

## Supplementary material 2

### Preliminary Screening questions and Data Extraction Form for Comparative long-term effectiveness and safety of primary bariatric surgeries in treating type 2 diabetes mellitus in adults: a protocol for systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Reference code \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Basic information</b>			
Code of Original study		Code of Report	
Code of Valuator		Date of Evaluation	
Contact info of Author			
Quotation format(author, study title, journal, Year of publication, volume)			
<b>Inclusion and exclusion criteria</b>			
Inclusion criteria	<p><b>Participants</b></p> <p>① Include overweight or obese adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span></p> <p><b>Interventions and control</b></p> <p>② Procedures and/or controls involved</p> <p><i>Roux-en-Y gastric bypass</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>sleeve gastrectomy</i> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>adjustable gastric banding</i> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch</i> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>greater curvature plication</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>one-anastomosis gastric bypass</i> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>single anastomosis duodenal-ileal bypass with sleeve gastrectomy</i> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>Other surgical procedure(s) except procedures no longer performed, (including biliopancreatic diversion without duodenal switch, jejunoileal bypass, horizontal or vertical gastropasty, and banding that is not adjustable) _____</i> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>non-surgical treatments</i> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><b>Comparisons</b></p> <p>③ Includes comparisons of at least two of the items above <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span></p> <p><b>Study designs</b></p> <p>④ Randomized controlled trial <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span></p> <p>⑤ Duration of follow-up <math>\geq 3</math> years <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span></p>		
Exclusion criteria	<p><b>Participants</b></p> <p>① Restrict participants to specific diseases other than type 2 diabetes mellitus <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span></p> <p>② Do not include adults <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span></p> <p>③ Do not include participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus</p> <p><b>Interventions and comparison</b></p>		

	<p>④ Revisional procedures <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span>          Comparisons between/among surgical procedure(s) no longer performed (biliopancreatic diversion without duodenal switch, jejunoileal bypass, horizontal or vertical gastroplasty, not adjustable banding, other _____) or between such procedures and non-surgical treatment <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span>          Comparisons between different techniques of the same procedure <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span></p> <p><b>Study designs</b></p> <p>⑤ Non-RCT, comparative studies <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span>          ⑥ Duration of follow-up &lt; 3 year <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span>          ⑦ Animal studies. <span style="float: right;">yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></span></p>
Conclusion of inclusion or exclusion	<p><input type="checkbox"/>inclusion    <input type="checkbox"/>exclusion    <input type="checkbox"/>undetermined</p> <p>Support for judgement: _____</p> <p>_____</p>



Attrition (year 3)	Lost to follow-up at year 3: Number of participants lost to follow-up: Group 1 _____, reason _____ Group 2 _____, reason _____ Group 3 _____, reason _____ Group 4 _____, reason _____		
	Drop-out at year 3: Number of drop-out participants: Group 1 _____, reason _____ Group 2 _____, reason _____ Group 3 _____, reason _____ Group 4 _____, reason _____		
Attrition (year 5)	Lost to follow-up at year 5: Number of participants lost to follow-up: Group 1 _____, reason _____ Group 2 _____, reason _____ Group 3 _____, reason _____ Group 4 _____, reason _____		
	Drop-out at year 5: Number of drop-out participants: Group 1 _____, reason _____ Group 2 _____, reason _____ Group 3 _____, reason _____ Group 4 _____, reason _____		
<b>Intervention</b>			
Group	Number of Participants	Intervention	Description of intervention(intensity, frequency and duration etc.)
Group 1			
Group 2			
Group 3			
Group 4			
Integrity of interventions			
<b>Outcome Data</b>			
Planned outcomes	Planned: _____ Difference between report and plan: _____		
Planned time of Observation	Plan: _____ Difference between report and plan: _____		
Outcome data	Definition Diagnosis or evaluation: criteria for diagnosis or evaluation; Laboratory examination; assay method, unit, reference range; Scale: name, score range, state if higher or lower value is favorable If the evaluation time doesn't concord with the follow-up time, the time point of evaluation should be specified If number of missing data doesn't concord with attrition, the reason should be specified		
Full diabetes remission	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____		

	Reason for extra missing data _____
Partial diabetes remission	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Major adverse event	Evaluation criteria: _____ Criteria of major adverse effect: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) early complication: post-op _____ day; late complication: post-op _____ day- _____ year Reason for extra missing data _____
Diabetes management goal including HbA1c, BP and LDL-C	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Percentage excess weight loss (% EWL)	Definition: _____ Unit: <input type="checkbox"/> % <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Body mass index (BMI) at follow-up	Definition: _____ Unit: <input type="checkbox"/> kg/m <sup>2</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Weight (Wt) at follow-up	Definition: _____ Unit: <input type="checkbox"/> kg <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Cardiovascular risk score	Scale name: _____ Score range: _____ which value is favorable <input type="checkbox"/> high score <input type="checkbox"/> low score Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C)	Method: _____ Reagent info _____ Unit: _____ Reference range: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Fasting blood glucose (FBG)	Method: _____ Reagent info _____ Unit: _____ Reference range: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
total cholesterol (TC)	Method: _____ Reagent info _____ Unit: _____ Reference range: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL)	Method: _____ Reagent info _____ Unit: _____ Reference range: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL)	Method: _____ Reagent info _____ Unit: _____ Reference range: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
triglyceride (TG)	Method: _____ Reagent info _____ Unit: _____ Reference range: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____

Systolic blood pressure	Method: _____ Model _____ Unit: _____ Reference: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Diastolic blood pressure	Method: _____ Model _____ Unit: _____ Reference: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Requirement of less anti-diabetic drugs at follow-up	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
discontinuation of insulin	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Progression of diabetic retinopathy	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Progression of diabetic nephropathy	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Progression of diabetic neuropathy	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Number of pts experiencing myocardial infarction	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Number of pts experiencing stroke	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Number of pts experiencing amputation of at least one digit	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Number of pts experiencing ischemic limb disease	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
Number of pts experiencing heart failure	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
urine albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR)	Method: _____ Reagent info _____ Unit: _____ Reference range: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
All-cause mortality	Evaluation criteria: _____ Evaluation time (if not consistent) _____ Reason for extra missing data _____
<b>Other information</b>	
Key conclusion of authors	
Correspondence required	Study author contacted: yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> Study author replied: yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> Information asked: _____ Information provided: _____











nephropathy											
Progression of diabetic neuropathy											
Number of patients experiencing myocardial infarction											
Number of patients experiencing stroke											
Number of patients experiencing amputation of at least one digit											
Number of patients experiencing ischemic limb disease											
Number of patients experiencing heart failure											
All-cause mortality											
<b>Outcome data-dichotomous data (year 3)-2 (when applicable, i.e. more than 2 comparative arms involved)</b>											
	Group 3 (Intervention_____)			Group 4 (Intervention_____)							
	Total number of participants	Reported participants for each	Case number	Total number of participants	Reported participants for each	Total number of participants	p-value	Estimate of effect	95% CI Lower limit	95% CI Upper limit	Comments







Group 3 (if applicable) (Intervention _____) (Lines may be added when necessary)		
Coding of Adverse event	Quotation from report regarding timing, severity, presentation, diagnosis, and management of adverse event	Anologue score of adverse event

Group 4 (if applicable) (Intervention _____) (Lines may be added when necessary)		
Coding of Adverse event	Quotation from report regarding timing, severity, presentation, diagnosis, and management of adverse event	Anologue score of adverse event

**Outcome data (year 5, if applicable)-Continuous data-1**











nephropathy											
Progression of diabetic neuropathy											
Number of patients experiencing myocardial infarction											
Number of patients experiencing stroke											
Number of patients experiencing amputation of at least one digit											
Number of patients experiencing ischemic limb disease											
Number of patients experiencing heart failure											
All-cause mortality											
<b>Outcome data-dichotomous data (year 5, if applicable )-2 (when more than 2 comparative arms involved)</b>											
	Group 3 (Intervention_____)			Group 4 (Intervention_____)							
	Total number of participants	Reported participants for each	Case number	Total number of participants	Reported participants for each	Total number of participants	p-value	Estimate of effect	95% CI Lower limit	95% CI Upper limit	Comments







