Supporting information: Bet-hedging strategies in expanding populations

Paula Villa Martín

Biological Complexity Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Onna, Okinawa 904-0495, Japan

Miguel A. Muñoz

Departamento de Electromagnetismo y Física de la Materia and Instituto Carlos I de Física Teórica y Computacional, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

Simone Pigolotti[∗]

Biological Complexity Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Onna, Okinawa 904-0495, Japan. (Dated: April 2, 2019)

NUMERICAL INTEGRATION OF THE STOCHASTIC FISHER EQUATION

In this section we describe in details the methods for the integration of the wave equations of the two-phenotype model used for simulations in the Main Text.

Fisher wave

We consider the Fisher equation

$$
\dot{f}(x,t) = D\nabla^2 f(x,t) + \sigma(x,t)f(x,t)(1 - f(x,t)),
$$
\n(1)

where $f(x, t)$ is the population density at space x and time t, and $\sigma(x, t)$ is the local growth rate.

We employ a finite-difference fourth-order Runge-Kutta method. The systems is initialized by fixing $f(x_i, 0) = 1$ for $i \in (0, 50)$ and $f(x, t) = 0$ for $i > 50$. The spatial mesh dx is fixed by means of an adaptive routine. We intialize the routine with an initial guess $dx = 0.14$. Then

- 1. We let the system evolve until the front reaches a stationary state.
- 2. We compute the smallest values of x for which $f(x,t) > \theta$ for $\theta = 3/4$ and $\theta = 1/4$. We denote these two values as $x_{3/4}$ and $x_{1/4}$ respectively.
- 3. We measure the precisions $\Delta f_{3/4} = f(x_{3/4} dx) f(x_{3/4})$, $\Delta f_{1/4} = f(x_{1/4} dx) f(x_{1/4})$.
- 4. If $\Delta f_{3/4} > 0.01$ and $\Delta f_{1/4} > 0.01$, then dx is accepted as a valid increment.
- 5. Otherwise, the system is reset to the initial condition and the routine is again run for $dx = d\tilde{x} 0.01$; being $d\tilde{x}$ the previous spatial mesh.

Once dx is determined, dt is fixed following the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy condition for an explicit integration method [1]:

$$
\frac{v_{\text{max}}dt}{dx} \le 1\tag{2}
$$

[∗] simone.pigolotti@oist.jp

being v_{max} the estimated maximum velocity of the wave. We fix $v_{\text{max}} = 100$, which is sufficiently large compared to velocities in our simulations.

Temporal environmental switch is numerically implemented with a simple first-order algorithm. At the beginning of each time step, the state of environment is switched with probability $k \, dt$. We verified that this quantity is always sufficiently small, so that the first-order algorithm yields reliable results. A similar algorithm is implemented for spatial environmental variations to sequentially assign an environmental state to each lattice site.

Stochastic Fisher wave

We consider the stochastic Fisher equation [2]

$$
\dot{f}(x,t) = D\nabla^2 f + \sigma(t)f(1-f) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}f(1-f)}\xi(x,t)
$$
\n(3)

where $\xi(x,t)$ a Gaussian white noise satisfying $\langle \xi(x,t) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \xi(x,t) \xi(x',t') \rangle = \delta(x-x')\delta(t-t')$. For later convenience, we define the noise amplitude $\gamma(t) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}f(t)(1-f(t))}$.

Numerical integration in the presence of noise is subtle. In particular, one has to figure out how to deal with the unphysical values $f(x, t) < 0$ and $f(x, t) > 1$ that can result due to finite numerical precision. Depending on parameter range, the naive replacement $f(x,t) = 0$ or $f(x,t) = 1$ when $f(x,t) < 0$ and $f(x,t) > 1$, respectively, may introduce a substantial bias. In particular, an incorrect integration of $f(x, t)$ at the front, where $f(x, t)$ is small, might lead to an large error in the estimated velocity. However, when $f(x,t)$ is small so that $\gamma(t) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}f(t)}$, this problem can be circumvented by integrating the noise term exactly [3], while integrating the rest of the equation deterministically [4].

FIG. 1. Size scaling and maximum error estimation for our integration method. Panel A) shows the curve 4.5 (log N)⁻² and the difference $v_F - v$ for $k = 0$, $\alpha = 0$, $s_s = 1$, and different system sizes. Panel B) shows $(v_F - v)/v_F$ for $N = 10⁹$ and different growth rates employed in this work. These results suggest that our integration method is precise and have a maximum error of 0.0018% .

We follow this strategy and integrate the equation mixing two different algorithms, depending on the local value of $f(x, t)$:

• If $f(x,t) > \theta$: we employ the Milstein method (order 1). Defining $\beta(t) \equiv D\nabla^2 f(t) + \sigma(t)f(t)(1-f(t))$, the local field is updated according to the rule

$$
f(x, t+dt) = f(x,t) + \beta(t)dt + \gamma(t)\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(t)\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial f(t)}(\Delta^2 - dt)
$$
\n(4)

being $\Delta = \sqrt{dt}g(t)$, where $g(t)$ is a Gaussian random variable with zero mean and unit variance, drawn independently at each time step.

- If $f(x, t) < \theta$ we employ a split-step numerical integration:
	- 1. Non-linear and diffusion terms. The term $\dot{f}(x,t) = D\nabla^2 f \sigma(t)f^2$ is integrated by means of the Runge-Kutta method obtaining a first solution f^* .
	- 2. Linear and stochastic terms. The term $\sigma(t) f + \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} f \xi(x, t)$ is integrated in an exact way, see [3]:

$$
f(x,t) = r_{\text{Gamma}}\{r_{\text{Poisson}}\{\lambda f^*(x,t)e^{\sigma(t)t}\}\}/\lambda.
$$
\n(5)

being $\lambda = 2\sigma(t)/[\gamma^2 e^{\sigma(t)t}]$, and r_{Gamma} , r_{Poisson} random variables from Gamma and Poisson probability distributions respectively, i.e. $Prob[r_{\text{Gamma}}(a) = z] = z^{a-1}e^{-z}/\Gamma[a]$ and $Prob[r_{\text{Poisson}}(a) = z] = a^z e^{-a}/z!$.

To check the precision of our method we integrated the stochastic equation (3) for $k = 0$, $\alpha = 0$, and different To check the precision of our method we integrated the stochastic equation (3) for $\kappa = 0$, $\alpha = 0$, and different growth rates s_s and compared the results to the analytical Fisher velocity $v_F = 2\sqrt{Ds_s}$. For large pop N, the velocity v of the wave asymptotically goes as $v_F - v \simeq C \ln^{-2}(N)$ [5]. Our numerical integration is consistent with this asymptotic relation from $N \simeq 10^4$ (figure 1A) with a root-mean-square deviation of 0.002. We have also obtained the values $(v_F - v)/v_F$ for the different growth rates employed in this work to obtain an estimation of the maximum error (see figure 1B). Note that v_F is not the actual velocity of the finite system, so the relative error $(v_F - v)/v_F$ is, in fact, smaller. The maximum error is around 0.9%, that, considering the results of figure 1A) leads to an overestimated error of about 0.0018%.

- [1] Richard Courant, Kurt Friedrichs, and Hans Lewy, "On the partial difference equations of mathematical physics," IBM journal of Research and Development 11, 215–234 (1967).
- [2] Kirill S Korolev, Mikkel Avlund, Oskar Hallatschek, and David R Nelson, "Genetic demixing and evolution in linear stepping stone models," Reviews of modern physics 82, 1691 (2010).
- [3] Ivan Dornic, Hugues Chaté, and Miguel A Munoz, "Integration of langevin equations with multiplicative noise and the viability of field theories for absorbing phase transitions," Physical review letters 94, 100601 (2005).
- [4] Haim Weissmann, Nadav M Shnerb, and David A Kessler, "Simulation of spatial systems with demographic noise," Physical Review E 98, 022131 (2018).
- [5] Éric Brunet and Bernard Derrida, "Effect of microscopic noise on front propagation," Journal of Statistical Physics 103, 269–282 (2001).