

Supplementary Information for the article Multilevel fine-scale diversity challenges the ‘cryptic species’ concept

Tatiana Korshunova^{1,2}, Bernard Picton^{3,4}, Giulia Furfaro⁵, Paolo Mariottini⁵, Miquel Pontes⁶, Jakov Prkić⁷, Karin Fletcher⁸, Klas Malmberg⁹, Kennet Lundin^{10,11}, Alexander Martynov²

¹Koltzov Institute of Developmental Biology RAS, 26 Vavilova Str., 119334 Moscow, Russia. ²Zoological Museum, Moscow State University, Bolshaya Nikitskaya Str. 6, 125009 Moscow, Russia. ³National Museums Northern Ireland, Holywood, Northern Ireland, BT18 0EU, United Kingdom. ⁴Queen’s University, Belfast, Northern Ireland, United Kingdom. ⁵Department of Science, University of “Roma Tre”, Viale G. Marconi 446, I-00146 Rome, Italy. ⁶Vida Marina VIMAR, Barcelona, Spain. ⁷Getaldiceva 11, C 21000 Split, Croatia. ⁸Port Orchard, Washington, 98366, USA. ⁹Aquatilis, Nostravägen 11, S-41743, Gothenburg, Sweden. ¹⁰Gothenburg Natural History Museum, Box 7283, SE-40235, Gothenburg, Sweden. ¹¹Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, Box 461, SE-40530, Gothenburg, Sweden. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to AM (martynov@zmmu.msu.ru)

Text (Materials and Methods)

Sample data

Material for this study was obtained by scuba diving at widely separated locations in Europe. Specimens were collected in Norway (west coast, near the mouth of the Sogne fjord, Gulen Dive Resort), Sweden (west coast, Bohuslän county, Smögen Dive Resort), Ireland (Killary Harbour), United Kingdom (Northern Ireland, Strangford Lough), Spain (L’Estartit, Girona), Italy, France, Croatia and in the Black Sea, Russia (Yalta region). The specimens were preserved in 95–96% or 70% ethanol and deposited in the Zoological Museum of Lomonosov Moscow State University (ZMMU), in the Gothenburg Natural History Museum (GNM) and National Museums Northern Ireland (BELUM.Mn), and Department of Science of the Roma Tre University (RM3).

Morphological analysis

The morphology of the nudibranchs and their egg masses was studied under a stereomicroscope and using Nikon D810 and Nikon D600 digital cameras. For the description of internal features both preserved and fresh specimens (when available) were dissected under the stereomicroscope. The buccal mass of each specimen was extracted and processed in 10% sodium hypochlorite solution. The features of the jaws of each species were analysed under the stereomicroscope and scanning electron microscope and were then drawn. The coated radulae were examined and photographed using a scanning electron microscope (CamScan, JSM). The reproductive systems were also examined and drawn using the stereomicroscope.

Molecular analysis

A total of 17 specimens were successfully sequenced for the mitochondrial genes cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI), 16S rRNA, and the nuclear genes Histone 3 (H3) (see Table for the primers). Of these, one specimen was sequenced in the United Kingdom, three specimens were sequenced in Rome, the others in Moscow. Additional molecular data for 12 specimens of nudibranchs were obtained from GenBank (see Table S1). DNA extraction procedure, PCR amplification options, and sequence obtainment is previously described in detail (Korshunova, T.A. *et al.* 2017a, 2017b, 2018; Morrow *et al.* 2012, Furfaro 2016 *et al.*). Protein coding sequences were translated into amino acids for confirmation of the alignment. All sequences were deposited in GenBank (see Supporting Information, Table S1, highlighted in bold). Original data and publicly available sequences were aligned with the MAFFT algorithm (Kato *et al.* 2002). Separate analyses were conducted for COI (658 bp), 16S (442 bp), H3 (328 bp) and concatenated data (1428 bp). Evolutionary models for each data set were selected using MrModelTest 2.3 under the Akaike information criterion (Nylander *et al.* 2004, Akaike 1974). Two different phylogenetic methods, Bayesian inference (BI) and Maximum Likelihood (ML), were used to infer evolutionary relationships. Bayesian estimation of posterior probability was performed in MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist *et al.* 2012). Four Markov chains were sampled at intervals of 500 generations. Analysis was started with random starting trees and 10^7 generations. ML analysis was performed using RAxML 7.2.8 (Stamatakis *et al.* 2008) with 1000 bootstrap replicates. Final phylogenetic tree images were rendered in FigTree 1.4.2. To evaluate the genetic distribution of the different haplotypes, a haplotype network for the COI molecular data was reconstructed using Population Analysis with Reticulate Trees (PopART, <http://popart.otago.ac.nz>) with the TCS network method. The program Mega7 (Kumar *et al.* 2016) was used to calculate the minimum uncorrected *p*-distances between all the sequences. Intra- and intergroup genetic distances were also examined. Additionally, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD) was used to define species (Puillandre *et al.* 2011). Alignment from the COI marker for *Trinchesia* specimens were submitted and processed in ABGD using the Jukes-Cantor (JC69) and Kimura (K80) models and the following settings: a prior for the maximum value of intraspecific divergence between 0.001 and 0.1, 20 recursive steps.

Primers used for amplification.

Name	5'→3'	References
LCO 1490	GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG	Folmer <i>et al.</i> , 1994
HCO 2198	TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAATCA	Folmer <i>et al.</i> , 1994
16S arL	CGCCTGTTTAACAAAAACAT	Palumbi <i>et al.</i> 2002
16S R	CCGRTYTGAAGCTCAGCTCACG	Puslednik & Serb, 2008
H3 AF	ATGGCTCGTACCAAGCAGACGG	Colgan <i>et al.</i> , 1998
H3 AR	ATATCCTTGGGCATGATGGTGAC	Colgan <i>et al.</i> , 1998

References

- Akaike, H. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Trans Automat Control*, **19**, 716–723 (1974).
- Colgan, DJ, McLauchlan, A, Wilson, GDF, Livingston, SP, Edgecombe, GD, Macaranas, J,
- Cassis, G & Gray, MR Histone H3 and U2 snRNA DNA sequences and arthropod molecular evolution. *Australian Journal of Zoology*, **46**, 419–437 (1998).
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Mar Biol Biotech.*, **3**, 294–299 (1994).
- Furfaro, G, Picton, B, Martynov, A & Mariottini, P *Diaphorodoris alba* Portmann & Sandmeier, 1960 is a valid species: molecular and morphological comparison with *D. luteocincta* (M. Sars, 1870) (Gastropoda: Nudibranchia). *Zootaxa*, **4193**, 304–316 (2016).
- Katoh, K, Misawa, K., Kuma, K, Miyata, T. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, 3059–3066 (2002).
- Korshunova, TA *et al.* Polyphyly of the traditional family Flabellinidae affects a major group of Nudibranchia: aeolidacean taxonomic reassessment with descriptions of several new families, genera, and species (Mollusca, Gastropoda). *ZooKeys* **717**, 1–139 (2017a).
- Korshunova, TA, Lundin, K, Malmberg, K, Picton, B & Martynov, AV First true brackish water nudibranch mollusc provides new insights for phylogeny and biogeography and reveals paedomorphosis driven evolution. *PLoS ONE* **13**, e0192177; 10.1371/journal.pone.0192177 (2018).
- Korshunova, TA, Martynov, AV, Bakken, T & Picton, BE External diversity is restrained by internal conservatism: New nudibranch mollusc contributes to the cryptic species problem. *Zool. Scripta* **46**, 683–692 (2017b).
- Kumar, S, Stecher, G, Tamura, K. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**, 1870–1874 (2016).

Morrow, CC, Picton, BE, Erpenbeck, D, Boury-Esnault, N, Maggs, CA & Allcock, A L
Congruence between nuclear and mitochondrial genes in Demospongiae: A new hypothesis for
relationships within the G4 clade (Porifera: Demospongiae). *Mol. Phyl. Evol.* **62**, 174–190 (2012).

Nylander, JA, Ronquist, F, Huelsenbeck, JP, Nieves-Aldrey, JL Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of
combined data. *Syst. Biol.* **53**, 47–67 (2004).

Palumbi SR, Martin AP, Romano S, McMillan WO, Stice L, Grabowski G. The simple fool's
guide to PCR. Honolulu: University of Hawaii, (2002).

Puillandre, N, Lambert, A, Brouillet, S, & Achaz, G. ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery
for primary species delimitation. *Molecular Ecology*, **21**, 1864–1877 (2011).

Puslednik L, Serb JM. Molecular phylogenetics of the Pectinidae (Mollusca: Bivalvia) and effect
of increased taxon sampling and outgroup selection on tree topology. *Mol Phyl Evol.* **48**, 1178-
1188 (2008).

Ronquist, F *et al.* MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across
a large model space. *Syst. Biol.* **61**, 539–542 (2012).

Stamatakis, A, Hoover, P, Rougemont, J A Rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML web-servers.
Syst. Biol. **75**, 758–771 (2008).

Tables S1–S5

Table S1. List of specimens used for molecular analyses.

Species	Locality	Registration	GenBank accession nos.		
			COI	16S	H3
<i>Diaphoreolis lagunae</i> (O'Donoghue, 1926)	California	CAS179465a	KY128956	KY128749	KY128543
<i>Diaphoreolis viridis</i> (Forbes, 1840)	Russia, White Sea	ZMMU:Op-537	MG266028	MG266026	MG266029
<i>Trinchesia diljuvia</i> sp.n.	Russia, Black Sea	ZMMU:Op-642	MK587917	MK587933	MK587903
<i>Trinchesia diljuvia</i> sp.n.	Russia, Black Sea	ZMMU:Op-643	MK587918	MK587934	MK587904
<i>Trinchesia caerulea</i> (Montagu, 1804)	Norway, Gulen	ZMMU:Op-622	MG266024	MG266022	MG266025
<i>Trinchesia caerulea</i> (Montagu, 1804)	North Sea	-	AF249807	-	-
<i>Trinchesia caerulea</i> (Montagu, 1804)	Ireland	ZMMU:Op-646	MK587928	MK587930	-
<i>Trinchesia caerulea</i> (Montagu, 1804)	Spain, L'Estartit, Girona	ZMMU:Op-648	MK587915	MK587931	MK587898
<i>Trinchesia caerulea</i> (Montagu, 1804)	Spain, L'Estartit, Girona	ZMMU:Op-649	MK587916	MK587932	MK587899
<i>Trinchesia caerulea</i> (Montagu, 1804)	Italy, Tor Paterno	RM3 309	MK587912	-	MK587896
<i>Trinchesia caerulea</i> (Montagu, 1804)	Italy, Tor Paterno	RM3 333	MK587913	MK587929	MK587897
<i>Trinchesia caerulea</i> (Montagu, 1804)	Croatia, Ugljan Island	ZMMU Op-647	MK587914	-	-
<i>Trinchesia cuanensis</i> sp.n.	United Kingdom	GNM 9099	KY128911	KY128703	KY128498
<i>Trinchesia cuanensis</i> sp.n.	United Kingdom	ZMMU: Op-650	MK587922	MK587936	MK587906
<i>Trinchesia cuanensis</i> sp.n.	United Kingdom	GNM 9054	MK587920	MK587935	MK587905
<i>Trinchesia cuanensis</i> sp.n.	United Kingdom	BELUM.Mn.2018.2	MK587919	-	-
<i>Trinchesia cuanensis</i> sp.n.	North Sea, Sweden	GNM 9243	MK587921	-	-
<i>Trinchesia lenkae</i> Martynov, 2002	Japan: Hokkaido	ZMMU:Op-619	MG242334	MG242333	MK587900
<i>Trinchesia lenkae</i> Martynov, 2002	Japan: Hokkaido	ZMMU:Op-620	MG242336	MG242335	MK587901
<i>Trinchesia lenkae</i> Martynov, 2002	Russia: Sea of Japan	ZMMU:Op-621	MG242338	MG242337	MK587902
<i>Trinchesia morrowae</i> sp.n.	France, Banyuls-sur-Mer	ZMMU:Op-535	MK587923	MK587937	MK587907
<i>Trinchesia morrowae</i> sp.n.	France, Banyuls-sur-Mer	ZMMU:Op-653	MK587926	MK587940	MK587910

<i>Trinchesia morrowae</i> sp.n.	France, Banyuls-sur-Mer	ZMMU Op-656	MK587927	MK587941	MK587911
<i>Trinchesia morrowae</i> sp.n.	Spain, L'Estartit, Girona	ZMMU:Op-651	MK587924	MK587938	MK587908
<i>Trinchesia morrowae</i> sp.n.	Spain, L'Estartit, Girona	ZMMU Op-652	MK587925	MK587939	MK587909
<i>Trinchesia morrowae</i> sp.n.	Spain	CAS185199	KY128922	KY128717	KY128510
<i>Trinchesia ornata</i> (Baba, 1937)	Hawaii	CAS180344	KY128967	KY128758	KY128553
<i>Trinchesia sibogae</i> (Bergh, 1905)	Philippines	CAS177489	KY128975	KY128767	KY128562
<i>Trinchesia speciosa</i> (Macnae, 1954)	South Africa	CAS176913	KY128996	KY128788	KY128583
<i>Trinchesia speciosa</i> (Macnae, 1954)	South Africa	CAS176954	KY128998	KY128790	KY128585

Table S2. Maximum intragroup (highlighted in bold) and minimum intergroup genetic distances (%) for COI marker in *Trinchesia* species.

	<i>T. caerulea</i>	<i>T. cuanensis</i> sp.n.	<i>T. morrowae</i> sp.n.	<i>T. diljuvia</i> sp.n.	<i>T. lenkae</i>	<i>T. speciosa</i>	<i>T. ornata</i>	<i>T. sibogae</i>
<i>T. caerulea</i>	1.25	7.20	10.95	11.89	16.74	15.18	17.45	17.06
<i>T. cuanensis</i> sp.n.	7.20	1.88	11.27	12.99	18.5	16.43	17.45	17.53
<i>T. morrowae</i> sp.n.	10.95	11.27	2.18	2.98	17.84	14.71	15.88	16.12
<i>T. diljuvia</i> sp.n.	11.89	12.99	2.98	0.16	17.37	15.96	16.67	17.53
<i>T. lenkae</i>	16.74	18.5	17.84	17.37	0.31	16.12	13.36	18.94
<i>T. speciosa</i>	15.18	16.43	14.71	15.96	16.12	0.78	14.62	16.28
<i>T. ornata</i>	17.45	17.45	15.88	16.67	13.36	14.62	-	17.14
<i>T. sibogae</i>	17.06	17.53	16.12	17.53	18.94	16.28	17.14	-

Table S3. Maximum intragroup (highlighted in bold) and minimum intergroup genetic distances (%) for 16S marker in *Trinchesia* species.

	<i>T. caerulea</i>	<i>T. cuanensis</i> sp.n.	<i>T. morrowae</i> sp.n.	<i>T. diljuvia</i> sp.n.	<i>T. lenkae</i>	<i>T. speciosa</i>	<i>T. ornata</i>	<i>T. sibogae</i>
<i>T. caerulea</i>	0.25	1.39	5.31	6.47	11.52	11.52	10.54	11.03
<i>T. cuanensis</i> sp.n.	1.39	0.46	6.0	6.24	12.01	11.55	11.32	10.62
<i>T. morrowae</i> sp.n.	5.31	6.0	0.23	1.62	11.32	10.39	11.55	10.39
<i>T. diljuvia</i> sp.n.	6.47	6.24	1.62	0.46	11.55	10.39	11.78	10.62
<i>T. lenkae</i>	11.52	12.01	11.32	11.55	0.46	8.78	4.39	10.39
<i>T. speciosa</i>	11.52	11.55	10.39	10.39	8.78	0	8.78	12.01
<i>T. ornata</i>	10.54	11.32	11.55	11.78	4.39	8.78	-	11.09
<i>T. sibogae</i>	11.03	10.62	10.39	10.62	10.39	12.01	11.09	-

Table S4. Maximum intragroup (highlighted in bold) and minimum intergroup genetic distances (%) for H3 marker in *Trinchesia* species.

	<i>T. caerulea</i>	<i>T. cuanensis</i> sp.n.	<i>T. morrowae</i> sp.n.	<i>T. diljuvia</i> sp.n.	<i>T. lenkae</i>	<i>T. speciosa</i>	<i>T. ornata</i>	<i>T. sibogae</i>
<i>T. caerulea</i>	0.66	0.98	1.63	1.63	4.25	5.88	4.9	3.27
<i>T. cuanensis</i> sp.n.	0.98	0	1.63	1.31	4.58	6.21	5.23	3.59
<i>T. morrowae</i> sp.n.	1.63	1.63	0.65	0.98	4.58	6.21	5.23	4.58
<i>T. diljuvia</i> sp.n.	1.63	1.31	0.98	0	4.58	6.21	5.23	4.25
<i>T. lenkae</i>	4.25	4.58	4.58	4.58	0	2.61	2.29	4.90
<i>T. speciosa</i>	5.88	6.21	6.21	6.21	2.61	0	3.59	7.52
<i>T. ornata</i>	4.9	5.23	5.23	5.23	2.29	3.59	-	5.56
<i>T. sibogae</i>	3.27	3.59	4.58	4.25	4.90	7.52	5.56	-

Table S5. Morphological characters that allow species of the *Trinchesia caerulea* complex to be distinguished.

	Adult length (live, max)	Anterior ceratal rows	Anterior foot structures	White line across dorsum	Colour zones of cerata	Colour of digestive gland in ceratal bases	Lower orange band on cerata	Upper narrow black band on cerata	Radula	Receptaculum seminis	Stylet of the copulative organ
<i>T. caerulea</i>	21 mm	Commonly 4	Long foot corners	Absent	Present	Greenish to light grayish	Weak or reduced	Absent	57-63 x 0.1.0	Oval, large, 8-shaped, but without lateral additional chamber	Short
<i>T. cuanensis</i> sp.n.	15 mm	Commonly 4	Short foot corners	Absent	Present	Blackish to dark grayish	Weak or reduced	Present	64 x 0.1.0	Rounded, large, with long convoluted additional chamber	Very long
<i>T. morrowae</i> sp.n.	10 mm	Commonly 3, rarely 4	Angular projections	Present	Present	Grayish to yellowish	Distinct, often broad	Absent	55-57x 0.1.0	Oval, small without lateral additional chamber	Short
<i>T. diljuvia</i> sp.n.	4.5 mm	Commonly 2, rarely 3	Distinct projections absent	Present	Absent	No distinct colour zones, dark grayish to light brownish or light greenish and covered with white or sometimes with light bluish dispersed pigment	Absent	Absent	27-34 x 0.1.0	Elongated, without lateral additional chamber	Relatively short

