer; (2) PMSF (1 mM), an autophagy inhibitor; and (3) MG132 (50  $\mu$ M), a proteasome inhibitor, in the mutant A53T a-synuclein strain deleted for the Pleiotropic Drug Resistance gene *PDR5* encoding an efflux transporter to enable accumulation of the small molecules ([STAR Methods\)](#page-13-0). Cells were grown overnight in the presence of galactose to induce formation of  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions. At time 0 min, galactose was replaced by glucose, and a-synuclein-GFP fluorescence was quantified in individual cells in the microfluidics device using a custom-made image processing algorithm ([STAR Methods\)](#page-13-0). Only cells that were present from the beginning to the end of the experiment were considered.

(A) Population-averaged a-synuclein-GFP fluorescence computed from single-cell traces [\(STAR Methods\)](#page-13-0) in each of the four conditions tested.  $t_{1/2}$  is time necessary for  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP fluorescence to become half of its initial value.

(B–E) Representative single-cell time course (solid blue lines) in the  $\Delta$ pdr5 A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein yeast strain for each of the indicated conditions: untreated (B), rapamycin (C), MG132 (D), and PMSF (E). a-Synuclein-GFP fluorescence in each individual cell is normalized to the mean fluorescence during the calibration phase [\(STAR](#page-13-0) [Methods\)](#page-13-0). The time at which  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions disappear is indicated by a yellow line [\(STAR Methods](#page-13-0)). The budded phase of the cell cycle is indicated by shaded gray areas. Bud formation (dashed blue line) and cell division (dotted blue line) are also shown. We defined four parameters as indicated in (B): time to first bud is defined as the time elapsed between the beginning of the experiment and the formation of the first bud of the cell. The mean fluorescence (solid black lines) is defined as the average fluorescence from the beginning of the experiment until the time to first bud. The drop of fluorescence at division is defined as the percentage decrease in fluorescence during the budded phase. The cell-

cycle duration is defined as the time between two consecutive budding events. Experimental fluorescence at division (red circles) and model-predicted fluorescence, assuming a drop in fluorescence of 38% at division [\(Jonas et al., 2018](#page-11-17)) caused by dilution (red squares), are also shown. (F–I) Distribution of time to first bud (F), mean fluorescence (G), duration of cell cycle (H), and drop at division (I) across single cells in the different conditions: untreated (n = 25), rapamycin (n = 14), MG132 (n = 24), and PMSF (n = 48). Solid black lines are the medians in each condition. Horizontal square brackets represent statistically significant pairwise comparisons with median values between conditions changing by at least 10%. Dashed horizontal square brackets represent pairwise comparison with median values between conditions changing less than 10%. \*p  $\leq$  0.1, \*\*p  $\leq$  0.05; Conover-Iman test of multiple comparisons using rank sums. The number of points in (H) and (I) is higher because each cell undergoes multiple divisions during the experiment; each point refers to a cell cycle in untreated (n = 91), rapamycin (n = 73), MG132 (n = 49), and PMSF (n = 137) condition. n.s., not significant. See also [Figures S2](#page-10-0) and [S3](#page-10-0) and [Video S5.](#page-10-0)

PMSF increased it, as did MG132 albeit to a lesser extent [\(Fig](#page-8-0)[ure 6](#page-8-0)F). Interestingly, the mean fluorescence value during the TFB was not significantly affected by treatments and remained close to 1, which is the value of fluorescence at the beginning of the experiment [\(Figure 6G](#page-8-0)). Cell-cycle duration, in [Figure 6H](#page-8-0), was significantly increased by MG132 treatment only. This effect of MG132 has been previously reported; indeed, these cells exhibit morphological defects forming pseudohyphae, which are probably caused by impairment of cell-cycle proteins' degradation ([Collins et al., 2010](#page-10-1)). The drop in fluorescence between consecutive cell cycles is reported in [Figure 6I](#page-8-0) and was not significantly affected by any treatment, and on average it is less than 50% independently of the treatment. This is in agreement with a dilution mechanism caused by yeast asymmetric cell division, where the mother cell is reported to shed on average 38% of its total mass to the daughter cell [\(Jonas et al.,](#page-11-17)

[2018](#page-11-17)). Indeed, a simple mathematical model that assumes a drop of 38% in fluorescence at each cell cycle recapitulates the decrease in fluorescence over time in each of the cells independently of the treatment (red squares in [Figures 6B](#page-8-0)–6E; [Fig](#page-10-0)[ure S3](#page-10-0)) [\(STAR Methods\)](#page-13-0).

This quantitative analysis shows that the clearance dynamics of  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions observed at the population level [\(Fig](#page-8-0)[ure 6](#page-8-0)A) largely result from the time it takes for each cell to bud following inhibition of  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression by glucose, i.e., the TFB in [Figure 6F](#page-8-0). Interestingly, during this time no measurable decrease in fluorescence can be observed [\(Figure 6G](#page-8-0)), whereas inclusions are still present [\(Figures 6B](#page-8-0)–6D; [Video S5](#page-10-0)). It has been reported that GFP is relatively stable in the vacuole, hence it may be possible that only the  $\alpha$ -synuclein moiety of the a-synuclein-GFP fusion protein is being degraded during this time ([Delorme-Axford et al., 2015](#page-11-18)). We also computed the time it takes for  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions to disappear in each single cell across the different conditions and found it to be highly correlated with the TFB (Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient r = 0.77;  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ; [Figure S2](#page-10-0)B). This result shows that the TFB can be used as a proxy to predict when inclusions will disappear.

Finally, we checked whether impairment of either proteasomal or autophagic pathways affects  $\alpha$ -synuclein protein clearance when this is expressed below its aggregation threshold and thus when no inclusions are present. We performed microfluidics control experiments in the mutant Apdr5 A53T strain to reach and maintain an  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression level of 4 normalized units for 500 min, which is below the aggregation threshold of mutant A53T synuclein, followed by inhibition of  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression for an additional 500 min, as shown in Figure S3, At the population level, treatment with either rapamycin or PMSF had no measurable effects on clearance dynamics ([Figure S3](#page-10-0)), demonstrating that autophagy has no role in A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein protein clearance when inclusions are not present. Cells treated with MG132 showed a marginal impairment of the clearance dy-namics [\(Figure S3\)](#page-10-0), which is likely driven by MG132 effects on the cell cycle and hence dilution, rather than to a direct effect of proteasome inhibition on a-synuclein. Indeed, because inclusions are not present, yeast cells continuously proliferate and hence dilution caused by cell division is the main driver of the fluorescence decay in these experiments.

#### **DISCUSSION**

In this work, we applied an innovative technological platform to quantitatively study the effect of  $\alpha$ -synuclein dosage in living cells in real time. Our platform is based on the application of Control Engineering to biological systems (a field named cybergenetics), and it represents a significant advance compared with previous studies assaying  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusion formation and clearance. Recently, a microfluidics device has been built to generate a chemical gradient resulting in nine different concentrations of galactose (or other small molecules) to induce different a-synuclein levels in a yeast PD model, but no inclusions were observed by galactose administration alone [\(Fer](#page-11-19)[nandes et al., 2014](#page-11-19)). Previous studies have also investigated clearance of WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions in the yeast PD model,

Here, we performed the detailed single-cell quantitative characterization of the yeast PD model and demonstrated that formation of inclusions in both WT and A53T mutant  $\alpha$ -synuclein yeast strains is strictly concentration dependent, in agreement with previous results obtained in cell-free models ([Iljina et al., 2016](#page-11-20)). Moreover, we precisely measured the *in vivo* aggregation threshold in both strains and demonstrated that the A53T mutation causes the threshold to become about half of the WT a-synuclein threshold [\(Figure 4;](#page-5-0) [Table S4](#page-10-0)), and that if a cell expresses the A53T protein above the threshold it will form inclusions with 86% probability (i.e., the accuracy of the classifier in [Table S4](#page-10-0)).

In addition, whereas the cell cycle slows down in the presence of inclusions and eventually stops [\(Figure 4E](#page-5-0)), there is not a quantitative difference between WT and A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein, implying that the increased toxicity observed in yeast growth assays has to be attributed uniquely to the lower aggregation threshold of A53T.

We chemically modulated the proteasomal and autophagic pathways to dissect their contribution to  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions' clearance. We quantitatively characterized clearance dynamics at the population level and at the single-cell level for two different concentrations of A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein, both below and above the aggregation threshold. We found that: (1) enhancing autophagy speeds up A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions' clearance, whereas repression of autophagy impairs it, with proteasome inhibition causing an intermediate effect; and (2) autophagy modulation has no effect on A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein clearance dynamics when its expression is below the aggregation threshold, and thus when inclusions are not present. By performing a detailed single-cell quantitative analysis of clearance dynamics, we demonstrated that the time it takes for the cell to bud following inhibition of A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression is the parameter most affected by autophagy modulation, whereas once cell division occurs, dilution is the main driver of inclusion clearance in all conditions tested. This means that autophagy exerts its beneficial effects in yeast before cell division occurs, enabling the cell to divide. This finding may impact the way the PD yeast model will be used for studying disease mechanisms and for screening drugs and targets. For example, the readout of most chemical and genetic screens in the yeast PD model is number of colonies formed in galactose, when inclusions are present. This phenotype can be measured only at the population level and requires considerable time to allow for colonies to become visible. We propose instead to adopt the TFB as the screening parameter to evaluate the efficacy of genetic and chemical perturbation, because it can be measured in single cells over a shorter period of time.

Our results show that the formation of inclusions in yeast is a phase-transition-like phenomenon, suggesting that inclusions form in equilibrium with  $\alpha$ -synuclein monomers and thus should "passively" dissolve as  $\alpha$ -synuclein concentration decreases below the aggregation threshold, unlike the insoluble amyloid aggregates found in Lewy bodies. We demonstrated that rapamycin treatment can considerably speed up inclusion clearance in yeast. This phenomenon may be explained either by assuming

that inclusions in yeast are actively degraded by autophagy, or by the effect of rapamycin on translational repression, which reduces the amount of the available  $\alpha$ -synuclein protein, or still by other indirect effects. However, when we treated cells with the vacuole protease inhibitor PMSF, inclusion clearance was considerably delayed, thus suggesting that active degradation by autophagy is the main player.

Protein aggregation plays key roles in cellular processes as wide ranging as disease initiation and progression, signaling, and evolution. Automated microfluidics feedback control represents a technology to quantitatively probe the phenomena linked to protein dosage. Moreover, we recently demonstrated that a similar microfluidics platform can be used to regulate protein expression in mammalian cells ([Postiglione et al., 2018](#page-12-13)), suggesting the possibility of performing similar quantitative studies in human neuronal cell lines in the near future. Our approach can be easily extended to unravel the dynamics of other proteins prone to aggregation, such as the tau protein.

#### **STAR**★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **EXEY RESOURCES TABLE**
- **. [CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING](#page-13-2)**
- **.** [EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS](#page-13-3)
	- $\circ$  Yeast Strains and Growth Conditions
- **[METHOD DETAILS](#page-13-4)** 
	- $\circ$  Plasmid Construction
	- O Strain Construction
	- $\circ$  Spotting Assays
	- $\circ$  Microfluidics
	- $\circ$  Phase Contrast and Epifluorescence Microscopy
	- O Image Processing
	- O Feedback Control Strategy
	- $\circ$  Experimental Setup
	- $\circ$  Treatments for Inclusions' Clearance Experiments
- **. [QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS](#page-16-0)** 
	- $\circ$  Normalization of  $\alpha$ -Synuclein Expression Level
	- $\circ$  Single-Cell Analysis of Control Experiments
	- $\circ$  Aggregation Threshold Estimation
	- $\circ$  Single-Cell Analysis of Inclusions' Clearance
	- $\circ$  Mathematical Model of Fluorescence Drop at Division
	- $\circ$  Model Prediction Error of Fluorescence Drop at Division
	- O Correlation Analysis between Time-to-First-Bud and Inclusions' Clearance Time
- **. [DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY](#page-1-4)**

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.03.081) [celrep.2019.03.081](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.03.081).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank J. Hasty (UCSD, USA) for the master mold of the microfluidic device and S. Lindquist lab (Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, USA) for  $\alpha$ -synuclein plasmids. This work was supported partly by Fondazione Telethon (grant TGM16SB1) and partly by the COSY-BIO (Control Engineering of Biological Systems for Reliable Synthetic Biology Applications) project, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement 766840.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

G.P. designed and performed the experiments. C.W. generated the yeast strains. M.S. helped with molecular cloning. G.P. and D.d.B. analyzed the data. D.d.B. conceived the idea and supervised the work. G.P., C.W., and D.d.B. wrote the manuscript.

#### DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: April 25, 2018 Revised: February 20, 2019 Accepted: March 22, 2019 Published: April 16, 2019

#### SUPPORTING CITATIONS

The following references appear in the Supplemental Information: [Gietz and](#page-11-21) [Sugino \(1998\); Janke et al. \(2004\); Taxis and Knop \(2006\)](#page-11-21).

#### **REFERENCES**

[Appel-Cresswell, S., Vilarino-Guell, C., Encarnacion, M., Sherman, H., Yu, I.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref1) [Shah, B., Weir, D., Thompson, C., Szu-Tu, C., Trinh, J., et al. \(2013\). Alpha](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref1)[synuclein p.H50Q, a novel pathogenic mutation for Parkinson's disease.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref1) [Mov. Disord.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref1) *28*, 811–813.

[Auluck, P.K., Caraveo, G., and Lindquist, S. \(2010\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref2) a-Synuclein: membrane in[teractions and toxicity in Parkinson's disease. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref2) *26*, [211–233.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref2)

<span id="page-10-2"></span>[Breker, M., Gymrek, M., and Schuldiner, M. \(2013\). A novel single-cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref3) [screening platform reveals proteome plasticity during yeast stress responses.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref3) [J. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref3) *200*, 839–850.

[Buell, A.K., Galvagnion, C., Gaspar, R., Sparr, E., Vendruscolo, M., Knowles,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref4) [T.P.J., Linse, S., and Dobson, C.M. \(2014\). Solution conditions determine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref4) [the relative importance of nucleation and growth processes in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref4)  $\alpha$ -synuclein [aggregation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref4) *111*, 7671–7676.

<span id="page-10-4"></span>[Camacho, E.F., and Alba, C.B. \(2007\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref5) Model Predictive Control (Springer-[Verlag\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref5).

<span id="page-10-3"></span>Chait, R., Ruess, J., Bergmiller, T., Tkačik, G., and Guet, C.C. (2017). Shaping [bacterial population behavior through computer-interfaced control of individ](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref6)[ual cells. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref6) *8*, 1535.

<span id="page-10-5"></span>[Charvin, G., Cross, F.R., and Siggia, E.D. \(2009\). Forced periodic expression of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref7) [G1 cyclins phase-locks the budding yeast cell cycle. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref7) USA *106*[, 6632–6637.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref7)

[Chen, Y.-C., Farzadfard, F., Gharaei, N., Chen, W.C.W., Cao, J., and Lu, T.K.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref8) [\(2017\). Randomized CRISPR-Cas Transcriptional Perturbation Screening](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref8) [Reveals Protective Genes against Alpha-Synuclein Toxicity. Mol. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref8) *68*, [247–257.e5](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref8).

[Cohen, S.I.A., Vendruscolo, M., Welland, M.E., Dobson, C.M., Terentjev, E.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref9) [and Knowles, T.P.J. \(2011\). Nucleated polymerization with secondary path](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref9)[ways. I. Time evolution of the principal moments. J. Chem. Phys.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref9) *135*, 065105. [Cohen, S.I.A., Vendruscolo, M., Dobson, C.M., and Knowles, T.P.J. \(2012\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref10) [From macroscopic measurements to microscopic mechanisms of protein](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref10) [aggregation. J. Mol. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref10) *421*, 160–171.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>[Collins, G.A., Gomez, T.A., Deshaies, R.J., and Tansey, W.P. \(2010\). Com](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref11)[bined chemical and genetic approach to inhibit proteolysis by the proteasome.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref11) Yeast *27*[, 965–974](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref11).

[Conway, K.A., Harper, J.D., and Lansbury, P.T. \(1998\). Accelerated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref12) *in vitro* fibril formation by a mutant  $\alpha$ [-synuclein linked to early-onset Parkinson dis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref12)[ease. Nat. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref12) *4*, 1318–1320.

<span id="page-11-9"></span>[Cooper, A.A., Gitler, A.D., Cashikar, A., Haynes, C.M., Hill, K.J., Bhullar, B., Liu,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref13) [K., Xu, K., Strathearn, K.E., Liu, F., et al. \(2006\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref13) a-Synuclein blocks ER-Golgi [traffic and Rab1 rescues neuron loss in Parkinson's models. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref13) *313*, [324–328](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref13).

<span id="page-11-18"></span>[Delorme-Axford, E., Guimaraes, R.S., Reggiori, F., and Klionsky, D.J. \(2015\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref14) [The yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae: an overview of methods to study auto](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref14)[phagy progression. Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref14) *75*, 3–12.

<span id="page-11-10"></span>[Elowitz, M.B., Levine, A.J., Siggia, E.D., and Swain, P.S. \(2002\). Stochastic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref15) [gene expression in a single cell. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref15) *297*, 1183–1186.

<span id="page-11-16"></span>[Ernst, R., Klemm, R., Schmitt, L., and Kuchler, K. \(2005\). Yeast ATP](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref16)-[binding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref16) [cassette transporters: cellular cleaning pumps. Methods Enzymol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref16) *400*, [460–484](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref16).

[Farrer, M., Kachergus, J., Forno, L., Lincoln, S., Wang, D.-S., Hulihan, M., Mar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref17)[aganore, D., Gwinn-Hardy, K., Wszolek, Z., Dickson, D., and Langston, J.W.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref17) [\(2004\). Comparison of kindreds with parkinsonism and alpha-synuclein](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref17) [genomic multiplications. Ann. Neurol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref17) *55*, 174–179.

<span id="page-11-19"></span>[Fernandes, J.T.S., Tenreiro, S., Gameiro, A., Chu, V., Outeiro, T.F., and Conde,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref18) [J.P. \(2014\). Modulation of alpha-synuclein toxicity in yeast using a novel micro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref18)[fluidic-based gradient generator. Lab Chip](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref18) *14*, 3949–3957.

<span id="page-11-22"></span>[Ferry, M.S., Razinkov, I.A., and Hasty, J. \(2011\). Microfluidics for synthetic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref19) [biology: from design to execution. Methods Enzymol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref19) *497*, 295–372.

<span id="page-11-11"></span>[Fiore, G., Perrino, G., di Bernardo, M., and di Bernardo, D. \(2016\). In Vivo Real-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref20)[Time Control of Gene Expression: A Comparative Analysis of Feedback](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref20) [Control Strategies in Yeast. ACS Synth. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref20) *5*, 154–162.

<span id="page-11-6"></span>[Flagmeier, P., Meisl, G., Vendruscolo, M., Knowles, T.P.J., Dobson, C.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref21) [Buell, A.K., and Galvagnion, C. \(2016\). Mutations associated with familial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref21) [Parkinson's disease alter the initiation and amplification steps of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref21)  $\alpha$ -synuclein [aggregation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref21) *113*, 10328–10333.

<span id="page-11-23"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>[Franklin, G.F., Powell, J.D., and Workman, M.L. \(1990\). Digital Control of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref22) [Dynamic Systems \(Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc.\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref22).

[Fusco, G., Pape, T., Stephens, A.D., Mahou, P., Costa, A.R., Kaminski, C.F.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref23) [Kaminski Schierle, G.S., Vendruscolo, M., Veglia, G., Dobson, C.M., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref23) [De Simone, A. \(2016\). Structural basis of synaptic vesicle assembly promoted](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref23) by a[-synuclein. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref23) *7*, 12563.

<span id="page-11-13"></span>[Galvagnion, C., Buell, A.K., Meisl, G., Michaels, T.C.T., Vendruscolo, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref24) [Knowles, T.P.J., and Dobson, C.M. \(2015\). Lipid vesicles trigger](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref24) a-synuclein [aggregation by stimulating primary nucleation. Nat. Chem. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref24) *11*, [229–234](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref24).

[Galvagnion, C., Brown, J.W.P., Ouberai, M.M., Flagmeier, P., Vendruscolo, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref25) [Buell, A.K., Sparr, E., and Dobson, C.M. \(2016\). Chemical properties of lipids](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref25) [strongly affect the kinetics of the membrane-induced aggregation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref25)  $\alpha$ -synu[clein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref25) *113*, 7065–7070.

[Garcia, G.A., Cohen, S.I.A., Dobson, C.M., and Knowles, T.P.J. \(2014\). Nucle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref26)[ation-conversion-polymerization reactions of biological macromolecules](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref26) [with prenucleation clusters. Phys. Rev. E Stat. Nonlin. Soft Matter Phys.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref26) *89*, [032712.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref26)

<span id="page-11-5"></span><span id="page-11-4"></span>[Giasson, B.I., Uryu, K., Trojanowski, J.Q., and Lee, V.M.-Y. \(1999\). Mutant and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref27) wild type human  $\alpha$ [-synucleins assemble into elongated filaments with distinct](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref27) [morphologies in vitro. J. Biol. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref27) *274*, 7619–7622.

<span id="page-11-21"></span>[Giehm, L., Lorenzen, N., and Otzen, D.E. \(2011\). Assays for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref28)  $\alpha$ -synuclein aggre[gation. Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref28) *53*, 295–305.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>[Gietz, R.D., and Sugino, A. \(1988\). New yeast-Escherichia coli shuttle vectors](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref29) [constructed with in vitro mutagenized yeast genes lacking six-base pair re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref29)[striction sites. Gene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref29) *74*, 527–534.

<span id="page-11-2"></span>[Goedert, M., Spillantini, M.G., Del Tredici, K., and Braak, H. \(2013\). 100 years](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref30) [of Lewy pathology. Nat. Rev. Neurol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref30) *9*, 13–24.

[Goedert, M., Jakes, R., and Spillantini, M.G. \(2017\). The synucleinopathies:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref31) [twenty years on. J. Parkinsons Dis.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref31) *7* (*Suppl 1*), S51–S69.

Ibáñez, P., Bonnet, A.-M., Dé[barges, B., Lohmann, E., Tison, F., Pollak, P.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref32) [Agid, Y., D](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref32)ü[rr, A., and Brice, A. \(2004\). Causal relation between](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref32)  $\alpha$ -synuclein [gene duplication and familial Parkinson's disease. Lancet](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref32) *364*, 1169–1171.

<span id="page-11-20"></span>[Iljina, M., Garcia, G.A., Horrocks, M.H., Tosatto, L., Choi, M.L., Ganzinger,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref33) [K.A., Abramov, A.Y., Gandhi, S., Wood, N.W., Cremades, N., et al. \(2016\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref33) [Kinetic model of the aggregation of alpha-synuclein provides insights into](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref33) [prion-like spreading. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref33) *113*, E1206–E1215.

<span id="page-11-3"></span>[Ingelsson, M. \(2016\). Alpha-Synuclein Oligomers-Neurotoxic Molecules in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref34) [Parkinson's Disease and Other Lewy Body Disorders. Front. Neurosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref34) *10*, [408](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref34).

[Janke, C., Magiera, M.M., Rathfelder, N., Taxis, C., Reber, S., Maekawa, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref35) [Moreno-Borchart, A., Doenges, G., Schwob, E., Schiebel, E., and Knop, M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref35) [\(2004\). A versatile toolbox for PCR-based tagging of yeast genes: new fluores](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref35)[cent proteins, more markers and promoter substitution cassettes. Yeast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref35) *21*, [947–962](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref35).

<span id="page-11-17"></span>[Jonas, F., Soifer, I., and Barkai, N. \(2018\). A Visual Framework for Classifying](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref36) [Determinants of Cell Size. Cell Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref36) *25*, 3519–3529.e2.

<span id="page-11-14"></span>[Kamada, Y., Sekito, T., and Ohsumi, Y. \(2004\). Autophagy in yeast: a TOR](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref37)[mediated response to nutrient starvation. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref37) *279*[, 73–84](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref37).

[Kiely, A.P., Asi, Y.T., Kara, E., Limousin, P., Ling, H., Lewis, P., Proukakis, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref38) [Quinn, N., Lees, A.J., Hardy, J., et al. \(2013\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref38) a-Synucleinopathy associated [with G51D SNCA mutation: a link between Parkinson's disease and multiple](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref38) [system atrophy? Acta Neuropathol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref38) *125*, 753–769.

[Koprich, J.B., Kalia, L.V., and Brotchie, J.M. \(2017\). Animal models of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref39)  $\alpha$ -synu[cleinopathy for Parkinson disease drug development. Nat. Rev. Neurosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref39) *18*, [515–529](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref39).

Krüger, R., Kuhn, W., Müller, T., Woitalla, D., Graeber, M., Kösel, S., Przuntek, H., Epplen, J.T., Schö[ls, L., and Riess, O. \(1998\). Ala30Pro mutation in the gene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref40) encoding a[-synuclein in Parkinson's disease. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref40) *18*, 106–108.

Lá[zaro, D.F., Pavlou, M.A.S., and Outeiro, T.F. \(2017\). Cellular models as tools](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref41) [for the study of the role of alpha-synuclein in Parkinson's disease. Exp. Neurol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref41) *298* (*Pt B*[\), 162–171.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref41)

<span id="page-11-15"></span>[Lee, D.H., and Goldberg, A.L. \(1998\). Proteasome inhibitors: valuable new](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref42) [tools for cell biologists. Trends Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref42) *8*, 397–403.

<span id="page-11-12"></span>[Lee, H.-J., Choi, C., and Lee, S.-J. \(2002\). Membrane-bound](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref43)  $\alpha$ -synuclein has a [high aggregation propensity and the ability to seed the aggregation of the cyto](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref43)[solic form. J. Biol. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref43) *277*, 671–678.

[Lorenzen, N., Nielsen, S.B., Buell, A.K., Kaspersen, J.D., Arosio, P., Vad, B.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref44) [Paslawski, W., Christiansen, G., Valnickova-Hansen, Z., Andreasen, M., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref44) (2014). The role of stable  $\alpha$ [-synuclein oligomers in the molecular events under](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref44)[lying amyloid formation. J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref44) *136*, 3859–3868.

Martikainen, M.H., Päivärinta, M., Hietala, M., and Kaasinen, V. (2015). Clinical [and imaging findings in Parkinson disease associated with the A53E SNCA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref45) [mutation. Neurol. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref45) *1*, e27.

<span id="page-11-7"></span>[Menezes, R., Tenreiro, S., Macedo, D., Santos, C.N., and Outeiro, T.F. \(2015\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref46) [From the baker to the bedside: yeast models of Parkinson's disease. Microb.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref46) Cell *2*[, 262–279](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref46).

[Menolascina, F., Fiore, G., Orabona, E., De Stefano, L., Ferry, M., Hasty, J., di](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref47) [Bernardo, M., and di Bernardo, D. \(2014\). In-vivo real-time control of protein](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref47) [expression from endogenous and synthetic gene networks. PLoS Comput.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref47) Biol. *10*[, e1003625](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref47).

[Milias-Argeitis, A., Rullan, M., Aoki, S.K., Buchmann, P., and Khammash, M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref48) [\(2016\). Automated optogenetic feedback control for precise and robust](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref48) [regulation of gene expression and cell growth. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref48) *7*, 12546.

<span id="page-11-8"></span>[Outeiro, T.F., and Lindquist, S. \(2003\). Yeast cells provide insight into alpha](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref49)[synuclein biology and pathobiology. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref49) *302*, 1772–1775.

<span id="page-11-24"></span>[Pannocchia, G., and Rawlings, J.B. \(2003\). Disturbance models for offset-free](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref50) [model-predictive control. AIChE J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref50) *49*, 426–437.

[Pasanen, P., Myllykangas, L., Siitonen, M., Raunio, A., Kaakkola, S., Lyytinen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref51) J., Tienari, P.J., Pöyhö[nen, M., and Paetau, A. \(2014\). Novel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref51)  $\alpha$ -synuclein [mutation A53E associated with atypical multiple system atrophy and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref51) [Parkinson's disease-type pathology. Neurobiol. Aging](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref51) *35*, 2180.e1–2180.e5.

[Pedraza, J.M., and van Oudenaarden, A. \(2005\). Noise propagation in gene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref52) [networks. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref52) *307*, 1965–1969.

<span id="page-12-9"></span>[Perrino, G., Wilson, C., Santorelli, M., and di Bernardo, D. \(2016\). Control of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref53) [gene expression for the study of neurodegenerative disorders: a proof-of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref53)[principle experimental study. IFAC-Papers OnLine.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref53) *49*, 8–13.

<span id="page-12-7"></span>[Petroi, D., Popova, B., Taheri-Talesh, N., Irniger, S., Shahpasandzadeh, H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref54) [Zweckstetter, M., Outeiro, T.F., and Braus, G.H. \(2012\). Aggregate clearance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref54) of a[-synuclein in Saccharomyces cerevisiae depends more on autophago](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref54)[some and vacuole function than on the proteasome. J. Biol. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref54) *287*, [27567–27579](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref54).

<span id="page-12-10"></span>[Polymenis, M., and Aramayo, R. \(2015\). Translate to divide:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref55) c[ontrol of the cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref55) [cycle by protein synthesis. Microb. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref55) *2*, 94–104.

<span id="page-12-2"></span>[Polymeropoulos, M.H., Lavedan, C., Leroy, E., Ide, S.E., Dehejia, A., Dutra, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref56) [Pike, B., Root, H., Rubenstein, J., Boyer, R., et al. \(1997\). Mutation in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref56) a[-synuclein gene identified in families with Parkinson's disease. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref56) *276*[, 2045–2047.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref56)

[Popova, B., Kleinknecht, A., and Braus, G.H. \(2015\). Posttranslational Modifi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref57)cations and Clearing of a[-Synuclein Aggregates in Yeast. Biomolecules](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref57) *5*, [617–634.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref57)

<span id="page-12-13"></span>[Postiglione, L., Napolitano, S., Pedone, E., Rocca, D.L., Aulicino, F., Santorelli,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref58) [M., Tumaini, B., Marucci, L., and di Bernardo, D. \(2018\). Regulation of Gene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref58) [Expression and Signaling Pathway Activity in Mammalian Cells by Automated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref58) [Microfluidics Feedback Control. ACS Synth. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref58) *7*, 2558–2565.

[Proukakis, C., Dudzik, C.G., Brier, T., MacKay, D.S., Cooper, J.M., Millhauser,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref59) [G.L., Houlden, H., and Schapira, A.H. \(2013\). A novel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref59) a-synuclein missense [mutation in Parkinson disease. Neurology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref59) *80*, 1062–1064.

[Raser, J.M., and O'Shea, E.K. \(2004\). Control of stochasticity in eukaryotic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref60) [gene expression. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref60) *304*, 1811–1814.

<span id="page-12-14"></span>Rea, D., Perrino, G., di Bernardo, D., Marcellino, L., and Romano, D. (2018). A GPU algorithm for tracking yeast cells in phase-contrast microscopy images. Int. J. High Perform. Comput. Appl. Published online September 24, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094342018801482>.

[Rosenfeld, N., Young, J.W., Alon, U., Swain, P.S., and Elowitz, M.B. \(2005\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref62) [Gene regulation at the single-cell level. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref62) *307*, 1962–1965.

[Sancenon, V., Lee, S.-A., Patrick, C., Griffith, J., Paulino, A., Outeiro, T.F., Re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref63)[ggiori, F., Masliah, E., and Muchowski, P.J. \(2012\). Suppression of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref63)  $\alpha$ -synuclein [toxicity and vesicle trafficking defects by phosphorylation at S129 in yeast de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref63)[pends on genetic context. Hum. Mol. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref63) *21*, 2432–2449.

Schneider, K.L., Nyströ[m, T., and Widlund, P.O. \(2018\). Studying Spatial Pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref64)[tein Quality Control, Proteopathies, and Aging Using Different Model Misfold](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref64)ing Proteins in *S. cerevisiae*[. Front. Mol. Neurosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref64) *11*, 249.

<span id="page-12-6"></span>[Sharon, R., Bar-Joseph, I., Frosch, M.P., Walsh, D.M., Hamilton, J.A., and Sel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref65)[koe, D.J. \(2003\). The formation of highly soluble oligomers of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref65)  $\alpha$ -synuclein is [regulated by fatty acids and enhanced in Parkinson's disease. Neuron](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref65) *37*, [583–595.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref65)

<span id="page-12-1"></span>[Singleton, A.B., Farrer, M., Johnson, J., Singleton, A., Hague, S., Kachergus,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref66) [J., Hulihan, M., Peuralinna, T., Dutra, A., Nussbaum, R., et al. \(2003\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref66) a-Synu[clein locus triplication causes Parkinson's disease. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref66) *302*, 841.

<span id="page-12-5"></span>[Soper, J.H., Roy, S., Stieber, A., Lee, E., Wilson, R.B., Trojanowski, J.Q., Burd,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref67) C.G., and Lee, V.M. (2008). a[-Synuclein-induced aggregation of cytoplasmic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref67) [vesicles in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Biol. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref67) *19*, 1093–1103.

<span id="page-12-12"></span>[Takeshige, K., Baba, M., Tsuboi, S., Noda, T., and Ohsumi, Y. \(1992\). Auto](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref68)[phagy in yeast demonstrated with proteinase-deficient mutants and condi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref68)[tions for its induction. J. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref68) *119*, 301–311.

[Taxis, C., and Knop, M. \(2006\). System of centromeric, episomal, and integra](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref69)[tive vectors based on drug resistance markers for Saccharomyces cerevisiae.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref69) [Biotechniques](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref69) *40*, 73–78.

[Tenreiro, S., Reim](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref70)a[o-Pinto, M.M., Antas, P., Rino, J., Wawrzycka, D., Macedo,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref70) ~ D., Rosado-Ramos, R., Amen, T., Waiss, M., Magalhães, F., et al. (2014). [Phosphorylation modulates clearance of alpha-synuclein inclusions in a yeast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref70) [model of Parkinson's disease. PLoS Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref70) *10*, e1004302.

[Uhlendorf, J., Miermont, A., Delaveau, T., Charvin, G., Fages, F., Bottani, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref71) [Batt, G., and Hersen, P. \(2012\). Long-term model predictive control of gene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref71) [expression at the population and single-cell levels. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref71) USA *109*[, 14271–14276.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref71)

<span id="page-12-3"></span>[Visanji, N.P., Brotchie, J.M., Kalia, L.V., Koprich, J.B., Tandon, A., Watts, J.C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref72) and Lang, A.E. (2016). a[-Synuclein-Based Animal Models of Parkinson's](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref72) [Disease: Challenges and Opportunities in a New Era. Trends Neurosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref72) *39*, [750–762.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref72)

<span id="page-12-8"></span>[Volfson, D., Marciniak, J., Blake, W.J., Ostroff, N., Tsimring, L.S., and Hasty, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref73) [\(2006\). Origins of extrinsic variability in eukaryotic gene expression. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref73) *439*[, 861–864](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref73).

<span id="page-12-11"></span>[Webb, J.L., Ravikumar, B., Atkins, J., Skepper, J.N., and Rubinsztein, D.C.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref74) (2003). a[-Synuclein is degraded by both autophagy and the proteasome.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref74) J. Biol. Chem. *278*[, 25009–25013.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref74)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>[Wislet-Gendebien, S., D'Souza, C., Kawarai, T., St George-Hyslop, P., West](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref75)[away, D., Fraser, P., and Tandon, A. \(2006\). Cytosolic proteins regulate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref75) a[-synuclein dissociation from presynaptic membranes. J. Biol. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref75) *281*, [32148–32155](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref75).

<span id="page-12-4"></span>[Zabrocki, P., Bastiaens, I., Delay, C., Bammens, T., Ghillebert, R., Pellens, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref76) [De Virgilio, C., Van Leuven, F., and Winderickx, J. \(2008\). Phosphorylation,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref76) lipid raft interaction and traffic of a[-synuclein in a yeast model for Parkinson.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref76) [Biochim. Biophys. Acta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref76) *1783*, 1767–1780.

Zarranz, J.J., Alegre, J., Gó[mez-Esteban, J.C., Lezcano, E., Ros, R., Ampuero,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref77) I., Vidal, L., Hoenicka, J., Rodriguez, O., Atarés, B., et al. (2004). The new mu[tation, E46K, of alpha-synuclein causes Parkinson and Lewy body dementia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref77) [Ann. Neurol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2211-1247(19)30420-6/sref77) *55*, 164–173.

### <span id="page-13-0"></span>STAR+METHODS

#### <span id="page-13-1"></span>KEY RESOURCES TABLE



#### <span id="page-13-2"></span>CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Diego di Bernardo ([dibernardo@tigem.it\)](mailto:dibernardo@tigem.it).

#### <span id="page-13-3"></span>EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

#### Yeast Strains and Growth Conditions

All *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains used in this study are listed in [Table S1.](#page-10-0) For all experimental conditions, cells were cultured at 30 °C in synthetic complete drop-out media, composed of yeast nitrogen base (0.67% *w*/*v*) with all amino acids except leucine, supplemented with either glucose (2%  $w/v$ ), or raffinose (2%  $w/v$ ), or galactose (2%  $w/v$ ) and raffinose (2%  $w/v$ ).

#### <span id="page-13-4"></span>METHOD DETAILS

#### Plasmid Construction

All plasmids used in this study are listed in [Table S2.](#page-10-0) WT and A53T a-synuclein expression cassettes were amplified from pRS304 aSynWT-GFP and pRS304-aSynA53T-GFP, respectively, (a kind gift from S. Lindquist lab) via PCR and cloned into both pYM27 (kanamycin selection) and pYM25 (hygromycin selection) between SalI and BglII restriction sites or into the centromeric plasmid YCplac111. All plasmid sequences were checked by Sanger sequencing.

#### Strain Construction

All yeast strains used are listed in [Table S1](#page-10-0). The strain constitutively expressing mCherry was derived from a strain with TEF2<sub>pr</sub>-mCherry::URA3 integrated into the URA3 locus ([Breker et al., 2013\)](#page-10-2). The  $\alpha$ Syn expression cassettes were PCR-amplified from the pYM27 and pYM25 constructs using oligonucleotides containing sequences homologous to the 5' and 3' UTR of the dubious ORFs YMR082C or YFR054C, respectively. Strain yDdB001 (expressing mCherry) was transformed sequentially with the respective amplicons using standard procedures. Correct integrations were verified by PCR on extracted genomic DNA. The multiple copy WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein strain contains two integrated WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression cassettes (YMR082C $\Delta$ ::GAL1p-SNCA-GFP-KanMX and YFR054CA::GAL1p-SNCA-GFP-HphMX) and at least one copy expressed from a yeast centromeric plasmid (YCplac111-GAL1p-SNCA-GFP-LEU2). The multiple copy A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein strain contains two integrated A53T a-synuclein expression cassettes (YMR082CA::GAL1p-sncaA53T-GFP-KanMX and YFR054CA::GAL1p-sncaA53T-GFP-HphMX) and at least one copy expressed from a yeast centromeric plasmid (YCplac111-GAL1p-sncaA53T-GFP-LEU2). Deletion of the Pdr5 gene was performed by amplifying the natNH2 gene (nourseothricin selection) from plasmid pRS41N using oligonucleotides containing sequences homologous to the 5' and 3' UTR of the Pdr5 gene, followed by transformation of the multiple copy A53T a-synuclein strain.

#### Spotting Assays

Cells from a frozen glycerol stock  $(-80 °C)$  were resuspended in 1 *mL* of growth media supplemented with glucose  $(2\% w/v)$ , grown in a shaking incubator at 220 *r.p.m.* and 30 °C to log phase. The cells were diluted to the concentration of  $OD_{600} = 0.1$  ( $\sim$  3  $\times$ 10<sup>6</sup>cells/mL), and then ten-fold serially diluted and spotted onto synthetic complete drop-out media plates supplemented either with glucose (uninduced; 2%  $w/v$ ) or galactose (induced; 2%  $w/v$ ). Plates were incubated at 30 °C for 3 days.

#### **Microfluidics**

All microfluidics experiments were performed by means of the MFD0005a device [\(Ferry et al., 2011\)](#page-11-22). This device contains a chamber in which the yeast cells are trapped. The height of the chamber  $(3.5 \mu m)$  allows the yeast cells to grow only in a monolayer, simplifying the image analysis process. Microfluidic devices were fabricated with a replica molding technique. The master-mold was produced using multilayer soft-lithography with SU-8 as photoresist. Before the fabrication, the master-mold was exposed to vapors of chlorotrimethylsilane (CARLO ERBA Reagents) for 10 *min* in order to create an anti-sticking layer for polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS; Sylgard 184, Dow Corning). The PDMS was poured on the top of the master-mold with a 1 : 10 ratio (curing agent to base;  $w/w$ ) and then cured at 80 *C* for 2 *hrs*. Next, the PDMS layer was cut and peeled from the master-mold. The inlet ports of the PDMS device were pierced with a micro-puncher (0:5 *mm*; World Precision Instruments), and then the PDMS device was washed overnight in isopropyl alcohol. A cover glass (thickness no. 1.5; Marienfeld-Superior) was first cleaned in acetone, then washed in ddH2O, and finally cleaned in isopropyl alcohol. The PDMS device and the cover glass were left overnight to dry, and then bonded together irreversibly by undergoing a plasma treatment for 1 *min* in a plasma cleaner machine (ZEPTO version B; Diener electronic). The bonded device was baked for 2 *hrs* at 80 *C*, and then stored at 4 *C* until use. The fluid that reaches the chamber of the microfluidic device is a mixture of the growth media coming from the two inlet ports of the microfluidic device. The blending of the growth media depends on the relative pressure between the two fluids at the inlet ports. In order to change the relative pressure, we devised an automated actuation system that varies the relative height of the two syringes filled with the inducing/uninducing media. The actuation system relies on two custom vertically mounted linear actuators, that are conceived to move independently. Each linear actuator comprises a stepper motor that realizes the motion of the syringe through a timing belt and two pulleys. A custom MATLAB script pilots the stepper motors in order to realize the galactose pulse according to the computed control input.

#### Phase Contrast and Epifluorescence Microscopy

Phase contrast and epifluorescence images were acquired at 5 min intervals at 40  $\times$  magnification (CFI Plan Fluor DLL 40  $\times$  dry objective, NA 0.75; Nikon Instruments) using an Eclipse Ti-E inverted microscope (Nikon Instruments) coupled with an EMCCD camera (iXon Ultra 897; Andor Technology) and a Perfect Focus System (Nikon Instruments). The microfluidic device was placed on the microscope stage within a  $30^{\circ}$ C incubator system. Appropriate filters were used for acquiring the green (Piston GFP; Nikon Instruments) and the red (TRITC HYQ; Nikon Instruments) fluorescence channels. Time-lapse acquisition was controlled by the NIS-Elements Advanced Research software (Nikon Instruments). Raw phase contrast and epifluorescence images were processed using custom MATLAB software. The procedures to quantify the  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression levels among the time-lapse experiments are described below.

#### Image Processing

The procedures for quantifying the  $\alpha$ -synuclein expression levels were performed using custom scripts in the MATLAB environment. Yeast cells were localized by processing the phase contrast images with a custom segmentation algorithm. Raw phase contrast images were enhanced by using the MATLAB function *imadjust* of the *Image Processing Toolbox*. A Gaussian low pass filter was first designed with the MATLAB function *fspecial* of the *Image Processing Toolbox*, and then applied to the enhanced images with the MATLAB function *imfilter* of the *Image Processing Toolbox*. Next, yeast cells were found in the processed phase contrast images by using the circular Hough Transform. The circular Hough Transform detects the circles in the image, and it is implemented by the MATLAB function *imfindcircles* of the *Image Processing Toolbox*. The function returns a two-column matrix containing the centroids of the yeasts found in the phase contrast images, and the radii associated to each centroid. Such information was employed to create a binary mask that defines the pixels of the fluorescence images associated to the yeast cells. Fluorescence intensities were quantified by processing the fluorescence images (green channel and red channel) with the binary masks described above and a custom MATLAB script. Average fluorescence levels were computed by integrating the signals from the selected pixels. Small rectangular regions outside the microfluidic chamber were used to eliminate the basal fluorescence levels. The basal fluorescence levels were first computed by integrating the fluorescence signals emitted by the pixels belonging to these regions, and then subtracted from the average fluorescence levels. Note that the fluorescence intensities are measured in arbitrary units. Evolution of single cells were tracked using a custom MATLAB script. The script comprises a tracking module that searches the correspondences between the objects (i.e., the centroids) detected in two consecutive phase contrast images by minimizing a cost configuration (i.e., the displacements among the centroids of two consecutive images) and a lineage analysis module that builds a genealogical tree containing the mother-daughter relationships among the yeast cells. Since the tracking module is computationally expensive to be executed in real-time, a GPU-parallel software implementation of the tracking module was developed [\(Rea et al., 2018\)](#page-12-14).

#### Feedback Control Strategy

All control experiments were performed by means of a model predictive control (MPC) algorithm, which has been successfully applied to control gene expression and protein activation in yeast [\(Chait et al., 2017; Fiore et al., 2016; Milias-Argeitis et al., 2016;](#page-10-3) [Uhlendorf et al., 2012](#page-10-3)). MPC is an optimization-based technique which uses a dynamical model to predict the future behavior of the controlled system in order to compute the best control input to steer the system output toward the desired reference [\(Camacho](#page-10-4) [and Alba, 2007](#page-10-4)). The MPC algorithm was implemented as previously described unless otherwise specified [\(Fiore et al., 2016](#page-11-11)). In our system, at each sampling time *kT*, MPC computes the optimal duration *d* of the galactose pulse (ranging from 0 min to 5 min, assuming a period *T* equals to 5 min) needed to minimize a cost index (*SSE*, sum of the predicted squared control error) over a prediction horizon  $T_P$  (2 *hrs*). The control error *e* is defined as the difference between the desired  $\alpha$ -synuclein level  $y_{ref}$  and the measured (or predicted, as in the case of the MPC algorithm) a-synuclein level *y*. Optimization was performed with the MATLAB function *fmincon* of the *Optimization Toolbox*. Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP) was used as solver method. The dynamical model used by the MPC algorithm consists of three difference linear equations:

$$
x_1(k+1) = a_{11} x_1(k) + a_{12} x_2(k) + b_1 u(k)
$$
  

$$
x_2(k+1) = a_{21} x_1(k) + a_{22} x_2(k) + b_2 u(k)
$$

 $x_3(k + 1) = x_3(k)$ 

and a further equation that describes the system output:

$$
y(k) = c_1 x_1(k) + c_2 x_2(k) + x_3(k)
$$

In the above equations,  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$  represents the system state, which has no physical meaning in our model. Instead, *u* represents the control input, and it is mathematically described as:

$$
u(k) = \frac{d(k)}{T}
$$

Since  $d \leq T \Rightarrow u \in [0, 1]$ . We thus assumed that the control input is piecewise constant during the period *T* (zero-order hold method) ([Franklin et al., 1990](#page-11-23)). Moreover, the state variable *x*<sup>3</sup> augments the order of the dynamical model in order to reduce the steady-state error ([Pannocchia and Rawlings, 2003](#page-11-24)). State system is numerically estimated from the measured fluorescence data by using a Kalman filter. Model parameters used in this study are reported in [Table S3](#page-10-0). The MPC algorithm was implemented by custom scripts in the MATI AB environment.

#### Experimental Setup

For microfluidics control experiments ([Figures 2,](#page-3-0) [3](#page-4-0), and [S3\)](#page-10-0), cells from a frozen glycerol stock (-80 °C) were resuspended in 10 *mL* of growth medium supplemented with raffinose  $(2\% w/v)$ , grown overnight in a shaking incubator at 220 *r.p.m*. and 30 °C; poured in a 50 *mL* syringe (BD), and then injected in the microfluidic device as previously described ([Fiore et al., 2016](#page-11-11)). Unless otherwise specified, cells were left to settle in the chamber for 15 min with growth medium supplemented with glucose (2%  $w/v$ ). Image acquisition was then launched, as well as the custom software for running the time-lapse control experiment. At the beginning of the experiment, a *region of interest* (ROI) was selected on the first phase contrast image. The ROI defines the area containing the cellular population that has to be segmented and whose fluorescence signals have to be quantified. Indeed, all custom software considers only cells inside this area. Outside the chamber another area is selected on the first phase contrast image, that defines the background to quantify the basal fluorescence levels in each fluorescence channel. For single-cell control experiments ([Figure 5](#page-7-0)), the cell to be controlled was chosen randomly at the end of the initial calibration phase (15 min in growth medium supplemented with glucose). For inclusions' clearance experiments ([Figure 6](#page-8-0)), cells from a frozen glycerol stock  $(-80 °C)$  were resuspended in 10  $mL$  of growth medium supplemented with raffinose  $(2\% w/v)$  and galactose  $(2\% w/v)$ , grown overnight in a shaking incubator at 220 *r*:*p*:*m*: and 30 *C*; poured in a 50 *mL* syringe (BD), and then injected in the microfluidic device as previously described [\(Fiore et al., 2016\)](#page-11-11). Cells were left to settle in the chamber for 10 min with growth medium supplemented with galactose (2%  $w/v$ ) and raffinose (2%  $w/v$ ). Image acquisition was then launched. After 10 min, galactose and raffinose were replaced by glucose  $(2\% w/v)$ . For all microfluidics experiments, the experimental platform was initialized as previously described ([Fiore et al., 2016\)](#page-11-11).

#### Treatments for Inclusions' Clearance Experiments

Three compounds were used to study the clearance of a-synuclein inclusions: (i) rapamycin at a concentration of 100 *nM*, (ii) phenylmethane-sulfonyl-fluoride (PMSF) at a concentration of 1 *mM*, and (iii) MG132 (also known as carbobenzoxy-Leu-Leu-leucinal) at a concentration of 50  $\mu$ *M*. All the compounds were added to the growth medium supplemented with glucose.

#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical details are provided in the figure legends unless otherwise specified. The  $\alpha$ -synuclein concentrations in both [Figures 2](#page-3-0) and [3](#page-4-0) are presented as means ± standard deviations. Quantification and statistical analysis of the single-cell aggregation analysis were performed in the MATLAB environment. The statistical comparisons were made using the Student's t test. In these analyses, a *p value <* 0:05 was considered to be statistically significant. Quantification and statistical analysis of the inclusions' clearance analysis were performed in the R environment. The statistical comparisons were made using the Conover-Iman test of multiple comparisons using rank sums, available in the *conover.test* package. Biological significance is defined as a fold change greater than 10% for the median values between conditions.

#### Normalization of a-Synuclein Expression Level

Unless otherwise specified, the fluorescence of the  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP in each cell was normalized by dividing the  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP level measured at each time point by the mean mCherry level measured during the initial calibration phase. In the clearance analysis [\(Figure 6\)](#page-8-0), the fluorescence of the  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP in each cell was normalized by dividing the  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP level measured at each time point by the mean  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP level measured during the initial calibration phase of 5 min.

#### Single-Cell Analysis of Control Experiments

Single-cell data were collected from the time-lapse control experiments [\(Figure 3](#page-4-0)) according to the following procedure. The custom segmentation and tracking algorithm (Method Details) processed the phase contrast images to extract single-cell traces. We selected only single-cell traces longer than 500 *min*. We then discarded the traces that did not reach a minimum expression level during the time-course (16 normalized units for WT strain and 10 normalized units for A53T mutant strain). We thus obtained 94 traces for the WT strain and 128 for the A53T mutant strain. For each cell trace, we quantified the normalized fluorescence of  $\alpha$ -synuclein during the time-course. Next, we identified the cells that showed  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP aggregates during the time-course (53 out 94 for WT strain and 64 out of 128 for the A53T). To this end, we manually inspected single-cell movies to check if the cells formed aggregates during the time-course. If so, we collected the aggregation time point  $t_A$  defined as the time point at which the aggregates are visible at the cell membrane. We obtained two datasets, one for the WT  $\alpha$ -synuclein and one for the A53T mutant  $\alpha$ -synuclein. The aggregation threshold in each cell is defined as the  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP normalized fluorescence level at the aggregation time-point  $t_A$ . Aggregation delay in each cell is defined as the time interval prior to the aggregation time-point t<sub>A</sub> during which the *a*-synuclein-GFP fluorescence level was within 1 normalized unit from the aggregation threshold. Cell cycle duration in each cell is defined as the time interval that occurs between two consecutive budding events. Cell cycle duration was quantified by inspecting manually the singlecell movies.

#### Aggregation Threshold Estimation

Aggregation threshold for WT and A53T  $\alpha$ -synuclein strains was precisely quantified by training a simple classifier on the single-cell datasets. The aggregation classifier is mathematically defined by the map:

*f* : *x*∈ℝ<sup>+</sup> →*y*∈ {<sup>'</sup>no aggregation<sup>'</sup>, aggregation<sup>'</sup> }

which assigns a class label *y* to a normalized fluorescence level *x*. The map *f* is described as follows:

$$
f(x) = \begin{cases} \text{'no aggregation'}, & |x < l \\ \text{'aggregation'}, & |x \ge l \end{cases}
$$

where *l* is the threshold level. Thus, the classifier is characterized by the parameter *l*. The performance of the classifier was evaluated according to its accuracy *ACC*, defined as the rate of true predictions to total number of instances *N*:

$$
ACC = \frac{TP + TN}{N}
$$

where *TP* and *TN* are respectively the *true positives* and the *true negatives*. Threshold levels for both WT and A53T a-synuclein strains were quantified by solving a maximization problem ([Table S4\)](#page-10-0). In particular, we searched the threshold level *l* which maximized the prediction accuracy for WT and A53T single-cell datasets. The global optimization was performed using the MATLAB function *ga* of the *Global Optimization Toolbox*. Classifier prediction was validated by comparing its accuracy performance with the one obtained by a random classifier ([Table S4\)](#page-10-0). For each  $\alpha$ -synuclein strain, random accuracy was computed using a bootstrapping procedure: 10,000 resampled datasets were generated, by randomly perturbing the class label assigned to each fluorescence level, and then classified by the classifier. The random accuracy was quantified as mean accuracy of the distribution coming from the bootstrapping procedure.

#### Single-Cell Analysis of Inclusions' Clearance

Single-cell data were collected from the time-lapse clearance experiments ([Figure 6](#page-8-0)) according to the following procedure. The custom segmentation and tracking algorithm (Method Details) processed the phase contrast images to extract single-cell traces.

We visually identified the cells that showed  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP inclusions during the initial calibration phase of 5 min at the beginning of the experiment when cells are still in the presence of galactose. The parameters *time-to-first-bud*, *mean fluorescence*, *cell cycle duration*, and *drop at division* ([Figure 6\)](#page-8-0) were measured in each cell by using the following procedures. The time-to-first-bud was computed by inspecting manually single-cell movies. The mean fluorescence was computed as the average  $\alpha$ -synuclein-GFP fluorescence from the beginning of the experiment until the time-to-first-bud. The cell cycle duration, as well as the budded phase, were measured by inspecting manually single-cell movies. The drop at division was defined as the percentage decrease in fluorescence during the budded phase of cell cycle in each cell.

#### Mathematical Model of Fluorescence Drop at Division

Cell cycle in yeast *S. cerevisiae* is divided in unbudded phase (G1) and budded phase (S-G2-M). Cell division occurs at the end of the budded phase, when a new cell is born. To derive a mathematical model of the fluorescence drop at division, we considered the linear relationship between the size of the new-born cell ( $S_{birth}^{\prime}$ ) and the size of the mother cell at the beginning of the budded phase ( $S_{G1/S}$ ) ([Jonas et al., 2018\)](#page-11-17):

$$
S'_{birth} = 0.61 S_{G1/S}
$$
.

We assumed that mother cell mass was constant during the budded phase [\(Charvin et al., 2009\)](#page-10-5):

$$
S_{\scriptscriptstyle S-G2-M}\!=\!S_{G1/S}\ .
$$

Thus, the total size of the cell and its bud at division  $(S_{div})$  was:

$$
S_{div} = S_{S-G2-M} + S'_{birth} = 0.61 S_{G1/S} + S_{G1/S} = 1.61 S_{G1/S}.
$$

Hence, the percentage of mass lost by the mother cell at division  $(\Delta S_{\%})$  was equal to:

$$
\Delta S_{\%} = \frac{S_{div} - S_{S-G2-M}}{S_{div}} \times 100 = \frac{0.61 \ S_{G1/S}}{1.61 \ S_{G1/S}} \times 100 \approx 38\% .
$$

We thus assumed a drop of 38% of fluorescence at each cell division.

#### Model Prediction Error of Fluorescence Drop at Division

Model prediction error of fluorescence at division was computed as percentage difference between the experimental fluorescence measured at division and the value obtained *in silico* considering the mathematical model of fluorescence drop.

#### Correlation Analysis between Time-to-First-Bud and Inclusions' Clearance Time

Correlation analysis in [Figure S2B](#page-10-0) was performed using the function *cor.test* of the R environment that computes the Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient *r*. Moreover, the function also performed a test for assessing the statistical significance of the correlation analysis  $(p - value < 2.2 \times 10^{-16})$ .

#### DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

All the data, the computational software, and the supplemental movies generated in this study are deposited at Zenodo ([https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2546466) [org/10.5281/zenodo.2546466\)](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2546466).

Cell Reports, Volume 27

Supplemental Information

Quantitative Characterization of  $\alpha$ -Synuclein

# Aggregation in Living Cells through Automated

Microfluidics Feedback Control

Giansimone Perrino, Cathal Wilson, Marco Santorelli, and Diego di Bernardo





**Table S2. Related to STAR Methods. Plasmids used in this study.**



**Table S3. Related to STAR Methods. Parameters for the dynamical model used by MPC.**



# **Table S4. Related to STAR Methods. Classifier performance and validation.**



Threshold levels are reported in normalised fluorescence units. Accuracies are reported in percentages. Random accuracies are reported in percentages as means ± standard deviations.



**Figure S1. Related to Figure 2A and Figure 2B. Cell-to-cell variability measured during α-synuclein overexpression following galactose administration at time 0.** α-Synuclein-GFP fluorescence was quantified in each cell at each time point and normalised to the red fluorescence (mCherry protein) with a custom-made image processing algorithm (STAR Methods). Solid orange lines represent population-averaged α-synuclein-GFP fluorescence as reported in **Figures 2A** and **2B**. The Coefficient of Variation (CV, blue dots) at each time point is computed as the standard deviation of fluorescence level in single cells divided by the populationaveraged value of fluorescence. The CV is inversely correlated with protein expression levels.



**Figure S2. Related to Figure 6. Validation of A53T α-synuclein inclusion clearance dynamics.**

(**A**) *In silico* model of fluorescence drop at division across single cells for the indicated conditions. Each point is the difference between the measured fluorescence at division and the one obtained according to a mathematical model of dilution assuming a drop of 38% of fluorescence for each cell cycle (STAR Methods). As each cell undergoes multiple divisions during the experiment, the number of points does not correspond to the number of cells: untreated (n = 91 points from 25 cells), rapamycin (n = 73 points from 14 cells), MG132 (n = 49 points from 24 cells), and PMSF (n = 137 points from 48 cells). Solid black lines are the medians of the distribution in each condition.

(**B**) *Time-to-first-bud* and *inclusions' clearance time* are correlated in individual cells across conditions (Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient  $r = 0.77$ ,  $p - value < 2.2e - 16$ ; STAR Methods). The *time-to-first-bud (TFB)* of a cell is defined as the time elapsed between the beginning of the experiment, when α-synuclein expression is inhibited, and the formation of the first cell bud. The inclusions' clearance time of a cell is defined as the time it takes for  $\alpha$ -synuclein inclusions to disappear. The linear regression line is reported (red line), as well as its confidence interval (shaded grey area) (STAR Methods). Single-cell data were collected from the experiments shown in **Figure 6**. The number of points corresponds to the total number of cells across conditions and it is equal to 25 (untreated) + 14 (rapamycin) + 24 (MG132) + 48 (PMSF)  $= 111.$ 



**Figure S3. Related to Figure 6. Effects of proteasome and autophagy modulation on A53T α-synuclein clearance dynamics below the aggregation threshold.** Time-lapse microfluidics control experiments in the mutant Δpdr5 A53T strain across the indicated conditions. α-Synuclein expression level was regulated to 4 normalised units for 500 min, that is below the aggregation threshold, followed by inhibition of α-synuclein expression for additional 500 min by a Model Predictive Control strategy (STAR Methods). α-Synuclein-GFP fluorescence was quantified in each cell and normalised to the red fluorescence (mCherry protein) with a custom-made image processing algorithm (STAR Methods). At the population level, treatment either with rapamycin (red line) or PMSF (purple line) had no measurable effects on clearence dynamics. Cells treated with MG132 (yellow line) showed a marginal impairment of the clearance dynamics likely driven by its effects on the cell cycle and hence dilution, rather than to a direct effect of proteasome inhibition on  $\alpha$ -synuclein. Analysis of single cell traces could not be performed in this condition as in the absence of inclusions, yeast cells continuosly proliferate and after a short time they are pushed out of the field of view by new cells being born.