# The transcription factor CBFB suppresses breast cancer through orchestrating translation and transcription

Malik, et al.



Supplementary Fig. 1. CBFB and RUNX1 have tumor suppressive roles in breast cancer. (a) Immunoblotting (IB) showing deletion of CBFB by CRISPR in MCF10A cells. (b) Realtime PCR showing mRNA levels of *CBFB* WT and mutants. Error bars in SEM, n=3 (biological); n.s., p value >0.05 (WT Vs CBFB Mutants). (c) and (d), Anchorage independent assays showing the transformation of CBFB\_KO MCF10A cells. WT and three KO clones, KO\_12, KO\_751, and KO\_761, were shown. (c) Representative images; (d) Percentage of transformed cells judged by number of colonies. See Supplemental Methods for the calculations of percentage of transformed cells and colony size. n=3(biological); two asterisks, p value <0.01 (WT Vs CBFB KOs) (e) IB showing overexpression (OE) of CBFB in CBFB KO clones. (f) Anchorage independent growth assay of CBFB WT and KO clones transduced with lentivirus expressing an empty vector (EV) or CBFB. Error bars in SEM, n=3 (Biological); two asterisks, p value<0.01(CBFB KO\_EV Vs CBFB KO\_CBFB OE). (g) IB showing the effect of CBFB OE on the expression of RUNX1 in CBFB KO MCF10A cells. (h) Anchorage independent growth assay of WT and RUNX1 KO clones. Percentages of transformed cells were shown. Error bars in SEM, n=3 (biological); p value <0.01 (WT versus RUNX1 KOs). p values are calculated with two-tailed t-test.



b

#### Non-neoplastic human breast tissue



Supplementary Fig. 2. Subcellular localization of CBFB and RUNX1 in breast tumors and non-neoplastic human breast tissue. (a) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of CBFB and RUNX1 in tumors derived from KRAS transformed, RUNX1 KO, and CBFB KO MCF10A cells. (b) IHC of CBFB in non-neoplastic human breast tissue. The solid arrow indicates epithelial ductal cells with predominantly cytoplasmic CBFB staining; the hollow arrow a lymphocyte with nuclear CBFB staining.



CBFB\_Flag (C ter) OE in

Flag\_CBFB (N ter) OE in CBFB KO cells







Supplementary Fig. 3. CBFB does not regulate the degradation of RUNX1 protein, steadystate levels, nucleus/cytoplasm distribution, degradation, and splicing of RUNX1 mRNA and is mainly localized in the cytoplasm of breast cells. (a) IB showing the treatment of MG132 (proteasome inhibitor) in CBFB WT and KO clones. p53 IB was performed as a positive control for MG132 treatment. (b) IB of hydroxychloroguine (HCQ) treatment in CBFB WT and KO clones. LC3, a positive control for lysosomal degradation inhibition. IF (c) and IB (d) showing subcellular distribution of CBFB fused to an amino (N-ter) or carboxyl terminal (C-ter) Flag tag that was stably expressed in CBFB KO MCF10A cells. (e) Realtime PCR showing the steady-state levels of RUNX1 mRNA in CBFB WT and KO clones. Error bars are SEM; n=3 (Biological); n.s, p value >0.05 (WT versus KO clones). (f) Realtime PCR showing the subcellular distribution of RUNX1 mRNA in the cytoplasm and nucleus of CBFB WT and KO clones. Error bars are SEM; n=3 (Biological); p value >0.05 (WT versus KO clones). GAPDH, a marker for cytoplasm; histone H3, a marker for nuclei. (g) RNAseg showing the splicing of the RUNX1 gene in CBFB WT and KO clones. Coordinates of the RUNX1 gene was shown on top. Arrow on the bottom indicates the transcription direction. (h) Realtime PCR showing the RUNX1 mRNA levels in WT and CBFB KO MCF10A cells upon treatment with actinomycin D (1 µg/ml) for different time periods. Error bars are SEM; n=3 (Biological); n.s., p value >0.05 (WT versus KO clones). All p values are calculated with two-tailed t-test.



f



**Supplementary Fig. 4. CBFB interacts with RNA binding protein hnRNPK.** (a) Silver staining of Flag IP in CBFB KO MCF10A cells stably transduced with empty vector (EV) and CBFB\_F (a Flag tag fused to the carboxyl terminus). (b) IB validation of CBFB\_F and hnRNPK interaction. (c) IB showing expression of hnRNPK in CBFB WT and KO MCF10A cells. (d) Co-IP followed by IB showing interaction of endogenous CBFB, RUNX1, and hnRNPK in whole cell lysate, cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions of MCF10A cells. 10 ug/ml RNase A were added in the co-IP lysate. (e) Flag IP followed by Flag IB showing the interaction of transiently transfected CBFB\_Flag truncated variants with endogenous hnRNPK in CBFB KO 293T cells. Full length CBFB, 187 amino acids (AA), truncation 1(T1, 1-168AA), truncation 2 (T2, 1-141 AA), truncation 3 (T3, 1-130AA), truncation 4 (T4, 1-120AA), truncation 5 (T5, 1-100 AA), and truncation 6 (T6, 1-80 AA). Shown in light blue is the CBFB domain. (f) Flag IP followed by Flag IB showing the interaction of transiently transfected Flag\_hnRNPK truncated variants with endogenous CBFB in 293T cells. Full length hnRNPK, 464 amino acids (AA), truncation 1(T1, 1-385 AA), truncation 2(T2, 1-220AA), truncation 3 (T3, 1-116 AA), truncation 4 (T4, 115-464AA), truncation 5 (T5, 138-464AA), and truncation 6 (T6, 379-464 AA). Shown in light blue are the KH domains.



Supplementary Figure 5. hnRNPK is localized in both cytoplasm and nucleus of breast cells. (a) Immunoblotting showing the subcellular localization of hnRNPK. Different hnRNPK antibodies were: Ab#1(A300-674A), Ab#2 (A300-675A), and Ab#3 (A300-676A). (b) Immunofluorescence showing the subcellular localization of hnRNPK in MCF10A, MCF7, and MDA-MB-468 cells using three different hnRNPK antibodies. (c) IHC showing the subcellular localization of hnRNPK in normal breast tissue using Ab#1.





Supplementary Fig. 6. CBFB and hnRNPK bind to the 3' UTR of the RUNX1 gene. (a)

Realtime PCR measuring RUNX1 mRNA in MCF10A cells transfected with control (CTRL) or hnRNPK siRNA. Error bars are SEM; n=3 (biological); p value >0.05. (b) Realtime PCR measuring RUNX1 mRNA in the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions of MCF10A cells transfected with control (CTRL) or hnRNPK siRNA. Error bars are SEM; n=3 (biological); p value >0.05 (cytosolic Vs nuclear RUNX1 mRNA). (c) RIP of CBFB and hnRNPK in MCF10A cells transfected with control (CTRL) or hnRNPK siRNA. Error bars are SEM, n=3 (biological); asterisk, p<0.05. (d) Realtime PCR measuring RUNX1 mRNA in RIP of CBFB, hnRNPK, and hnRNPL (negative control) in the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions of MCF10A cells. Error bars are SEM; n=3 (biological); asterisk, p< 0.05 (RIP CBFB & hnRNPK in cytoplasm versus nuclear fractions). (e) RIP with IgG and hnRNPE2 antibody in WT and CBFB KO MCF10A cells. Error bars are SEM, n=3 (Biological); n.s., p value >0.05 (RIP of HnRNPE2 in WT versus CBFB KO cells). p values are calculated with two-tailed t-test. (f) Co-IP followed by CBFB IB showing interaction of endogenous CBFB and hnRNPE2 in MCF10A cells. (g-j) Realtime PCR measuring RUNX1 and GAPDH mRNAs and 28s ribosomal RNA in RIP of IgG (negative control), CBFB, hnRNPK, hnRNPL (negative control) in MCF7, T47D, BT474, and MDA-MB-231 cells. Error bars are SEM; n=3 (biological); p<0.05 (RIP\_CBFB/hnRNPK versus IgG) (k) Mapping the binding region of hnRNPK and CBFB in F3 (fragment 3) of the 3' UTR of RUNX1 mRNA. T1-12, truncated regions of F3 of 3' UTR of RUNX1 mRNA. Red square showing the binding region of CBFB and hnRNPK. (I) Site directed mutagenesis to determine the element required for hnRNPK and CBFB binding. Diamonds in the red thick line indicate poly-C tracts, which were changed to adenosine or thymidine. Mu, mutant.

Supplementary Fig. 7



**Supplementary Fig. 7. CBFB regulates translation.** (a) Effect of recombinant hnRNPK protein on the translation of RUNX1. (b) Effect of recombinant CBFB along with recombinant hnRNPK protein on RUNX1 translation. (c) IB showing CBFB\_KO in 293T cells. (d) IB showing hnRNPK knockdown (KD) in 293T cells. (e) IB showing the expression of CBFB and hnRNPK in Hela and 293T cells. (f) IB showing subcellular distribution of CBFB and hnRNPK in Hela and 293T cells. (g) RNAseq data showing the effect of CBFB deletion (CBFB\_KO) on the expression of a number of CBFB/hnRNPK bound transcripts. The value of WT was normalized to 1. Error bars are in SEM, n=3(biological); p value >0.05. (h) Real time PCR data showing the effect of hnRNPK knockdown (hnRNPK\_KD) on the expression of a number of CBFB/hnRNPK bound transcripts. Error bars are in SEM, n=3 (biological); p value > 0.05. (i) IB showing the presence of ribosome marker proteins (RPS3 and RPL26) in each fraction of WT and CBFB KO MCF10A cells.

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Supplementary Fig. 8. The role of CBFB in transcription regulation is also critical for its tumor suppressive function in breast cancer. (a) Kaplan Meier curve of patients in METABRIC stratified by a gene signature containing the top 10 genes activated by CBFB and RUNX1 in MCF10A cells. (b) IB showing the reversal of NOTCH3 upregulation in CBFB KO clones upon overexpression (OE) of CBFB. (c) IB showing the reversal of NOTCH3 upregulation in CBFB KO clones upon OE of RUNX1. (d) IB showing the expression of NOTCH3 upon knockdown of hnRNPK in MCF10A cells. (e) IB showing the double knockout (DKO) of CBFB and NOTCH3 (CBFB+NOTCH3) or RUNX1 and NOTCH3 (RUNX1+NOTCH3). (f) Representative images of anchorage independent growth assays of CBFB\_KO, RUNX1\_KO and double knockout of CBFB+NOTCH3 and RUNX1+NOTCH3. n=3 (biological); p value <0.01 (EV Vs Notch3 OE).(g) IB showing exogenously expressed NOTCH3 in parental MCF10A cells. (h-j) Representative images, percentage of and size of colonies of anchorage independent assay of MCF10A cells transduced with lentiviruses expressing no cDNA or NOTCH3. Error bars are SEM; n=3 (biological); p value<0.01(EV Vs Notch3 OE). (k) IB showing expression of CBFB, RUNX1, and the NICD of NOTCH3 in multiple breast cells.

а	С	BFB IHC	;		RUN	X1 IHC		Ν	отснз	IHC
		000	() ) )	05			00			S 🕈 🜒 🕥
	000			0 0						
0	000		000	00			00			
8										
			000						0 0 0 0	
				6 6			4 G	000		
0	001	000		00	6 9 1		00	000		
			000	1 (B)				۵ .		
8			**	80 Q					000	
		000	00,0			) 🕘 🕘 A		0 4 0	6 6 6	
b										
_	_	Low		Medium		Hig	h 📃	Not de	etected	
CBFB IH	C									
	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6	A7	A8	A9	A10
	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	B8	B9	B10
Breast	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	<b>C7</b>	C8	C9	C10
tumor	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	D8	D9	D10
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7	E8	E9	E10
		F2	F3	F4	F5	Fb	F/	F8	F9	F10
		GZ H2		G4	65 Ц5	HG	G7	G8 HQ	<u>С9</u> Н0	
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	110
		J2	13	J4	J5	JG	J7	18	19	J10
Normal breas	st K1	K2	K3	K4	К5	K6	K7	K8	К9	К10
		٨٥	۸2	Δ.4	AE	16	<u>۸</u> 7	٨٩	40	A10
	R1	R2 R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	B7	R8	R9	B10
Durat	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10
Breast	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	D8	D9	D10
tumor	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7	E8	E9	E10
	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8	F9	F10
	G1	G2	G3	G4	G5	G6	G7	G8	G9	G10
	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	110
Normal broom		J2	J3	J4	J5	J6	J7	J8	J9	J10
Normal breas	I K1	K2	K3	K4	K5	K6	K/	К8	К9	K10
	۸1	۸2	٨2	Δ.4	٨٥	46	۸7	٨٩	٨٩	A10
	R1	R2	B3	R4	R5	B6	B7	B8	R9	B10
	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10
Broast	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	D8	D9	D10
tumor	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7	E8	E9	E10
lumor	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8	F9	F10
	G1	G2	G3	G4	G5	G6	G7	G8	G9	G10
	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	Н9	H10
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	110
	J1	J2	J3	J4	J5	JG	J7	J8	J9	J10
normal breas	51 K1	K2	КЗ	K4	K5	К6	К7	К8	К9	K10

С

Sample type	Protein	Not detected	Low	Medium	High
Normal	CBFB	0/10	1/10	0/10	9/10
	RUNX1	0/10	1/10	0/10	9/10
	NOTCH3	0/10	9/10	1/10	0/10
Tumor	CBFB	69/100	12/100	20/100	9/100
	RUNX1	24/100	53/100	20/100	3/100
	NOTCH3	0/100	5/100	16/100	79/100

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

е

	Correlation coefficient (R)	p value
CBFB and RUNX1	0.64	<0.00001
CBFB and NOTCH3	-0.45	<0.00001
RUNX1 and NOTCH3	-0.19	<0.05

Supplementary Fig. 9. CBFB & RUNX1 are downregulated and NOTCH3 is upregulated in human breast tumors. (a) CBFB, RUNX1 and NOTCH3 IHC images in human breast cancer tissue microarray (TMA). (b) CBFB, RUNX1 and NOTCH3 IHC stain results showing the expression of these proteins in 100 breast cancer cases and 10 normal breast tissue cases in the TMA. A1-J10 are breast cancer tissues and K1-K10 are normal breast tissues. Color codes are intensity of CBFB, RUNX1, and NOTCH3 immunostaining. (c) Summary table of CBFB, RUNX1, NOTCH3 IHC staining results. (d) Graph displaying IHC staining score of CBFB, RUNX1, NOTCH3 in human breast TMA. Score 0, no signal in staining; score 1, low signal; score 2, medium signal; score 3, high staining. (e) Table summarizing the correlation coefficient and p value for CBFB, RUNX1, and NOTCH3 IHC staining signals in human breast TMA.

Genotype	Cell number	Tumor incidence	Latency period (day)	Tumor size (diameter, mm)
WT_Control	5 million	0/4*	No tumor formed 180 days after transplantation	
CBFB_KO	5 million	5/6	64.5±2.3	12.7±0.51
CBFB_KO + Empty vector	5 million	5/5	68.6±20.9	11.6±2.4
CBFB_KO + CBFB_OE	5 million	0/5	No tumor formed 97 days after transplantation	
RUNX1_KO	5 million	6/6	62.5±6.9	16±1.15

Supplementary Table 1. Tumorigenicity of different genotypes of MCF10A lines subcutaneously transplanted into NSG mice. WT\_control, parental MCF10A cells; CBFB\_KO, CBFB knockout MCF10A (clone 751); CBFB\_KO + Empty vector, CBFB\_KO clone 751 transduced with an empty lentiviral vector; CBFB\_KO + CBFB\_OE, CBFB\_KO clone 751 transduced with a lentiviral vector overexpressing CBFB; RUNX1\_KO, RUNX1 knockout MCF10A (clone 5008). Tumor incidence indicates the number of mice having a tumor at euthanizing day versus the total number of mice transplanted. \*, one mice died with unknown cause.

Term	PValue	Genes
Integrin signalling pathway	4.64E-04	GRAP, ITGB4, ITGA10, ITGB2, ITGB1, SRC, COL17A1, COL9A2, RAC2, ITGB8, RAC3, COL27A1, ITGAV, COL6A3, ITGB6, RRAS, RHOC, COL8A1, FN1, RAP2B, COL4A4, COL18A1, PIK3C2G, COL13A1, PIK3CD, ITGA1, ITGA3, FLNC, COL5A3, LAMA2, LAMC3, MAPK13, FYN, COL1A1
Alzheimer disease- presenilin pathway	0.003	WNT5A, TRPC1, TCF7, APH1B, MMP19, MMP28, CDH3, LDLRAD3, MMP14, FURIN, MMP2, TCF7L1, MMP25, JUP, NOTCH3, TRIM2, WNT7B, LRP1, PVRL1, LRP10, RNF152, WNT7A
Angiogenesis	0.009	WNT5A, FGFR4, FGFR3, GRAP, JAG1, TCF7L1, SRC, EPHB2, FOS, LPXN, DNER, RRAS, RHOC, ANGPT1, PDGFD, PIK3C2G, ABR, CRYAB, EFNB1, PIK3CD, EFNB2, NOTCH3, EPHA4, SH2D2A, GRB10, WNT7B, SFRP1, MAPK13, GRB7, WNT7A
5-Hydroxytryptamine degredation	0.05	MAOA, ALDH4A1, ALDH1L2, ALDH3B1, ALDH3A1
Axon guidance mediated by semaphorins	0.05	ARHGEF3, NRP1, RAC2, RAC3, FYN, ARHGEF18, DPYSL3, RHOC, NET1
Notch signaling pathway	0.05	NOTCH3, DAB2, MFNG, HES4, DNER, HES2, APH1B, HEY2, JAG1

## Supplementary Table 2. Pathway analysis of CBFB-regulated genes at the transcriptional level.

Genotype	Cell number	Tumor incidence	Latency period (day)	Tumor size (diameter, mm)
CBFB Knockout	5 million	4/5	81±0	8±2
CBFB and NOTCH3 double knockout	5 million	0/5	No tumor formed 81 days after transplantation	
RUNX1 Knockout	5 million	5/5	72.5±12	9.25±5.25
RUNX1 and NOTCH3 double knockout	5 million	0/5	No tumor formed 81 days after transplantation	

Supplementary Table 3. Tumorigenicity of different genotypes of MCF10A lines subcutaneously transplanted into NSG mice.

Genotype	Cell number	Tumor incidence	Latency period (day)	Tumor size (diameter, mm)
MCF10A empty vector transduced	5 million	0/5	No tumor formed 97 days after transplantation	
MCF10A Notch3 overexpressing	5 million	5/5	88.6±7.6	10.1±2.1

Supplementary Table 4. Tumorigenicity of different genotypes of MCF10A lines subcutaneously transplanted into NSG mice. MCF10A empty vector transduced indicates MCF10A cells transduced with empty vector; MCF10A Notch3 overexpressing indicates MCF10A cells transduced with a lentiviral vector expressing NOTHC3.