

# BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email [editorial.bmjopen@bmj.com](mailto:editorial.bmjopen@bmj.com)

# BMJ Open

## POSTOPERATIVE WOUND DEHISCENCE AFTER LAPAROTOMY: A USEFUL HEALTH CARE QUALITY INDICATOR? A COHORT STUDY BASED ON NORWEGIAN HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-026422
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	31-Aug-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Helgeland , Jon ; Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Division of Health Services Tomic, Oliver; Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Department of Mathematic Sciences and Technology Hansen, Tonya; Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Division of Health Services Kristoffersen, Doris; Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Division of Health Services Hassani, Sahar; Oslo University Hospital, Department of Medical Genetics; University of Oslo, KG Jebsen Centre for Psychosis Research, Institute of Clinical Medicine Lindahl, Anne; Akershus University Hospital Trust, Division of Surgery
Keywords:	Quality in health care < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, SURGERY, Patient safety

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4 1 **POSTOPERATIVE WOUND DEHISCENCE AFTER LAPAROTOMY: A**  
5  
6  
7 2 **USEFUL HEALTH CARE QUALITY INDICATOR? A COHORT STUDY**  
8  
9  
10 3 **BASED ON NORWEGIAN HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATIVE DATA**

11  
12 4 Jon Helgeland<sup>1,6</sup>, Oliver Tomic<sup>2</sup>, Tonya Moen Hansen<sup>1</sup>, Doris Tove Kristoffersen<sup>1</sup>, Sahar Hassani<sup>3,4</sup>,  
13  
14 5 Anne Karin Lindahl<sup>5</sup>

15  
16 6 <sup>1</sup> Division of Health Services, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

17  
18 7 <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences and Technology, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås,  
19  
20 8 Norway

21  
22 9 <sup>3</sup> Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

23  
24 10 <sup>4</sup> NORMENT, KG Jebsen Centre for Psychosis Research, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of  
25  
26 11 Oslo, Oslo, Norway

27  
28 12 <sup>5</sup> Division of Surgery, Akershus University Hospital Trust

29  
30 13 <sup>6</sup>Corresponding author: Jon Helgeland, [jon.helgeland@fhi.no](mailto:jon.helgeland@fhi.no)

31  
32  
33 14

34  
35 15 Word count: 2643

36  
37  
38 16

## 17 **ABSTRACT**

### 18 **Objectives**

19 Postoperative wound dehiscence (PWD) is a serious complication to laparotomy, leading to higher  
20 mortality, readmissions and cost. The aims of the present study are to investigate whether risk  
21 adjusted PWD rates could reliably differentiate between Norwegian hospitals, and whether PWD  
22 rates were associated with hospital characteristics such as hospital type and laparotomy volume.

### 23 **Design**

24 Observational study using patient administrative data from all Norwegian hospitals, obtained from  
25 the Norwegian Patient Registry, for the period 2011-2015, and linked using the unique person  
26 identification number.

### 27 **Participants**

28 All patients undergoing laparotomy, at least 15 years old, with length of stay at least two days, and  
29 no diagnosis code for immunocompromised state or relating to pregnancy, childbirth and  
30 puerperium. The final data set comprised 69 424 patients with 80 279 laparotomy episodes from 47  
31 hospitals.

### 32 **Outcomes**

33 The outcome was wound dehiscence, identified by the presence of a wound reclosure code, risk  
34 adjusted for patient characteristics and operation type.

### 35 **Results**

36 The final data set comprised 1 487 wound dehiscences. Crude PWD rates varied from 0% to 5.1%  
37 among hospitals, with an overall rate of 1.85%. Three hospitals with statistically significantly higher

1  
2  
3 38 PWD than average were identified. Hospital volume was not associated with PWD rate, except that  
4  
5 39 hospitals with very few laparotomies had lower PWD rates.  
6  
7

## 8 40 **Conclusions**

9  
10 41 Among Norwegian hospitals, there is considerable variation in PWD rate that cannot be explained by  
11  
12 42 operation type, age or comorbidity. This warrants further investigation into possible causes, such as  
13  
14 43 surgical technique, perioperative procedures or handling of complications, e.g. wound infections. The  
15  
16 44 risk adjusted PWD rate after laparotomy is a candidate quality indicator for Norwegian hospitals.  
17  
18  
19

## 20 45 **STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY**

- 21  
22  
23 46 • Includes all laparotomies performed in the nation over a five-year period, with patients  
24  
25 47 followed across hospitals  
26  
27 48 • Extends previous studies to a new health system and a new coding system  
28  
29 49 • The statistical analysis uses methods for low event rates, avoiding asymptotic approximation  
30  
31 50 • Results may be subject to coding inaccuracy and incompleteness, as well as selection effects  
32  
33 51 • There were no data for surgical technique, as well as some relevant comorbidities.  
34  
35  
36  
37

## 38 52 **INTRODUCTION**

39  
40 53 The past decades have seen a major growth in initiatives for measuring, monitoring, and improving  
41  
42 54 the quality of health care services. Quality indicators are regularly published in many health care  
43  
44 55 systems. Performance of health care systems is also compared across nations, for instance in the  
45  
46 56 OECD Health Care Quality and Outcomes (HCQO) initiative, which Norway is a part of.<sup>1,2</sup> Norway has  
47  
48 57 a national quality indicator system for monitoring and comparing hospital performance, however,  
49  
50 58 not all areas of hospital performance are covered by existing national quality indicators. While there  
51  
52 59 are quality indicators for outcomes such as mortality and process measures such as waiting times,  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 60 complications following hospital care is less explored, which is especially relevant following surgical  
4  
5 61 procedures.

6  
7  
8 62 Postoperative wound dehiscence (PWD) rates after open abdominal surgery (laparotomies) was  
9  
10 63 introduced as a patient safety indicator in the United States and later as a quality indicator by  
11  
12 64 OECD.<sup>3-6</sup> Norway reported the second highest numbers for 2014-2015, with a PWD rate of 1.02%. The  
13  
14 65 overall range was 0.055% to 1.05%.<sup>5</sup> Neighbouring Sweden, with comparable population health and  
15  
16 66 health care, reported 0.30%. Moreover, a recent study comparing adverse events in Norway and  
17  
18 67 Sweden found significantly higher adverse event rates of surgical complications in Norwegian  
19  
20 68 hospitals, compared to Swedish hospitals.<sup>7</sup>

21  
22  
23  
24 69 PWD is a serious complication that leads to higher mortality rates, higher implicit, explicit and social  
25  
26 70 costs as well as increased readmission rates.<sup>8,9</sup> The PWD rate has been studied elsewhere as a quality  
27  
28 71 indicator for hospitals, and found to have a high positive predictive value.<sup>10-11</sup> There are typically few  
29  
30 72 wound dehiscence events per hospital, making it more difficult to identify outlier hospitals for quality  
31  
32 73 improvement.

33  
34  
35  
36 74 Previous research has identified a number of risk factors for PWD. Examples of such factors are: (I)  
37  
38 75 patient related variables: smoking<sup>12</sup> and obesity<sup>13</sup>; (II) procedure related factors: operation type<sup>9,14</sup>,  
39  
40 76 type of incision and closure<sup>15-17</sup> and length of operation time<sup>18</sup>; (III) postoperative parameters: clean  
41  
42 77 wound classification<sup>18</sup> and coughing<sup>9</sup>; (IV) operative scenario: surgical team and nursing staff<sup>13</sup>, etc.

43  
44  
45  
46 78 The objectives of this study are to investigate the incidence of PWD after laparotomy at Norwegian  
47  
48 79 hospitals, and the potential usefulness of a PWD indicator for the Norwegian health care system,  
49  
50 80 computed from patient administrative data. More specifically we aimed at 1) investigate the  
51  
52 81 possibility to identify hospitals with higher or lower laparotomy PWD rate than average, 2) to study

1  
2  
3 82 the variability of the PWD rate among hospitals and its relation to hospital type and laparotomy  
4  
5 83 volume.  
6  
7

## 8 84 MATERIAL AND METHODS

9  
10  
11 85 Patient administrative data from all Norwegian hospitals were provided by the Norwegian Patient  
12  
13 86 Registry (NPR) for the period 2011-2015.<sup>19</sup> This comprised individual patient data from all  
14  
15 87 department stays: type of admission (acute or elective), primary and secondary diagnosis codes  
16  
17 88 according to the Norwegian version<sup>20</sup> of ICD-10, surgical and medical procedures, age, gender, date  
18  
19 89 and time of ward admission and discharge. Surgical procedures and operations are coded according  
20  
21 90 to the Norwegian version of the NOMESCO Classification of Surgical Procedures (NCSP-N).<sup>21</sup>  
22  
23 91 Procedure time and date were not available. It was therefore not possible to exclude reclosures of  
24  
25 92 wounds occurring before or on the same day as laparotomies within the same episode, as requested  
26  
27 93 in the OECD indicator specification. The NPR data files were checked for missing values and  
28  
29 94 inconsistencies between variables, such as date and time of discharge before admission, valid ICD-10  
30  
31 95 code etc.  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 96 All permanent residents in Norway have a Personal Identification Number (PIN). NPR prepared an  
37  
38 97 encrypted PIN for all patients with a valid PIN, allowing tracking of patients over time and between  
39  
40 98 hospitals. The data were linked with the National Registry to provide data of death (when  
41  
42 99 applicable), using the PIN.  
43  
44

45  
46 100 Ward admissions for each patient, at more than one hospital in case of transfers, were linked into  
47  
48 101 episodes of care when less than eight hours elapsed from time of discharge to the next ward  
49  
50 102 admission.<sup>22</sup> Wound dehiscence was defined as the occurrence of a code for a reclosure operation.  
51  
52 103 Laparotomies and wound reclosure operations were identified according to procedure codes. Each  
53  
54 104 reclosure episode was linked to the laparotomy episode immediately preceding or coinciding with it.  
55  
56 105 Reclosure episodes with no preceding laparotomy episode within 30 days, as well as laparotomy or  
57  
58

1  
2  
3 106 reclosure episodes following a reclosure episode within 30 days, were excluded. Note that the linking  
4  
5 107 of laparotomies and reclosures was not part of the original OECD specification, but is required in  
6  
7 108 order to attribute PWD to hospitals and to enable risk adjustment. Laparotomy episodes (and any  
8  
9 109 linked reclosure episode) were excluded if a diagnosis code for immunosuppression or relating to  
10  
11 110 pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium was present, if the length of stay was less than two days, or if  
12  
13 111 the patient's age was less than 15 years. Hospitals with less than 10 laparotomies over the five-year  
14  
15 112 period were excluded. The hospitals belonged to one of three types: regional, large with acute  
16  
17 113 function and small with acute function. For details of codes used, see the online supplement.  
18  
19  
20  
21 114 The Charlson comorbidities were determined from previous admissions three years prior to, but not  
22  
23 115 including the current episode of care.<sup>22-24</sup> Diagnoses were grouped according to the Clinical Condition  
24  
25 116 Summary system (CCS), adapted to the Norwegian version of ICD-10.<sup>25</sup>  
26  
27  
28

## 117 **Statistical methods**

118 Risk adjusted probabilities for a laparotomy episode resulting in a reclosure operation were  
119 estimated by bias corrected logistic regression.<sup>26</sup> The following case-mix variables were included: age  
120 (modelled by natural splines), gender, indicators for the individual Charlson comorbidities, number of  
121 previous hospital admissions two years prior to current admission, and whether the episode was  
122 acute or elective. A linear trend in admission year was also included. Based on previous studies of risk  
123 factors<sup>9,14</sup>, procedures were categorized into 13 types, according to the body system or organ  
124 involved. The effects of operation types were normalized to have zero sum. The final model was fit  
125 by stepwise regression with the BIC criterion, including two-way interactions.

126 To identify outlier hospitals, i.e. those with high or low risk adjusted PWD probabilities, estimated  
127 hospital effects were compared to a reference value, defined as the 25% trimmed mean of the  
128 hospital effects on the logistic scale.<sup>27</sup> As some hospitals reported zero reclosures, ordinary maximum  
129 likelihood estimates of the model parameters do not exist, due to separation<sup>28</sup>, and the estimated



1  
2  
3 130 variances of the fitted parameters, based on their asymptotic distribution, become unreliable. The  
4  
5 131 comparison used an exact test based on the Poisson binomial distribution for the number of PWDs  
6  
7 132 per hospital, using the estimated probabilities for each case, together with parametric bootstrapping  
8  
9 133 to account for the estimation uncertainty in the model parameters. Tests for significance were  
10  
11 134 corrected for multiple comparisons using the Guo-Romano method <sup>29</sup>, and outlier status assigned  
12  
13 135 according to the false discovery rate (FDR). An FDR not exceeding 5% was regarded as significant. For  
14  
15 136 sensitivity analysis, two alternative risk adjustment models were tested, with either a four-category  
16  
17 137 grouping of procedures or with diagnosis categories instead of the 13-category procedure grouping.  
18  
19 138 In addition, a model with the four Norwegian hospital regions was also estimated. Hospital volume,  
20  
21 139 modelled by splines, was tested for inclusion in the model.

22  
23  
24  
25 140 Finally, the hospital specific effects were modelled as a mixture of two normal distributions. The  
26  
27 141 expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm was used, taking into account the estimation variances.  
28  
29 142 The mixture model yielded estimates of the quartiles of the hospital odds ratios and the scaled  
30  
31 143 interquartile range (normalized by dividing by 1.349, to give the standard deviation in the case of a  
32  
33 144 normal distribution) was computed as a measure of spread among hospitals. Bootstrapping of the  
34  
35 145 mixture model was used to find a 95% confidence interval for the scaled interquartile range.  
36  
37  
38

## 39 146 **Patient and Public Involvement**

40  
41 147 Patients were not involved in this study. The policy of the Norwegian Institute of Public health to  
42  
43 148 publish hospital quality indicators, when they have been successfully validated.  
44  
45

## 46 47 149 **RESULTS**

48  
49  
50 150 The initial data set comprised 98 782 episodes with laparotomy and 1 909 with a reclosure operation.  
51  
52 151 After restricting data to reclosures paired with a laparotomy within 30 days, 1 487 reclosures  
53  
54 152 remained. After exclusions for pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium or immunocompromised state,  
55  
56 153 age and LOS, 80 469 laparotomies remained (no reclosures were excluded). Lastly, hospitals with less  
57  
58

154 than 10 laparotomies were excluded, yielding a final data set with 69 424 patients, 80 279  
 155 laparotomies from 47 hospitals. Descriptive statistics for the dataset are shown in Table 1.

156 *Table 1. Descriptive statistics*

	<b>PWD</b>	<b>No PWD</b>
Age, median (quartiles)	69 ( 61 - 78 )	65 ( 51 - 74 )
Females, %	35	55
Acute primary episode, %	44	33
PWD as primary diagnosis, reclosure episode, %	3	—
Hospital type		
Regional	546	28 274
Large, with acute function	819	42 271
Small, with acute function	122	8 247
Diabetes with chronic complications	1.3	1.1
Hemiplegia or paraplegia	1.1	0.5
Chronic pulmonary disease	13.2	6.5
Renal disease	4.4	3.5
30 day mortality (primary episode)	4.5	3.3
LOS days primary episode, median (quartiles)	18.8 ( 11.2 - 29.1 )	7.2 ( 4.3 - 12.3 )
Percentage of reoperations in same episode	82	—

157

158 From 2011 to 2015, the annual volume of laparotomies decreased somewhat, from 17 468 to 14 728,  
 159 while the proportion of acute laparotomies remained stable at around 33%.

160 The overall rate of PWD for the five-year period was 1.8%. Crude PWD rates varied from 0% to 5.1%  
 161 among hospitals. After risk adjustment, the range was 0.1% - 5.2% . Table 2 shows the odds ratios of  
 162 the final logistic regression model. The model showed good fit according to the modified Hosmer-  
 163 Lemeshow test<sup>30</sup> (p=0.8) and good predictive ability, with an area under the operating characteristic  
 164 (AUC) of 0.73.

165 *Table 2. Final model for risk adjustment*

Variable	Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)
Year of admission	0.93 (0.90,0.97)
Spline function 1	4.07 (2.42,6.85)
Spline function 2	3.63 (2.45,5.36)
Spline function 3	6.17 (1.85,20.55)
Spline function 4	2.61 (1.26,5.43)
Female	1
Male	2.36 (2.10,2.64)
Elective	1
Acute	1.36 (1.22,1.52)
Chronic Pulmonary disease	1.72 (1.48,2.01)
Operation type	
Several organs	2.15 (1.69,2.75)
Hernia	2.80 (2.16,3.64)
Thoracoabdominal aorta	1.58 (0.58,4.31)
Abdominal wall	0.66 (0.21,2.10)
Gastrointestinal tract	2.22 (1.84,2.67)
Liver	1.27 (0.81,2.00)
Biliary tract	0.24 (0.12,0.48)
Pancreas	0.76 (0.40,1.46)
Spleen	0.99 (0.37,2.67)
Other digestive system	1.95 (1.50,2.53)
Kidney	0.22 (0.11,0.45)
Other urinary and male genital organs	0.42 (0.31,0.58)
Female genital organs	1.39 (1.02,1.89)
Peripheral vessels	1.23 (0.94,1.60)
Hospital	
Scaled interquartile range	0.30 (0.23,0.34)

166

167 In Figure 1, risk-adjusted PWD rates are shown for each hospital, plotted versus laparotomy volume

168 and hospital type.

1  
2  
3 169 After significance testing, we identified three hospitals with higher PWD and none with lower PWD  
4  
5 170 than average, when correcting for multiple testing. Without multiple test correction, one additional  
6  
7 171 hospital with high PWD was found.  
8  
9

10 172 In the alternative model including volume, the PWD increased with yearly laparotomy volume from a  
11  
12 173 very low level up to 120 laparotomies per year, after which it remained fairly constant, see Figure 1.  
13  
14 174 The effect of volume was significant ( $p < 0.001$ ). Hospital type coincided almost completely with a  
15  
16 175 grouping of hospitals by volume, and was therefore not tested separately. There was significant  
17  
18 176 variation among regions, with the Northern region having the highest and the South-Eastern region  
19  
20 177 the lowest rates (data not shown). Using diagnosis categories or aggregated operation type as risk  
21  
22 178 adjustment variables resulted in very small changes in risk adjusted PWD rates.  
23  
24  
25

## 26 179 **DISCUSSION**

27  
28  
29 180 We have studied a quality indicator for wound dehiscence after laparotomy, based on the OECD  
30  
31 181 specification, that discriminated between Norwegian hospitals. The indicator was risk adjusted for  
32  
33 182 differences in age, gender, comorbidity and type of surgery, and showed little sensitivity to changes  
34  
35 183 in the set of risk adjustment variables. The overall PWD rate was 1.8%. After risk adjustment, the  
36  
37 184 hospitals' PWD rate varied between 0.1% and 5.2%. Laparotomy volume and type of hospital had  
38  
39 185 little effect on the PWD rate, except for hospitals with very low volume. Age, male gender, chronic  
40  
41 186 pulmonary disease, and emergency laparotomy were all significant risk factors for PWD. There were  
42  
43 187 significant PWD differences according to the organ system targeted. The overall rate of PWD showed  
44  
45 188 a small but statistically significant decline over the observation period 2011-2015. The relatively large  
46  
47 189 variation of PWD rates between hospitals after correction for patient characteristics and operation  
48  
49 190 type, indicates possible variation in the quality of healthcare in different hospitals. This may be due  
50  
51 191 to variation in surgical technique and perioperative care, as well as the handling of postoperative  
52  
53 192 complications, such as wound infection, which is known to be a risk factor for PWD.<sup>14</sup> We found PWD  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 193 rates well within the range reported in international studies.<sup>9 13 14 18 31-33</sup> Also, the risk factors  
4  
5 194 identified are in accordance with previous studies, albeit limited to administrative data. Laparotomy  
6  
7 195 volume has negligible effect apart from the few hospitals with very low volume. The effect is likely a  
8  
9 196 result of differences in types of operations performed at these hospitals, compared to the other  
10  
11 197 hospitals.

12  
13  
14 198 Our study is based on complete data from all Norwegian hospitals performing laparotomies. To the  
15  
16 199 best of our knowledge, no similar study has been performed. NPR, the data source, has been  
17  
18 200 validated for several disease categories<sup>34-37</sup> and has a very high degree of completeness. It was  
19  
20 201 possible to track patients during transfers and reoperations at different hospitals.

21  
22  
23  
24 202 There is regional variation in the prevalence of smoking and obesity in Norway which could cause  
25  
26 203 some PWD variation among hospitals.<sup>38</sup> Obesity is more prevalent in Northern Norway, where PWD  
27  
28 204 rates are somewhat higher. However, in other regions where obesity is less prevalent, the rates are  
29  
30 205 similar. There is no consistent correspondence between the known variation in smoking among  
31  
32 206 counties and PWD rates. Some surgical procedures are performed only at regional hospitals, and it is  
33  
34 207 therefore possible that selection effects are present. In that case, one would expect larger changes in  
35  
36 208 PWD rates after risk adjustment for operation type, which was not found. One potential source of  
37  
38 209 error in our study is the completeness and correctness of coding in the NPR, particularly the coding  
39  
40 210 of reclosure operations. The risk adjustment depends on data from previous hospitalization and may  
41  
42 211 not capture all comorbidities. Moreover, selection effects cannot not be ruled out. Differing policies  
43  
44 212 for operations on patients with known risk factors, e.g. obesity or smoking, would likely cause  
45  
46 213 variation in PWD rates. No attempt was made to identify main operation or operation intent, as this  
47  
48 214 would require a classification effort outside the scope of the present study. There could be a residual  
49  
50 215 imbalance in case mix, affecting PWD through e.g. operation duration, which is a known risk factor.  
51  
52 216 The observed effect of laparotomy volume is likely a result of differences in types of operations  
53  
54 217 performed at the hospitals with very low volume, compared to the other hospitals.

1  
2  
3 218 Previous studies have shown that the quality indicator has high positive predictive value, but only  
4  
5 219 moderate sensitivity.<sup>10</sup> Since we have used specific wound reclosure codes, similar to those used in  
6  
7 220 previous studies, we expect a high positive predictive value in Norway as well. Conceivably, the  
8  
9 221 sensitivity depends on the coding system, in particular the various alternative codes related to  
10  
11 222 complications. Sensitivity in Norway may thus differ from that of other healthcare systems.

## 14 223 **Conclusions**

16 224 Among Norwegian hospitals, there is a significant variation in PWD rate that cannot be explained by  
17  
18 225 operation type, age or comorbidity. This warrants further investigation into possible causes, such as  
19  
20 226 surgical technique, perioperative procedures or handling of complications, e.g. wound infections. The  
21  
22 227 risk adjusted PWD rate after laparotomy is a candidate for use as a quality indicator for Norwegian  
23  
24 228 hospitals, and will make it possible to identify hospitals with apparent quality problems. To achieve  
25  
26 229 sufficient discrimination, however, five-year data are desirable, making it more difficult to monitor  
27  
28 230 changes in hospital performance resulting from quality improvement efforts. It lies outside the scope  
29  
30 231 of the present study to perform a comprehensive validation of the PWD rate as a quality indicator  
31  
32 232 suitable for public reporting. There are uncertainties and potential biases in the indicator, implying  
33  
34 233 that it must be regarded as a signal for follow-up within hospitals, rather than giving a final verdict of  
35  
36 234 inferior or superior quality. For reporting on surgical quality, several indicators should be used to give  
37  
38 235 a balanced view of the different aspects of quality and patient safety.

## 42 236 **FOOTNOTES**

### 46 237 **Funding**

48 238 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-  
49  
50 239 for-profit sectors.

## 240 **Competing interests**

241 The authors have no competing interests.

## 242 **Authors' contributions**

243 AKL and OT conceived the study. DTK, TMH, OT, and SH participated in data preparation. OT, SH and  
244 AKL contributed to the analysis. OT helped draft the manuscript. JH was responsible for the statistical  
245 analysis and final manuscript. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

## 246 **Ethics approval**

247 The study was approved by the Norwegian Directorate of Health and the Norwegian Data Protection  
248 Authority.

## 249 **Data sharing**

250 The data set contains indirectly identifiable personal data, and cannot be shared without express  
251 permission from the Norwegian Patient Registry. For further information, contact the corresponding  
252 author.

253 *Figure 1. Risk adjusted PWD rates versus yearly laparotomy volume, by hospital type. Trend*  
254 *curve is obtained by smoothing the scatterplot*

## 255 **REFERENCES**

- 256 1. Carinci F, Van Gool K, Mainz J, et al. Towards actionable international comparisons of health  
257 system performance: expert revision of the OECD framework and quality indicators. *Int J*  
258 *Qual Health Care* 2015;27(2):137-46. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzv004 [published Online First:  
259 2015/03/12]
- 260 2. OECD. Health Care Quality and Outcomes: OECD; 2018 [Available from:  
261 <http://www.oecd.org/health/health-systems/health-care-quality-and-outcomes.htm>  
262 accessed 2018-06-06 2018.
- 263 3. Hannan EL. Using mortality data for profiling hospital quality of care and targeting substandard  
264 care. *J Soc Health Syst* 1989;1(1):31-48.
- 265 4. Miller MR, Elixhauser A, Zhan C, et al. Patient Safety Indicators: using administrative data to  
266 identify potential patient safety concerns. *Health Serv Res* 2001;36(6 Pt 2):110-32.
- 267 5. OECD. Health Care Quality Indicators - Patient Safety 2018 [Available from:  
268 <http://www.oecd.org/health/health-systems/hcqi-patient-safety.htm> accessed 2018-06-06  
269 2018.

- 270 6. OECD. Definitions for Health Care Quality Indicators 2016-2017 HCQI Data Collection: OECD,  
271 2016:113.
- 272 7. Deilkas ET, Risberg MB, Haugen M, et al. Exploring similarities and differences in hospital adverse  
273 event rates between Norway and Sweden using Global Trigger Tool. *BMJ open*  
274 2017;7(3):e012492. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012492
- 275 8. Hannan EL, Bernard HR, O'Donnell JF, et al. A methodology for targeting hospital cases for quality  
276 of care record reviews. *Am J Public Health* 1989;79(4):430-6.
- 277 9. van Ramshorst GH, Nieuwenhuizen J, Hop WC, et al. Abdominal wound dehiscence in adults:  
278 development and validation of a risk model. *World J Surg* 2010;34(1):20-7. doi:  
279 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00268-009-0277-y>
- 280 10. Romano PS, Mull HJ, Rivard PE, et al. Validity of selected AHRQ patient safety indicators based on  
281 VA National Surgical Quality Improvement Program data. *Health Serv Res* 2009;44(1):182-  
282 204. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2008.00905.x>
- 283 11. Rosen AK, Itani KM, Cevasco M, et al. Validating the patient safety indicators in the Veterans  
284 Health Administration: do they accurately identify true safety events? *Med Care*  
285 2012;50(1):74-85. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e3182293edf>
- 286 12. Kean J. The effects of smoking on the wound healing process. *J Wound Care* 2010;19(1):5-8. doi:  
287 10.12968/jowc.2010.19.1.46092
- 288 13. Shanmugam VK, Fernandez SJ, Evans KK, et al. Postoperative wound dehiscence: Predictors and  
289 associations. *Wound Repair Regen* 2015;23(2):184-90. doi:  
290 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12268>
- 291 14. Sorensen LT, Hemmingsen U, Kallehave F, et al. Risk factors for tissue and wound complications in  
292 gastrointestinal surgery. *Ann Surg* 2005;241(4):654-8.
- 293 15. Mahey RG, Smruti; Rajpurohit, Jitesh; Desai, Desai; Suryawanshi, Sachin;. A prospective study of  
294 risk factors for abdominal wound dehiscence. *International Surgery Journal* 2017;4(1):24-28.  
295 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20163983>
- 296 16. Deerenberg EB, Harlaar JJ, Steyerberg EW, et al. Small bites versus large bites for closure of  
297 abdominal midline incisions (STITCH): a double-blind, multicentre, randomised controlled  
298 trial. *Lancet* 2015;386(10000):1254-60. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(15)60459-7 [published  
299 Online First: 2015/07/21]
- 300 17. Israelsson LA, Millbourn D. Prevention of Incisional Hernias: How to Close a Midline Incision. *Surg*  
301 *Clin North Am* 2013;93(5):1027-40. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2013.06.009>
- 302 18. Webster C, Neumayer L, Smout R, et al. Prognostic models of abdominal wound dehiscence after  
303 laparotomy. *J Surg Res* 2003;109(2):130-7.
- 304 19. Health NDo. Norsk pasientregister - innhold og kvalitet: Norwegian Directorate of Health; 2018  
305 [Available from: <https://helsedirektoratet.no/norsk-pasientregister-npr/innhold-og-kvalitet>  
306 accessed 2018-06-06 2018.
- 307 20. eHealth NDo. Helsefaglige kodeverk: Norwegian Directorate of eHealth 2018 [Available from:  
308 <https://ehelse.no/standarder-kodeverk-og-referansekatalog/helsefaglige-kodeverk> accessed  
309 2018-06-06 2018.
- 310 21. Norwegian Directorate of eHealth. NCMP, NCSP og NCRP: Klassifikasjon av medisinske, kirurgiske  
311 og radiologiske prosedyrer Norwegian Directorate of eHealth; 2018 [Available from:  
312 <https://finnkode.ehelse.no/#ncmpncsp/0/0/0/-1> accessed 15th May 2018.
- 313 22. Hassani S, Lindman AS, Kristoffersen DT, et al. 30-Day Survival Probabilities as a Quality Indicator  
314 for Norwegian Hospitals: Data Management and Analysis. *PLoS One* 2015;10(9):e0136547.  
315 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0136547
- 316 23. Quan HD, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-  
317 CM and ICD-10 administrative data. *Med Care* 2005;43(11):1130-39. doi: DOI  
318 10.1097/01.mlr.0000182534.19832.83
- 319 24. Quan H, Li B, Couris CM, et al. Updating and validating the Charlson comorbidity index and score  
320 for risk adjustment in hospital discharge abstracts using data from 6 countries. *Am J*  
321 *Epidemiol* 2011;173(6):676-82. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwq433



- 1  
2  
3 322 25. (HCUP) HCUP. Beta Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) for ICD-10-CM/PCS: Agency for  
4 323 Healthcare Research and Quality; 2018 [Available from: <https://www.hcup->  
5 324 [us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/ccs10/ccs10.jsp](https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/ccs10/ccs10.jsp) accessed 2018-06-06 2018.
- 6 325 26. Firth D. Bias Reduction of Maximum-Likelihood-Estimates. *Biometrika* 1993;80(1):27-38. doi: DOI  
7 326 10.1093/biomet/80.1.27
- 8 327 27. Kristoffersen DT, Helgeland J, Clench-Aas J, et al. Observed to expected or logistic regression to  
9 328 identify hospitals with high or low 30-day mortality? *PLoS One* 2018;13(4) doi: ARTN  
10 329 e0195248 10.1371/journal.pone.0195248
- 11 330 28. Albert A, Anderson JA. On the Existence of Maximum Likelihood Estimates in Logistic Regression  
12 331 Models. *Biometrika* 1984;71(1):1-10. doi: 10.2307/2336390
- 13 332 29. Guo W, Romano JP. On stepwise control of directional errors under independence and some  
14 333 dependence. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 2015;163:21-33. doi:  
15 334 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspi.2015.02.009>
- 16 335 30. Paul P, Pennell ML, Lemeshow S. Standardizing the power of the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of  
17 336 fit test in large data sets. *Stat Med* 2013;32(1):67-80. doi: 10.1002/sim.5525
- 18 337 31. Sandy-Hodgetts K, Carville K, Leslie GD. Determining risk factors for surgical wound dehiscence: a  
19 338 literature review. *Int Wound J* 2015;12(3):265-75. doi: 10.1111/iwj.12088
- 20 339 32. Kenig J, Richter P, Lasek A, et al. The efficacy of risk scores for predicting abdominal wound  
21 340 dehiscence: a case-controlled validation study. *BMC Surg* 2014;14:65. doi: 10.1186/1471-  
22 341 2482-14-65
- 23 342 33. Walming S, Angenete E, Block M, et al. Retrospective review of risk factors for surgical wound  
24 343 dehiscence and incisional hernia. *BMC Surg* 2017;17(1):19. doi: 10.1186/s12893-017-0207-0
- 25 344 34. Bakken IJ, Gystad SO, Christensen OO, et al. Comparison of data from the Norwegian Patient  
26 345 Register and the Cancer Registry of Norway. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 2012;132(11):1336-40.  
27 346 doi: 10.4045/tidsskr.11.1099 [published Online First: 2012/06/22]
- 28 347 35. Hoiberg MP, Gram J, Hermann P, et al. The incidence of hip fractures in Norway -accuracy of the  
29 348 national Norwegian patient registry. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2014;15:372. doi:  
30 349 10.1186/1471-2474-15-372 [published Online First: 2014/11/15]
- 31 350 36. Oie LR, Madsbu MA, Giannadakis C, et al. Validation of intracranial hemorrhage in the Norwegian  
32 351 Patient Registry. *Brain and behavior* 2018;8(2):e00900. doi: 10.1002/brb3.900 [published  
33 352 Online First: 2018/02/28]
- 34 353 37. Varndal T, Bakken IJ, Janszky I, et al. Comparison of the validity of stroke diagnoses in a medical  
35 354 quality register and an administrative health register. *Scandinavian journal of public health*  
36 355 2016;44(2):143-9. doi: 10.1177/1403494815621641 [published Online First: 2015/12/15]
- 37 356 38. Health NLoP. Public Health report: Norwegian Institute of Public Health; 2018 [Available from:  
38 357 <https://www.fhi.no/en/op/hin/> accessed 2018-06-06 2018.

358




Figure 1. Risk adjusted PWD rates versus yearly laparotomy volume, by hospital type. Trend curve is obtained by smoothing the scatterplot

149x149mm (300 x 300 DPI)

## Online supplement

Table 1. ICD-10 diagnosis codes contained in MDC 14 (Pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium)

Code	Title
A34	Obstetrical tetanus
F53.0	Mild mental and behavioural disorders associated with the puerperium, not elsewhere classified
F53.1	Severe mental and behavioural disorders associated with the puerperium, not elsewhere classified
F53.8	Other mental and behavioural disorders associated with the puerperium, not elsewhere classified
F53.9	Puerperal mental disorder, unspecified
Oxx.x	Pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium
Z32.0	Pregnancy, not (yet) confirmed
Z32.1	Pregnancy confirmed
Z33	Pregnant state, incidental
Z34.0	Supervision of normal first pregnancy
Z34.8	Supervision of other normal pregnancy
Z34.9	Supervision of normal pregnancy, unspecified
Z35.0	Supervision of pregnancy with history of infertility
Z35.1	Supervision of pregnancy with history of abortive outcome
Z35.2	Supervision of pregnancy with other poor reproductive or obstetric history
Z35.3	Supervision of pregnancy with history of insufficient antenatal care
Z35.4	Supervision of pregnancy with grand multiparity
Z35.5	Supervision of elderly primigravida
Z35.6	Supervision of very young primigravida
Z35.8	Supervision of other high-risk pregnancies
Z35.9	Supervision of high-risk pregnancy, unspecified
Z36.0	Antenatal screening for chromosomal anomalies
Z36.1	Antenatal screening for raised alphetoprotein level
Z36.2	Other antenatal screening based on amniocentesis
Z36.3	Antenatal screening for malformations using ultrasound and other physical methods
Z36.4	Antenatal screening for fetal growth retardation using ultrasound and other physical methods
Z36.5	Antenatal screening for isoimmunization
Z36.8	Other antenatal screening
Z36.9	Antenatal screening, unspecified
Z37.0	Single live birth
Z37.1	Single stillbirth
Z37.2	Twins, both liveborn
Z37.3	Twins, one liveborn and one stillborn
Z37.4	Twins, both stillborn
Z37.5	Other multiple births, all liveborn
Z37.6	Other multiple births, some liveborn
Z37.7	Other multiple births, all stillborn
Z37.9	Outcome of delivery, unspecified
Z39.0	Care and examination immediately after delivery
Z39.1	Care and examination of lactating mother
Z39.2	Routine postpartum follow-up
Z64.0	Problems related to unwanted pregnancy

Table 2. ICD-10 diagnosis codes for immunocompromised state

Code	Title
B20.0	HIV disease resulting in mycobacterial infection
B20.1	HIV disease resulting in other bacterial infections
B20.2	HIV disease resulting in cytomegaloviral disease
B20.3	HIV disease resulting in other viral infections
B20.4	HIV disease resulting in candidiasis
B20.5	HIV disease resulting in other mycoses
B20.6	HIV disease resulting in Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia
B20.7	HIV disease resulting in multiple infections
B20.8	HIV disease resulting in other infectious and parasitic diseases
B20.9	HIV disease resulting in unspecified infectious or parasitic disease
B21.0	HIV disease resulting in Kaposi's sarcoma
B21.1	HIV disease resulting in Burkitt's lymphoma
B21.2	HIV disease resulting in other types of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma
B21.3	HIV disease resulting in other malignant neoplasms of lymphoid, haematopoietic and related tissue
B21.7	HIV disease resulting in multiple malignant neoplasms
B21.8	HIV disease resulting in other malignant neoplasms
B21.9	HIV disease resulting in unspecified malignant neoplasm
B22.0	HIV disease resulting in encephalopathy
B22.1	HIV disease resulting in lymphoid interstitial pneumonitis
B22.2	HIV disease resulting in wasting syndrome
B22.7	HIV disease resulting in multiple diseases classified elsewhere
B23.1	HIV disease resulting in (persistent) generalized lymphadenopathy
B23.2	HIV disease resulting in haematological and immunological abnormalities, not elsewhere classified
B23.8	HIV disease resulting in other specified conditions
B24	Unspecified human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] disease
B59	Pneumocystosis
D47.1	Chronic myeloproliferative disease
D70	Agranulocytosis
D71	Functional disorders of polymorphonuclear neutrophils
D72.0	Genetic anomalies of leukocytes
D80.0	Hereditary hypogammaglobulinaemia
D80.1	Nonfamilial hypogammaglobulinaemia
D80.2	Selective deficiency of immunoglobulin A [IgA]
D80.3	Selective deficiency of immunoglobulin G [IgG] subclasses
D80.4	Selective deficiency of immunoglobulin M [IgM]
D80.5	Immunodeficiency with increased immunoglobulin M [IgM]
D80.6	Antibody deficiency with near-normal immunoglobulins or with hyperimmunoglobulinaemia
D80.7	Transient hypogammaglobulinaemia of infancy
D80.8	Other immunodeficiencies with predominantly antibody defects
D80.9	Immunodeficiency with predominantly antibody defects, unspecified
D81.0	Severe combined immunodeficiency [SCID] with reticular dysgenesis
D81.1	Severe combined immunodeficiency [SCID] with low T- and B-cell numbers
D81.2	Severe combined immunodeficiency [SCID] with low or normal B-cell numbers
D81.3	Adenosine deaminase [ADA] deficiency
D81.4	Nezelof's syndrome
D81.5	Purine nucleoside phosphorylase [PNP] deficiency
D81.6	Major histocompatibility complex class I deficiency
D81.7	Major histocompatibility complex class II deficiency
D81.8	Other combined immunodeficiencies
D81.9	Combined immunodeficiency, unspecified
D82.0	Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
D82.1	Di George's syndrome
D82.2	Immunodeficiency with short-limbed stature
D82.3	Immunodeficiency following hereditary defective response to Epstein-Barr virus
D82.4	Hyperimmunoglobulin E [IgE] syndrome
D82.8	Immunodeficiency associated with other specified major defects
D82.9	Immunodeficiency associated with major defect, unspecified
D83.0	Common variable immunodeficiency with predominant abnormalities of B-cell numbers and function
D83.1	Common variable immunodeficiency with predominant immunoregulatory T-cell disorders
D83.2	Common variable immunodeficiency with autoantibodies to B- or T-cells
D83.8	Other common variable immunodeficiencies
D83.9	Common variable immunodeficiency, unspecified
D84.0	Lymphocyte function antigen-1 [LFA-1] defect
D84.1	Defects in the complement system
D84.8	Other specified immunodeficiencies
D84.9	Immunodeficiency, unspecified
D89.8	Other specified disorders involving the immune mechanism, not elsewhere classified
D89.9	Disorder involving the immune mechanism, unspecified
E40	Kwashiorkor
E41	Nutritional marasmus
E42	Marasmic kwashiorkor
E43	Unspecified severe protein-energy malnutrition
I12.0	Hypertensive renal disease with renal failure
I13.1	Hypertensive heart and renal disease with renal failure
I13.2	Hypertensive heart and renal disease with both (congestive) heart failure and renal failure
K91.2	Postsurgical malabsorption, not elsewhere classified
N18.0	End-stage renal disease
N18.5	Chronic kidney disease, stage 5
N18.8	Other chronic renal failure
T86.0	Bone-marrow transplant rejection
T86.1	Kidney transplant failure and rejection
T86.2	Heart transplant failure and rejection
T86.3	Heart-lung transplant failure and rejection
T86.4	Liver transplant failure and rejection
T86.8	Failure and rejection of other transplanted organs and tissues
T86.9	Failure and rejection of unspecified transplanted organ and tissue
Y83.0	Surgical Operation with transplant of whole organ or tissue
Z49.0	Preparatory care for dialysis
Z49.1	Extracorporeal dialysis
Z49.2	Other dialysis
Z94.0	Kidney transplant status
Z94.1	Heart transplant status
Z94.2	Lung transplant status
Z94.3	Heart and lungs transplant status
Z94.4	Liver transplant status
Z94.8	Other transplanted organ and tissue status
Z94.9	Transplanted organ and tissue status, unspecified

*Procedure codes for laparotomy and operation types.* Laparotomy codes are the total of codes in tables 3-16. Note that a last code digit of 0,3 or 6 signifies an open or other non-endoscopic operation or procedure.

*Table 3. NCSP-N codes for reclosure procedures*

Code	Title
JWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in gastroenterological surgery
KWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in urological surgery
LWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in gynaecological surgery
PWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in surgery of peripheral vessels and lymphatic system

*Table 4. NCSP-N codes for repair of thoracoabdominal aorta*

Code	Title
FCD00	Suture of thoracoabdominal aorta
FCD10	Reinforcement of thoracoabdominal aorta using suture
FCD30	Repair of thoracoabdominal aorta using patch
FCD40	Partial resection and suture of thoracoabdominal aorta
FCD50	Resection and reconstruction of thoracoabdominal aorta using tube graft
FCD60	Resection of thoracoabdominal aorta and reimplantation of branches
FCD70	Bypass of thoracoabdominal aorta using tube graft
FCD80	Removal of foreign body from thoracoabdominal aorta
FCD96	Other repair of thoracoabdominal aorta

*Table 5. NCSP-N code for procedures on the abdominal wall*

Code	Title
JAA00	Incision of abdominal wall

*Table 6. NCSP-N codes for hernia repair*

Code	Title
JBB00	Repair of paraoesophageal hernia
JBB10	Repair of congenital diaphragmatic hernia
JBB96	Repair of other diaphragmatic hernia
JBC00	Gastro-oesophageal antireflux operation

*Table 7. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the digestive tract: oesophagus, stomach and intestines*

Code	Title
JCA00	Oesophagotomy
JCA20	Ligature of oesophageal varices

1	
2	
3	JCA60 Transcervical excision of diverticulum of oesophagus
4	JCA96 Other local operation on oesophagus
5	JCB00 Oesophagostomy
6	JCC00 Transhiatal partial oesophagectomy without interposition
7	JCC10 Transthoracic partial oesophagectomy without interposition
8	JCC20 Transhiatal partial oesophagectomy with interposition of intestine
9	JCC30 Transthoracic partial oesophagectomy with interposition of intestine
10	JCC96 Other partial oesophagectomy
11	JCD00 Subcutaneous anastomosis of oesophagus without interposition
12	JCD03 Subcutaneous anastomosis of oesophagus with interposition of intestine
13	JCD10 Intrathoracic anastomosis of oesophagus without interposition
14	JCD13 Intrathoracic oesophageal anastomosis with interposition of intestine
15	JCD20 Transection of oesophagus
16	JCD96 Other anastomosis of oesophagus without resection
17	JCE00 Suture of oesophagus
18	JCE10 Plastic repair of stenosis of cardia
19	JCE20 Cardiomyotomy
20	JCE30 Repair of oesophageal atresia or congenital tracheo-oesophageal fistula
21	JCE33 Closure of acquired tracheo-oesophageal or broncho-oesophageal fistula
22	JCE40 Reconstruction of oesophagus using flap
23	JCE50 Reconstruction of oesophagus using free microvascular graft of intestine
24	JCE96 Other reconstruction of oesophagus
25	JCF00 Insertion of oesophageal stent
26	JCW96 Other operation on oesophagus
27	JDA00 Gastrotomy
28	JDA60 Closure of perforated ulcer of stomach
29	JDA63 Local excision of lesion of stomach
30	JDC00 Partial gastrectomy and gastroduodenostomy
31	JDC10 Partial gastrectomy and gastrojejunostomy
32	JDC20 Partial gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y reconstruction
33	JDC30 Partial gastrectomy with interposition of jejunum
34	JDC40 Partial gastrectomy and oesophagogastrostomy
35	JDC96 Partial gastrectomy with other reconstruction
36	JDD00 Total gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y oesophagojejunostomy
37	JDD96 Total gastrectomy with other reconstruction
38	JDE00 Gastrojejunostomy
39	JDE10 Conversion of gastrojejunostomy to Roux-en-Y anastomosis
40	JDE20 Conversion of gastrojejunostomy to gastroduodenostomy with interposition of jejunum
41	JDE96 Other anastomosis of stomach without concurrent gastrectomy
42	JDF00 Gastroplasty
43	JDF10 Gastric bypass
44	JDF20 Gastric banding
45	JDF96 Other bariatric operation on stomach
46	JDG00 Truncal vagotomy
47	JDG10 Proximal gastric vagotomy
48	JDG96 Other vagotomy
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
	JDH00 Duodenotomy
	JDH40 Duodenostomy on duodenal bulb
	JDH50 Local excision of lesion of duodenal bulb
	JDH60 Pyloromyotomy
	JDH63 Pyloroplasty
	JDH70 Closure of perforated ulcer of duodenum
	JDW96 Other operation on stomach or duodenum
	JEA00 Appendectomy
	JEA10 Appendectomy with drainage
	JEW96 Other operation on appendix
	JFA00 Enterotomy
	JFA10 Colotomy
	JFA16 Biopsy of wall of colon without colotomy
	JFA60 Strictureplasty in small intestine
	JFA63 Strictureplasty in colon
	JFA70 Suture of small intestine
	JFA73 Excision of lesion of small intestine
	JFA76 Closure of fistula of small intestine
	JFA80 Suture of colon
	JFA83 Excision of lesion of colon
	JFA86 Closure of fistula of colon
	JFA96 Other local operation on intestine
	JFB00 Partial resection of small intestine
	JFB10 Reversal of segment of small intestine
	JFB13 Plastic repair of small intestine with lengthening
	JFB20 Ileocaecal resection
	JFB30 Right hemicolectomy
	JFB33 Other resection comprising small intestine and colon
	JFB40 Resection of transverse colon
	JFB43 Left hemicolectomy
	JFB46 Resection of sigmoid colon
	JFB50 Other resection of colon
	JFB53 Resection of sigmoid colon with partial proctectomy
	JFB60 Resection of sigmoid colon with end colostomy
	JFB63 Other resection of colon with proximal colostomy and closure of distal stump
	JFB96 Other partial excision of intestine
	JFC00 Entero-enterostomy
	JFC10 Ileotransversostomy
	JFC20 Other enterocolostomy
	JFC30 Colo-colostomy
	JFC40 Ileorectostomy
	JFC50 Colorectostomy
	JFD00 Jejunioleal bypass
	JFD03 Duodenoileal bypass with biliopancreatic diversion
	JFD10 Revision of jejunioleal bypass
	JFD13 Revision of duodenoileal bypass



1	
2	
3	JFD20 Restoration of continuity after jejunoileal bypass
4	JFD23 Restoration of continuity after duodenoileal bypass
5	JFD96 Other intestinal bypass operation
6	JFE00 Transplantation of small intestine
7	JFE96 Other operation relating to transplantation of small intestine
8	JFF00 Catheter enterostomy
9	JFF10 Loop enterostomy
10	JFF13 Terminal enterostomy
11	JFF20 Caecostomy
12	JFF23 Transversostomy
13	JFF26 Sigmoidostomy
14	JFF30 Other colostomy
15	JFF40 Appendicostomy
16	JFF50 Exteriorisation of loop of colon without opening
17	JFF60 Opening of exteriorised loop of colon
18	JFF96 Other exteriorisation of intestine or creation of intestinal stoma
19	JFG00 Closure of loop enterostomy without resection
20	JFG10 Closure of loop colostomy without resection
21	JFG20 Closure of enterostomy with resection of exteriorised loop
22	JFG23 Closure of terminal enterostomy with anastomosis to small intestine
23	JFG26 Closure of terminal enterostomy with anastomosis to colon
24	JFG30 Closure of colostomy with resection of exteriorised loop
25	JFG33 Closure of terminal colostomy with anastomosis to colon
26	JFG36 Closure of terminal colostomy with anastomosis to rectum
27	JFG40 Revision of enterostomy or colostomy without laparotomy
28	JFG50 Laparotomy with revision of enterostomy or colostomy
29	JFG53 Revision of ileal pelvic pouch
30	JFG56 Revision of colonic pelvic pouch
31	JFG60 Conversion of conventional ileostomy to continent ileostomy
32	JFG70 Conversion of continent ileostomy to conventional ileostomy
33	JFG73 Excision of ileal pelvic pouch
34	JFG76 Excision of colonic pelvic pouch with colorectal or coloanal anastomosis
35	JFG80 Excision of ileal pouch with construction of new continent ileostomy
36	JFG83 Excision of colonic pelvic pouch and construction of new pouch
37	JFG86 Excision of ileal pelvic pouch and construction of new pouch
38	JFG96 Other operation on intestinal stoma or pouch
39	JFH00 Total colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis
40	JFH10 Total colectomy and ileostomy
41	JFH20 Proctocolectomy and ileostomy
42	JFH30 Total colectomy, mucosal proctectomy and ileoanal anastomosis without ileostomy
43	JFH33 Total colectomy, mucosal proctectomy, ileoanal anastomosis and ileostomy
44	JFH40 Proctocolectomy and continent ileostomy
45	JFH96 Other total colectomy
46	JFJ00 Coecopexy
47	JFJ96 Other enteropexy or colopexy
48	JFK00 Division of adhesive band in intestinal obstruction
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

JFK10	Freeing of adhesions in intestinal obstruction
JFK20	Freeing of adhesions and plication of small intestine
JFK96	Other operation on adhesions in intestinal obstruction
JFL00	Open reduction of intussusception of intestine
JFL10	Laparotomy and manipulation of obstructed intestine
JFL20	Laparotomy and manipulation of impacted material
JFL96	Other operation for intestinal obstruction without resection or freeing of adhesions
JFM00	Intraoperative irrigation of colon
JFW96	Other operation on intestine
JGA00	Proctotomy
JGA60	Suture of rectum
JGA70	Proctotomy and excision of lesion of rectum
JGA73	Transanal excision of lesion of rectum
JGA76	Stapled transanal rectal resection
JGA96	Other proctotomy or local operation on rectum
JGB00	Partial proctectomy and colorectal or coloanal anastomosis
JGB03	Partial proctectomy with partial excision of mesorectum
JGB06	Partial proctectomy with total excision of mesorectum
JGB10	Partial proctectomy and end colostomy
JGB20	Partial rectosigmoidectomy and abdominoperineal pull-through anastomosis
JGB30	Abdominoperineal excision of rectum
JGB33	Abdominoperineal excision of rectum with intersphincteric dissection
JGB36	Wide excision of rectum
JGB40	Excision of rectum and end ileostomy
JGB50	Mucosal proctectomy and ileoanal anastomosis
JGB60	Excision of rectum and ileoanal anastomosis
JGB96	Other proctectomy or excision of rectum
JGC00	Rectopexy
JGC10	Perineal rectopexy
JGC20	Transanal suture
JGC30	Excision and suture of rectal mucosa with imbrication of muscular layer
JGC40	Anorectal repair of anal atresia
JGC96	Other reconstructive operation on rectum
JGD00	Excision of perineal local recurrence of tumour
JGW96	Other operation on rectum

Table 8. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the liver

Code	Title
JJA00	Exploration of liver
JJA10	Hepatotomy
JJA20	Open biopsy of liver
JJA23	Open needle biopsy of liver
JJA30	Fenestration of cyst of liver
JJA40	Excision of lesion of liver

JJA43	Destruction of lesion of liver
JJA50	Suture of liver
JJA96	Other local operation on liver
JJB00	Wedge resection of liver
JJB10	Atypical resection of liver
JJB20	Excision of single segment of liver
JJB30	Excision of two segments of liver
JJB40	Excision of segments II, III and IV of liver
JJB50	Excision of segments V, VI, VII and VIII of liver
JJB53	Excision of segments IV,V, VI, VII and VIII of liver
JJB60	Other excision of three or more segments of liver
JJB96	Other resection of liver
JJC00	Allogenic transplantation of liver
JJC10	Allogenic partial transplantation of liver
JJC20	Allogenic partial transplantation of liver from living donor
JJC30	Xenogenic transplantation of liver
JJC40	Xenogenic partial transplantation of liver
JJC50	Resection of transplanted liver
JJC60	Total excision of transplanted liver
JJC96	Other transplantation of liver or related operation
JJW96	Other operation on liver

*Table 9. NCSP-N codes for procedures on biliary tract*

Code	Title
JKA00	Cholecystotomy
JKA10	Cholecystostomy
JKA13	Percutaneous cholecystostomy
JKA20	Cholecystectomy
JKA96	Other operation on gallbladder
JKB00	Incision of bile duct
JKB20	Intraoperative cholangioscopy
JKB40	Suture of bile duct
JKB96	Other incision or related operation on bile duct
JKC00	Incision of bile duct and local excision of lesion
JKC10	Partial excision and anastomosis of bile duct
JKC20	Partial excision of bile duct and anastomosis to duodenum
JKC30	Partial excision of bile duct and anastomosis to jejunum
JKC40	Partial excision of right or left hepatic duct and anastomosis to jejunum
JKC50	Excision of papilla of Vater and anastomosis of bile duct to duodenum or jejunum
JKC96	Other excision of bile duct
JKD00	Anastomosis of gallbladder to jejunum
JKD10	Anastomosis of bile duct to duodenum
JKD20	Anastomosis of bile duct to jejunum

JKD30	Extrahepatic anastomosis of right or left hepatic duct to jejunum
JKD40	Anastomosis of intrahepatic bile duct to jejunum
JKD50	Hepatoportoenterostomy
JKD96	Other biliodigestive anastomosis without excision
JKE00	Transduodenal papillotomy
JKE06	Transduodenal sphincteroplasty
JKE96	Other transduodenal open operation on bile duct or ampulla of Vater
JKF00	Excision of cystic duct
JKF10	Percutaneous extraction of biliary calculus
JKF96	Other secondary operation on biliary tract
JKW96	Other operation on biliary tract

Table 10. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the pancreas

Code	Title
JLA00	Exploration of pancreas
JLA10	Biopsy of pancreas
JLA20	Needle biopsy of pancreas
JLB00	Incision of pancreas
JLB10	Pancreaticolithotomy
JLB96	Other incision, drainage or dilatation of pancreas
JLC00	Excision of lesion of pancreas
JLC10	Distal pancreatectomy
JLC20	Total pancreatectomy
JLC30	Pancreatoduodenectomy
JLC40	Total pancreatoduodenectomy
JLC50	Atypical pancreatectomy
JLC96	Other pancreatectomy
JLD00	Pancreaticojejunostomy
JLD10	Anastomosis of pancreatic pseudocyst to stomach
JLD20	Anastomosis of pancreatic pseudocyst to jejunum
JLE00	Allogenic total transplantation of pancreas with pancreaticocystostomy
JLE03	Allogenic total transplantation of pancreas with pancreaticoenterostomy
JLE10	Allogenic segmental transplantation of pancreas
JLE16	Allogenic segmental transplantation of pancreas from living donor
JLE20	Allogenic islet cell transplantation
JLE30	Xenogenic islet cell transplantation
JLE40	Total excision of transplanted pancreas
JLE50	Occlusion of duct of transplanted pancreas
JLE56	Conversion of pancreaticocystostomy to pancreaticoenterostomy
JLE96	Other transplantation of pancreas or related operation
JLW96	Other operation on pancreas

Table 11. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the spleen

Code	Title
JMA00	Partial splenectomy
JMA10	Transabdominal total splenectomy
JMA20	Transthoracic total splenectomy
JMB00	Biopsy of spleen
JMB10	Repair of spleen
JMW96	Other operation on spleen

Table 12. NCSP-N codes for other digestive system procedures

Code	Title
JAH00	Laparotomy
JAH20	Staging laparotomy
JAH30	Laparostomy
JAH33	Revision of laparostomy
JAH40	Thoracolumbarotomy
JAJ00	Rectal incision and drainage of pelvic abscess
JAK00	Laparotomy and drainage of peritoneal cavity
JAK03	Laparotomy and peritoneal irrigation
JAK10	Laparotomy and insertion of peritoneal dialysis catheter
JAL00	Biopsy of peritoneum
JAL10	Laparotomy and removal of foreign body
JAL20	Excision or destruction of lesion of peritoneum
JAL23	Excision of local lesion of pelvic wall
JAL30	Omentectomy
JAL50	Intraabdominal revision of shunt of ventricle of brain
JAL96	Other local operation on peritoneum or peritoneal cavity
JAM00	Transposition of omentum
JAM10	Operation for malrotation of intestine
JAN00	Creation of peritoneovenous shunt
JAN10	Revision of peritoneovenous shunt
JAN20	Removal of peritoneovenous shunt
JAP00	Freeing of adhesions in the peritoneal cavity
JAQ00	Extensive excision of peritoneum
JAQ10	Intraoperative hyperthermic chemotherapeutic perfusion of abdominal cavity
JAW96	Other operation on abdominal wall, peritoneum, mesentery or omentum
JBA00	Transabdominal repair of diaphragm for rupture
JBA10	Transabdominal biopsy or excision of lesion of diaphragm
JBA20	Transabdominal partial excision of diaphragm
JBW96	Other transabdominal operation on diaphragm or operation for gastro-oesophageal reflux
JKT00	Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy of gallbladder
JKT10	Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy of biliary duct

Table 13. NCSP-N codes for procedures on kidney and pelvis of kidney

Code	Title
KAA00	Exploration of kidney
KAA20	Exploratory nephrotomy
KAA30	Exploratory pyelotomy
KAA96	Other exploration of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAB00	Biopsy of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAC00	Nephrectomy
KAC20	Nephroureterectomy
KAD00	Partial nephrectomy
KAD10	Heminephrectomy
KAD40	Partial excision of pelvis of kidney
KAD50	Destruction of tumour of pelvis of kidney
KAD56	Destruction of lesion of renal parenchyma
KAD60	Percutaneous destruction of lesion of renal parenchyma
KAD96	Other partial excision of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAE00	Nephrolithotomy
KAE10	Pyelolithotomy
KAE96	Other removal of calculus from kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAF00	Removal of foreign body from kidney
KAF10	Removal of foreign body from pelvis of kidney
KAH00	Suture of kidney
KAH10	Suture of pelvis of kidney
KAH30	Pyeloureteroplasty without division of ureteropelvic junction
KAH40	Pyeloureteroplasty with division of ureteropelvic junction
KAH50	Ureterocalyceal anastomosis
KAH70	Freeing of adhesions of ureteropelvic junction
KAH80	Nephropexy
KAH96	Other reconstruction of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAS00	Autotransplantation of kidney
KAS10	Allogenic transplantation of kidney from cadaver donor
KAS20	Allogenic transplantation of kidney from living donor
KAS40	Excision of transplanted kidney
KAS50	Nephrocystostomy in transplanted kidney
KAS60	Operation for lymphocele of transplanted kidney
KAS96	Other transplantation of kidney or related procedure
KAW96	Other operation on kidney or pelvis of kidney

Table 14. NCSP-N codes for procedures on other urinary and male genital organs: ureter, bladder, urethra, prostate and seminal vesicles

Code	Title
KBA00	Exploration of ureter
KBA10	Exploratory ureterotomy
KBA96	Other exploration of ureter
KBB00	Biopsy of ureter
KBC00	Ureterectomy
KBD00	Partial excision of ureter
KBD20	Destruction of tumour of ureter
KBD30	Excision of stump of ureter
KBD96	Other partial excision of ureter or destruction of tumour of ureter
KBE00	Ureterolithotomy
KBE96	Other operation for calculus of ureter
KBF00	Removal of foreign body from ureter
KBH00	Suture of ureter
KBH06	Ureteroureterostomy
KBH10	Connection of ureter to contralateral ureter
KBH20	Replantation of ureter
KBH30	Ileal replacement of ureter
KBH40	Plastic repair of ureter
KBH50	Ureterolysis
KBH96	Other repair or connection of ureter
KBJ00	Cutaneous ureterostomy
KBJ10	Cutaneous ureteroenterostomy
KBJ20	Cutaneous ureteroenterostomy with reservoir
KBJ40	Ureteroenterostomy
KBJ60	Anastomosis of ureter to urethra with interposition of ileum
KBJ70	Removal of calculus from ileal conduit or reservoir
KBJ80	Operation for malfunction of urinary diversion
KBJ96	Other urinary diversion from ureter or related operation
KBT00	Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy of ureter
KBV00	Insertion of stent into ureter
KBV10	Removal of stent from ureter
KBV40	Incision or excision of ureterocele
KBW96	Other operation on ureter
KCA00	Exploratory cystotomy
KCB00	Biopsy of bladder
KCC00	Cystectomy
KCC10	Cystoprostatectomy
KCC20	Cystoprostatectomy with excision of female internal genital organs
KCC30	Cystectomy with excision of female internal genital organs
KCC96	Other cystectomy
KCD10	Partial cystectomy

1		
2		
3	KCD20	Excision of diverticulum of bladder
4	KCD30	Destruction of tumour of bladder
5	KCD40	Excision of urachus
6	KCD96	Other partial excision or destruction of tumour of bladder
7	KCE00	Cystolithotomy
8	KCF00	Cystotomy and removal of foreign body from bladder
9	KCH00	Suture of bladder
10	KCH10	Enterocystoplasty
11	KCH20	Reduction cystoplasty
12	KCH30	Closure of vesicointestinal fistula
13	KCH40	Incision or resection of bladder neck
14	KCH96	Other reconstructive operation on bladder
15	KCJ00	Cystostomy
16	KCJ10	Cutaneous cystoenterostomy
17	KCJ20	Continent cutaneous cystoenterostomy
18	KCJ96	Other cystostomy
19	KCT00	Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy of bladder
20	KCV10	Denervation of bladder
21	KCV20	Freeing of bladder
22	KCW96	Other operations on bladder
23	KDC00	Urethrectomy
24	KDD00	Partial excision of urethra
25	KDD10	Excision of diverticulum of urethra
26	KDD30	Destruction of tumour of urethra
27	KDD40	Resection of external sphincter of urethra
28	KDD50	Excision of urethral valve
29	KDD80	Partial excision of urethra and repair using graft or flap
30	KDD96	Other partial excision of urethra
31	KDG00	Retropubic suspension of urethra
32	KDG10	Abdominovaginal suspension of bladder neck
33	KDG20	Abdominal colposuspension
34	KDG30	Suprapubic sling urethrocystopexy
35	KDG40	Suprapubic urethrocystopexy
36	KDG43	Transobturatorial sling urethrocystopexy
37	KDG50	Transabdominal plastic repair of pelvic floor for urinary incontinence
38	KDG60	Implantation of adjustable expander around bladder neck
39	KDG96	Other operation on urethra or bladder neck for incontinence
40	KDH00	Suture of urethra
41	KDH10	Meatoplasty of urethra
42	KDH30	Closure of urethrocutaneous fistula
43	KDH50	Closure of urethrointestinal fistula
44	KDH70	Plastic repair of stricture of urethra
45	KDH96	Other reconstructive operation on urethra
46	KDJ00	Urethrostomy
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		



KDK00	Implantation of artificial urinary sphincter around bladder neck
KDK10	Implantation of artificial urinary sphincter around bulbar urethra
KDK30	Revision of artificial urethral sphincter
KDK40	Removal of artificial urethral sphincter
KDV00	Insertion of stent into urethra
KDV10	Internal urethrotomy
KDV20	Submucous urethral injection
KDW96	Other operation on urethra
KEA00	Exploration of prostate
KEA10	Prostatotomy
KEA20	Incision of seminal vesicle
KEC00	Retropubic radical prostatectomy
KEC10	Perineal radical prostatectomy
KEC20	Transsacral radical prostatectomy
KED00	Transvesical prostatectomy
KED80	Percutaneous cryotherapy of prostate
KED96	Other partial excision of prostate
KEE00	Prostatolithotomy
KEE10	Removal of foreign body from prostate
KEW96	Other operation on prostate or seminal vesicle

Table 15- NCSP-N codes for procedures on female genital organs: ovary, fallopian tube, uterus and uterine ligaments

Code	Title
LAA00	Puncture of ovarian cyst
LAB00	Ovariectomy
LAB10	Biopsy of ovary
LAB96	Other incision or biopsy of ovary
LAC00	Excision of ovarian cyst
LAC10	Fenestration of ovarian cyst
LAC20	Destruction of lesion of ovary
LAC30	Excision of paraovarian cyst
LAC96	Other excision or destruction of lesion of ovary
LAD00	Partial excision of ovary
LAE10	Unilateral oophorectomy
LAE20	Bilateral oophorectomy
LAF00	Unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy
LAF10	Bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy
LAF20	Unilateral transvaginal salpingo-oophorectomy
LAF30	Bilateral transvaginal salpingo-oophorectomy
LAG00	Freeing of adhesions of ovary
LAG10	Oophoropexy

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
LAG20	Detorsion of ovary
LAG96	Other reconstructive operation on ovary
LAW96	Other operation on ovary
LBB00	Biopsy of Fallopian tube
LBB96	Other biopsy of Fallopian tube
LBC10	Removal of products of conception from Fallopian tube
LBC20	Salpingotomy and removal of products of conception
LBC96	Other tube conserving operation for tubal pregnancy
LBD00	Partial excision of Fallopian tube
LBE00	Salpingectomy
LBF00	Perfusion of Fallopian tube
LBF03	Perfusion of Fallopian tube after reconstruction
LBF20	Transcervical catheter salpingoplasty
LBF30	Salpingolysis
LBF40	Fimbrioplasty
LBF50	Salpingostomy
LBF60	Partial excision and anastomosis of Fallopian tube
LBF70	Partial excision and reimplantation of Fallopian tube
LBF96	Other operation on Fallopian tube for infertility
LBW96	Other operation on Fallopian tube
LCA00	Biopsy of uterus or uterine ligaments
LCB00	Hysterotomy
LCB10	Myomectomy
LCB20	Transvaginal myomectomy
LCB96	Other excision of lesion of uterus
LCC00	Partial excision of uterus
LCC10	Supravaginal hysterectomy
LCC20	Vaginal supravaginal hysterectomy
LCC96	Other partial excision of uterus
LCD00	Hysterectomy
LCD10	Vaginal hysterectomy
LCD30	Radical hysterectomy
LCD40	Radical vaginal hysterectomy
LCD96	Other hysterectomy
LCE00	Anterior exenteration of female pelvis
LCE10	Posterior exenteration of female pelvis
LCE20	Total exenteration of female pelvis
LCE96	Other exenteration of female pelvis
LCF00	Excision of lesion of parametrium
LCF10	Excision of female varicocele
LCF96	Other excision of lesion of parametrium
LCG10	Suture of uterus
LCG20	Hysteropexy
LCG30	Resection or transcision of sacrouterine ligaments

LCG40	Reconstruction of uterus
LCG96	Other reconstructive operation on uterus
LCW96	Other operation on uterus and uterine ligaments

Table 16. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the peripheral vessels of the abdomen

Code	Title
PCB20	Ligature of coeliac trunk and branches
PCB30	Ligature of superior mesenteric artery
PCB40	Ligature of renal artery
PCB99	Ligature of other visceral artery
PCC10	Suture of suprarenal or juxtarenal abdominal aorta
PCC20	Suture of coeliac trunk and branches
PCC30	Suture of superior mesenteric artery
PCC40	Suture of renal artery
PCC99	Suture of other visceral artery
PCE30	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of superior mesenteric artery
PCE40	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of renal artery
PCE99	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of other visceral artery
PCF20	Thrombendarterectomy of coeliac trunk and branches
PCF30	Thrombendarterectomy of superior mesenteric artery
PCF40	Thrombendarterectomy of renal artery
PCF99	Thrombendarterectomy of other visceral artery
PCG10	Operation for aneurysm of supraceliac or juxtarenal abdominal aorta
PCG20	Operation for aneurysm of coeliac trunk and branches
PCG30	Operation for aneurysm of superior mesenteric artery
PCG40	Operation for aneurysm of renal artery
PCG99	Operation for aneurysm of other visceral artery
PCH10	Bypass from supraceliac or juxtarenal abdominal aorta
PCH20	Bypass from coeliac trunk and branches
PCH30	Bypass from superior mesenteric artery
PCH40	Bypass from renal artery
PCH99	Bypass from other visceral artery
PCJ30	Transposition of superior mesenteric artery
PCJ40	Transposition of renal artery
PCJ99	Transposition of other visceral artery
PCK20	Reimplantation of coeliac trunk and branches
PCK30	Reimplantation of superior mesenteric artery
PCK40	Reimplantation of renal artery
PCK50	Reimplantation of inferior mesenteric artery
PCK99	Reimplantation of other visceral artery
PCN20	Plastic repair of coeliac trunk and branches

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
PCN30	Plastic repair of superior mesenteric artery
PCN40	Plastic repair of renal artery
PCN99	Plastic repair of other visceral artery
PCU70	Exploration of previous reconstruction of suprarenal abdominal aorta or visceral arteries
PCU74	Thrombectomy or embolectomy in bypass from suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PCU81	Closure of persisting arteriovenous fistula of bypass from suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PCU82	Plastic repair in bypass from suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PCU99	Other repair after previous reconstruction of suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PCW99	Other operation on suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PDA10	Exploration of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDA30	Exploration of iliac artery
PDC10	Suture of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDC30	Suture of iliac artery
PDE10	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDE30	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of iliac artery
PDF10	Thrombendarterectomy of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDF30	Thrombendarterectomy of iliac artery
PDG10	Operation on infrarenal abdominal aorta for aneurysm
PDG20	Bypass from aorta to iliac artery for aneurysm
PDG21	Bypass from aorta to bilateral iliac arteries for aneurysm
PDG22	Bypass from aorta to iliac and contralateral femoral artery for aneurysm
PDG23	Bypass from aorta to femoral artery for aneurysm
PDG24	Bypass from aorta to bilateral femoral arteries for aneurysm
PDG30	Operation on iliac artery for aneurysm
PDG35	Bypass from iliac to femoral artery for aneurysm
PDG99	Other operation for aneurysm of infrarenal abdominal aorta and iliac arteries
PDH10	Bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDH20	Bypass from aorta to iliac artery
PDH21	Bypass from aorta to bilateral iliac arteries
PDH22	Bypass from aorta to iliac and contralateral femoral artery
PDH23	Bypass from aorta to femoral artery
PDH24	Bypass from aorta to bilateral femoral arteries
PDH30	Bypass from iliac artery
PDH35	Bypass from iliac to femoral artery
PDH99	Other bypass from abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDN10	Plastic repair of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDN30	Plastic repair of iliac artery
PDU70	Exploration of previous reconstruction of infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac arteries and distal connections
PDU74	Thrombectomy or embolectomy in bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDU81	Closure of persisting arteriovenous fistula of bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDU82	Plastic repair of bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac artery

PDU99	Other repair after previous reconstruction of infrarenal abdominal aorta and iliac arteries and distal
PDW99	Other operation on infrarenal abdominal aorta and iliac arteries and distal connections
PHB23	Ligature of iliac vein
PHB30	Ligature of inferior vena cava
PHB31	Ligature of renal vein
PHB32	Ligature of portal vein
PHB33	Ligature of superior mesenteric vein
PHB34	Ligature of inferior mesenteric vein
PHB36	Ligature of spermatic vein
PHC23	Suture of iliac vein
PHC30	Suture of inferior vena cava
PHC31	Suture of renal vein
PHC32	Suture of portal vein
PHC33	Suture of superior mesenteric vein
PHC34	Suture of inferior mesenteric vein
PHD30	Resection of inferior vena cava
PHD32	Resection of portal vein
PHD33	Resection of superior mesenteric vein
PHD34	Resection of inferior mesenteric vein
PHD36	Resection of spermatic vein
PHE23	Thrombectomy of iliac vein
PHE30	Thrombectomy of inferior vena cava
PHE31	Thrombectomy of renal vein
PHH25	Bypass from iliac vein
PHH30	Bypass from inferior vena cava
PHN30	Plastic repair of inferior vena cava
PHN32	Plastic repair of portal vein
PHN33	Plastic repair of superior mesenteric vein
PHN34	Plastic repair of inferior mesenteric vein
PHW35	Portosystemic shunt or bypass

**The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported in observational studies using routinely collected health data.**

	Item No.	STROBE items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	RECORD items	Location in manuscript where items are reported
<b>Title and abstract</b>					
	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Title	RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included.  RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract.  RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.	Title and abstract  Abstract 1 21  Title and abstract 1 20-21  No linkages between databases
<b>Introduction</b>					
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Introduction, 1 49-73		
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Introduction, 1 74-79		
<b>Methods</b>					
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Abstract, 1 20-30.		
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Materials and methods		
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the	Materials and methods, 1. 81-82	RECORD 6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or	Materials and methods, 1 96-109.

		<p>sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls</p> <p><i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants</p> <p>(b) <i>Cohort study</i> - For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case</p>	<p>and 196-109</p>	<p>algorithms used to identify subjects) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided.</p> <p>RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided.</p> <p>RECORD 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of individuals with linked data at each stage.</p>	<p>All codes are listed in the Supplementary File</p> <p>References to validation studies are given in Introduction, 166-69, and in Discussion, 196</p> <p>Not considered relevant</p>
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable.	Materials and methods, 196-109, and Supplementary File. Model variables are specified in Statistical methods, 115-120	RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided.	Codes are listed in the Supplementary File
Data sources/ measurement	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Covered by the above		
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Hospital PWD rates are risk adjusted, see		

			statistical methods 1 115-120		
1 2 3 4	Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Determined by study period	
5 6 7 8 9	Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	Model variables are specified in Statistical methods, 1. 115-120	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) <i>Cohort study</i> - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	a) See Statistical methods c) No missing data were found in final data set d) Loss to follow up assumed to be very low and uniform across hospitals e) Materials and methods, 1 131-134, Results, 1 173-174	
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Data access and cleaning methods		..	RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population.  RECORD 12.2: Authors should provide information on the data cleaning	The authors had no access to the NPR's databases  Materials and methods, 1 89-91



				methods used in the study.	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Linkage	..		RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level, institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and methods of linkage quality evaluation should be provided.	NPR provided linkage to National Registry using the unique PIN
8	<b>Results</b>				
9	Participants	13	(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study ( <i>e.g.</i> , numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Results, 1 146-151	RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the selection of the persons included in the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants ( <i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) <i>Cohort study</i> - summarise follow-up time ( <i>e.g.</i> , average and total amount)	a) Results, Table 1 b) See above c) Not relevant	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Outcome data	15	<i>Cohort study</i> - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time <i>Case-control study</i> - Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure <i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Report	Results, Table 1	

		numbers of outcome events or summary measures			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Results, l 156-157 and Figure 1, Table 2	
19 20 21 22	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Results, l 168-174, Figure 1	
<b>Discussion</b>					
24 25 26	Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Discussion, l 176-184	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias		RECORD 19.1: Discuss the implications of using data that were not created or collected to answer the specific research question(s). Include discussion of misclassification bias, unmeasured confounding, missing data, and changing eligibility over time, as they pertain to the study being reported.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Conclusions, l 220-231	Discussion, l 198-218

1 2 3	Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion, 1 214-218		
4	<b>Other Information</b>					
5 6 7 8 9	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	No specific funding was received		
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Accessibility of protocol, raw data, and programming code		..		RECORD 22.1: Authors should provide information on how to access any supplemental information such as the study protocol, raw data, or programming code.	Contact the corresponding author

\*Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttman A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langan SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. *PLoS Medicine* 2015; in press.

\*Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution ([CC BY](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)) license.

# BMJ Open

## POSTOPERATIVE WOUND DEHISCENCE AFTER LAPAROTOMY: A USEFUL HEALTH CARE QUALITY INDICATOR? A COHORT STUDY BASED ON NORWEGIAN HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-026422.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Dec-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Helgeland , Jon ; Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Division of Health Services Tomic, Oliver; Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Department of Mathematic Sciences and Technology Hansen, Tonya; Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Division of Health Services Kristoffersen, Doris; Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Division of Health Services Hassani, Sahar; University of Oslo, KG Jebsen Centre for Psychosis Research, Institute of Clinical Medicine; Oslo University Hospital, Department of Medical Genetics Lindahl, Anne; Akershus University Hospital Trust, Division of Surgery; University of Oslo, Department of Health Administration and Health Economics
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	Surgery
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health services research
Keywords:	Quality in health care < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Patient safety, WOUND MANAGEMENT

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 1 **POSTOPERATIVE WOUND DEHISCENCE AFTER LAPAROTOMY: A**  
6  
7  
8  
9 2 **USEFUL HEALTH CARE QUALITY INDICATOR? A COHORT STUDY**  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14 3 **BASED ON NORWEGIAN HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATIVE DATA**  
15  
16  
17

18 4 Jon Helgeland<sup>1,7</sup>, Oliver Tomic<sup>2</sup>, Tonya Moen Hansen<sup>1</sup>, Doris Tove Kristoffersen<sup>1</sup>, Sahar Hassani<sup>3,4</sup>,  
19  
20 5 Anne Karin Lindahl<sup>5,6</sup>

21  
22 6 <sup>1</sup> Division of Health Services, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

23  
24 7 <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences and Technology, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås,  
25  
26 8 Norway

27  
28 9 <sup>3</sup> NORMENT, KG Jebsen Centre for Psychosis Research, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo  
29  
30 10 University Hospital and Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

31  
32 11 <sup>4</sup> Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

33  
34 12 <sup>5</sup> Division of Surgery, Akershus University Hospital Trust, Lørenskog, Norway

35  
36 13 <sup>6</sup>Department of Health administration and health economics, Institute of Health and Society,  
37  
38 14 University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

39  
40 15 <sup>7</sup>Corresponding author: Jon Helgeland, [jon.helgeland@fhi.no](mailto:jon.helgeland@fhi.no)

41  
42 16 Norwegian Institute of Public Health, PO Box 222 Skøyen, N-0213 Oslo

43  
44 17 Word count: 3106

45  
46 18 Keywords: Postoperative wound dehiscence, Surgical Quality, Quality Indicators, Patient Safety

47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52 19  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 20 ABSTRACT

### 21 Objectives

22 Postoperative wound dehiscence (PWD) is a serious complication to laparotomy, leading to higher  
23 mortality, readmissions and cost. The aims of the present study are to investigate whether risk  
24 adjusted PWD rates could reliably differentiate between Norwegian hospitals, and whether PWD  
25 rates were associated with hospital characteristics such as hospital type and laparotomy volume.

### 26 Design

27 Observational study using patient administrative data from all Norwegian hospitals, obtained from  
28 the Norwegian Patient Registry, for the period 2011-2015, and linked using the unique person  
29 identification number.

### 30 Participants

31 All patients undergoing laparotomy, at least 15 years old, with length of stay at least two days, and  
32 no diagnosis code for immunocompromised state or relating to pregnancy, childbirth and  
33 puerperium. The final data set comprised 66 925 patients with 78 086 laparotomy episodes from 47  
34 hospitals.

### 35 Outcomes

36 The outcome was wound dehiscence, identified by the presence of a wound reclosure code, risk  
37 adjusted for patient characteristics and operation type.

## 38 Results

39 The final data set comprised 1 477 wound dehiscences. Crude PWD rates varied from 0% to 5.1%  
40 among hospitals, with an overall rate of 1.89%. Three hospitals with statistically significantly higher  
41 PWD than average were identified, after case mix adjustment and correction for multiple  
42 comparisons. Hospital volume was not associated with PWD rate, except that hospitals with very few  
43 laparotomies had lower PWD rates.

## 44 Conclusions

45 Among Norwegian hospitals, there is considerable variation in PWD rate that cannot be explained by  
46 operation type, age or comorbidity. This warrants further investigation into possible causes, such as  
47 surgical technique, perioperative procedures or handling of complications. The risk adjusted PWD  
48 rate after laparotomy is a candidate quality indicator for Norwegian hospitals.

## 49 STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- 50 • Includes all laparotomies performed in the nation over a five-year period, with patients  
51 followed across hospitals
- 52 • Extends previous studies to a new health system and a new coding system
- 53 • The statistical analysis uses methods for low event rates, avoiding asymptotic approximation
- 54 • Results may be subject to coding inaccuracy and incompleteness, as well as selection effects
- 55 • There were no data for surgical technique, nor for some clinical factors known to be relevant.

## 56 INTRODUCTION

57 The past decades have seen a major growth in initiatives for measuring, monitoring, and improving  
58 the quality of health care services. Quality indicators are regularly published in many health care  
59 systems. Performance of health care systems is also compared across nations, for instance in the  
60 OECD Health Care Quality and Outcomes (HCQO) initiative, which Norway is a part of.<sup>1,2</sup> Norway has  
61 a national quality indicator system for monitoring and comparing hospital performance, however,  
62 not all areas of hospital performance are covered by existing national quality indicators. While there  
63 are quality indicators for outcomes such as mortality and process measures such as waiting times,  
64 complications following hospital care is less explored, which is especially relevant following surgical  
65 procedures.

66 Postoperative wound dehiscence (PWD) rates after open abdominal surgery (laparotomies) was  
67 introduced as a patient safety indicator in the United States and later as a quality indicator by  
68 OECD.<sup>3-6</sup> Norway reported the second highest numbers for 2014-2015, with a PWD rate of 1.02%. The  
69 overall range was 0.055% to 1.05%.<sup>5</sup> Neighbouring Sweden, with comparable population health and  
70 health care, reported 0.30%. Moreover, a recent study comparing adverse events in Norway and  
71 Sweden found significantly higher adverse event rates of surgical complications in Norwegian  
72 hospitals, compared to Swedish hospitals.<sup>7</sup>

73 PWD is a serious complication that leads to higher mortality rates, higher implicit, explicit and social  
74 costs as well as increased readmission rates.<sup>8,9</sup> The PWD rate has been studied elsewhere as a quality  
75 indicator for hospitals, and found to have a high positive predictive value.<sup>10,11</sup> It is useful as a quality  
76 indicator, since several of the risk factors are modifiable and within control of the hospital and  
77 surgical team. There are few events per hospital, making it challenging to identify outlier hospitals for  
78 quality improvement because of the high statistical uncertainty.



1  
2  
3 79 Previous research has identified a number of risk factors for PWD. Examples of such factors are: (I)  
4  
5 80 patient related variables and comorbidities: smoking<sup>12</sup>, obesity<sup>13</sup>, chronic pulmonary disease, renal  
6  
7 81 insufficiency or diabetes<sup>14</sup> and use of immunosuppressive agents<sup>15 16</sup>; (II) procedure related factors:  
8  
9 82 operation type<sup>9 17</sup>, type of incision and closure<sup>18-20</sup> and length of operation time<sup>21</sup>; (III) postoperative  
10  
11 83 parameters: clean wound classification<sup>21</sup>, coughing<sup>9</sup> and wound infection<sup>9 14</sup>; (IV) operative scenario:  
12  
13  
14 84 e.g. qualifications of the surgeon<sup>21-23</sup> and of the perioperative team, and whether the surgery is  
15  
16 85 emergent.<sup>9 13</sup>

17  
18  
19  
20 86 The objectives of this study are to study the occurrence and variation of PWD after laparotomy at  
21  
22 87 Norwegian hospitals, and the potential usefulness of a PWD indicator for the Norwegian health care  
23  
24 88 system, computed from patient administrative data. More specifically we aimed to 1) investigate the  
25  
26 89 possibility to identify hospitals with higher or lower laparotomy PWD rate than average, after  
27  
28 90 appropriate risk adjustment, 2) study the variability of the PWD rate among hospitals, and its relation  
29  
30 91 to hospital type and laparotomy volume.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

## 36 92 MATERIAL AND METHODS

37  
38  
39  
40 93 Patient administrative data from all Norwegian hospitals were provided by the Norwegian Patient  
41  
42 94 Registry (NPR) for the period 2011-2015.<sup>24</sup> This comprised individual patient data from all  
43  
44 95 department stays: type of admission (acute or elective), primary and secondary diagnosis codes  
45  
46 96 according to the Norwegian version<sup>25</sup> of ICD-10, surgical and medical procedures, age, gender, date  
47  
48 97 and time of ward admission and discharge. Surgical procedures and operations were coded according  
49  
50 98 to the Norwegian version of the NOMESCO Classification of Surgical Procedures (NCSP-N).<sup>26</sup>  
51  
52  
53 99 Procedure time and date were not available. It was therefore not possible to exclude reclosures of  
54  
55 100 wounds occurring before or on the same day as laparotomies within the same episode, as requested  
56  
57 101 in the OECD indicator specification. The NPR data files were checked for missing values and  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 102 inconsistencies between variables, such as date and time of discharge before admission or invalid  
4  
5 103 ICD-10 code.  
6  
7  
8

9 104 Wound dehiscence was defined as the occurrence of a code for a reclosure operation, i.e. a  
10  
11 105 reoperation for wound dehiscence. This excludes superficial dehiscences, as these are usually not  
12  
13 106 resutured, and the code for reclosure operation is restricted to deep wound dehiscences.  
14  
15 107 Laparotomies and wound reclosure operations were identified according to procedure codes. An  
16  
17 108 operation coded with a laparotomy code, signifies an incision into the abdominal wall, through the  
18  
19 109 fascia and with an opening of the abdominal cavity. Laparoscopic and endoscopic procedures were  
20  
21 110 not included. Details of the codes used can be found in the online supplement.  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 111 All permanent residents in Norway have a Personal Identification Number (PIN), registered in the  
27  
28 112 NPR. NPR prepared an encrypted PIN for all patients with a valid PIN, allowing tracking of patients  
29  
30 113 over time and between hospitals. The data were linked with the National Registry to provide data of  
31  
32 114 death (when applicable), using the PIN.  
33  
34  
35

36 115 Ward admissions for each patient, at more than one hospital in case of transfers, were linked into  
37  
38 116 episodes of care when less than eight hours elapsed from time of discharge to the next ward  
39  
40 117 admission.<sup>27</sup> An episode was regarded as acute if the first admission in the episode was coded as  
41  
42 118 non-elective, as a laparotomy episode if it included any procedure code for laparotomy (reclosures  
43  
44 119 not included), and a reclosure episode if a reclosure code was found. The initial data set consisted of  
45  
46 120 all laparotomy and reclosure episodes. Each reclosure episode was linked to the laparotomy episode  
47  
48 121 immediately preceding or coinciding with it. Reclosure episodes with no preceding laparotomy  
49  
50 122 episode within 30 days, as well as laparotomy or reclosure episodes following a reclosure episode  
51  
52 123 within 30 days, were excluded. Note that the linking of laparotomies and reclosures was not part of  
53  
54 124 the original OECD specification, but is required in order to attribute PWD to hospitals and to enable  
55  
56 125 risk adjustment. Following the OECD specification, laparotomy episodes (and consequently any  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 126 linked reclosure episodes) were excluded if a diagnosis code for immunocompromised state or  
4  
5 127 relating to pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium was present, if the length of stay was less than two  
6  
7 128 days, or if the patient's age was less than 15 years. Hospitals with less than 10 laparotomies over the  
8  
9  
10 129 five-year period were excluded. The hospitals belonged to one of three types: regional, large with  
11  
12 130 acute function and small with acute function. For details of the diagnosis and operation codes used,  
13  
14 131 see the online supplement. For risk adjustment, Charlson comorbidities were determined from  
15  
16 132 previous admissions three years prior to, but not including the current episode of care.<sup>27-29</sup> Diagnoses  
17  
18 133 were grouped according to the Clinical Condition Summary system (CCS), adapted to the Norwegian  
19  
20  
21 134 version of ICD-10.<sup>30</sup>  
22  
23  
24

## 25 135 **Statistical methods**

26  
27  
28 136 Risk adjusted probabilities for a laparotomy episode resulting in a reclosure operation were  
29  
30 137 estimated by bias corrected logistic regression.<sup>31</sup> The final model was fit by stepwise regression with  
31  
32 138 the BIC criterion, allowing for potential two-way interactions.  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 139 To identify outlier hospitals, i.e. those with high or low risk adjusted PWD probabilities, estimated  
38  
39 140 hospital effects were compared to a reference value, defined as the 25% trimmed mean of the  
40  
41 141 hospital effects on the logistic scale.<sup>32</sup> As some hospitals reported zero reclosures, ordinary maximum  
42  
43 142 likelihood estimates of the model parameters do not exist, due to separation<sup>33</sup>, and the estimated  
44  
45 143 variances of the fitted parameters, based on their asymptotic distribution, become unreliable. The  
46  
47 144 comparison used an exact test based on the Poisson binomial distribution for the number of PWDs  
48  
49 145 per hospital, using the estimated probabilities for each case, together with parametric bootstrapping  
50  
51 146 to account for the estimation uncertainty in the model parameters. Tests for significance were  
52  
53 147 corrected for multiple comparisons using the Guo-Romano method<sup>34</sup>, and outlier status assigned  
54  
55 148 according to the false discovery rate (FDR). An FDR not exceeding 5% was regarded as significant. For  
56  
57 149 sensitivity analysis, two alternative risk adjustment models were tested, with either a four-category  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 150 grouping of procedures, or with diagnosis categories, instead of the 13-category procedure grouping.  
4

5 151 In addition, a model with the four Norwegian hospital regions was also estimated.  
6  
7

8  
9 152 Hospital volume, modelled by splines<sup>35</sup>, was tested for inclusion in the model. We also performed  
10

11 153 this test after exclusion of hospitals with zero reclosures.  
12  
13

14  
15 154 Finally, the hospital specific effects were modelled as a mixture of two normal distributions. The  
16

17 155 expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm was used, taking into account the estimation variances.  
18

19 156 The mixture model yielded estimates of the quartiles of the hospital odds ratios and the scaled  
20

21 157 interquartile range (normalized by dividing by 1.349, to give the standard deviation in the case of a  
22

23 158 normal distribution) was computed as a measure of spread among hospitals. Bootstrapping of the  
24

25 159 mixture model was used to find a 95% confidence interval for the scaled interquartile range.  
26  
27  
28  
29

## 30 160 Risk adjustment

31  
32  
33 161 The following case-mix variables were included as candidates in the stepwise regression: age, gender,  
34

35 162 indicators for the individual Charlson comorbidities, number of previous hospital admissions two  
36

37 163 years prior to current admission, and whether the episode was acute or elective. A linear trend in  
38

39 164 admission year was also included. Age was modelled by natural splines with knots at the median and  
40

41 165 quartiles.<sup>35</sup> Based on previous studies of risk factors<sup>9,17</sup>, procedures were categorized into 13 types,  
42

43 166 according to the body system or organ involved. The effects of operation types were normalized to  
44

45 167 have zero sum on the logistic scale.  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 168 For a quality indicator, only characteristics of the patient when entering the hospital, are meaningful  
52

53 169 risk adjustment variables. No data were available for smoking, obesity or other patient or case  
54

55 170 characteristics such as nutritional status. There was no information about operation urgency beyond  
56

57 171 the status of the hospital admission or episode as elective or acute.  
58  
59  
60

## 172 Patient and Public Involvement

173 Patients were not involved in the planning, conduct or analysis of this study. The policy of the  
 174 Norwegian Institute of Public health is to publish hospital quality indicators, when they have been  
 175 successfully validated.

## 176 RESULTS

177 The initial data set comprised 96 102 episodes with laparotomy and 1 909 with a reclosure operation.  
 178 After restricting data to reclosures paired with a laparotomy within 30 days, 1 580 reclosures  
 179 remained. After exclusions for pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium or immunocompromised state,  
 180 age and LOS, 78 299 laparotomies remained. Lastly, hospitals with less than 10 laparotomies were  
 181 excluded, yielding a final data set with 66 925 unique patients, 78 086 laparotomies and 1 477  
 182 reclosures from 47 hospitals. Descriptive statistics for the dataset are shown in Table 1. The  
 183 operation types are tabulated in the online Supplement.

184 *Table 1. Descriptive statistics for final data set*

	PWD	No PWD
Age, years, median (quartiles)	69 (61-78)	65 (51-75)
Gender, females, n (%)	517 (35)	43 094 (56)
Acute laparotomy episode, n (%)	657 (44)	26 381 (34)
Main diagnosis for reclosure episode coded as PWD, n (%)	45 (3.1)	—
Main diagnosis for reclosure episode coded as deep wound infection, n (%)	274 (19)	—
Hospital type for laparotomy episodes		
Regional, n (%)	545 (37)	28 104 (37)
Large with acute function, n (%)	810 (55)	40 291 (53)
Small with acute function, n (%)	122 (8.3)	8 214 (11)
Comorbidities		
Diabetes with complications, n (%)	18 (1.2)	893 (1.2)
Chronic pulmonary disease, n (%)	196 (13)	5 147 (6.7)
Renal disease, n (%)	66 (4.5)	2 716 (3.5)

30 day mortality (laparotomy episode), %	67 (4.5)	2 668 (3.5)
Length of stay (LOS) laparotomy episode, days, median (quartiles)	19 (11-29)	7.4 (4.4-13)
Reclosure and matched laparotomy in same episode, n (%)	1 211 (82)	—
Converted from laparoscopy or endoscopy to laparotomy, n (%)	12 (0.81)	578 (0.75)
Robot assistance in laparotomy, n (%)	3 (0.2)	404 (0.53)

185  
186 From 2011 to 2015, the annual volume of laparotomies decreased somewhat, from 16 730 to 14 419,  
187 while the proportion of acute laparotomies remained stable at around 35%.

188 The overall rate of PWD for the five-year period was 1.89%. Crude PWD rates varied from 0% to 5.1%  
189 among hospitals. After risk adjustment, the range was 0.1% - 5.4%. Table 2 shows the odds ratios of  
190 the final logistic regression model. No interactions were included. The model showed good fit  
191 according to the modified Hosmer-Lemeshow test<sup>36</sup> (p=0.53) and good predictive ability, with an area  
192 under the operating characteristic (c-statistic) of 0.73.

193 *Table 2. Final multivariate logistic model for risk adjustment*

Variable	Adjusted odds ratio	(95% confidence interval)
Year of admission	0.93	(0.90-0.96)
Age, spline function		
40 (reference)	1.00	
50	1.37	(1.25-1.49)
60	1.97	(1.65-2.36)
70	2.39	(1.97-2.90)
Gender		
Female (reference)	1	
Male	2.42	(2.16-2.72)
Elective laparotomy episode (reference)	1	
Acute laparotomy episode	1.36	(1.21-1.52)
Chronic pulmonary disease	1.72	(1.47-2.01)
Operation type <sup>a</sup>		
Exploratory laparotomy	2.40	(1.78-3.24)
Hernia (diaphragmal)	2.57	(1.37-4.81)
Thoracoabdominal aorta	2.08	(0.85-5.09)
Gastrointestinal tract	2.04	(1.69-2.46)
Liver	1.14	(0.69-1.87)

Biliary tract	0.12	(0.05-0.28)
Pancreas	0.79	(0.40-1.58)
Spleen	1.20	(0.45-3.24)
Other digestive system	1.46	(1.03-2.07)
Kidney	0.09	(0.03-0.28)
Other urinary and male genital organs	0.52	(0.37-0.71)
Female genital organs	1.43	(1.06-1.92)
Peripheral vascular surgery	1.21	(0.93-1.57)
More than one type of surgery <sup>b</sup>	2.58	(2.12-3.15)
Hospital		
Scaled interquartile range	0.30	(0.23-0.34)

194 <sup>a</sup> Odds ratios for operation type is scaled to have geometric mean

21 195 <sup>b</sup> Not counting exploratory laparotomy

23 196

27 197 In Figure 1, risk-adjusted PWD rates are shown for each hospital, plotted versus laparotomy volume  
28  
29 198 and hospital type.

32 199 After significance testing, we identified three hospitals with higher PWD and none with lower PWD  
33  
34  
35 200 than average, when correcting for multiple testing. Without multiple test correction, one additional  
36  
37 201 hospital with high PWD was found to be marginally significant ( $p=0.053$ ).

41 202 In the alternative model including volume, the PWD increased with yearly laparotomy volume from a  
42  
43 203 very low level up to 120 laparotomies per year, after which it remained fairly constant, see Figure 1.  
44  
45 204 The effect of volume was significant ( $p<0.001$ ), also after exclusion of the four smallest volume  
46  
47 205 hospitals with zero reclosures ( $p=0.008$ ). Hospital type coincided almost completely with a grouping  
48  
49 206 of hospitals by volume, and was therefore not tested separately. There was significant variation  
50  
51 207 among regions ( $p<0.001$ ), with the Northern region having the highest and the South-Eastern region  
52  
53  
54 208 the lowest rates. Details can be found in the online supplement. Using diagnosis categories or  
55  
56 209 aggregated operation type as risk adjustment variables resulted in very small changes in risk adjusted  
57  
58  
59 210 PWD rates.

## 211 DISCUSSION

212 We have studied wound dehiscence after laparotomy, as a quality indicator based on the OECD  
213 specification, and found that it discriminated between Norwegian hospitals. The indicator was risk  
214 adjusted for differences in age, gender, comorbidity and type of surgery, and showed little sensitivity  
215 to changes in the set of risk adjustment variables. The overall PWD rate was 1.89%. After risk  
216 adjustment, the hospitals' PWD rate varied between 0.1% and 5.4%. Laparotomy volume and type of  
217 hospital had little effect on the PWD rate, except for hospitals with very low volume. Advanced age,  
218 male gender, chronic pulmonary disease, and emergency laparotomy were all significant risk factors  
219 for PWD. There were significant PWD differences according to the organ system targeted. The overall  
220 rate of PWD showed a small but statistically significant decline over the observation period 2011-  
221 2015. The relatively large variation of PWD rates between hospitals, after correction for patient  
222 characteristics and operation type, indicates possible variation in the quality of healthcare among  
223 hospitals. This may be due to variation in surgical technique and perioperative care, as well as the  
224 handling of postoperative complications, such as wound infection, which is known to be a risk factor  
225 for PWD.<sup>17</sup> We found PWD rates well within the range reported in international studies.<sup>9 13 14 17 21 37 38</sup>  
226 Also, the risk factors identified are in accordance with previous studies, albeit limited to  
227 administrative data. Laparotomy volume has negligible effect apart from the few hospitals with very  
228 low volume. A Japanese study reported a similar conclusion, while volume was found to have effect  
229 in US hospitals.<sup>39 40</sup> The effect is likely a result of the types of operations performed at the low-  
230 volume hospitals, compared with the other hospitals.

231 Our study is based on complete data from all Norwegian hospitals performing laparotomies. It was  
232 possible to track patients during transfers and reoperations at different hospitals. To the best of our  
233 knowledge, no similar study has been performed. NPR, the data source, has been validated for  
234 several disease categories with respect to identification of cases based on diagnoses and/or



1  
2  
3 235 procedures, and found to have a very high degree of completeness, compared to Norwegian national  
4  
5 236 medical quality registries.<sup>41-44</sup> At the time of writing, the completeness of NPR, after 24 registries  
6  
7 237 have been studied, ranges from 83.5% to 99.8%.<sup>24</sup>  
8  
9

10  
11 238 We cannot exclude a residual imbalance in case mix, affecting PWD through e.g. smoking or obesity,  
12  
13 239 which are known risk factors. There is regional variation in the prevalence of smoking and obesity in  
14  
15 240 Norway.<sup>45</sup> Obesity is more prevalent in Northern Norway, where PWD rates are somewhat higher.  
16  
17 241 However, in some other areas where obesity is less prevalent, the rates are similar. There is no  
18  
19 242 consistent correspondence between the known variation in smoking among counties and PWD rates.  
20  
21 243 Some surgical procedures are performed only at regional hospitals, and it is therefore possible that  
22  
23 244 selection effects are present. In that case, one would expect larger changes in PWD rates after risk  
24  
25 245 adjustment for operation type, which was not found. One potential source of error in our study is the  
26  
27 246 completeness and correctness of coding in the NPR, particularly the coding of reclosure operations.  
28  
29 247 The risk adjustment depends on data from previous hospitalization and may not capture all  
30  
31 248 comorbidities. Moreover, selection effects cannot not be ruled out. Differing policies for operations  
32  
33 249 on patients with known risk factors, e.g. obesity or smoking, would likely cause variation in PWD  
34  
35 250 rates. Patients who die before reoperation or are managed by other means will not be registered.  
36  
37 251 We believe that this applies to very few patients and would not influence our results. No attempt  
38  
39 252 was made to identify main operation or operation intent, as this would require a classification effort  
40  
41 253 outside the scope of the present study.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 254 Previous studies have shown that the quality indicator has high positive predictive value, but only  
49  
50 255 moderate sensitivity.<sup>10 46 47</sup> Since we have used specific wound reclosure codes, similar to those used  
51  
52 256 in previous studies, we expect a high positive predictive value in Norway as well. Conceivably, the  
53  
54 257 sensitivity depends on the coding system, in particular the various alternative codes related to  
55  
56 258 complications. Sensitivity in Norway may thus differ from that of other healthcare systems. A recent  
57  
58 259 retrospective medical record study from neighbouring Sweden reports that 86.9% of wound  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 260 dehiscences were reoperated.<sup>38</sup> Norway has an activity-based system for financing hospitals, which is  
4  
5 261 an incentive to report all reclosure operations.  
6  
7  
8

## 9 262 **Conclusions**

10  
11 263 Among Norwegian hospitals, there is a significant variation in PWD rate after laparotomies that  
12  
13 264 cannot be explained by operation type, age, comorbidity or whether the admission was elective or  
14  
15 265 acute. This warrants further investigation into possible causes, such as patient related factors,  
16  
17 266 surgical technique, perioperative procedures or handling of complications, e.g. wound infections.  
18  
19  
20 267 Some of these factors are known to be amenable.<sup>20 48</sup> The relatively large between-hospital variation  
21  
22 268 found in the present study is an indication of potential for improvement. The risk adjusted PWD rate  
23  
24 269 after laparotomy is a candidate for use as a quality indicator for Norwegian hospitals, and will make it  
25  
26 270 possible to identify hospitals with apparent quality problems. To achieve sufficient discrimination,  
27  
28 271 however, five-year data are desirable, making it more difficult to monitor changes in hospital  
29  
30 272 performance resulting from quality improvement efforts. It lies outside the scope of the present  
31  
32 273 study to perform a comprehensive validation of the PWD rate as a quality indicator suitable for  
33  
34 274 public reporting. There are uncertainties and potential biases in the indicator, implying that it must  
35  
36 275 be regarded as a signal for follow-up within hospitals, rather than giving a final verdict of inferior or  
37  
38 276 superior quality. For reporting on surgical quality, several indicators should be used to give a  
39  
40 277 balanced view of the different aspects of quality and patient safety.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

## 47 278 **FOOTNOTES**

### 48 49 50 51 279 **Funding**

52  
53  
54  
55 280 This research did not receive any grants from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-  
56  
57 281 for-profit sectors.  
58  
59  
60

## 282 Competing interests

283 The authors have no competing interests.

## 284 Authors' contributions

285 AKL and OT conceived the study. DTK, TMH, OT, and SH participated in data preparation. OT, SH and

286 AKL contributed to the analysis. OT helped draft the manuscript. JH was responsible for the statistical

287 analysis and final manuscript. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

## 288 Ethics approval

289 The study was approved by the Norwegian Directorate of Health and the Norwegian Data Protection

290 Authority.

## 291 Data sharing

292 The data set contains indirectly identifiable personal data, and cannot be shared without express

293 permission from the Norwegian Patient Registry. For further information, contact the corresponding

294 author.

295 *Figure 1. Risk adjusted PWD rates versus yearly laparotomy volume, by hospital type. Trend*  
296 *curve is obtained by smoothing the scatterplot. Significance testing is adjusted for multiple*  
297 *comparisons*

## 298 REFERENCES

299

300

301 1. Carinci F, Van Gool K, Mainz J, et al. Towards actionable international comparisons of health  
302 system performance: expert revision of the OECD framework and quality indicators. *Int J*

- 1  
2  
3 303 *Qual Health Care* 2015;27(2):137-46. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzv004 [published Online First:  
4 304 2015/03/12]
- 5 305 2. OECD. Health Care Quality and Outcomes: OECD; 2018 [Available from:  
6 306 <http://www.oecd.org/health/health-systems/health-care-quality-and-outcomes.htm>  
7 307 accessed 2018-06-06 2018.
- 8 308 3. Hannan EL. Using mortality data for profiling hospital quality of care and targeting substandard  
9 309 care. *J Soc Health Syst* 1989;1(1):31-48.
- 10 310 4. Miller MR, Elixhauser A, Zhan C, et al. Patient Safety Indicators: using administrative data to  
11 311 identify potential patient safety concerns. *Health Serv Res* 2001;36(6 Pt 2):110-32. [published  
12 312 Online First: 2005/09/09]
- 13 313 5. OECD. Health Care Quality Indicators - Patient Safety 2018 [Available from:  
14 314 <http://www.oecd.org/health/health-systems/hcqi-patient-safety.htm> accessed 2018-06-06  
15 315 2018.
- 16 316 6. OECD. Definitions for Health Care Quality Indicators 2016-2017 HCQI Data Collection: OECD,  
17 317 2016:113.
- 18 318 7. Deilkas ET, Risberg MB, Haugen M, et al. Exploring similarities and differences in hospital adverse  
19 319 event rates between Norway and Sweden using Global Trigger Tool. *BMJ open*  
20 320 2017;7(3):e012492. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012492
- 21 321 8. Hannan EL, Bernard HR, O'Donnell JF, et al. A methodology for targeting hospital cases for quality  
22 322 of care record reviews. *Am J Public Health* 1989;79(4):430-6.
- 23 323 9. van Ramshorst GH, Nieuwenhuizen J, Hop WC, et al. Abdominal wound dehiscence in adults:  
24 324 development and validation of a risk model. *World J Surg* 2010;34(1):20-7. doi:  
25 325 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00268-009-0277-y>
- 26 326 10. Romano PS, Mull HJ, Rivard PE, et al. Validity of selected AHRQ patient safety indicators based on  
27 327 VA National Surgical Quality Improvement Program data. *Health Serv Res* 2009;44(1):182-  
28 328 204. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2008.00905.x>
- 29 329 11. Rosen AK, Itani KM, Cevasco M, et al. Validating the patient safety indicators in the Veterans  
30 330 Health Administration: do they accurately identify true safety events? *Med Care*  
31 331 2012;50(1):74-85. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e3182293edf>
- 32 332 12. Kean J. The effects of smoking on the wound healing process. *J Wound Care* 2010;19(1):5-8. doi:  
33 333 10.12968/jowc.2010.19.1.46092
- 34 334 13. Shanmugam VK, Fernandez SJ, Evans KK, et al. Postoperative wound dehiscence: Predictors and  
35 335 associations. *Wound Repair Regen* 2015;23(2):184-90. doi:  
36 336 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12268>
- 37 337 14. Sandy-Hodgetts K, Carville K, Leslie GD. Determining risk factors for surgical wound dehiscence: a  
38 338 literature review. *Int Wound J* 2015;12(3):265-75. doi: 10.1111/iwj.12088
- 39 339 15. Roine E, Bjork IT, Oyen O. Targeting risk factors for impaired wound healing and wound  
40 340 complications after kidney transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2010;42(7):2542-6. doi:  
41 341 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2010.05.162>
- 42 342 16. Stephan RN, Munschauer CE, Kumar MS. Surgical wound infection in renal transplantation:  
43 343 outcome data in 102 consecutive patients without perioperative systemic antibiotic  
44 344 coverage. *Arch Surg* 1997;132(12):1315-8; discussion 18-9.
- 45 345 17. Sorensen LT, Hemmingsen U, Kallehave F, et al. Risk factors for tissue and wound complications in  
46 346 gastrointestinal surgery. *Ann Surg* 2005;241(4):654-8.
- 47 347 18. Mahey RG, Smruti; Rajpurohit, Jitesh; Desai, Desai; Suryawanshi, Sachin;. A prospective study of  
48 348 risk factors for abdominal wound dehiscence. *International Surgery Journal* 2017;4(1):24-28.  
49 349 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20163983>
- 50 350 19. Deerenberg EB, Harlaar JJ, Steyerberg EW, et al. Small bites versus large bites for closure of  
51 351 abdominal midline incisions (STITCH): a double-blind, multicentre, randomised controlled  
52 352 trial. *Lancet* 2015;386(10000):1254-60. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(15)60459-7 [published  
53 353 Online First: 2015/07/21]

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

20. Israelsson LA, Millbourn D. Prevention of Incisional Hernias: How to Close a Midline Incision. *Surg Clin North Am* 2013;93(5):1027-40. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2013.06.009>
21. Webster C, Neumayer L, Smout R, et al. Prognostic models of abdominal wound dehiscence after laparotomy. *J Surg Res* 2003;109(2):130-7.
22. Bucknall TE, Cox PJ, Ellis H. Burst abdomen and incisional hernia: a prospective study of 1129 major laparotomies. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1982;284(6320):931-3. [published Online First: 1982/03/27]
23. Gislason H, Soreide O, Viste A. Wound complications after major gastrointestinal operations. The surgeon as a risk factor. *Dig Surg* 1999;16(6):512-4. doi: 10.1159/000018778
24. Health NDo. Norsk pasientregister - innhold og kvalitet: Norwegian Directorate of Health; 2018 [Available from: <https://helsedirektoratet.no/norsk-pasientregister-npr/innhold-og-kvalitet> accessed 2018-12-06 2018.
25. eHealth NDo. Helsefaglige kodeverk: Norwegian Directorate of eHealth 2018 [Available from: <https://ehelse.no/standarder-kodeverk-og-referanse katalog/helsefaglige-kodeverk> accessed 2018-06-06 2018.
26. Norwegian Directorate of eHealth. NCMP, NCSP og NCRP: Klassifikasjon av medisinske, kirurgiske og radiologiske prosedyrer Norwegian Directorate of eHealth; 2018 [Available from: <https://finnkode.ehelse.no/#ncmpncsp/0/0/0/-1> accessed 15th May 2018.
27. Hassani S, Lindman AS, Kristoffersen DT, et al. 30-Day Survival Probabilities as a Quality Indicator for Norwegian Hospitals: Data Management and Analysis. *PLoS One* 2015;10(9):e0136547. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0136547
28. Quan HD, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. *Med Care* 2005;43(11):1130-39. doi: DOI 10.1097/01.mlr.0000182534.19832.83
29. Quan H, Li B, Couris CM, et al. Updating and validating the Charlson comorbidity index and score for risk adjustment in hospital discharge abstracts using data from 6 countries. *Am J Epidemiol* 2011;173(6):676-82. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwq433
30. (HCUP) HCUP. Beta Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) for ICD-10-CM/PCS: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2018 [Available from: <https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/ccs10/ccs10.jsp> accessed 2018-06-06 2018.
31. Firth D. Bias Reduction of Maximum-Likelihood-Estimates. *Biometrika* 1993;80(1):27-38. doi: DOI 10.1093/biomet/80.1.27
32. Kristoffersen DT, Helgeland J, Clench-Aas J, et al. Observed to expected or logistic regression to identify hospitals with high or low 30-day mortality? *PLoS One* 2018;13(4) doi: ARTN e0195248 10.1371/journal.pone.0195248
33. Albert A, Anderson JA. On the Existence of Maximum Likelihood Estimates in Logistic Regression Models. *Biometrika* 1984;71(1):1-10. doi: 10.2307/2336390
34. Guo W, Romano JP. On stepwise control of directional errors under independence and some dependence. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 2015;163:21-33. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspi.2015.02.009>
35. Chambers JM, Hastie TJ, Eds. Statistical models in S. Pacific Grove: Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole 1992.
36. Paul P, Pennell ML, Lemeshow S. Standardizing the power of the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test in large data sets. *Stat Med* 2013;32(1):67-80. doi: 10.1002/sim.5525
37. Kenig J, Richter P, Lasek A, et al. The efficacy of risk scores for predicting abdominal wound dehiscence: a case-controlled validation study. *BMC Surg* 2014;14:65. doi: 10.1186/1471-2482-14-65
38. Walming S, Angenete E, Block M, et al. Retrospective review of risk factors for surgical wound dehiscence and incisional hernia. *BMC Surg* 2017;17(1):19. doi: 10.1186/s12893-017-0207-0
39. Kitazawa T, Matsumoto K, Fujita S, et al. Perioperative patient safety indicators and hospital surgical volumes. *BMC Res Notes* 2014;7:117. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-7-117 [published Online First: 2014/03/04]

- 1  
2  
3 406 40. Hernandez-Boussard T, Downey JR, McDonald K, et al. Relationship between Patient Safety and  
4 407 Hospital Surgical Volume. *Health Serv Res* 2012;47(2):756-69. doi: doi:10.1111/j.1475-  
5 408 6773.2011.01310.x  
6 409 41. Bakken IJ, Gystad SO, Christensen OO, et al. Comparison of data from the Norwegian Patient  
7 410 Register and the Cancer Registry of Norway. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 2012;132(11):1336-40.  
8 411 doi: 10.4045/tidsskr.11.1099 [published Online First: 2012/06/22]  
9 412 42. Hoiberg MP, Gram J, Hermann P, et al. The incidence of hip fractures in Norway -accuracy of the  
10 413 national Norwegian patient registry. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2014;15:372. doi:  
11 414 10.1186/1471-2474-15-372 [published Online First: 2014/11/15]  
12 415 43. Oie LR, Madsbu MA, Giannadakis C, et al. Validation of intracranial hemorrhage in the Norwegian  
13 416 Patient Registry. *Brain and behavior* 2018;8(2):e00900. doi: 10.1002/brb3.900 [published  
14 417 Online First: 2018/02/28]  
15 418 44. Varndal T, Bakken IJ, Janszky I, et al. Comparison of the validity of stroke diagnoses in a medical  
16 419 quality register and an administrative health register. *Scandinavian journal of public health*  
17 420 2016;44(2):143-9. doi: 10.1177/1403494815621641 [published Online First: 2015/12/15]  
18 421 45. Health NloP. Public Health report: Norwegian Institute of Public Health; 2018 [Available from:  
19 422 <https://www.fhi.no/en/op/hin/> accessed 2018-06-06 2018.  
20 423 46. Cevasco M, Borzecki AM, McClusky DA, 3rd, et al. Positive predictive value of the AHRQ Patient  
21 424 Safety Indicator "postoperative wound dehiscence". *J Am Coll Surg* 2011;212(6):962-7. doi:  
22 425 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.01.053>  
23 426 47. Borzecki AM, Cevasco M, Mull H, et al. Improving the identification of postoperative wound  
24 427 dehiscence missed by the Patient Safety Indicator algorithm. *Am J Surg* 2013;205(6):674-80.  
25 428 doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2012.07.040>  
26 429 48. Berríos-Torres SI, Umscheid CA, Bratzler DW, et al. Centers for disease control and prevention  
27 430 guideline for the prevention of surgical site infection, 2017. *JAMA Surg* 2017;152(8):784-91.  
28 431 doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2017.0904  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 432  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 1. Risk adjusted PWD rates versus yearly laparotomy volume, by hospital type. Trend curve is obtained by smoothing the scatterplot. Significance testing is adjusted for multiple comparisons

149x149mm (300 x 300 DPI)

## Online supplement

*Table 1. Hospital types*

Hospital type	Number
Small hospitals with acute function	21
Large hospitals with acute function	22
Regional hospitals	4

*Table 2. Laparotomy cases after operation type (primary episode)*

Operation type	Frequency, n (%)
Gastrointestinal tract	30 404 (38.9)
More than one type of surgery <sup>a</sup>	14 051 (18.0)
Female genital organs	10 720 (13.7)
Other urinary and male genital organs	6 536 (8.4)
Peripheral vascular surgery	4 628 (5.9)
Biliary tract	2 739 (3.5)
Other digestive system	2 226 (2.9)
Kidney	2 152 (2.8)
Exploratory laparotomy	2 061 (2.6)
Liver	1 122 (1.4)
Pancreas	775 (1.0)
Hernia (diaphragmal)	274 (0.4)
Spleen	248 (0.3)
Thoracoabdominal aorta	150 (0.2)

<sup>a</sup>Not counting exploratory laparotomy

*Table 3. Effect of hospital region, after risk adjustment. Odds ratio standardized to have geometric mean one.*

Hospital region	Adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval)
South-Eastern Norway Region	0.85 (0.78 - 0.92)
Central Norway Region	0.99 (0.89 - 1.10)
Western Norway Region	1.06 (0.96 - 1.17)
Northern Norway Region	1.13 (1.001 - 1.27)



## Diagnoses

Table 4. ICD-10 diagnosis codes contained in MDC 14 (Pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium)

Code	Title
A34	Obstetrical tetanus
F53.0	Mild mental and behavioural disorders associated with the puerperium, not elsewhere classified
F53.1	Severe mental and behavioural disorders associated with the puerperium, not elsewhere classified
F53.8	Other mental and behavioural disorders associated with the puerperium, not elsewhere classified
F53.9	Puerperal mental disorder, unspecified
Oxx.x	Pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium
Z32.0	Pregnancy, not (yet) confirmed
Z32.1	Pregnancy confirmed
Z33	Pregnant state, incidental
Z34.0	Supervision of normal first pregnancy
Z34.8	Supervision of other normal pregnancy
Z34.9	Supervision of normal pregnancy, unspecified
Z35.0	Supervision of pregnancy with history of infertility
Z35.1	Supervision of pregnancy with history of abortive outcome
Z35.2	Supervision of pregnancy with other poor reproductive or obstetric history
Z35.3	Supervision of pregnancy with history of insufficient antenatal care
Z35.4	Supervision of pregnancy with grand multiparity
Z35.5	Supervision of elderly primigravida
Z35.6	Supervision of very young primigravida
Z35.8	Supervision of other high-risk pregnancies
Z35.9	Supervision of high-risk pregnancy, unspecified
Z36.0	Antenatal screening for chromosomal anomalies
Z36.1	Antenatal screening for raised alpha-fetoprotein level
Z36.2	Other antenatal screening based on amniocentesis
Z36.3	Antenatal screening for malformations using ultrasound and other physical methods
Z36.4	Antenatal screening for fetal growth retardation using ultrasound and other physical methods
Z36.5	Antenatal screening for isoimmunization
Z36.8	Other antenatal screening
Z36.9	Antenatal screening, unspecified
Z37.0	Single live birth
Z37.1	Single stillbirth
Z37.2	Twins, both liveborn
Z37.3	Twins, one liveborn and one stillborn
Z37.4	Twins, both stillborn
Z37.5	Other multiple births, all liveborn
Z37.6	Other multiple births, some liveborn
Z37.7	Other multiple births, all stillborn
Z37.9	Outcome of delivery, unspecified
Z39.0	Care and examination immediately after delivery
Z39.1	Care and examination of lactating mother
Z39.2	Routine postpartum follow-up
Z64.0	Problems related to unwanted pregnancy

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Table 5. ICD-10 diagnosis codes for immunocompromised state

Code	Title
B20.0	HIV disease resulting in mycobacterial infection
B20.1	HIV disease resulting in other bacterial infections
B20.2	HIV disease resulting in cytomegaloviral disease
B20.3	HIV disease resulting in other viral infections
B20.4	HIV disease resulting in candidiasis
B20.5	HIV disease resulting in other mycoses
B20.6	HIV disease resulting in Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia
B20.7	HIV disease resulting in multiple infections
B20.8	HIV disease resulting in other infectious and parasitic diseases
B20.9	HIV disease resulting in unspecified infectious or parasitic disease
B21.0	HIV disease resulting in Kaposi's sarcoma
B21.1	HIV disease resulting in Burkitt's lymphoma
B21.2	HIV disease resulting in other types of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma
B21.3	HIV disease resulting in other malignant neoplasms of lymphoid, haematopoietic and related tissue
B21.7	HIV disease resulting in multiple malignant neoplasms
B21.8	HIV disease resulting in other malignant neoplasms
B21.9	HIV disease resulting in unspecified malignant neoplasm
B22.0	HIV disease resulting in encephalopathy
B22.1	HIV disease resulting in lymphoid interstitial pneumonitis
B22.2	HIV disease resulting in wasting syndrome
B22.7	HIV disease resulting in multiple diseases classified elsewhere
B23.1	HIV disease resulting in (persistent) generalized lymphadenopathy
B23.2	HIV disease resulting in haematological and immunological abnormalities, not elsewhere classified
B23.8	HIV disease resulting in other specified conditions
B24	Unspecified human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] disease
B59	Pneumocystosis
D47.1	Chronic myeloproliferative disease
D70	Agranulocytosis
D71	Functional disorders of polymorphonuclear neutrophils
D72.0	Genetic anomalies of leukocytes
D80.0	Hereditary hypogammaglobulinaemia
D80.1	Nonfamilial hypogammaglobulinaemia
D80.2	Selective deficiency of immunoglobulin A [IgA]
D80.3	Selective deficiency of immunoglobulin G [IgG] subclasses
D80.4	Selective deficiency of immunoglobulin M [IgM]
D80.5	Immunodeficiency with increased immunoglobulin M [IgM]
D80.6	Antibody deficiency with near-normal immunoglobulins or with hyperimmunoglobulinaemia
D80.7	Transient hypogammaglobulinaemia of infancy
D80.8	Other immunodeficiencies with predominantly antibody defects
D80.9	Immunodeficiency with predominantly antibody defects, unspecified
D81.0	Severe combined immunodeficiency [SCID] with reticular dysgenesis
D81.1	Severe combined immunodeficiency [SCID] with low T- and B-cell numbers
D81.2	Severe combined immunodeficiency [SCID] with low or normal B-cell numbers
D81.3	Adenosine deaminase [ADA] deficiency
D81.4	Nezelof's syndrome
D81.5	Purine nucleoside phosphorylase [PNP] deficiency
D81.6	Major histocompatibility complex class I deficiency
D81.7	Major histocompatibility complex class II deficiency
D81.8	Other combined immunodeficiencies
D81.9	Combined immunodeficiency, unspecified
D82.0	Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome
D82.1	Di George's syndrome

Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data

Code	Title
D82.2	Immunodeficiency with short-limbed stature
D82.3	Immunodeficiency following hereditary defective response to Epstein-Barr virus
D82.4	Hyperimmunoglobulin E [IgE] syndrome
D82.8	Immunodeficiency associated with other specified major defects
D82.9	Immunodeficiency associated with major defect, unspecified
D83.0	Common variable immunodeficiency with predominant abnormalities of B-cell numbers and function
D83.1	Common variable immunodeficiency with predominant immunoregulatory T-cell disorders
D83.2	Common variable immunodeficiency with autoantibodies to B- or T-cells
D83.8	Other common variable immunodeficiencies
D83.9	Common variable immunodeficiency, unspecified
D84.0	Lymphocyte function antigen-1 [LFA-1] defect
D84.1	Defects in the complement system
D84.8	Other specified immunodeficiencies
D84.9	Immunodeficiency, unspecified
D89.8	Other specified disorders involving the immune mechanism, not elsewhere classified
D89.9	Disorder involving the immune mechanism, unspecified
E40	Kwashiorkor
E41	Nutritional marasmus
E42	Marasmic kwashiorkor
E43	Unspecified severe protein-energy malnutrition
I12.0	Hypertensive renal disease with renal failure
I13.1	Hypertensive heart and renal disease with renal failure
I13.2	Hypertensive heart and renal disease with both (congestive) heart failure and renal failure
K91.2	Postsurgical malabsorption, not elsewhere classified
N18.0	End-stage renal disease
N18.5	Chronic kidney disease, stage 5
N18.8	Other chronic renal failure
T86.0	Bone-marrow transplant rejection
T86.1	Kidney transplant failure and rejection
T86.2	Heart transplant failure and rejection
T86.3	Heart-lung transplant failure and rejection
T86.4	Liver transplant failure and rejection
T86.8	Failure and rejection of other transplanted organs and tissues
T86.9	Failure and rejection of unspecified transplanted organ and tissue
Y83.0	Surgical Operation with transplant of whole organ or tissue
Z49.0	Preparatory care for dialysis
Z49.1	Extracorporeal dialysis
Z49.2	Other dialysis
Z94.0	Kidney transplant status
Z94.1	Heart transplant status
Z94.2	Lung transplant status
Z94.3	Heart and lungs transplant status
Z94.4	Liver transplant status
Z94.8	Other transplanted organ and tissue status
Z94.9	Transplanted organ and tissue status, unspecified

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

## Operations

*Procedure codes for laparotomy and operation types.* Laparotomy codes are the total of codes in tables 6-19. Note that a last code digit of 0, 3 or 6 signifies an open or other non-endoscopic operation or procedure, except for peripheral vascular surgery.

*Table 6. NCSP-N codes for reclosure procedures*

Code	Title
JWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in gastroenterological surgery
KWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in urological surgery
LWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in gynaecological surgery
PWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in surgery of peripheral vessels and lymphatic system

*Table 7. NCSP-N code for exploratory laparotomy and opening of abdominal cavity*

Code	Title
JAA00	Incision of abdominal wall
JAH00	Laparotomy
JAH20	Staging laparotomy
JAH30	Laparostomy
JAH33	Opening of laparostomy
JAH40	Thoracolumbarotomy

*Table 8. NCSP-N codes for diaphragmal hernia repair*

Code	Title
JBB00	Repair of paraoesophageal hernia
JBB10	Repair of congenital diaphragmatic hernia
JBB96	Repair of other diaphragmatic hernia
JBC00	Gastro-oesophageal antireflux operation

*Table 9. NCSP-N codes for repair of thoracoabdominal aorta*

Code	Title
FCD00	Suture of thoracoabdominal aorta
FCD10	Reinforcement of thoracoabdominal aorta using suture
FCD30	Repair of thoracoabdominal aorta using patch
FCD40	Partial resection and suture of thoracoabdominal aorta
FCD50	Resection and reconstruction of thoracoabdominal aorta using tube graft
FCD60	Resection of thoracoabdominal aorta and reimplantation of branches
FCD70	Bypass of thoracoabdominal aorta using tube graft
FCD80	Removal of foreign body from thoracoabdominal aorta
FCD96	Other repair of thoracoabdominal aorta

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Table 10. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the gastrointestinal tract: oesophagus, stomach and intestines

Code	Title
JCB00	Oesophagostomy
JCC00	Transhiatal partial oesophagectomy without interposition
JCC10	Transthoracic partial oesophagectomy without interposition
JCC20	Transhiatal partial oesophagectomy with interposition of intestine
JCC30	Transthoracic partial oesophagectomy with interposition of intestine
JCC96	Other partial oesophagectomy
JCD00	Subcutaneous anastomosis of oesophagus without interposition
JCD03	Subcutaneous anastomosis of oesophagus with interposition of intestine
JCD10	Intrathoracic anastomosis of oesophagus without interposition
JCD13	Intrathoracic oesophageal anastomosis with interposition of intestine
JCD20	Transsection of oesophagus
JCD96	Other anastomosis of oesophagus without resection
JCE00	Suture of oesophagus
JCE10	Plastic repair of stenosis of cardia
JCE20	Cardiomyotomy
JCE30	Repair of oesophageal atresia or congenital tracheo-oesophageal fistula
JCE33	Closure of acquired tracheo-oesophageal or broncho-oesophageal fistula
JCE40	Reconstruction of oesophagus using flap
JCE50	Reconstruction of oesophagus using free microvascular graft of intestine
JCE96	Other reconstruction of oesophagus
JCF00	Insertion of oesophageal stent
JCW96	Other operation on oesophagus
JDA00	Gastrotomy
JDA60	Closure of perforated ulcer of stomach
JDA63	Local excision of lesion of stomach
JDB00	Gastrostomy
JDB10	Percutaneous gastrostomy
JDC00	Partial gastrectomy and gastroduodenostomy
JDC10	Partial gastrectomy and gastrojejunostomy
JDC20	Partial gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y reconstruction
JDC30	Partial gastrectomy with interposition of jejunum
JDC40	Partial gastrectomy and oesophagogastrostomy
JDC96	Partial gastrectomy with other reconstruction
JDD00	Total gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y oesophagojejunostomy
JDD96	Total gastrectomy with other reconstruction
JDE00	Gastrojejunostomy
JDE10	Conversion of gastrojejunostomy to Roux-en-Y anastomosis
JDE20	Conversion of gastrojejunostomy to gastroduodenostomy with interposition of jejunum
JDE96	Other anastomosis of stomach without concurrent gastrectomy
JDF00	Gastroplasty

Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
JDF10	Gastric bypass
JDF20	Gastric banding
JDF96	Other bariatric operation on stomach
JDG00	Truncal vagotomy
JDG10	Proximal gastric vagotomy
JDG96	Other vagotomy
JDH00	Duodenotomy
JDH40	Duodenostomy on duodenal bulb
JDH50	Local excision of lesion of duodenal bulb
JDH60	Pyloromyotomy
JDH63	Pyloroplasty
JDH70	Closure of perforated ulcer of duodenum
JDW96	Other operation on stomach or duodenum
JEA00	Appendectomy
JEA10	Appendectomy with drainage
JEW96	Other operation on appendix
JFA00	Enterotomy
JFA10	Colotomy
JFA16	Biopsy of wall of colon without colotomy
JFA60	Stricturoplasty in small intestine
JFA63	Stricturoplasty in colon
JFA70	Suture of small intestine
JFA73	Excision of lesion of small intestine
JFA76	Closure of fistula of small intestine
JFA80	Suture of colon
JFA83	Excision of lesion of colon
JFA86	Closure of fistula of colon
JFA96	Other local operation on intestine
JFB00	Partial resection of small intestine
JFB10	Reversal of segment of small intestine
JFB13	Plastic repair of small intestine with lengthening
JFB20	Ileocaecal resection
JFB30	Right hemicolectomy
JFB33	Other resection comprising small intestine and colon
JFB40	Resection of transverse colon
JFB43	Left hemicolectomy
JFB46	Resection of sigmoid colon
JFB50	Other resection of colon
JFB53	Resection of sigmoid colon sigmoideum with partial resection of rectum
JFB60	Resection of sigmoid colon with end colostomy
JFB63	Other resection of colon with proximal colostomy and closure of distal stump
JFB96	Other partial excision of intestine
JFC00	Entero-enterostomy
JFC10	Ileotransversostomy

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
JFC20	Other enterocolostomy
JFC30	Colo-colostomy
JFC40	Ileorectostomy
JFC50	Colorectostomy
JFD00	Jejunioleal bypass
JFD03	Duodenoileal bypass with biliopancreatic diversion
JFD10	Revision of jejunioleal bypass
JFD13	Revision of duodenoileal bypass
JFD20	Restoration of continuity after jejunioleal bypass
JFD23	Restoration of continuity after duodenoileal bypass
JFD96	Other intestinal bypass operation
JFE00	Transplantation of small intestine
JFE96	Other operation relating to transplantation of small intestine
JFF00	Catheter enterostomy
JFF10	Loop enterostomy
JFF13	Terminal enterostomy
JFF16	Conversion of ileoanal anastomosis to ileostomy
JFF20	Caecostomy
JFF23	Transversostomy
JFF26	Sigmoidostomy
JFF30	Other colostomy
JFF40	Appendicostomy
JFF50	Exteriorisation of loop of colon without opening
JFF60	Opening of exteriorised loop of colon
JFF96	Other exteriorisation of intestine or creation of intestinal stoma
JFG00	Closure of loop enterostomy without resection
JFG10	Closure of loop colostomy without resection
JFG20	Closure of enterostomy with resection of exteriorised loop
JFG23	Closure of terminal enterostomy with anastomosis to small intestine
JFG26	Closure of terminal enterostomy with anastomosis to colon
JFG30	Closure of colostomy with resection of exteriorised loop
JFG33	Closure of terminal colostomy with anastomosis to colon
JFG36	Closure of terminal colostomy with anastomosis to rectum
JFG40	Revision of enterostomy or colostomy without laparotomy
JFG50	Laparotomy with revision of enterostomy or colostomy
JFG53	Revision of ileal pelvic pouch
JFG56	Revision of colonic pelvic pouch
JFG60	Conversion of conventional ileostomy to continent ileostomy
JFG70	Conversion of continent ileostomy to conventional ileostomy
JFG73	Excision of ileal pelvic pouch
JFG76	Excision of colonic pelvic pouch with colorectal or coloanal anastomosis
JFG80	Excision of ileal pouch with construction of new continent ileostomy
JFG83	Excision of colonic pelvic pouch and construction of new pouch
JFG86	Excision of ileal pelvic pouch and construction of new pouch

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
JFG96	Other operation on intestinal stoma or pouch
JFH00	Total colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis
JFH10	Total colectomy and ileostomy
JFH20	Proctocolectomy and ileostomy
JFH30	Total colectomy, mucosal proctectomy and ileoanal anastomosis without ileostomy
JFH33	Total colectomy, mucosal proctectomy, ileoanal anastomosis and ileostomy
JFH40	Proctocolectomy and continent ileostomy
JFH96	Other total colectomy
JFJ00	Coecopexy
JFJ96	Other enteropexy or colopexy
JFK00	Division of adhesive band in intestinal obstruction
JFK10	Freeing of adhesions in intestinal obstruction
JFK20	Freeing of adhesions and plication of small intestine
JFK96	Other operation on adhesions in intestinal obstruction
JFL00	Open reduction of intussusception of intestine
JFL10	Laparotomy and manipulation of obstructed intestine
JFL20	Laparotomy and manipulation of impacted material
JFL96	Other operation for intestinal obstruction without resection or freeing of adhesions
JFW96	Other operation on intestine
JGA00	Proctotomy
JGA60	Suture of rectum
JGA70	Proctotomy and excision of lesion of rectum
JGA96	Other proctotomy or local operation on rectum
JGB00	Partial proctectomy and colorectal or coloanal anastomosis
JGB03	Partial proctectomy with partial excision of mesorectum
JGB06	Total mesorectal excision
JGB10	Partial proctectomy and end colostomy
JGB20	Partial rectosigmoidectomy and abdominoperineal pull-through anastomosis
JGB30	Abdominoperineal excision of rectum
JGB33	Abdominoperineal excision of rectum and intersphincter resection
JGB36	Wide excision of rectum
JGB40	Excision of rectum and end ileostomy
JGB50	Mucosal proctectomy and ileoanal anastomosis
JGB60	Excision of rectum and ileoanal anastomosis
JGB96	Other proctectomy or excision of rectum
JGC00	Rectopexy
JGC30	Excision and suture of rectal mucosa with imbrication of muscular layer
JGC96	Other reconstructive operation on rectum
JGW96	Other operation on rectum



Table 11. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the liver

Code	Title
JJA00	Exploration of liver
JJA10	Hepatotomy
JJA20	Open biopsy of liver
JJA23	Open needle biopsy of liver
JJA30	Fenestration of cyst of liver
JJA40	Excision of lesion of liver
JJA43	Destruction of lesion of liver
JJA50	Suture of liver
JJA96	Other local operation on liver
JJB00	Wedge resection of liver
JJB10	Atypical resection of liver
JJB20	Excision of single segment of liver
JJB30	Excision of two segments of liver
JJB40	Excision of segments II, III and IV of liver
JJB50	Excision of segments V, VI, VII and VIII of liver
JJB53	Excision of segments IV,V, VI, VII and VIII of liver
JJB60	Other excision of three or more segments of liver
JJB96	Other resection of liver
JJC00	Allogenic transplantation of liver
JJC10	Allogenic partial transplantation of liver
JJC20	Allogenic partial transplantation of liver from living donor
JJC30	Xenogenic transplantation of liver
JJC40	Xenogenic partial transplantation of liver
JJC50	Resection of transplanted liver
JJC60	Total excision of transplanted liver
JJC96	Other transplantation of liver or related operation
JJW96	Other operation on liver

Table 12. NCSP-N codes for procedures on biliary tract

Code	Title
JKA00	Cholecystotomy
JKA10	Cholecystostomy
JKA20	Cholecystectomy
JKA96	Other operation on gallbladder
JKB00	Incision of bile duct
JKB20	Intraoperative cholangioscopy
JKB30	Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage
JKB40	Suture of bile duct
JKB96	Other incision or related operation on bile duct
JKC00	Incision of bile duct and local excision of lesion
JKC10	Partial excision and anastomosis of bile duct

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Code	Title
JKC20	Partial excision of bile duct and anastomosis to duodenum
JKC30	Partial excision of bile duct and anastomosis to jejunum
JKC40	Partial excision of right or left hepatic duct and anastomosis to jejunum
JKC50	Excision of papilla of Vater and anastomosis of bile duct to duodenum or jejunum
JKC96	Other excision of bile duct
JKD00	Anastomosis of gallbladder to jejunum
JKD10	Anastomosis of bile duct to duodenum
JKD20	Anastomosis of bile duct to jejunum
JKD30	Extrahepatic anastomosis of right or left hepatic duct to jejunum
JKD40	Anastomosis of intrahepatic bile duct to jejunum
JKD50	Hepatoportoenterostomy
JKD96	Other biliodigestive anastomosis without excision
JKE00	Transduodenal papillotomy
JKE06	Transduodenal sphincteroplasty
JKE96	Other transduodenal open operation on bile duct or ampulla of Vater
JKF00	Excision of cystic duct
JKF96	Other secondary operation on biliary tract
JKW96	Other operation on biliary tract

Table 13. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the pancreas

Code	Title
JLA00	Exploration of pancreas
JLA10	Biopsy of pancreas
JLB00	Incision of pancreas
JLB10	Pancreaticolithotomy
JLB96	Other incision, drainage or dilatation of pancreas
JLC00	Excision of lesion of pancreas
JLC10	Distal pancreatectomy
JLC20	Total pancreatectomy
JLC30	Pancreatoduodenectomy
JLC40	Total pancreatoduodenectomy
JLC50	Atypical pancreatectomy
JLC96	Other pancreatectomy
JLD00	Pancreaticojejunostomy
JLD10	Anastomosis of pancreatic pseudocyst to stomach
JLD20	Anastomosis of pancreatic pseudocyst to jejunum
JLE00	Allogenic total transplantation of pancreas with pancreaticocystostomy
JLE03	Allogenic total transplantation of pancreas with pancreaticoenterostomy
JLE10	Allogenic segmental transplantation of pancreas
JLE16	Allogenic segmental transplantation of pancreas from living donor
JLE20	Allogenic islet cell transplantation
JLE30	Xenogenic islet cell transplantation

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Code	Title
JLE40	Total excision of transplanted pancreas
JLE50	Occlusion of duct of transplanted pancreas
JLE56	Conversion of pancreaticocystostomy to pancreaticoenterostomy
JLE96	Other transplantation of pancreas or related operation
JLW96	Other operation on pancreas

Table 14. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the spleen

Code	Title
JMA00	Partial splenectomy
JMA10	Transabdominal total splenectomy
JMA20	Transthoracic total splenectomy
JMB00	Biopsy of spleen
JMB10	Repair of spleen
JMW96	Other operation on spleen

Table 15. NCSP-N codes for other digestive system procedures

Code	Title
JAA10	Excision of lesion of abdominal wall
JAA13	Wide excision of extensive necrotising conditions of abdominal wall
JAA96	Other local operation on abdominal wall
JAK00	Laparotomy and drainage of peritoneal cavity
JAK03	Laparotomy and peritoneal irrigation
JAK10	Laparotomy and insertion of peritoneal dialysis catheter
JAL00	Biopsy of peritoneum
JAL10	Laparotomy and removal of foreign body
JAL20	Excision or destruction of lesion of peritoneum
JAL23	Excision of local lesion of pelvic wall
JAL30	Omentectomy
JAL50	Intraabdominal revision of shunt of ventricle of brain
JAL96	Other excision of lesion of peritoneum
JAM00	Transposition of omentum
JAM10	Operation for malrotation of intestine
JAN00	Creation of peritoneovenous shunt
JAN10	Revision of peritoneovenous shunt
JAN20	Removal of peritoneovenous shunt
JAP00	Freeing of adhesions in the peritoneal cavity
JAQ00	Extensive excision of peritoneum
JAQ10	Intraoperative hyperthermic chemotherapeutic perfusion of abdominal cavity
JAW96	Other operation on abdominal wall, peritoneum, mesentery or omentum
JBA00	Transabdominal repair of diaphragm for rupture

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Code	Title
JBA10	Transabdominal biopsy or excision of lesion of diaphragm
JBA20	Transabdominal partial excision of diaphragm
JBW96	Other transabdominal operation on diaphragm or operation for gastro-oesophageal reflux

Table 16. NCSP-N codes for procedures on kidney and pelvis of kidney

Code	Title
KAA00	Exploration of kidney
KAA20	Exploratory nephrotomy
KAA30	Exploratory pyelotomy
KAA96	Other exploration of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAB00	Biopsy of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAC00	Nephrectomy
KAC20	Nephroureterectomy
KAD00	Partial nephrectomy
KAD10	Heminephrectomy
KAD40	Partial excision of pelvis of kidney
KAD50	Destruction of tumour of pelvis of kidney
KAD56	Destruction of lesion of renal parenchyma
KAD60	Percutaneous destruction of lesion of renal parenchyma
KAD96	Other partial excision of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAE00	Nephrolithotomy
KAE10	Pyelolithotomy
KAE96	Other removal of calculus from kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAF00	Removal of foreign body from kidney
KAF10	Removal of foreign body from pelvis of kidney
KAH00	Suture of kidney
KAH10	Suture of pelvis of kidney
KAH30	Pyeloureteroplasty without division of ureteropelvic junction
KAH40	Pyeloureteroplasty with division of ureteropelvic junction
KAH50	Ureterocalyceal anastomosis
KAH70	Freeing of adhesions of ureteropelvic junction
KAH80	Nephropexy
KAH96	Other reconstruction of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAS00	Autotransplantation of kidney
KAS10	Allogenic transplantation of kidney from cadaver donor
KAS13	Allogenic transplantation of kidney from cadaver donor
KAS20	Allogenic transplantation of kidney from living donor with minimally invasive technique
KAS23	Allogenic transplantation of kidney from living donor with minimally invasive technique
KAS40	Excision of transplanted kidney
KAS50	Nephrocystostomy in transplanted kidney
KAS60	Operation for lymphocele of transplanted kidney
KAS96	Other transplantation of kidney or related procedure
KAW96	Other operation on kidney or pelvis of kidney

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Table 17. NCSP-N codes for procedures on other urinary and male genital organs: ureter, bladder, urethra, prostate and seminal vesicles

Code	Title
KBA00	Exploration of ureter
KBA10	Exploratory ureterotomy
KBA96	Other exploration of ureter
KBB00	Biopsy of ureter
KBC00	Ureterectomy
KBD00	Partial excision of ureter
KBD20	Destruction of tumour of ureter
KBD30	Excision of stump of ureter
KBD96	Other partial excision of ureter or destruction of tumour of ureter
KBE00	Ureterolithotomy
KBE96	Other operation for calculus of ureter
KBF00	Removal of foreign body from ureter
KBH00	Suture of ureter
KBH06	Ureteroureterostomy
KBH10	Connection of ureter to contralateral ureter
KBH20	Replantation of ureter
KBH30	Ileal replacement of ureter
KBH40	Plastic repair of ureter
KBH50	Ureterolysis
KBH96	Other repair or connection of ureter
KBJ00	Cutaneous ureterostomy
KBJ10	Cutaneous ureteroenterostomy
KBJ20	Cutaneous ureteroenterostomy with reservoir
KBJ40	Ureteroenterostomy
KBJ60	Anastomosis of ureter to urethra with interposition of ileum
KBJ70	Removal of calculus from ileal conduit or reservoir
KBJ80	Operation for malfunction of urinary diversion
KBJ96	Other urinary diversion from ureter or related operation
KBV00	Insertion of stent into ureter
KBV10	Removal of stent from ureter
KBV40	Incision or excision of ureterocele
KBW96	Other operation on ureter
KCA00	Exploratory cystotomy
KCB00	Biopsy of bladder
KCC00	Cystectomy
KCC10	Cystoprostatectomy
KCC20	Cystoprostatourethrectomy
KCC30	Cystectomy with excision of female internal genital organs
KCC96	Other cystectomy
KCD10	Partial cystectomy
KCD20	Excision of diverticulum of bladder
KCD30	Destruction of tumour of bladder

Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
KCD40	Excision of urachus or other vesicocutaneous fistula
KCD96	Other partial excision or destruction of tumour of bladder
KCE00	Cystolithotomy
KCF00	Cystotomy and removal of foreign body from bladder
KCH00	Suture of bladder
KCH10	Enterocystoplasty
KCH20	Reduction cystoplasty
KCH30	Closure of vesicointestinal fistula
KCH40	Incision or resection of bladder neck
KCH96	Other reconstructive operation on bladder
KCJ00	Cystostomy
KCJ10	Cutaneous cystoenterostomy
KCJ20	Continent cutaneous cystoenterostomy
KCJ96	Other cystostomy
KCV10	Denervation of bladder
KCV20	Freeing of bladder
KCW96	Other operations on bladder
KDC00	Urethrectomy
KDD00	Partial excision of urethra
KDD10	Excision of diverticulum of urethra
KDD30	Destruction of tumour of urethra
KDD40	Resection of external sphincter of urethra
KDD50	Excision of urethral valve
KDD80	Partial excision of urethra and repair using graft or flap
KDD96	Other partial excision of urethra
KDG00	Retropubic suspension of urethra
KDG20	Abdominal colposuspension
KDG30	Suprapubic sling urethrocystopexy
KDG40	Suprapubic urethrocystopexy
KDG43	Transobturatorial sling urethrocystopexy
KDG50	Transabdominal plastic repair of pelvic floor for urinary incontinence
KDG60	Implantation of adjustable expander around bladder neck
KDG70	Exploration of urethra
KDG96	Other operation on urethra or bladder neck for incontinence
KDH00	Suture of urethra
KDH10	Meatoplasty of urethra
KDH30	Closure of urethrocutaneous fistula
KDH50	Closure of urethrointestinal fistula
KDH70	Plastic repair of stricture of urethra
KDH96	Other reconstructive operation on urethra
KDJ00	Urethrostomy
KDK00	Implantation of artificial urinary sphincter around bladder neck
KDK10	Implantation of artificial urinary sphincter around bulbar urethra
KDK30	Revision of artificial urethral sphincter

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
KDK40	Removal of artificial urethral sphincter
KDW96	Other operation on urethra
KEA00	Exploration of prostate
KEA10	Prostatotomy
KEA20	Incision of seminal vesicle
KEB00	Biopsy of prostate
KED96	Other partial excision of prostate
KEE00	Prostatalithotomy
KEE10	Removal of foreign body from prostate
KEW96	Other operation on prostate or seminal vesicle

Table 18- NCSP-N codes for procedures on female genital organs: ovary, fallopian tube, uterus and uterine ligaments

Code	Title
LAA00	Puncture of ovarian cyst
LAB00	Ovariectomy
LAB10	Biopsy of ovary
LAB96	Other incision or biopsy of ovary
LAC00	Excision of ovarian cyst
LAC10	Fenestration of ovarian cyst
LAC20	Destruction of lesion of ovary
LAC30	Excision of paraovarian cyst
LAC96	Other excision or destruction of lesion of ovary
LAD00	Partial excision of ovary
LAE10	Unilateral oophorectomy
LAE20	Bilateral oophorectomy
LAF00	Unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy
LAF10	Bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy
LAF20	Unilateral transvaginal salpingo-oophorectomy
LAF30	Bilateral transvaginal salpingo-oophorectomy
LAG00	Freeing of adhesions of ovary
LAG10	Oophoropexy
LAG20	Detorsion of ovary
LAG96	Other reconstructive operation on ovary
LAW96	Other operation on ovary
LBB00	Biopsy of Fallopian tube
LBB96	Other biopsy of Fallopian tube
LBC10	Removal of products of conception from Fallopian tube
LBC20	Salpingotomy and removal of products of conception
LBC96	Other tube conserving operation for tubal pregnancy
LBD00	Partial excision of Fallopian tube
LBE00	Salpingectomy
LBF00	Perfusion of Fallopian tube

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Code	Title
LBF03	Perfusion of Fallopian tube after reconstruction
LBF20	Transcervical catheter salpingoplasty
LBF30	Salpingolysis
LBF40	Fimbrioplasty
LBF50	Salpingostomy
LBF60	Partial excision and anastomosis of Fallopian tube
LBF70	Partial excision and reimplantation of Fallopian tube
LBF96	Other operation on Fallopian tube for infertility
LBW96	Other operation on Fallopian tube
LCA00	Biopsy of uterus or uterine ligaments
LCB00	Hysterotomy
LCB10	Myomectomy
LCB96	Other excision of lesion of uterus
LCC00	Partial excision of uterus
LCC10	Supravaginal hysterectomy
LCC20	Vaginal supravaginal hysterectomy
LCC96	Other partial excision of uterus
LCD00	Hysterectomy
LCD10	Vaginal hysterectomy
LCD30	Radical hysterectomy
LCD40	Radical vaginal hysterectomy
LCD96	Other hysterectomy
LCE00	Anterior exenteration of female pelvis
LCE10	Posterior exenteration of female pelvis
LCE20	Total exenteration of female pelvis
LCE96	Other exenteration of female pelvis
LCF00	Excision of lesion of parametrium
LCF10	Excision of female varicocele
LCF96	Other excision of lesion of parametrium
LCG10	Suture of uterus
LCG20	Hysteropexy
LCG30	Resection or transcision of sacrouterine ligaments
LCG40	Reconstruction of uterus
LCG96	Other reconstructive operation on uterus
LCW96	Other operation on uterus and uterine ligaments



Table 19. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the peripheral vessels of the abdomen

Code	Title
PCB20	Ligature of coeliac trunk and branches
PCB30	Ligature of superior mesenteric artery
PCB40	Ligature of renal artery
PCB99	Ligature of other visceral artery
PCC10	Suture of suprarenal or juxtarenal abdominal aorta
PCC20	Suture of coeliac trunk and branches
PCC30	Suture of superior mesenteric artery
PCC40	Suture of renal artery
PCC99	Suture of other visceral artery
PCE30	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of superior mesenteric artery
PCE40	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of renal artery
PCE99	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of other visceral artery
PCF20	Thrombendarterectomy of coeliac trunk and branches
PCF30	Thrombendarterectomy of superior mesenteric artery
PCF40	Thrombendarterectomy of renal artery
PCF99	Thrombendarterectomy of other visceral artery
PCG10	Operation for aneurysm of supracoeliac or juxtarenal abdominal aorta
PCG20	Operation for aneurysm of coeliac trunk and branches
PCG30	Operation for aneurysm of superior mesenteric artery
PCG40	Operation for aneurysm of renal artery
PCG99	Operation for aneurysm of other visceral artery
PCH10	Bypass from supracoeliac or juxtarenal abdominal aorta
PCH20	Bypass to/from coeliac trunk and branches
PCH30	Bypass from superior mesenteric artery
PCH40	Bypass from renal artery
PCH99	Bypass from other visceral artery
PCJ30	Transposition of superior mesenteric artery
PCJ40	Transposition of renal artery
PCJ99	Transposition of other visceral artery
PCK20	Reimplantation of coeliac trunk and branches
PCK30	Reimplantation of superior mesenteric artery
PCK40	Reimplantation of renal artery
PCK50	Reimplantation of inferior mesenteric artery
PCK99	Reimplantation of other visceral artery
PCN20	Plastic repair of coeliac trunk and branches
PCN30	Plastic repair of superior mesenteric artery
PCN40	Plastic repair of renal artery
PCN99	Plastic repair of other visceral artery
PCU70	Exploration of previous reconstruction of suprarenal abdominal aorta or visceral arteries
PCU74	Thrombectomy or embolectomy in bypass from suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PCU81	Closure of persisting arteriovenous fistula of bypass from suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PCU82	Plastic repair in bypass from suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PCU99	Other repair after previous reconstruction of suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Code	Title
PCW99	Other operation on suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PDA10	Exploration of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDA30	Exploration of iliac artery
PDC10	Suture of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDC30	Suture of iliac artery
PDE10	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDE30	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of iliac artery
PDF10	Thrombendarterectomy of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDF30	Thrombendarterectomy of iliac artery
PDG10	Operation on infrarenal abdominal aorta for aneurysm
PDG20	Bypass from aorta to iliac artery for aneurysm
PDG21	Bypass from aorta to bilateral iliac arteries for aneurysm
PDG22	Bypass from aorta to iliac and contralateral femoral artery for aneurysm
PDG23	Bypass from aorta to femoral artery for aneurysm
PDG24	Bypass from aorta to bilateral femoral arteries for aneurysm
PDG30	Operation on iliac artery for aneurysm
PDG35	Bypass from iliac to femoral artery for aneurysm
PDG99	Other operation for aneurysm of infrarenal abdominal aorta and iliac arteries
PDH10	Bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDH20	Bypass from aorta to iliac artery
PDH21	Bypass from aorta to bilateral iliac arteries
PDH22	Bypass from aorta to iliac and contralateral femoral artery
PDH23	Bypass from aorta to femoral artery
PDH24	Bypass from aorta to bilateral femoral arteries
PDH30	Bypass from iliac artery
PDH35	Bypass from iliac to femoral artery
PDH99	Other bypass from abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDN10	Plastic repair of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDN30	Plastic repair of iliac artery
PDU70	Exploration of previous reconstruction of infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac arteries and distal connections
PDU74	Thrombectomy or embolectomy in bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDU81	Closure of persisting arteriovenous fistula of bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDU82	Plastic repair of bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDU99	Other repair after previous reconstruction of infrarenal abdominal aorta and iliac arteries and distal
PDW99	Other operation on infrarenal abdominal aorta and iliac arteries and distal connections
PHB23	Ligature of iliac vein
PHB30	Ligature of inferior vena cava
PHB31	Ligature of renal vein
PHB32	Ligature of portal vein
PHB33	Ligature of v. mesenterica superior
PHB34	Ligature of v. mesenterica inferior
PHB36	Ligature of v. spermatica
PHC23	Suture of iliac vein
PHC30	Suture of inferior vena cava

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
PHC31	Suture of renal vein
PHC32	Suture of portal vein
PHC33	Suture of v. mesenterica superior
PHC34	Suture of v. mesenterica inferior
PHD30	Resection of inferior vena cava
PHD32	Resection of portal vein
PHD33	Resection of v. mesenterica superior
PHD34	Resection of v. mesenterica inferior
PHD36	Resection of v. spermatica
PHE23	Thrombectomy of iliac vein
PHE30	Thrombectomy of inferior vena cava
PHE31	Thrombectomy of renal vein
PHH25	Bypass from iliac vein
PHH30	Bypass from inferior vena cava
PHN30	Plastic repair of inferior vena cava
PHN32	Plastic repair of portal vein
PHN33	Plastic repair of v. mesenterica superior
PHN34	Plastic repair of v. mesenterica inferior
PHW35	Portosystemic shunt or bypass

**The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported in observational studies using routinely collected health data.**

	Item No.	STROBE items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	RECORD items	Location in manuscript where items are reported
<b>Title and abstract</b>					
	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Title	RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included.  RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract.  RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.	Title and abstract  Abstract 1 21  Title and abstract 1 20-21  No linkages between databases
<b>Introduction</b>					
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Introduction, 1 49-73		
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Introduction, 1 74-79		
<b>Methods</b>					
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Abstract, 1 20-30.		
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Materials and methods		
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the	Materials and methods, 1. 81-82	RECORD 6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or	Materials and methods, 1 96-109.

		<p>sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls</p> <p><i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants</p> <p><i>(b) Cohort study</i> - For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case</p>	<p>and 1 96-109</p>	<p>algorithms used to identify subjects) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided.</p> <p>RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided.</p> <p>RECORD 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of individuals with linked data at each stage.</p>	<p>All codes are listed in the Supplementary File</p> <p>References to validation studies are given in Introduction, 1 66-69, and in Discussion, 1 196</p> <p>Not considered relevant</p>
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable.	Materials and methods, 1 96-109, and Supplementary File. Model variables are specified in Statistical methods, 1. 115-120	RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided.	Codes are listed in the Supplementary File
Data sources/ measurement	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Covered by the above		
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Hospital PWD rates are risk adjusted, see		

			statistical methods 1 115-120		
1 2 3 4	Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Determined by study period	
5 6 7 8 9	Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	Model variables are specified in Statistical methods, 1. 115-120	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) <i>Cohort study</i> - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	a) See Statistical methods c) No missing data were found in final data set d) Loss to follow up assumed to be very low and uniform across hospitals e) Materials and methods, 1 131-134, Results, 1 173-174	
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Data access and cleaning methods		..	RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population.  RECORD 12.2: Authors should provide information on the data cleaning	The authors had no access to the NPR's databases  Materials and methods, 1 89-91

				methods used in the study.	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Linkage	..		RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level, institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and methods of linkage quality evaluation should be provided.	NPR provided linkage to National Registry using the unique PIN
8	<b>Results</b>				
9	Participants	13	(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Results, 1 146-151	RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the selection of the persons included in the study (i.e., study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) <i>Cohort study</i> - summarise follow-up time (e.g., average and total amount)	a) Results, Table 1 b) See above c) Not relevant	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Outcome data	15	<i>Cohort study</i> - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time <i>Case-control study</i> - Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure <i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Report	Results, Table 1	

		numbers of outcome events or summary measures			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Results, l 156-157 and Figure 1, Table 2	
19 20 21 22	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Results, l 168-174, Figure 1	
<b>Discussion</b>					
24 25 26	Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Discussion, l 176-184	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias		RECORD 19.1: Discuss the implications of using data that were not created or collected to answer the specific research question(s). Include discussion of misclassification bias, unmeasured confounding, missing data, and changing eligibility over time, as they pertain to the study being reported.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Conclusions, l 220-231	Discussion, l 198-218

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47



1 2 3	Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion, 1 214-218		
4	<b>Other Information</b>					
5 6 7 8 9	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	No specific funding was received		
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Accessibility of protocol, raw data, and programming code		..		RECORD 22.1: Authors should provide information on how to access any supplemental information such as the study protocol, raw data, or programming code.	Contact the corresponding author

\*Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttman A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langan SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. *PLoS Medicine* 2015; in press.

\*Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution ([CC BY](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)) license.

# BMJ Open

## POSTOPERATIVE WOUND DEHISCENCE AFTER LAPAROTOMY: A USEFUL HEALTH CARE QUALITY INDICATOR? A COHORT STUDY BASED ON NORWEGIAN HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-026422.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Feb-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Helgeland , Jon ; Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Division of Health Services Tomic, Oliver; Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Department of Mathematic Sciences and Technology Hansen, Tonya; Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Division of Health Services Kristoffersen, Doris; Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Division of Health Services Hassani, Sahar; University of Oslo, KG Jebsen Centre for Psychosis Research, Institute of Clinical Medicine; Oslo University Hospital, Department of Medical Genetics Lindahl, Anne; Akershus University Hospital Trust, Division of Surgery; University of Oslo, Department of Health Administration and Health Economics
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	Surgery
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health services research
Keywords:	Quality in health care < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Patient safety, WOUND MANAGEMENT

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 1 **POSTOPERATIVE WOUND DEHISCENCE AFTER LAPAROTOMY: A**  
6  
7  
8  
9 2 **USEFUL HEALTH CARE QUALITY INDICATOR? A COHORT STUDY**  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14 3 **BASED ON NORWEGIAN HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATIVE DATA**  
15  
16  
17

18 4 Jon Helgeland<sup>1,7</sup>, Oliver Tomic<sup>2</sup>, Tonya Moen Hansen<sup>1</sup>, Doris Tove Kristoffersen<sup>1</sup>, Sahar Hassani<sup>3,4</sup>,  
19  
20 5 Anne Karin Lindahl<sup>5,6</sup>

21  
22 6 <sup>1</sup> Division of Health Services, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

23  
24 7 <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences and Technology, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås,  
25  
26 8 Norway

27  
28 9 <sup>3</sup> NORMENT, KG Jebsen Centre for Psychosis Research, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo  
29  
30 10 University Hospital and Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

31  
32 11 <sup>4</sup> Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

33  
34 12 <sup>5</sup> Division of Surgery, Akershus University Hospital Trust, Lørenskog, Norway

35  
36 13 <sup>6</sup>Department of Health administration and health economics, Institute of Health and Society,  
37  
38 14 University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

39  
40 15 <sup>7</sup>Corresponding author: Jon Helgeland, [jon.helgeland@fhi.no](mailto:jon.helgeland@fhi.no)

41  
42 16 Norwegian Institute of Public Health, PO Box 222 Skøyen, N-0213 Oslo

43  
44 17 Word count: 3106

45  
46 18 Keywords: Postoperative wound dehiscence, Surgical Quality, Quality Indicators, Patient Safety

47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52 19  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 20 ABSTRACT

### 21 Objectives

22 Postoperative wound dehiscence (PWD) is a serious complication to laparotomy, leading to higher  
23 mortality, readmissions and cost. The aims of the present study are to investigate whether risk  
24 adjusted PWD rates could reliably differentiate between Norwegian hospitals, and whether PWD  
25 rates were associated with hospital characteristics such as hospital type and laparotomy volume.

### 26 Design

27 Observational study using patient administrative data from all Norwegian hospitals, obtained from  
28 the Norwegian Patient Registry, for the period 2011-2015, and linked using the unique person  
29 identification number.

### 30 Participants

31 All patients undergoing laparotomy, at least 15 years old, with length of stay at least two days, and  
32 no diagnosis code for immunocompromised state or relating to pregnancy, childbirth and  
33 puerperium. The final data set comprised 66 925 patients with 78 086 laparotomy episodes from 47  
34 hospitals.

### 35 Outcomes

36 The outcome was wound dehiscence, identified by the presence of a wound reclosure code, risk  
37 adjusted for patient characteristics and operation type.

## 38 Results

39 The final data set comprised 1 477 wound dehiscences. Crude PWD rates varied from 0% to 5.1%  
40 among hospitals, with an overall rate of 1.89%. Three hospitals with statistically significantly higher  
41 PWD than average were identified, after case mix adjustment and correction for multiple  
42 comparisons. Hospital volume was not associated with PWD rate, except that hospitals with very few  
43 laparotomies had lower PWD rates.

## 44 Conclusions

45 Among Norwegian hospitals, there is considerable variation in PWD rate that cannot be explained by  
46 operation type, age or comorbidity. This warrants further investigation into possible causes, such as  
47 surgical technique, perioperative procedures or handling of complications. The risk adjusted PWD  
48 rate after laparotomy is a candidate quality indicator for Norwegian hospitals.

## 49 STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- 50 • Includes all laparotomies performed in the nation over a five-year period, with patients  
51 followed across hospitals
- 52 • Extends previous studies to a new health system and a new coding system
- 53 • The statistical analysis uses methods for low event rates, avoiding asymptotic approximation
- 54 • Results may be subject to coding inaccuracy and incompleteness, as well as selection effects
- 55 • There were no data for surgical technique, nor for some clinical factors known to be relevant.

## 56 INTRODUCTION

57 The past decades have seen a major growth in initiatives for measuring, monitoring, and improving  
58 the quality of health care services. Quality indicators are regularly published in many health care  
59 systems. Performance of health care systems is also compared across nations, for instance in the  
60 OECD Health Care Quality and Outcomes (HCQO) initiative, which Norway is a part of.<sup>1,2</sup> Norway has  
61 a national quality indicator system for monitoring and comparing hospital performance, however,  
62 not all areas of hospital performance are covered by existing national quality indicators. While there  
63 are quality indicators for outcomes such as mortality and process measures such as waiting times,  
64 complications following hospital care is less explored, which is especially relevant following surgical  
65 procedures.

66 Postoperative wound dehiscence (PWD) rates after open abdominal surgery (laparotomies) was  
67 introduced as a patient safety indicator in the United States and later as a quality indicator by  
68 OECD.<sup>3-6</sup> Norway reported the second highest numbers for 2014-2015, with a PWD rate of 1.02%. The  
69 overall range was 0.055% to 1.05%.<sup>5</sup> Neighbouring Sweden, with comparable population health and  
70 health care, reported 0.30%. Moreover, a recent study comparing adverse events in Norway and  
71 Sweden found significantly higher adverse event rates of surgical complications in Norwegian  
72 hospitals, compared to Swedish hospitals.<sup>7</sup>

73 PWD is a serious complication that leads to higher mortality rates, higher implicit, explicit and social  
74 costs as well as increased readmission rates.<sup>8,9</sup> The PWD rate has been studied elsewhere as a quality  
75 indicator for hospitals, and found to have a high positive predictive value.<sup>10,11</sup> It is useful as a quality  
76 indicator, since several of the risk factors are modifiable and within control of the hospital and  
77 surgical team. There are few events per hospital, making it challenging to identify outlier hospitals for  
78 quality improvement because of the high statistical uncertainty.

1  
2  
3 79 Previous research has identified a number of risk factors for PWD. Examples of such factors are: (I)  
4  
5 80 patient related variables and comorbidities: smoking<sup>12</sup>, obesity<sup>13</sup>, chronic pulmonary disease, renal  
6  
7 81 insufficiency or diabetes<sup>14</sup> and use of immunosuppressive agents<sup>15 16</sup>; (II) procedure related factors:  
8  
9 82 operation type<sup>9 17</sup>, type of incision and closure<sup>18-20</sup> and length of operation time<sup>21</sup>; (III) postoperative  
10  
11 83 parameters: clean wound classification<sup>21</sup>, coughing<sup>9</sup> and wound infection<sup>9 14</sup>; (IV) operative scenario:  
12  
13  
14 84 e.g. qualifications of the surgeon<sup>21-23</sup> and of the perioperative team, and whether the surgery is  
15  
16 85 emergent.<sup>9 13</sup>

17  
18  
19  
20 86 The objectives of this study are to study the occurrence and variation of PWD after laparotomy at  
21  
22 87 Norwegian hospitals, and the potential usefulness of a PWD indicator for the Norwegian health care  
23  
24 88 system, computed from patient administrative data. More specifically we aimed to 1) investigate the  
25  
26 89 possibility to identify hospitals with higher or lower laparotomy PWD rate than average, after  
27  
28 90 appropriate risk adjustment, 2) study the variability of the PWD rate among hospitals, and its relation  
29  
30 91 to hospital type and laparotomy volume.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

## 36 92 MATERIAL AND METHODS

37  
38  
39  
40 93 Patient administrative data from all Norwegian hospitals were provided by the Norwegian Patient  
41  
42 94 Registry (NPR) for the period 2011-2015.<sup>24</sup> This comprised individual patient data from all  
43  
44 95 department stays: type of admission (acute or elective), primary and secondary diagnosis codes  
45  
46 96 according to the Norwegian version<sup>25</sup> of ICD-10, surgical and medical procedures, age, gender, date  
47  
48 97 and time of ward admission and discharge. Surgical procedures and operations were coded according  
49  
50 98 to the Norwegian version of the NOMESCO Classification of Surgical Procedures (NCSP-N).<sup>26</sup>  
51  
52  
53 99 Procedure time and date were not available. It was therefore not possible to exclude reclosures of  
54  
55 100 wounds occurring before or on the same day as laparotomies within the same episode, as requested  
56  
57 101 in the OECD indicator specification. The NPR data files were checked for missing values and  
58  
59 102 inconsistencies between variables, such as date and time of discharge before admission or invalid

1  
2  
3 103 ICD-10 code. We had no access to clinical data, such as e.g. type of suture, which would have enabled  
4  
5 104 us to study the causes of the reported dehiscences.  
6  
7  
8

9 105 Wound dehiscence was defined as the occurrence of a code for a reclosure operation, i.e. a  
10  
11 106 reoperation for wound dehiscence. This excludes superficial dehiscences, as these are usually not  
12  
13 107 resutured, and the code for reclosure operation is restricted to deep wound dehiscences.  
14

15 108 Laparotomies and wound reclosure operations were identified according to procedure codes. An  
16  
17 109 operation coded with a laparotomy code, signifies an incision into the abdominal wall, through the  
18  
19 110 fascia and with an opening of the abdominal cavity. Laparoscopic and endoscopic procedures were  
20  
21 111 not included. Details of the codes used can be found in the online supplement.  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 112 All permanent residents in Norway have a Personal Identification Number (PIN), registered in the  
27  
28 113 NPR. NPR prepared an encrypted PIN for all patients with a valid PIN, allowing tracking of patients  
29  
30 114 over time and between hospitals. The data were linked with the National Registry to provide data of  
31  
32 115 death (when applicable), using the PIN.  
33  
34  
35

36 116 Ward admissions for each patient, at more than one hospital in case of transfers, were linked into  
37  
38 117 episodes of care when less than eight hours elapsed from time of discharge to the next ward  
39  
40 118 admission.<sup>27</sup> An episode was regarded as acute if the first admission in the episode was coded as  
41  
42 119 non-elective, as a laparotomy episode if it included any procedure code for laparotomy (reclosures  
43  
44 120 not included), and a reclosure episode if a reclosure code was found. The initial data set consisted of  
45  
46 121 all laparotomy and reclosure episodes. Each reclosure episode was linked to the laparotomy episode  
47  
48 122 immediately preceding or coinciding with it. Reclosure episodes with no preceding laparotomy  
49  
50 123 episode within 30 days, as well as laparotomy or reclosure episodes following a reclosure episode  
51  
52 124 within 30 days, were excluded. Note that the linking of laparotomies and reclosures was not part of  
53  
54 125 the original OECD specification, but is required in order to attribute PWD to hospitals and to enable  
55  
56 126 risk adjustment. Following the OECD specification, laparotomy episodes (and consequently any  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 127 linked reclosure episodes) were excluded if a diagnosis code for immunocompromised state or  
4  
5 128 relating to pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium was present, if the length of stay was less than two  
6  
7 129 days, or if the patient's age was less than 15 years. Hospitals with less than 10 laparotomies over the  
8  
9  
10 130 five-year period were excluded. The hospitals belonged to one of three types: regional, large with  
11  
12 131 acute function and small with acute function. For details of the diagnosis and operation codes used,  
13  
14 132 see the online supplement. For risk adjustment, Charlson comorbidities were determined from  
15  
16 133 previous admissions three years prior to, but not including the current episode of care.<sup>27-29</sup> Diagnoses  
17  
18 134 were grouped according to the Clinical Condition Summary system (CCS), adapted to the Norwegian  
19  
20  
21 135 version of ICD-10.<sup>30</sup>

## 25 136 **Statistical methods**

26  
27  
28 137 Risk adjusted probabilities for a laparotomy episode resulting in a reclosure operation were  
29  
30 138 estimated by bias corrected logistic regression.<sup>31</sup> The final model was fit by stepwise regression with  
31  
32 139 the BIC criterion, allowing for potential two-way interactions.

33  
34  
35  
36  
37 140 To identify outlier hospitals, i.e. those with high or low risk adjusted PWD probabilities, estimated  
38  
39 141 hospital effects were compared to a reference value, defined as the 25% trimmed mean of the  
40  
41 142 hospital effects on the logistic scale.<sup>32</sup> As some hospitals reported zero reclosures, ordinary maximum  
42  
43 143 likelihood estimates of the model parameters do not exist, due to separation<sup>33</sup>, and the estimated  
44  
45 144 variances of the fitted parameters, based on their asymptotic distribution, become unreliable. The  
46  
47 145 comparison used an exact test based on the Poisson binomial distribution for the number of PWDs  
48  
49 146 per hospital, using the estimated probabilities for each case, together with parametric bootstrapping  
50  
51 147 to account for the estimation uncertainty in the model parameters. Tests for significance were  
52  
53 148 corrected for multiple comparisons using the Guo-Romano method<sup>34</sup>, and outlier status assigned  
54  
55 149 according to the false discovery rate (FDR). An FDR not exceeding 5% was regarded as significant. For  
56  
57 150 sensitivity analysis, two alternative risk adjustment models were tested, with either a four-category  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 151 grouping of procedures, or with diagnosis categories, instead of the 13-category procedure grouping.  
4

5 152 In addition, a model with the four Norwegian hospital regions was also estimated.  
6  
7

8  
9 153 Hospital volume, modelled by splines<sup>35</sup>, was tested for inclusion in the model. We also performed  
10

11 154 this test after exclusion of hospitals with zero reclosures.  
12  
13

14  
15 155 Finally, the hospital specific effects were modelled as a mixture of two normal distributions. The  
16

17 156 expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm was used, taking into account the estimation variances.  
18

19 157 The mixture model yielded estimates of the quartiles of the hospital odds ratios and the scaled  
20

21 158 interquartile range (normalized by dividing by 1.349, to give the standard deviation in the case of a  
22

23 159 normal distribution) was computed as a measure of spread among hospitals. Bootstrapping of the  
24

25 160 mixture model was used to find a 95% confidence interval for the scaled interquartile range.  
26  
27  
28  
29

## 30 161 Risk adjustment

31  
32  
33 162 The following case-mix variables were included as candidates in the stepwise regression: age, gender,  
34

35 163 indicators for the individual Charlson comorbidities, number of previous hospital admissions two  
36

37 164 years prior to current admission, and whether the episode was acute or elective. A linear trend in  
38

39 165 admission year was also included. Age was modelled by natural splines with knots at the median and  
40

41 166 quartiles.<sup>35</sup> Based on previous studies of risk factors<sup>9,17</sup>, procedures were categorized into 13 types,  
42

43 167 according to the body system or organ involved. The effects of operation types were normalized to  
44

45 168 have zero sum on the logistic scale.  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 169 For a quality indicator, only characteristics of the patient when entering the hospital, are meaningful  
52

53 170 risk adjustment variables. No data were available for smoking, obesity or other patient or case  
54

55 171 characteristics such as nutritional status. There was no information about operation urgency beyond  
56

57 172 the status of the hospital admission or episode as elective or acute.  
58  
59  
60

## 173 Patient and Public Involvement

174 Patients were not involved in the planning, conduct or analysis of this study. The policy of the  
 175 Norwegian Institute of Public health is to publish hospital quality indicators, when they have been  
 176 successfully validated.

## 177 RESULTS

178 The initial data set comprised 96 102 episodes with laparotomy and 1 909 with a reclosure operation.  
 179 After restricting data to reclosures paired with a laparotomy within 30 days, 1 580 reclosures  
 180 remained. After exclusions for pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium or immunocompromised state,  
 181 age and LOS, 78 299 laparotomies remained. Lastly, hospitals with less than 10 laparotomies were  
 182 excluded, yielding a final data set with 66 925 unique patients, 78 086 laparotomies and 1 477  
 183 reclosures from 47 hospitals. Descriptive statistics for the dataset are shown in Table 1. The  
 184 operation types are tabulated in the online Supplement.

185 *Table 1. Descriptive statistics for final data set*

	PWD	No PWD
Age, years, median (quartiles)	69 (61-78)	65 (51-75)
Gender, females, n (%)	517 (35)	43 094 (56)
Acute laparotomy episode, n (%)	657 (44)	26 381 (34)
Main diagnosis for reclosure episode coded as PWD, n (%)	45 (3.1)	—
Main diagnosis for reclosure episode coded as deep wound infection, n (%)	274 (19)	—
Hospital type for laparotomy episodes		
Regional, n (%)	545 (37)	28 104 (37)
Large with acute function, n (%)	810 (55)	40 291 (53)
Small with acute function, n (%)	122 (8.3)	8 214 (11)
Comorbidities		
Diabetes with complications, n (%)	18 (1.2)	893 (1.2)
Chronic pulmonary disease, n (%)	196 (13)	5 147 (6.7)
Renal disease, n (%)	66 (4.5)	2 716 (3.5)

30 day mortality (laparotomy episode), %	67 (4.5)	2 668 (3.5)
Length of stay (LOS) laparotomy episode, days, median (quartiles)	19 (11-29)	7.4 (4.4-13)
Reclosure and matched laparotomy in same episode, n (%)	1 211 (82)	—
Converted from laparoscopy or endoscopy to laparotomy, n (%)	12 (0.81)	578 (0.75)
Robot assistance in laparotomy, n (%)	3 (0.2)	404 (0.53)

186  
187 From 2011 to 2015, the annual volume of laparotomies decreased somewhat, from 16 730 to 14 419,  
188 while the proportion of acute laparotomies remained stable at around 35%.

189 The overall rate of PWD for the five-year period was 1.89%. Crude PWD rates varied from 0% to 5.1%  
190 among hospitals. After risk adjustment, the range was 0.1% - 5.4%. Table 2 shows the odds ratios of  
191 the final logistic regression model. No interactions were included. The model showed good fit  
192 according to the modified Hosmer-Lemeshow test<sup>36</sup> (p=0.53) and good predictive ability, with an area  
193 under the operating characteristic (c-statistic) of 0.73.

194 *Table 2. Final multivariate logistic model for risk adjustment*

Variable	Adjusted odds ratio	(95% confidence interval)
Year of admission	0.93	(0.90-0.96)
Age, spline function		
40 (reference)	1.00	
50	1.37	(1.25-1.49)
60	1.97	(1.65-2.36)
70	2.39	(1.97-2.90)
Gender		
Female (reference)	1	
Male	2.42	(2.16-2.72)
Elective laparotomy episode (reference)	1	
Acute laparotomy episode	1.36	(1.21-1.52)
Chronic pulmonary disease	1.72	(1.47-2.01)
Operation type <sup>a</sup>		
Exploratory laparotomy	2.40	(1.78-3.24)
Hernia (diaphragmal)	2.57	(1.37-4.81)
Thoracoabdominal aorta	2.08	(0.85-5.09)
Gastrointestinal tract	2.04	(1.69-2.46)
Liver	1.14	(0.69-1.87)

Biliary tract	0.12	(0.05-0.28)
Pancreas	0.79	(0.40-1.58)
Spleen	1.20	(0.45-3.24)
Other digestive system	1.46	(1.03-2.07)
Kidney	0.09	(0.03-0.28)
Other urinary and male genital organs	0.52	(0.37-0.71)
Female genital organs	1.43	(1.06-1.92)
Peripheral vascular surgery	1.21	(0.93-1.57)
More than one type of surgery <sup>b</sup>	2.58	(2.12-3.15)
Hospital		
Scaled interquartile range	0.30	(0.23-0.34)

195 <sup>a</sup> Odds ratios for operation type is scaled to have geometric mean

21 196 <sup>b</sup> Not counting exploratory laparotomy

23 197

26 198 In Figure 1, risk-adjusted PWD rates are shown for each hospital, plotted versus laparotomy volume  
28  
29 199 and hospital type.

32 200 After significance testing, we identified three hospitals with higher PWD and none with lower PWD  
34  
35 201 than average, when correcting for multiple testing. Without multiple test correction, one additional  
36  
37 202 hospital with high PWD was found to be marginally significant ( $p=0.053$ ).

41 203 In the alternative model including volume, the PWD increased with yearly laparotomy volume from a  
42  
43 204 very low level up to 120 laparotomies per year, after which it remained fairly constant, see Figure 1.  
44  
45 205 The effect of volume was significant ( $p<0.001$ ), also after exclusion of the four smallest volume  
46  
47 206 hospitals with zero reclosures ( $p=0.008$ ). Hospital type coincided almost completely with a grouping  
48  
49 207 of hospitals by volume, and was therefore not tested separately. There was significant variation  
50  
51 208 among regions ( $p<0.001$ ), with the Northern region having the highest and the South-Eastern region  
52  
53 209 the lowest rates. Details can be found in the online supplement. Using diagnosis categories or  
54  
55 210 aggregated operation type as risk adjustment variables resulted in very small changes in risk adjusted  
56  
57 211 PWD rates.  
58  
59  
60

## 212 DISCUSSION

213 We have studied wound dehiscence after laparotomy, as a quality indicator based on the OECD  
214 specification, and found that it discriminated between Norwegian hospitals. The indicator was risk  
215 adjusted for differences in age, gender, comorbidity and type of surgery, and showed little sensitivity  
216 to changes in the set of risk adjustment variables. The overall PWD rate was 1.89%. After risk  
217 adjustment, the hospitals' PWD rate varied between 0.1% and 5.4%. Laparotomy volume and type of  
218 hospital had little effect on the PWD rate, except for hospitals with very low volume. Advanced age,  
219 male gender, chronic pulmonary disease, and emergency laparotomy were all significant risk factors  
220 for PWD. There were significant PWD differences according to the organ system targeted. The overall  
221 rate of PWD showed a small but statistically significant decline over the observation period 2011-  
222 2015. The relatively large variation of PWD rates between hospitals, after correction for patient  
223 characteristics and operation type, indicates possible variation in the quality of healthcare among  
224 hospitals. This may be due to variation in surgical technique and perioperative care, as well as the  
225 handling of postoperative complications, such as wound infection, which is known to be a risk factor  
226 for PWD.<sup>17</sup> We found PWD rates well within the range reported in international studies.<sup>9 13 14 17 21 37 38</sup>  
227 Also, the risk factors identified are in accordance with previous studies, albeit limited to  
228 administrative data. Laparotomy volume has negligible effect apart from the few hospitals with very  
229 low volume. A Japanese study reported a similar conclusion, while volume was found to have effect  
230 in US hospitals.<sup>39 40</sup> The effect is likely a result of the types of operations performed at the low-  
231 volume hospitals, compared with the other hospitals.

232 Our study is based on complete data from all Norwegian hospitals performing laparotomies. It was  
233 possible to track patients during transfers and reoperations at different hospitals. To the best of our  
234 knowledge, no similar study has been performed. NPR, the data source, has been validated for  
235 several disease categories with respect to identification of cases based on diagnoses and/or

1  
2  
3 236 procedures, and found to have a very high degree of completeness, compared to Norwegian national  
4  
5 237 medical quality registries.<sup>41-44</sup> At the time of writing, the completeness of NPR, after 24 registries  
6  
7 238 have been studied, ranges from 83.5% to 99.8%.<sup>24</sup>  
8  
9

10  
11 239 We cannot exclude a residual imbalance in case mix, affecting PWD through e.g. smoking or obesity,  
12  
13 240 which are known risk factors. There is regional variation in the prevalence of smoking and obesity in  
14  
15 241 Norway.<sup>45</sup> Obesity is more prevalent in Northern Norway, where PWD rates are somewhat higher.  
16  
17 242 However, in some other areas where obesity is less prevalent, the rates are similar. There is no  
18  
19 243 consistent correspondence between the known variation in smoking among counties and PWD rates.  
20  
21 244 Some surgical procedures are performed only at regional hospitals, and it is therefore possible that  
22  
23 245 selection effects are present. In that case, one would expect larger changes in PWD rates after risk  
24  
25 246 adjustment for operation type, which was not found. One potential source of error in our study is the  
26  
27 247 completeness and correctness of coding in the NPR, particularly the coding of reclosure operations.  
28  
29 248 The risk adjustment depends on data from previous hospitalization and may not capture all  
30  
31 249 comorbidities. Moreover, selection effects cannot not be ruled out. Differing policies for operations  
32  
33 250 on patients with known risk factors, e.g. obesity or smoking, would likely cause variation in PWD  
34  
35 251 rates. Patients who die before reoperation or are managed by other means will not be registered.  
36  
37 252 We believe that this applies to very few patients and would not influence our results. No attempt  
38  
39 253 was made to identify main operation or operation intent, as this would require a classification effort  
40  
41 254 outside the scope of the present study. No clinical details about surgical technique and patient  
42  
43 255 condition were available. Therefore, the causes of the observed PWD rate variation could not be  
44  
45 256 investigated.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52  
53 257 Previous studies have shown that the quality indicator has high positive predictive value, but only  
54  
55 258 moderate sensitivity.<sup>10 46 47</sup> Since we have used specific wound reclosure codes, similar to those used  
56  
57 259 in previous studies, we expect a high positive predictive value in Norway as well. Conceivably, the  
58  
59 260 sensitivity depends on the coding system, in particular the various alternative codes related to  
60

1  
2  
3 261 complications. Sensitivity in Norway may thus differ from that of other healthcare systems. A recent  
4  
5 262 retrospective medical record study from neighbouring Sweden reports that 86.9% of wound  
6  
7 263 dehiscences were reoperated.<sup>38</sup> Norway has an activity-based system for financing hospitals, which is  
8  
9 264 an incentive to report all reclosure operations.  
10  
11  
12

## 13 265 **Conclusions**

14  
15 266 Among Norwegian hospitals, there is a significant variation in PWD rate after laparotomies that  
16  
17 267 cannot be explained by operation type, age, comorbidity or whether the admission was elective or  
18  
19 268 acute. This warrants further investigation into possible causes, such as patient related factors,  
20  
21 269 surgical technique, perioperative procedures or handling of complications, e.g. wound infections.  
22  
23 270 Some of these factors are known to be amenable.<sup>20 48</sup> The relatively large between-hospital variation  
24  
25 271 found in the present study is an indication of potential for improvement. The risk adjusted PWD rate  
26  
27 272 after laparotomy is a candidate for use as a quality indicator for Norwegian hospitals, and will make it  
28  
29 273 possible to identify hospitals with apparent quality problems. To achieve sufficient discrimination,  
30  
31 274 however, five-year data are desirable, making it more difficult to monitor changes in hospital  
32  
33 275 performance resulting from quality improvement efforts. It lies outside the scope of the present  
34  
35 276 study to perform a comprehensive validation of the PWD rate as a quality indicator suitable for  
36  
37 277 public reporting. There are uncertainties and potential biases in the indicator, implying that it must  
38  
39 278 be regarded as a signal for follow-up within hospitals, rather than giving a final verdict of inferior or  
40  
41 279 superior quality. For reporting on surgical quality, several indicators should be used to give a  
42  
43 280 balanced view of the different aspects of quality and patient safety.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## 281 FOOTNOTES

### 282 Funding

283 This research did not receive any grants from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-  
284 for-profit sectors.

### 285 Competing interests

286 The authors have no competing interests.

### 287 Authors' contributions

288 AKL and OT conceived the study. DTK, TMH, OT, and SH participated in data preparation. OT, SH and  
289 AKL contributed to the analysis. OT helped draft the manuscript. JH was responsible for the statistical  
290 analysis and final manuscript. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

### 291 Ethics approval

292 The study was approved by the Norwegian Directorate of Health and the Norwegian Data Protection  
293 Authority.

### 294 Data sharing

295 The data set contains indirectly identifiable personal data, and cannot be shared without express  
296 permission from the Norwegian Patient Registry. For further information, contact the corresponding  
297 author.

298 *Figure 1. Risk adjusted PWD rates versus yearly laparotomy volume, by hospital type. Trend*  
 299 *curve is obtained by smoothing the scatterplot. Significance testing is adjusted for multiple*  
 300 *comparisons*

## 301 REFERENCES

- 302
- 303
- 304 1. Carinci F, Van Gool K, Mainz J, et al. Towards actionable international comparisons of health  
 305 system performance: expert revision of the OECD framework and quality indicators. *Int J*  
 306 *Qual Health Care* 2015;27(2):137-46. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzv004 [published Online First:  
 307 2015/03/12]
- 308 2. OECD. Health Care Quality and Outcomes: OECD; 2018 [Available from:  
 309 <http://www.oecd.org/health/health-systems/health-care-quality-and-outcomes.htm>  
 310 accessed 2018-06-06 2018.
- 311 3. Hannan EL. Using mortality data for profiling hospital quality of care and targeting substandard  
 312 care. *J Soc Health Syst* 1989;1(1):31-48.
- 313 4. Miller MR, Elixhauser A, Zhan C, et al. Patient Safety Indicators: using administrative data to  
 314 identify potential patient safety concerns. *Health Serv Res* 2001;36(6 Pt 2):110-32. [published  
 315 Online First: 2005/09/09]
- 316 5. OECD. Health Care Quality Indicators - Patient Safety 2018 [Available from:  
 317 <http://www.oecd.org/health/health-systems/hcqi-patient-safety.htm> accessed 2018-06-06  
 318 2018.
- 319 6. OECD. Definitions for Health Care Quality Indicators 2016-2017 HCQI Data Collection: OECD,  
 320 2016:113.
- 321 7. Deilkas ET, Risberg MB, Haugen M, et al. Exploring similarities and differences in hospital adverse  
 322 event rates between Norway and Sweden using Global Trigger Tool. *BMJ open*  
 323 2017;7(3):e012492. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012492
- 324 8. Hannan EL, Bernard HR, O'Donnell JF, et al. A methodology for targeting hospital cases for quality  
 325 of care record reviews. *Am J Public Health* 1989;79(4):430-6.
- 326 9. van Ramshorst GH, Nieuwenhuizen J, Hop WC, et al. Abdominal wound dehiscence in adults:  
 327 development and validation of a risk model. *World J Surg* 2010;34(1):20-7. doi:  
 328 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00268-009-0277-y>
- 329 10. Romano PS, Mull HJ, Rivard PE, et al. Validity of selected AHRQ patient safety indicators based on  
 330 VA National Surgical Quality Improvement Program data. *Health Serv Res* 2009;44(1):182-  
 331 204. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2008.00905.x>
- 332 11. Rosen AK, Itani KM, Cevasco M, et al. Validating the patient safety indicators in the Veterans  
 333 Health Administration: do they accurately identify true safety events? *Med Care*  
 334 2012;50(1):74-85. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e3182293edf>
- 335 12. Kean J. The effects of smoking on the wound healing process. *J Wound Care* 2010;19(1):5-8. doi:  
 336 10.12968/jowc.2010.19.1.46092
- 337 13. Shanmugam VK, Fernandez SJ, Evans KK, et al. Postoperative wound dehiscence: Predictors and  
 338 associations. *Wound Repair Regen* 2015;23(2):184-90. doi:  
 339 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12268>
- 340 14. Sandy-Hodgetts K, Carville K, Leslie GD. Determining risk factors for surgical wound dehiscence: a  
 341 literature review. *Int Wound J* 2015;12(3):265-75. doi: 10.1111/iwj.12088

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

15. Roine E, Bjork IT, Oyen O. Targeting risk factors for impaired wound healing and wound complications after kidney transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2010;42(7):2542-6. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2010.05.162>
16. Stephan RN, Munschauer CE, Kumar MS. Surgical wound infection in renal transplantation: outcome data in 102 consecutive patients without perioperative systemic antibiotic coverage. *Arch Surg* 1997;132(12):1315-8; discussion 18-9.
17. Sorensen LT, Hemmingsen U, Kallehave F, et al. Risk factors for tissue and wound complications in gastrointestinal surgery. *Ann Surg* 2005;241(4):654-8.
18. Mahey RG, Smruti; Rajpurohit, Jitesh; Desai, Desai; Suryawanshi, Sachin;. A prospective study of risk factors for abdominal wound dehiscence. *International Surgery Journal* 2017;4(1):24-28. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20163983>
19. Deerenberg EB, Harlaar JJ, Steyerberg EW, et al. Small bites versus large bites for closure of abdominal midline incisions (STITCH): a double-blind, multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015;386(10000):1254-60. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(15)60459-7 [published Online First: 2015/07/21]
20. Israelsson LA, Millbourn D. Prevention of Incisional Hernias: How to Close a Midline Incision. *Surg Clin North Am* 2013;93(5):1027-40. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2013.06.009>
21. Webster C, Neumayer L, Smout R, et al. Prognostic models of abdominal wound dehiscence after laparotomy. *J Surg Res* 2003;109(2):130-7.
22. Bucknall TE, Cox PJ, Ellis H. Burst abdomen and incisional hernia: a prospective study of 1129 major laparotomies. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1982;284(6320):931-3. [published Online First: 1982/03/27]
23. Gislason H, Soreide O, Viste A. Wound complications after major gastrointestinal operations. The surgeon as a risk factor. *Dig Surg* 1999;16(6):512-4. doi: 10.1159/000018778
24. Health NDo. Norsk pasientregister - innhold og kvalitet: Norwegian Directorate of Health; 2018 [Available from: <https://helsedirektoratet.no/norsk-pasientregister-npr/innhold-og-kvalitet> accessed 2018-12-06 2018.
25. eHealth NDo. Helsefaglige kodeverk: Norwegian Directorate of eHealth 2018 [Available from: <https://ehelse.no/standarder-kodeverk-og-referanse katalog/helsefaglige-kodeverk> accessed 2018-06-06 2018.
26. Norwegian Directorate of eHealth. NCMP, NCSP og NCRP: Klassifikasjon av medisinske, kirurgiske og radiologiske prosedyrer Norwegian Directorate of eHealth; 2018 [Available from: <https://finnkode.ehelse.no/#ncmpncsp/0/0/0/-1> accessed 15th May 2018.
27. Hassani S, Lindman AS, Kristoffersen DT, et al. 30-Day Survival Probabilities as a Quality Indicator for Norwegian Hospitals: Data Management and Analysis. *PLoS One* 2015;10(9):e0136547. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0136547
28. Quan HD, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. *Med Care* 2005;43(11):1130-39. doi: DOI 10.1097/01.mlr.0000182534.19832.83
29. Quan H, Li B, Couris CM, et al. Updating and validating the Charlson comorbidity index and score for risk adjustment in hospital discharge abstracts using data from 6 countries. *Am J Epidemiol* 2011;173(6):676-82. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwq433
30. (HCUP) HCaUP. Beta Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) for ICD-10-CM/PCS: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2018 [Available from: <https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/ccs10/ccs10.jsp> accessed 2018-06-06 2018.
31. Firth D. Bias Reduction of Maximum-Likelihood-Estimates. *Biometrika* 1993;80(1):27-38. doi: DOI 10.1093/biomet/80.1.27
32. Kristoffersen DT, Helgeland J, Clench-Aas J, et al. Observed to expected or logistic regression to identify hospitals with high or low 30-day mortality? *PLoS One* 2018;13(4) doi: ARTN e0195248 10.1371/journal.pone.0195248
33. Albert A, Anderson JA. On the Existence of Maximum Likelihood Estimates in Logistic Regression Models. *Biometrika* 1984;71(1):1-10. doi: 10.2307/2336390

- 1  
2  
3 394 34. Guo W, Romano JP. On stepwise control of directional errors under independence and some  
4 395 dependence. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 2015;163:21-33. doi:  
5 396 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspi.2015.02.009>  
6 397 35. Chambers JM, Hastie TJ, Eds. *Statistical models in S*. Pacific Grove: Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole  
7 398 1992.  
8 399 36. Paul P, Pennell ML, Lemeshow S. Standardizing the power of the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of  
9 400 fit test in large data sets. *Stat Med* 2013;32(1):67-80. doi: 10.1002/sim.5525  
10 401 37. Kenig J, Richter P, Lasek A, et al. The efficacy of risk scores for predicting abdominal wound  
11 402 dehiscence: a case-controlled validation study. *BMC Surg* 2014;14:65. doi: 10.1186/1471-  
12 403 2482-14-65  
13 404 38. Walming S, Angenete E, Block M, et al. Retrospective review of risk factors for surgical wound  
14 405 dehiscence and incisional hernia. *BMC Surg* 2017;17(1):19. doi: 10.1186/s12893-017-0207-0  
15 406 39. Kitazawa T, Matsumoto K, Fujita S, et al. Perioperative patient safety indicators and hospital  
16 407 surgical volumes. *BMC Res Notes* 2014;7:117. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-7-117 [published  
17 408 Online First: 2014/03/04]  
18 409 40. Hernandez-Boussard T, Downey JR, McDonald K, et al. Relationship between Patient Safety and  
19 410 Hospital Surgical Volume. *Health Serv Res* 2012;47(2):756-69. doi: doi:10.1111/j.1475-  
20 411 6773.2011.01310.x  
21 412 41. Bakken IJ, Gystad SO, Christensen OO, et al. Comparison of data from the Norwegian Patient  
22 413 Register and the Cancer Registry of Norway. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 2012;132(11):1336-40.  
23 414 doi: 10.4045/tidsskr.11.1099 [published Online First: 2012/06/22]  
24 415 42. Hoiberg MP, Gram J, Hermann P, et al. The incidence of hip fractures in Norway -accuracy of the  
25 416 national Norwegian patient registry. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2014;15:372. doi:  
26 417 10.1186/1471-2474-15-372 [published Online First: 2014/11/15]  
27 418 43. Oie LR, Madsbu MA, Giannadakis C, et al. Validation of intracranial hemorrhage in the Norwegian  
28 419 Patient Registry. *Brain and behavior* 2018;8(2):e00900. doi: 10.1002/brb3.900 [published  
29 420 Online First: 2018/02/28]  
30 421 44. Varndal T, Bakken IJ, Janszky I, et al. Comparison of the validity of stroke diagnoses in a medical  
31 422 quality register and an administrative health register. *Scandinavian journal of public health*  
32 423 2016;44(2):143-9. doi: 10.1177/1403494815621641 [published Online First: 2015/12/15]  
33 424 45. Health NIO. Public Health report: Norwegian Institute of Public Health; 2018 [Available from:  
34 425 <https://www.fhi.no/en/op/hin/> accessed 2018-06-06 2018.  
35 426 46. Cevasco M, Borzecki AM, McClusky DA, 3rd, et al. Positive predictive value of the AHRQ Patient  
36 427 Safety Indicator "postoperative wound dehiscence". *J Am Coll Surg* 2011;212(6):962-7. doi:  
37 428 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.01.053>  
38 429 47. Borzecki AM, Cevasco M, Mull H, et al. Improving the identification of postoperative wound  
39 430 dehiscence missed by the Patient Safety Indicator algorithm. *Am J Surg* 2013;205(6):674-80.  
40 431 doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2012.07.040>  
41 432 48. Berríos-Torres SI, Umscheid CA, Bratzler DW, et al. Centers for disease control and prevention  
42 433 guideline for the prevention of surgical site infection, 2017. *JAMA Surg* 2017;152(8):784-91.  
43 434 doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2017.0904  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50 435  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 1. Risk adjusted PWD rates versus yearly laparotomy volume, by hospital type. Trend curve is obtained by smoothing the scatterplot. Significance testing is adjusted for multiple comparisons

149x149mm (300 x 300 DPI)

## Online supplement

*Table 1. Hospital types*

Hospital type	Number
Small hospitals with acute function	21
Large hospitals with acute function	22
Regional hospitals	4

*Table 2. Laparotomy cases after operation type (primary episode)*

Operation type	Frequency, n (%)
Gastrointestinal tract	30 404 (38.9)
More than one type of surgery <sup>a</sup>	14 051 (18.0)
Female genital organs	10 720 (13.7)
Other urinary and male genital organs	6 536 (8.4)
Peripheral vascular surgery	4 628 (5.9)
Biliary tract	2 739 (3.5)
Other digestive system	2 226 (2.9)
Kidney	2 152 (2.8)
Exploratory laparotomy	2 061 (2.6)
Liver	1 122 (1.4)
Pancreas	775 (1.0)
Hernia (diaphragmal)	274 (0.4)
Spleen	248 (0.3)
Thoracoabdominal aorta	150 (0.2)

<sup>a</sup>Not counting exploratory laparotomy

*Table 3. Effect of hospital region, after risk adjustment. Odds ratio standardized to have geometric mean one.*

Hospital region	Adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval)
South-Eastern Norway Region	0.85 (0.78 - 0.92)
Central Norway Region	0.99 (0.89 - 1.10)
Western Norway Region	1.06 (0.96 - 1.17)
Northern Norway Region	1.13 (1.001 - 1.27)

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

## Diagnoses

Table 4. ICD-10 diagnosis codes contained in MDC 14 (Pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium)

Code	Title
A34	Obstetrical tetanus
F53.0	Mild mental and behavioural disorders associated with the puerperium, not elsewhere classified
F53.1	Severe mental and behavioural disorders associated with the puerperium, not elsewhere classified
F53.8	Other mental and behavioural disorders associated with the puerperium, not elsewhere classified
F53.9	Puerperal mental disorder, unspecified
Oxx.x	Pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium
Z32.0	Pregnancy, not (yet) confirmed
Z32.1	Pregnancy confirmed
Z33	Pregnant state, incidental
Z34.0	Supervision of normal first pregnancy
Z34.8	Supervision of other normal pregnancy
Z34.9	Supervision of normal pregnancy, unspecified
Z35.0	Supervision of pregnancy with history of infertility
Z35.1	Supervision of pregnancy with history of abortive outcome
Z35.2	Supervision of pregnancy with other poor reproductive or obstetric history
Z35.3	Supervision of pregnancy with history of insufficient antenatal care
Z35.4	Supervision of pregnancy with grand multiparity
Z35.5	Supervision of elderly primigravida
Z35.6	Supervision of very young primigravida
Z35.8	Supervision of other high-risk pregnancies
Z35.9	Supervision of high-risk pregnancy, unspecified
Z36.0	Antenatal screening for chromosomal anomalies
Z36.1	Antenatal screening for raised alpha-fetoprotein level
Z36.2	Other antenatal screening based on amniocentesis
Z36.3	Antenatal screening for malformations using ultrasound and other physical methods
Z36.4	Antenatal screening for fetal growth retardation using ultrasound and other physical methods
Z36.5	Antenatal screening for isoimmunization
Z36.8	Other antenatal screening
Z36.9	Antenatal screening, unspecified
Z37.0	Single live birth
Z37.1	Single stillbirth
Z37.2	Twins, both liveborn
Z37.3	Twins, one liveborn and one stillborn
Z37.4	Twins, both stillborn
Z37.5	Other multiple births, all liveborn
Z37.6	Other multiple births, some liveborn
Z37.7	Other multiple births, all stillborn
Z37.9	Outcome of delivery, unspecified
Z39.0	Care and examination immediately after delivery
Z39.1	Care and examination of lactating mother
Z39.2	Routine postpartum follow-up
Z64.0	Problems related to unwanted pregnancy

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Table 5. ICD-10 diagnosis codes for immunocompromised state

Code	Title
B20.0	HIV disease resulting in mycobacterial infection
B20.1	HIV disease resulting in other bacterial infections
B20.2	HIV disease resulting in cytomegaloviral disease
B20.3	HIV disease resulting in other viral infections
B20.4	HIV disease resulting in candidiasis
B20.5	HIV disease resulting in other mycoses
B20.6	HIV disease resulting in Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia
B20.7	HIV disease resulting in multiple infections
B20.8	HIV disease resulting in other infectious and parasitic diseases
B20.9	HIV disease resulting in unspecified infectious or parasitic disease
B21.0	HIV disease resulting in Kaposi's sarcoma
B21.1	HIV disease resulting in Burkitt's lymphoma
B21.2	HIV disease resulting in other types of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma
B21.3	HIV disease resulting in other malignant neoplasms of lymphoid, haematopoietic and related tissue
B21.7	HIV disease resulting in multiple malignant neoplasms
B21.8	HIV disease resulting in other malignant neoplasms
B21.9	HIV disease resulting in unspecified malignant neoplasm
B22.0	HIV disease resulting in encephalopathy
B22.1	HIV disease resulting in lymphoid interstitial pneumonitis
B22.2	HIV disease resulting in wasting syndrome
B22.7	HIV disease resulting in multiple diseases classified elsewhere
B23.1	HIV disease resulting in (persistent) generalized lymphadenopathy
B23.2	HIV disease resulting in haematological and immunological abnormalities, not elsewhere classified
B23.8	HIV disease resulting in other specified conditions
B24	Unspecified human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] disease
B59	Pneumocystosis
D47.1	Chronic myeloproliferative disease
D70	Agranulocytosis
D71	Functional disorders of polymorphonuclear neutrophils
D72.0	Genetic anomalies of leukocytes
D80.0	Hereditary hypogammaglobulinaemia
D80.1	Nonfamilial hypogammaglobulinaemia
D80.2	Selective deficiency of immunoglobulin A [IgA]
D80.3	Selective deficiency of immunoglobulin G [IgG] subclasses
D80.4	Selective deficiency of immunoglobulin M [IgM]
D80.5	Immunodeficiency with increased immunoglobulin M [IgM]
D80.6	Antibody deficiency with near-normal immunoglobulins or with hyperimmunoglobulinaemia
D80.7	Transient hypogammaglobulinaemia of infancy
D80.8	Other immunodeficiencies with predominantly antibody defects
D80.9	Immunodeficiency with predominantly antibody defects, unspecified
D81.0	Severe combined immunodeficiency [SCID] with reticular dysgenesis
D81.1	Severe combined immunodeficiency [SCID] with low T- and B-cell numbers
D81.2	Severe combined immunodeficiency [SCID] with low or normal B-cell numbers
D81.3	Adenosine deaminase [ADA] deficiency
D81.4	Nezelof's syndrome
D81.5	Purine nucleoside phosphorylase [PNP] deficiency
D81.6	Major histocompatibility complex class I deficiency
D81.7	Major histocompatibility complex class II deficiency
D81.8	Other combined immunodeficiencies
D81.9	Combined immunodeficiency, unspecified
D82.0	Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome
D82.1	Di George's syndrome

Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data



Code	Title
D82.2	Immunodeficiency with short-limbed stature
D82.3	Immunodeficiency following hereditary defective response to Epstein-Barr virus
D82.4	Hyperimmunoglobulin E [IgE] syndrome
D82.8	Immunodeficiency associated with other specified major defects
D82.9	Immunodeficiency associated with major defect, unspecified
D83.0	Common variable immunodeficiency with predominant abnormalities of B-cell numbers and function
D83.1	Common variable immunodeficiency with predominant immunoregulatory T-cell disorders
D83.2	Common variable immunodeficiency with autoantibodies to B- or T-cells
D83.8	Other common variable immunodeficiencies
D83.9	Common variable immunodeficiency, unspecified
D84.0	Lymphocyte function antigen-1 [LFA-1] defect
D84.1	Defects in the complement system
D84.8	Other specified immunodeficiencies
D84.9	Immunodeficiency, unspecified
D89.8	Other specified disorders involving the immune mechanism, not elsewhere classified
D89.9	Disorder involving the immune mechanism, unspecified
E40	Kwashiorkor
E41	Nutritional marasmus
E42	Marasmic kwashiorkor
E43	Unspecified severe protein-energy malnutrition
I12.0	Hypertensive renal disease with renal failure
I13.1	Hypertensive heart and renal disease with renal failure
I13.2	Hypertensive heart and renal disease with both (congestive) heart failure and renal failure
K91.2	Postsurgical malabsorption, not elsewhere classified
N18.0	End-stage renal disease
N18.5	Chronic kidney disease, stage 5
N18.8	Other chronic renal failure
T86.0	Bone-marrow transplant rejection
T86.1	Kidney transplant failure and rejection
T86.2	Heart transplant failure and rejection
T86.3	Heart-lung transplant failure and rejection
T86.4	Liver transplant failure and rejection
T86.8	Failure and rejection of other transplanted organs and tissues
T86.9	Failure and rejection of unspecified transplanted organ and tissue
Y83.0	Surgical Operation with transplant of whole organ or tissue
Z49.0	Preparatory care for dialysis
Z49.1	Extracorporeal dialysis
Z49.2	Other dialysis
Z94.0	Kidney transplant status
Z94.1	Heart transplant status
Z94.2	Lung transplant status
Z94.3	Heart and lungs transplant status
Z94.4	Liver transplant status
Z94.8	Other transplanted organ and tissue status
Z94.9	Transplanted organ and tissue status, unspecified

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

## Operations

*Procedure codes for laparotomy and operation types.* Laparotomy codes are the total of codes in tables 6-19. Note that a last code digit of 0, 3 or 6 signifies an open or other non-endoscopic operation or procedure, except for peripheral vascular surgery.

*Table 6. NCSP-N codes for reclosure procedures*

Code	Title
JWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in gastroenterological surgery
KWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in urological surgery
LWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in gynaecological surgery
PWA00	Repair of wound dehiscence in surgery of peripheral vessels and lymphatic system

*Table 7. NCSP-N code for exploratory laparotomy and opening of abdominal cavity*

Code	Title
JAA00	Incision of abdominal wall
JAH00	Laparotomy
JAH20	Staging laparotomy
JAH30	Laparostomy
JAH33	Opening of laparostomy
JAH40	Thoracolumbarotomy

*Table 8. NCSP-N codes for diaphragmal hernia repair*

Code	Title
JBB00	Repair of paraoesophageal hernia
JBB10	Repair of congenital diaphragmatic hernia
JBB96	Repair of other diaphragmatic hernia
JBC00	Gastro-oesophageal antireflux operation

*Table 9. NCSP-N codes for repair of thoracoabdominal aorta*

Code	Title
FCD00	Suture of thoracoabdominal aorta
FCD10	Reinforcement of thoracoabdominal aorta using suture
FCD30	Repair of thoracoabdominal aorta using patch
FCD40	Partial resection and suture of thoracoabdominal aorta
FCD50	Resection and reconstruction of thoracoabdominal aorta using tube graft
FCD60	Resection of thoracoabdominal aorta and reimplantation of branches
FCD70	Bypass of thoracoabdominal aorta using tube graft
FCD80	Removal of foreign body from thoracoabdominal aorta
FCD96	Other repair of thoracoabdominal aorta

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Table 10. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the gastrointestinal tract: oesophagus, stomach and intestines

Code	Title
JCB00	Oesophagostomy
JCC00	Transhiatal partial oesophagectomy without interposition
JCC10	Transthoracic partial oesophagectomy without interposition
JCC20	Transhiatal partial oesophagectomy with interposition of intestine
JCC30	Transthoracic partial oesophagectomy with interposition of intestine
JCC96	Other partial oesophagectomy
JCD00	Subcutaneous anastomosis of oesophagus without interposition
JCD03	Subcutaneous anastomosis of oesophagus with interposition of intestine
JCD10	Intrathoracic anastomosis of oesophagus without interposition
JCD13	Intrathoracic oesophageal anastomosis with interposition of intestine
JCD20	Transsection of oesophagus
JCD96	Other anastomosis of oesophagus without resection
JCE00	Suture of oesophagus
JCE10	Plastic repair of stenosis of cardia
JCE20	Cardiomyotomy
JCE30	Repair of oesophageal atresia or congenital tracheo-oesophageal fistula
JCE33	Closure of acquired tracheo-oesophageal or broncho-oesophageal fistula
JCE40	Reconstruction of oesophagus using flap
JCE50	Reconstruction of oesophagus using free microvascular graft of intestine
JCE96	Other reconstruction of oesophagus
JCF00	Insertion of oesophageal stent
JCW96	Other operation on oesophagus
JDA00	Gastrotomy
JDA60	Closure of perforated ulcer of stomach
JDA63	Local excision of lesion of stomach
JDB00	Gastrostomy
JDB10	Percutaneous gastrostomy
JDC00	Partial gastrectomy and gastroduodenostomy
JDC10	Partial gastrectomy and gastrojejunostomy
JDC20	Partial gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y reconstruction
JDC30	Partial gastrectomy with interposition of jejunum
JDC40	Partial gastrectomy and oesophagogastrostomy
JDC96	Partial gastrectomy with other reconstruction
JDD00	Total gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y oesophagojejunostomy
JDD96	Total gastrectomy with other reconstruction
JDE00	Gastrojejunostomy
JDE10	Conversion of gastrojejunostomy to Roux-en-Y anastomosis
JDE20	Conversion of gastrojejunostomy to gastroduodenostomy with interposition of jejunum
JDE96	Other anastomosis of stomach without concurrent gastrectomy
JDF00	Gastroplasty

Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
JDF10	Gastric bypass
JDF20	Gastric banding
JDF96	Other bariatric operation on stomach
JDG00	Truncal vagotomy
JDG10	Proximal gastric vagotomy
JDG96	Other vagotomy
JDH00	Duodenotomy
JDH40	Duodenostomy on duodenal bulb
JDH50	Local excision of lesion of duodenal bulb
JDH60	Pyloromyotomy
JDH63	Pyloroplasty
JDH70	Closure of perforated ulcer of duodenum
JDW96	Other operation on stomach or duodenum
JEA00	Appendectomy
JEA10	Appendectomy with drainage
JEW96	Other operation on appendix
JFA00	Enterotomy
JFA10	Colotomy
JFA16	Biopsy of wall of colon without colotomy
JFA60	Strictureplasty in small intestine
JFA63	Strictureplasty in colon
JFA70	Suture of small intestine
JFA73	Excision of lesion of small intestine
JFA76	Closure of fistula of small intestine
JFA80	Suture of colon
JFA83	Excision of lesion of colon
JFA86	Closure of fistula of colon
JFA96	Other local operation on intestine
JFB00	Partial resection of small intestine
JFB10	Reversal of segment of small intestine
JFB13	Plastic repair of small intestine with lengthening
JFB20	Ileocaecal resection
JFB30	Right hemicolectomy
JFB33	Other resection comprising small intestine and colon
JFB40	Resection of transverse colon
JFB43	Left hemicolectomy
JFB46	Resection of sigmoid colon
JFB50	Other resection of colon
JFB53	Resection of sigmoid colon sigmoideum with partial resection of rectum
JFB60	Resection of sigmoid colon with end colostomy
JFB63	Other resection of colon with proximal colostomy and closure of distal stump
JFB96	Other partial excision of intestine
JFC00	Entero-enterostomy
JFC10	Ileotransversostomy

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
JFC20	Other enterocolostomy
JFC30	Colo-colostomy
JFC40	Ileorectostomy
JFC50	Colorectostomy
JFD00	Jejunioleal bypass
JFD03	Duodenoileal bypass with biliopancreatic diversion
JFD10	Revision of jejunioleal bypass
JFD13	Revision of duodenoileal bypass
JFD20	Restoration of continuity after jejunioleal bypass
JFD23	Restoration of continuity after duodenoileal bypass
JFD96	Other intestinal bypass operation
JFE00	Transplantation of small intestine
JFE96	Other operation relating to transplantation of small intestine
JFF00	Catheter enterostomy
JFF10	Loop enterostomy
JFF13	Terminal enterostomy
JFF16	Conversion of ileoanal anastomosis to ileostomy
JFF20	Caecostomy
JFF23	Transversostomy
JFF26	Sigmoidostomy
JFF30	Other colostomy
JFF40	Appendicostomy
JFF50	Exteriorisation of loop of colon without opening
JFF60	Opening of exteriorised loop of colon
JFF96	Other exteriorisation of intestine or creation of intestinal stoma
JFG00	Closure of loop enterostomy without resection
JFG10	Closure of loop colostomy without resection
JFG20	Closure of enterostomy with resection of exteriorised loop
JFG23	Closure of terminal enterostomy with anastomosis to small intestine
JFG26	Closure of terminal enterostomy with anastomosis to colon
JFG30	Closure of colostomy with resection of exteriorised loop
JFG33	Closure of terminal colostomy with anastomosis to colon
JFG36	Closure of terminal colostomy with anastomosis to rectum
JFG40	Revision of enterostomy or colostomy without laparotomy
JFG50	Laparotomy with revision of enterostomy or colostomy
JFG53	Revision of ileal pelvic pouch
JFG56	Revision of colonic pelvic pouch
JFG60	Conversion of conventional ileostomy to continent ileostomy
JFG70	Conversion of continent ileostomy to conventional ileostomy
JFG73	Excision of ileal pelvic pouch
JFG76	Excision of colonic pelvic pouch with colorectal or coloanal anastomosis
JFG80	Excision of ileal pouch with construction of new continent ileostomy
JFG83	Excision of colonic pelvic pouch and construction of new pouch
JFG86	Excision of ileal pelvic pouch and construction of new pouch

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
JFG96	Other operation on intestinal stoma or pouch
JFH00	Total colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis
JFH10	Total colectomy and ileostomy
JFH20	Proctocolectomy and ileostomy
JFH30	Total colectomy, mucosal proctectomy and ileoanal anastomosis without ileostomy
JFH33	Total colectomy, mucosal proctectomy, ileoanal anastomosis and ileostomy
JFH40	Proctocolectomy and continent ileostomy
JFH96	Other total colectomy
JFJ00	Coecopexy
JFJ96	Other enteropexy or colopexy
JFK00	Division of adhesive band in intestinal obstruction
JFK10	Freeing of adhesions in intestinal obstruction
JFK20	Freeing of adhesions and plication of small intestine
JFK96	Other operation on adhesions in intestinal obstruction
JFL00	Open reduction of intussusception of intestine
JFL10	Laparotomy and manipulation of obstructed intestine
JFL20	Laparotomy and manipulation of impacted material
JFL96	Other operation for intestinal obstruction without resection or freeing of adhesions
JFW96	Other operation on intestine
JGA00	Proctotomy
JGA60	Suture of rectum
JGA70	Proctotomy and excision of lesion of rectum
JGA96	Other proctotomy or local operation on rectum
JGB00	Partial proctectomy and colorectal or coloanal anastomosis
JGB03	Partial proctectomy with partial excision of mesorectum
JGB06	Total mesorectal excision
JGB10	Partial proctectomy and end colostomy
JGB20	Partial rectosigmoidectomy and abdominoperineal pull-through anastomosis
JGB30	Abdominoperineal excision of rectum
JGB33	Abdominoperineal excision of rectum and intersphincter resection
JGB36	Wide excision of rectum
JGB40	Excision of rectum and end ileostomy
JGB50	Mucosal proctectomy and ileoanal anastomosis
JGB60	Excision of rectum and ileoanal anastomosis
JGB96	Other proctectomy or excision of rectum
JGC00	Rectopexy
JGC30	Excision and suture of rectal mucosa with imbrication of muscular layer
JGC96	Other reconstructive operation on rectum
JGW96	Other operation on rectum

Table 11. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the liver

Code	Title
JJA00	Exploration of liver
JJA10	Hepatotomy
JJA20	Open biopsy of liver
JJA23	Open needle biopsy of liver
JJA30	Fenestration of cyst of liver
JJA40	Excision of lesion of liver
JJA43	Destruction of lesion of liver
JJA50	Suture of liver
JJA96	Other local operation on liver
JJB00	Wedge resection of liver
JJB10	Atypical resection of liver
JJB20	Excision of single segment of liver
JJB30	Excision of two segments of liver
JJB40	Excision of segments II, III and IV of liver
JJB50	Excision of segments V, VI, VII and VIII of liver
JJB53	Excision of segments IV,V, VI, VII and VIII of liver
JJB60	Other excision of three or more segments of liver
JJB96	Other resection of liver
JJC00	Allogenic transplantation of liver
JJC10	Allogenic partial transplantation of liver
JJC20	Allogenic partial transplantation of liver from living donor
JJC30	Xenogenic transplantation of liver
JJC40	Xenogenic partial transplantation of liver
JJC50	Resection of transplanted liver
JJC60	Total excision of transplanted liver
JJC96	Other transplantation of liver or related operation
JJW96	Other operation on liver

Table 12. NCSP-N codes for procedures on biliary tract

Code	Title
JKA00	Cholecystotomy
JKA10	Cholecystostomy
JKA20	Cholecystectomy
JKA96	Other operation on gallbladder
JKB00	Incision of bile duct
JKB20	Intraoperative cholangioscopy
JKB30	Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage
JKB40	Suture of bile duct
JKB96	Other incision or related operation on bile duct
JKC00	Incision of bile duct and local excision of lesion
JKC10	Partial excision and anastomosis of bile duct

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Code	Title
JKC20	Partial excision of bile duct and anastomosis to duodenum
JKC30	Partial excision of bile duct and anastomosis to jejunum
JKC40	Partial excision of right or left hepatic duct and anastomosis to jejunum
JKC50	Excision of papilla of Vater and anastomosis of bile duct to duodenum or jejunum
JKC96	Other excision of bile duct
JKD00	Anastomosis of gallbladder to jejunum
JKD10	Anastomosis of bile duct to duodenum
JKD20	Anastomosis of bile duct to jejunum
JKD30	Extrahepatic anastomosis of right or left hepatic duct to jejunum
JKD40	Anastomosis of intrahepatic bile duct to jejunum
JKD50	Hepatopertoenterostomy
JKD96	Other biliodigestive anastomosis without excision
JKE00	Transduodenal papillotomy
JKE06	Transduodenal sphincteroplasty
JKE96	Other transduodenal open operation on bile duct or ampulla of Vater
JKF00	Excision of cystic duct
JKF96	Other secondary operation on biliary tract
JKW96	Other operation on biliary tract

Table 13. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the pancreas

Code	Title
JLA00	Exploration of pancreas
JLA10	Biopsy of pancreas
JLB00	Incision of pancreas
JLB10	Pancreaticolithotomy
JLB96	Other incision, drainage or dilatation of pancreas
JLC00	Excision of lesion of pancreas
JLC10	Distal pancreatectomy
JLC20	Total pancreatectomy
JLC30	Pancreatoduodenectomy
JLC40	Total pancreatoduodenectomy
JLC50	Atypical pancreatectomy
JLC96	Other pancreatectomy
JLD00	Pancreaticojejunostomy
JLD10	Anastomosis of pancreatic pseudocyst to stomach
JLD20	Anastomosis of pancreatic pseudocyst to jejunum
JLE00	Allogenic total transplantation of pancreas with pancreaticocystostomy
JLE03	Allogenic total transplantation of pancreas with pancreaticoenterostomy
JLE10	Allogenic segmental transplantation of pancreas
JLE16	Allogenic segmental transplantation of pancreas from living donor
JLE20	Allogenic islet cell transplantation
JLE30	Xenogenic islet cell transplantation

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*



Code	Title
JLE40	Total excision of transplanted pancreas
JLE50	Occlusion of duct of transplanted pancreas
JLE56	Conversion of pancreaticocystostomy to pancreaticoenterostomy
JLE96	Other transplantation of pancreas or related operation
JLW96	Other operation on pancreas

Table 14. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the spleen

Code	Title
JMA00	Partial splenectomy
JMA10	Transabdominal total splenectomy
JMA20	Transthoracic total splenectomy
JMB00	Biopsy of spleen
JMB10	Repair of spleen
JMW96	Other operation on spleen

Table 15. NCSP-N codes for other digestive system procedures

Code	Title
JAA10	Excision of lesion of abdominal wall
JAA13	Wide excision of extensive necrotising conditions of abdominal wall
JAA96	Other local operation on abdominal wall
JAK00	Laparotomy and drainage of peritoneal cavity
JAK03	Laparotomy and peritoneal irrigation
JAK10	Laparotomy and insertion of peritoneal dialysis catheter
JAL00	Biopsy of peritoneum
JAL10	Laparotomy and removal of foreign body
JAL20	Excision or destruction of lesion of peritoneum
JAL23	Excision of local lesion of pelvic wall
JAL30	Omentectomy
JAL50	Intraabdominal revision of shunt of ventricle of brain
JAL96	Other excision of lesion of peritoneum
JAM00	Transposition of omentum
JAM10	Operation for malrotation of intestine
JAN00	Creation of peritoneovenous shunt
JAN10	Revision of peritoneovenous shunt
JAN20	Removal of peritoneovenous shunt
JAP00	Freeing of adhesions in the peritoneal cavity
JAQ00	Extensive excision of peritoneum
JAQ10	Intraoperative hyperthermic chemotherapeutic perfusion of abdominal cavity
JAW96	Other operation on abdominal wall, peritoneum, mesentery or omentum
JBA00	Transabdominal repair of diaphragm for rupture

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Code	Title
JBA10	Transabdominal biopsy or excision of lesion of diaphragm
JBA20	Transabdominal partial excision of diaphragm
JBW96	Other transabdominal operation on diaphragm or operation for gastro-oesophageal reflux

Table 16. NCSP-N codes for procedures on kidney and pelvis of kidney

Code	Title
KAA00	Exploration of kidney
KAA20	Exploratory nephrotomy
KAA30	Exploratory pyelotomy
KAA96	Other exploration of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAB00	Biopsy of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAC00	Nephrectomy
KAC20	Nephroureterectomy
KAD00	Partial nephrectomy
KAD10	Heminephrectomy
KAD40	Partial excision of pelvis of kidney
KAD50	Destruction of tumour of pelvis of kidney
KAD56	Destruction of lesion of renal parenchyma
KAD60	Percutaneous destruction of lesion of renal parenchyma
KAD96	Other partial excision of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAE00	Nephrolithotomy
KAE10	Pyelolithotomy
KAE96	Other removal of calculus from kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAF00	Removal of foreign body from kidney
KAF10	Removal of foreign body from pelvis of kidney
KAH00	Suture of kidney
KAH10	Suture of pelvis of kidney
KAH30	Pyeloureteroplasty without division of ureteropelvic junction
KAH40	Pyeloureteroplasty with division of ureteropelvic junction
KAH50	Ureterocalyceal anastomosis
KAH70	Freeing of adhesions of ureteropelvic junction
KAH80	Nephropexy
KAH96	Other reconstruction of kidney or pelvis of kidney
KAS00	Autotransplantation of kidney
KAS10	Allogenic transplantation of kidney from cadaver donor
KAS13	Allogenic transplantation of kidney from cadaver donor
KAS20	Allogenic transplantation of kidney from living donor with minimally invasive technique
KAS23	Allogenic transplantation of kidney from living donor with minimally invasive technique
KAS40	Excision of transplanted kidney
KAS50	Nephrocystostomy in transplanted kidney
KAS60	Operation for lymphocele of transplanted kidney
KAS96	Other transplantation of kidney or related procedure
KAW96	Other operation on kidney or pelvis of kidney

Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data

Table 17. NCSP-N codes for procedures on other urinary and male genital organs: ureter, bladder, urethra, prostate and seminal vesicles

Code	Title
KBA00	Exploration of ureter
KBA10	Exploratory ureterotomy
KBA96	Other exploration of ureter
KBB00	Biopsy of ureter
KBC00	Ureterectomy
KBD00	Partial excision of ureter
KBD20	Destruction of tumour of ureter
KBD30	Excision of stump of ureter
KBD96	Other partial excision of ureter or destruction of tumour of ureter
KBE00	Ureterolithotomy
KBE96	Other operation for calculus of ureter
KBF00	Removal of foreign body from ureter
KBH00	Suture of ureter
KBH06	Ureteroureterostomy
KBH10	Connection of ureter to contralateral ureter
KBH20	Replantation of ureter
KBH30	Ileal replacement of ureter
KBH40	Plastic repair of ureter
KBH50	Ureterolysis
KBH96	Other repair or connection of ureter
KBJ00	Cutaneous ureterostomy
KBJ10	Cutaneous ureteroenterostomy
KBJ20	Cutaneous ureteroenterostomy with reservoir
KBJ40	Ureteroenterostomy
KBJ60	Anastomosis of ureter to urethra with interposition of ileum
KBJ70	Removal of calculus from ileal conduit or reservoir
KBJ80	Operation for malfunction of urinary diversion
KBJ96	Other urinary diversion from ureter or related operation
KBV00	Insertion of stent into ureter
KBV10	Removal of stent from ureter
KBV40	Incision or excision of ureterocele
KBW96	Other operation on ureter
KCA00	Exploratory cystotomy
KCB00	Biopsy of bladder
KCC00	Cystectomy
KCC10	Cystoprostatectomy
KCC20	Cystoprostatourethrectomy
KCC30	Cystectomy with excision of female internal genital organs
KCC96	Other cystectomy
KCD10	Partial cystectomy
KCD20	Excision of diverticulum of bladder
KCD30	Destruction of tumour of bladder

Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
KCD40	Excision of urachus or other vesicocutaneous fistula
KCD96	Other partial excision or destruction of tumour of bladder
KCE00	Cystolithotomy
KCF00	Cystotomy and removal of foreign body from bladder
KCH00	Suture of bladder
KCH10	Enterocystoplasty
KCH20	Reduction cystoplasty
KCH30	Closure of vesicointestinal fistula
KCH40	Incision or resection of bladder neck
KCH96	Other reconstructive operation on bladder
KCJ00	Cystostomy
KCJ10	Cutaneous cystoenterostomy
KCJ20	Continent cutaneous cystoenterostomy
KCJ96	Other cystostomy
KCV10	Denervation of bladder
KCV20	Freeing of bladder
KCW96	Other operations on bladder
KDC00	Urethrectomy
KDD00	Partial excision of urethra
KDD10	Excision of diverticulum of urethra
KDD30	Destruction of tumour of urethra
KDD40	Resection of external sphincter of urethra
KDD50	Excision of urethral valve
KDD80	Partial excision of urethra and repair using graft or flap
KDD96	Other partial excision of urethra
KDG00	Retropubic suspension of urethra
KDG20	Abdominal colposuspension
KDG30	Suprapubic sling urethrocystopexy
KDG40	Suprapubic urethrocystopexy
KDG43	Transobturatorial sling urethrocystopexy
KDG50	Transabdominal plastic repair of pelvic floor for urinary incontinence
KDG60	Implantation of adjustable expander around bladder neck
KDG70	Exploration of urethra
KDG96	Other operation on urethra or bladder neck for incontinence
KDH00	Suture of urethra
KDH10	Meatoplasty of urethra
KDH30	Closure of urethrocutaneous fistula
KDH50	Closure of urethrointestinal fistula
KDH70	Plastic repair of stricture of urethra
KDH96	Other reconstructive operation on urethra
KDJ00	Urethrostomy
KDK00	Implantation of artificial urinary sphincter around bladder neck
KDK10	Implantation of artificial urinary sphincter around bulbar urethra
KDK30	Revision of artificial urethral sphincter

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>

Code	Title
KDK40	Removal of artificial urethral sphincter
KDW96	Other operation on urethra
KEA00	Exploration of prostate
KEA10	Prostatotomy
KEA20	Incision of seminal vesicle
KEB00	Biopsy of prostate
KED96	Other partial excision of prostate
KEE00	Prostatalithotomy
KEE10	Removal of foreign body from prostate
KEW96	Other operation on prostate or seminal vesicle

Table 18- NCSP-N codes for procedures on female genital organs: ovary, fallopian tube, uterus and uterine ligaments

Code	Title
LAA00	Puncture of ovarian cyst
LAB00	Ovariectomy
LAB10	Biopsy of ovary
LAB96	Other incision or biopsy of ovary
LAC00	Excision of ovarian cyst
LAC10	Fenestration of ovarian cyst
LAC20	Destruction of lesion of ovary
LAC30	Excision of paraovarian cyst
LAC96	Other excision or destruction of lesion of ovary
LAD00	Partial excision of ovary
LAE10	Unilateral oophorectomy
LAE20	Bilateral oophorectomy
LAF00	Unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy
LAF10	Bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy
LAF20	Unilateral transvaginal salpingo-oophorectomy
LAF30	Bilateral transvaginal salpingo-oophorectomy
LAG00	Freeing of adhesions of ovary
LAG10	Oophoropexy
LAG20	Detorsion of ovary
LAG96	Other reconstructive operation on ovary
LAW96	Other operation on ovary
LBB00	Biopsy of Fallopian tube
LBB96	Other biopsy of Fallopian tube
LBC10	Removal of products of conception from Fallopian tube
LBC20	Salpingotomy and removal of products of conception
LBC96	Other tube conserving operation for tubal pregnancy
LBD00	Partial excision of Fallopian tube
LBE00	Salpingectomy
LBF00	Perfusion of Fallopian tube

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Code	Title
LBF03	Perfusion of Fallopian tube after reconstruction
LBF20	Transcervical catheter salpingoplasty
LBF30	Salpingolysis
LBF40	Fimbrioplasty
LBF50	Salpingostomy
LBF60	Partial excision and anastomosis of Fallopian tube
LBF70	Partial excision and reimplantation of Fallopian tube
LBF96	Other operation on Fallopian tube for infertility
LBW96	Other operation on Fallopian tube
LCA00	Biopsy of uterus or uterine ligaments
LCB00	Hysterotomy
LCB10	Myomectomy
LCB96	Other excision of lesion of uterus
LCC00	Partial excision of uterus
LCC10	Supravaginal hysterectomy
LCC20	Vaginal supravaginal hysterectomy
LCC96	Other partial excision of uterus
LCD00	Hysterectomy
LCD10	Vaginal hysterectomy
LCD30	Radical hysterectomy
LCD40	Radical vaginal hysterectomy
LCD96	Other hysterectomy
LCE00	Anterior exenteration of female pelvis
LCE10	Posterior exenteration of female pelvis
LCE20	Total exenteration of female pelvis
LCE96	Other exenteration of female pelvis
LCF00	Excision of lesion of parametrium
LCF10	Excision of female varicocele
LCF96	Other excision of lesion of parametrium
LCG10	Suture of uterus
LCG20	Hysteropexy
LCG30	Resection or transcision of sacrouterine ligaments
LCG40	Reconstruction of uterus
LCG96	Other reconstructive operation on uterus
LCW96	Other operation on uterus and uterine ligaments

Table 19. NCSP-N codes for procedures on the peripheral vessels of the abdomen

Code	Title
PCB20	Ligature of coeliac trunk and branches
PCB30	Ligature of superior mesenteric artery
PCB40	Ligature of renal artery
PCB99	Ligature of other visceral artery
PCC10	Suture of suprarenal or juxtarenal abdominal aorta
PCC20	Suture of coeliac trunk and branches
PCC30	Suture of superior mesenteric artery
PCC40	Suture of renal artery
PCC99	Suture of other visceral artery
PCE30	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of superior mesenteric artery
PCE40	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of renal artery
PCE99	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of other visceral artery
PCF20	Thrombendarterectomy of coeliac trunk and branches
PCF30	Thrombendarterectomy of superior mesenteric artery
PCF40	Thrombendarterectomy of renal artery
PCF99	Thrombendarterectomy of other visceral artery
PCG10	Operation for aneurysm of supraceliac or juxtarenal abdominal aorta
PCG20	Operation for aneurysm of coeliac trunk and branches
PCG30	Operation for aneurysm of superior mesenteric artery
PCG40	Operation for aneurysm of renal artery
PCG99	Operation for aneurysm of other visceral artery
PCH10	Bypass from supraceliac or juxtarenal abdominal aorta
PCH20	Bypass to/from coeliac trunk and branches
PCH30	Bypass from superior mesenteric artery
PCH40	Bypass from renal artery
PCH99	Bypass from other visceral artery
PCJ30	Transposition of superior mesenteric artery
PCJ40	Transposition of renal artery
PCJ99	Transposition of other visceral artery
PCK20	Reimplantation of coeliac trunk and branches
PCK30	Reimplantation of superior mesenteric artery
PCK40	Reimplantation of renal artery
PCK50	Reimplantation of inferior mesenteric artery
PCK99	Reimplantation of other visceral artery
PCN20	Plastic repair of coeliac trunk and branches
PCN30	Plastic repair of superior mesenteric artery
PCN40	Plastic repair of renal artery
PCN99	Plastic repair of other visceral artery
PCU70	Exploration of previous reconstruction of suprarenal abdominal aorta or visceral arteries
PCU74	Thrombectomy or embolectomy in bypass from suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PCU81	Closure of persisting arteriovenous fistula of bypass from suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PCU82	Plastic repair in bypass from suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PCU99	Other repair after previous reconstruction of suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

Code	Title
PCW99	Other operation on suprarenal abdominal aorta and visceral arteries
PDA10	Exploration of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDA30	Exploration of iliac artery
PDC10	Suture of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDC30	Suture of iliac artery
PDE10	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDE30	Thrombectomy or embolectomy of iliac artery
PDF10	Thrombendarterectomy of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDF30	Thrombendarterectomy of iliac artery
PDG10	Operation on infrarenal abdominal aorta for aneurysm
PDG20	Bypass from aorta to iliac artery for aneurysm
PDG21	Bypass from aorta to bilateral iliac arteries for aneurysm
PDG22	Bypass from aorta to iliac and contralateral femoral artery for aneurysm
PDG23	Bypass from aorta to femoral artery for aneurysm
PDG24	Bypass from aorta to bilateral femoral arteries for aneurysm
PDG30	Operation on iliac artery for aneurysm
PDG35	Bypass from iliac to femoral artery for aneurysm
PDG99	Other operation for aneurysm of infrarenal abdominal aorta and iliac arteries
PDH10	Bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDH20	Bypass from aorta to iliac artery
PDH21	Bypass from aorta to bilateral iliac arteries
PDH22	Bypass from aorta to iliac and contralateral femoral artery
PDH23	Bypass from aorta to femoral artery
PDH24	Bypass from aorta to bilateral femoral arteries
PDH30	Bypass from iliac artery
PDH35	Bypass from iliac to femoral artery
PDH99	Other bypass from abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDN10	Plastic repair of infrarenal abdominal aorta
PDN30	Plastic repair of iliac artery
PDU70	Exploration of previous reconstruction of infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac arteries and distal connections
PDU74	Thrombectomy or embolectomy in bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDU81	Closure of persisting arteriovenous fistula of bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDU82	Plastic repair of bypass from infrarenal abdominal aorta or iliac artery
PDU99	Other repair after previous reconstruction of infrarenal abdominal aorta and iliac arteries and distal
PDW99	Other operation on infrarenal abdominal aorta and iliac arteries and distal connections
PHB23	Ligature of iliac vein
PHB30	Ligature of inferior vena cava
PHB31	Ligature of renal vein
PHB32	Ligature of portal vein
PHB33	Ligature of v. mesenterica superior
PHB34	Ligature of v. mesenterica inferior
PHB36	Ligature of v. spermatica
PHC23	Suture of iliac vein
PHC30	Suture of inferior vena cava

*Postoperative wound dehiscence after laparotomy: a useful health care quality indicator? A cohort study based on Norwegian hospital administrative data*

For peer review only - <http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml>



Code	Title
PHC31	Suture of renal vein
PHC32	Suture of portal vein
PHC33	Suture of v. mesenterica superior
PHC34	Suture of v. mesenterica inferior
PHD30	Resection of inferior vena cava
PHD32	Resection of portal vein
PHD33	Resection of v. mesenterica superior
PHD34	Resection of v. mesenterica inferior
PHD36	Resection of v. spermatica
PHE23	Thrombectomy of iliac vein
PHE30	Thrombectomy of inferior vena cava
PHE31	Thrombectomy of renal vein
PHH25	Bypass from iliac vein
PHH30	Bypass from inferior vena cava
PHN30	Plastic repair of inferior vena cava
PHN32	Plastic repair of portal vein
PHN33	Plastic repair of v. mesenterica superior
PHN34	Plastic repair of v. mesenterica inferior
PHW35	Portosystemic shunt or bypass

**The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported in observational studies using routinely collected health data.**

	Item No.	STROBE items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	RECORD items	Location in manuscript where items are reported
<b>Title and abstract</b>					
	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Title	RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included.  RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract.  RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.	Title and abstract  Abstract 1 21  Title and abstract 1 20-21  No linkages between databases
<b>Introduction</b>					
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Introduction, 1 49-73		
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Introduction, 1 74-79		
<b>Methods</b>					
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Abstract, 1 20-30.		
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Materials and methods		
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the	Materials and methods, 1. 81-82	RECORD 6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or	Materials and methods, 1 96-109.

		<p>sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls</p> <p><i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants</p> <p><i>(b) Cohort study</i> - For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case</p>	<p>and 196-109</p>	<p>algorithms used to identify subjects) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided.</p> <p>RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided.</p> <p>RECORD 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of individuals with linked data at each stage.</p>	<p>All codes are listed in the Supplementary File</p> <p>References to validation studies are given in Introduction, 166-69, and in Discussion, 196</p> <p>Not considered relevant</p>
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable.	Materials and methods, 196-109, and Supplementary File. Model variables are specified in Statistical methods, 115-120	RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided.	Codes are listed in the Supplementary File
Data sources/ measurement	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Covered by the above		
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Hospital PWD rates are risk adjusted, see		

1			statistical methods 1 115-120		
2	Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Determined by study period	
3	Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	Model variables are specified in Statistical methods, 1. 115-120	
4	Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) <i>Cohort study</i> - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	a) See Statistical methods c) No missing data were found in final data set d) Loss to follow up assumed to be very low and uniform across hospitals e) Materials and methods, 1 131-134, Results, 1 173-174	
5	Data access and cleaning methods		..		RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population.  RECORD 12.2: Authors should provide information on the data cleaning
6					The authors had no access to the NPR's databases  Materials and methods, 1 89-91

				methods used in the study.	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Linkage	..		RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level, institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and methods of linkage quality evaluation should be provided.	NPR provided linkage to National Registry using the unique PIN
8	<b>Results</b>				
9	Participants	13	(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study ( <i>e.g.</i> , numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Results, 1 146-151	RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the selection of the persons included in the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants ( <i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) <i>Cohort study</i> - summarise follow-up time ( <i>e.g.</i> , average and total amount)	a) Results, Table 1 b) See above c) Not relevant	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Outcome data	15	<i>Cohort study</i> - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time <i>Case-control study</i> - Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure <i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Report	Results, Table 1	

		numbers of outcome events or summary measures			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Results, l 156-157 and Figure 1, Table 2	
19 20 21 22	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Results, l 168-174, Figure 1	
<b>Discussion</b>					
24 25 26	Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Discussion, l 176-184	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias		RECORD 19.1: Discuss the implications of using data that were not created or collected to answer the specific research question(s). Include discussion of misclassification bias, unmeasured confounding, missing data, and changing eligibility over time, as they pertain to the study being reported.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Conclusions, l 220-231	Discussion, l 198-218

1 2 3	Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion, 1 214-218		
4	<b>Other Information</b>					
5 6 7 8 9	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	No specific funding was received		
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Accessibility of protocol, raw data, and programming code		..		RECORD 22.1: Authors should provide information on how to access any supplemental information such as the study protocol, raw data, or programming code.	Contact the corresponding author

\*Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttman A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langan SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. *PLoS Medicine* 2015; in press.

\*Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution ([CC BY](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)) license.