Supplementary Methods 1

Analysis of the Biofilm matrix

To measure total hexose (Hex), galactosamine (GalN) and glucosamine (GlcN), biofilms were prepared as described in the Materials and Methods section. After 48h, the plate was inverted to remove the growth medium and the unwashed biofilms from 24 wells were resuspended in 2 mL of PBS. The suspension was passed through a 0.45 mm syringe needle and then ten times through a 0.30 mm syringe needle. Samples were centrifuged (2 700 × g for 10 min) to separate bacterial cells from the biofilm matrix and supernatants were filtered (22 μ m) to remove residual cells. Samples were then precipitated in ethanol overnight at 4°C and the pellets were suspended in water. Carbohydrate content was analyzed using standard methods.

To harvest the biofilm matrix, biofilms were grown as described in the Materials and Methods section, washed twice with PBS and resuspended in 1.5 M NaCl (12 wells/mL). The biofilm suspension was then centrifuged (8 000 × g for 10 min) and the supernatant was collected and stored at -20°C. A volume (500 μ L) was then treated with DNase (25 μ g) and Proteinase K (25 μ g) for 1h at 37°C. Samples were then analyzed by agarose gele eletrphoresis, SDSD-PAGE or immunodetection.

Immunodetection of PS-II

The samples were serially diluted and 5 µL were spotted on a PVDF membrane. The membrane was then blocked with 5% skim milk in Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 (TTBS) for 1 h followed by an incubation with a rabbit anti-PSII antisera (1:8000; kindly supplied by Dr. Gayatri Vedantam, University of Arizona) in TTBS containing 5% (wt/vol) HRP-conjugated antibody (1:10,000) in TTBS containing 5% (wt/vol) skim

milk for 1h and developed with SuperSignal West Femto chemiluminescent substrate (ThermoFisher).

Construction of CD1687 and CD1688 mutant strain. The ClosTron gene-knockout system (1) was used to inactivate CD1687 or CD1688. Primers were designed to retarget the group II intron of pMTL007 to the target gene (Supplementary Table 2) and were used to generate a 353-bp DNA fragment by overlap PCR according to the manufacturer's instructions. These PCR products were cloned into the *Hind*III and *BsrG*I restriction sites of the pMTL007 and were verified by DNA sequencing using the pMTL007-F and pMTL007-R primers (Supplementary Table 2). The derived pMTL007 plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* strain HB101 (RP4) and transferred by conjugation into the *C. difficile* strain 630∆*erm*. *C. difficile* transconjugants were selected by sub-culture on BHI agar containing thiamphenicol (15 μ g/ml), and the integration of the group-II intron RNA into genes was induced and selected by plating onto BHI agar containing erythromycin (2.5 µg/ml). The chromosomal DNA of the transconjugants was extracted using the InstaGene Kit (BioRad), and PCR using the primers ErmRAM-F and ErmRAM-R (Supplementary Table 2) was used to confirm the erythromycin-resistant phenotype due to the splicing of the group-I intron from the group-II intron following integration. To verify the insertion of the group-II intron into target genes, PCRs with primers flanking the 5' ends of genes (Supplementary Table 2) and EBSu primer were performed to verify the integration of the group-II intron.



Supplementary Figure 1: Effect of chenodeoxycholate (ChenoDoc), glycochenodeoxycholate (GlycochenoDoc), taurochenodeoxycholate (TaurochenoDOC), lithocholate, glycocholate, taurocholate, cholate and taurodeoxycholate (TauroDOC), glycodeoxycholate (GlycoDOC) on biofilm formation. *C. difficile* was grown in BHISG in the presence of the indicated bile salt A: ChenoDOC, GlycoDOC, TauroDOC; B: lithocholate, glycocholate, taurocholate; C: cholate; D: TauroDOC, GlycoDOC. Biofilm formation was quantified at 72 h by staining with crystal violet. The crystal violet assay included two PBS washing before staining (see the Materials and Methods section). Asterisks indicate statistical significance determined by a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by an uncorrected Dunn's test (* *p*≤0.05, ** *p*≤0.001 *** $p \le 0.001$ vs BHISG with 0 mg/mL bile salts or 0 μ M DOC). The error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Each bar represents the mean of at least 5 biological replicates performed on different days.



Supplementary Figure 2: Growth kinetics of *C. difficle* in BHISG with or without 240 μ M CHO or 240 μ M DOC. An overnight culture was diluted 1:100 in preequilibrated BHISG, BHISG with 240 μ M DOC or BHISG with 240 μ M CHO. These dilutions (1 mL) were transferred to a 24-well plate and the plate was sealed with a plastic film to create anaerobic environment. Growth kinetics was monitored using a plate reader (Promega GloMax Explorer) at 37°C with 10 s of shaking every 15 min and reading the OD₆₀₀ every 1 h for 72 h. Error bars are omitted; each time point represents the average of 4 independent biological replicates.



Strains and Concentration (µM)

Supplementary Figure 3: Effect of DOC on biofilm formation of the R20291 strain and clinical isolates. *C. difficile* isolates (Supplementary Table 3) were grown in BHISG in the absence or presence of 240 μ M DOC and biofilm formation was quantified at 72 h by staining with CV. The crystal violet assay included two PBS washing before staining. Asterisks indicate statistical significance determined by a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by an uncorrected Dunn's test (* $p \le 0.05$ ** $p \le 0.01$ **** $p \le 0.0001$ vs 0 μ M) for each respective isolates. The error bars represent the standard error. Each bar represents the mean of at least 3 biological replicates performed on different days.



Supplementary Figure 4: Effect of PTS sugars and buffering on biofilm formation in the presence of DOC. Bacteria were grown in BHIS with 240 μ M DOC and 50 mM of the specified sugar (A) or with 50 mM HEPES and 100 mM glucose (B). Biofilm formation was evaluated by staining with crystal violet after 72h (A) or 48h (B). The crystal violet assay included two PBS washing before staining. In panel A, asterisks indicate statistical significance determined with a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by an uncorrected Dunn's test (**** $p \le 0.0001$ vs no sugar) and in panel B, asterisks indicate statistical significance determined with a two-tail Mann-Whitney test (** $p \le 0.01$ vs no HEPES). The error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Each bar represents the mean of at least 6 biological replicates performed on different days.



Supplementary Figure 5: Detachment of *C. difficile* cells from polystyrene by washing with PBS. The effect of washing on *C. difficile* biofilms was measured as follows: 48 h biofilms grown in BHISG without or with DOC were prepared as in the Material and Methods. The washed biofilms were then suspended in sterile PBS and the OD₆₀₀ was measured with a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf Biophotometer). The error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Each bar represents the mean of 3 biological replicates performed on different days.



Supplementary Figure 6: Analysis of the composition of the biofilm matrix. (A) Analysis of the total hexose (Hex), galactosamine (GalN) and glucosamine (GlcN) content of unwashed biofilms. (B) SDS-PAGE analysis of the matrix isolated from 48h-biofilm grown in the presence of DOC and stained with the Pro-Q Emeral 300 glycoprotein stain kit (ThermoFischer). Arrows indicate glyproteins and curly brackets indicate the DNase and proteinase resistant matrix component. MW: CandyCane Glycoprotein Molecular Weight; NT: not treated; T: treated with DNase and Proteinase K. (C) Immunodetection of PS-II in the matrix of 48h-biofilm in the presence of DOC. NT: not treated; T: treated with DNase and Proteinase K. (D) Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of the matrix of 48h-biofilm of grown in the presence of DOC. MW: SmartLadder Molecular Weight. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Replicate represent independent biological replicates performed on different days.



Supplementary Figure 7: A Graphical representation of the predicted function of

differentially regulated genes.



Supplementary Figure 8: Schematic representation of the metabolic pathways that are differentially regulated. Genes differentially regulated were analysed using the "omic" viewer option for the "Metabolism: Cellular Overview" function found in the BioCyc collection at biocyc.org to identify metabolic pathways involved



Supplementary Figure 9: CLSM analysis of *C. difficile* single and dual species

biofilm with *C. scindens* in presence of CHO.



Supplementary Figure 10: Construction of CD1687 mutant strain. Construction

design to inactivate *CD1687* and the agarose gel confirming the insertion of the intron in

CD1687.



Supplementary Figure 11: Uncropped western immunoblot, SDS-page gel, dot blot and agarose gels. (a) Uncropped western immunoblot showing molecular weight marker from Figure 5. (b) Uncropped SDS-page gel stained with Pro-Q Emeral 300 glycoprotein stain from Supplementary Figure 6b. (c) Uncropped dot blot for the immunodetection of PS-II from Supplementary Figure 6c. (d) Uncropped agarose gel showing molecular weight marker from Supplementary Figure 6d. Boxes indicate the cropped area for the figures.

| Bile acid or bile salts | CAS number | Sigma Catalogue Number | Diluent |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Bile salt extract | | B3301 | Water |
| Sodium cholate | 206986-87-0 | C1254 | Water |
| Cholic acid | 81-25-4 | C1129 | Glacial acetic acid |
| Sodium Chenodeoxycholate | 2646-38-0 | C8261 | Water |
| Chenodeoxycholic acid | 474-25-9 | C9377 | Ethanol |
| Sodium deoxycholate | 302-95-4 | D6750 | Water |
| Deoxycholic acid | 83-44-3 | D2510 | Ethanol |
| Lithocholic acid | 434-13-9 | L6250 | Ethanol |
| Sodium taurodeoxycholate | 6009-98-9 | T6260 | Water |
| Sodium glycodeoxycholate | 16409-34-0 | G3258 | Water |
| Sodium | 16564-43-5 | G0759 | Water |
| glycochenodeoxycholate | | | |
| Sodium | 6009-98-9 | T6260 | Water |
| taurochenodeoxycholate | | | |
| Sodium glycocholate | 338950-81-5 | G7132 | Water |
| Sodium taurocholate | 345909-26-4 | T4009 | Water |

Table S1: List of bile acids and bile salts and their respective catalogue number and diluent.

| Strains and plasmids | PCR-ribotype/Genotype | Resistance ¹ | Reference |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Clostridium scindens | ATCC 35704 | | 2 |
| 630∆ <i>erm</i> | 012 | Тс | 3 |
| JIR8094 | 012 | Тс | 4 |
| R20291 | 027 | Em, Mox | 5 |
| Т6 | 014 | Cm, Tc | 6 |
| T14 | 106 | Mox, Cm | 6 |
| T20 | 078 | Cm, Tc | 6 |
| E12 | 106 | Mox, Em | 6 |
| E14 | 014 | | 6 |
| E25 | 005 | Cm | 6 |
| CDIP1168 | 630∆erm CD1687::erm | Em | This study |
| CDIP1169 | CDIP1168 pDIA6693 | Cm, Tm | This study |
| CDIP1170 | CDIP1168 pDIA6694 | Cm, Tm | This study |
| CDIP1436 | 630∆erm CD1688::erm | Em | This study |
| CDIP229 | 630∆ermsigB::erm | Em | 7 |
| CDIP240 | JIR8094 codY::erm | Em | L. Bouillaut |
| CDIP222 | JIR8094 ccpA::erm | Em | 8 |
| CDIP3 | 630 Δ <i>erm spo0A</i> ::erm | Em | 9 |
| AHCD532 | 630∆erm sigE::erm | Em | 10 |
| AHCD533 | 630∆erm sigF::erm | Em | 10 |
| CDIP636 | 630∆erm cwp19::erm | Em | 11 |
| CDIP637 | 630∆erm cwp19::erm pCWP19 | Em | 11 |
| Plasmids | | | |
| pMTL007::Cdi- <i>CD1687</i> 86a | pMTL007 | Cm, Tm | This study |
| pMTL007::Cdi-CD1688 | pMTL007 | Cm, Tm | This study |
| pDIA6993 | pRPF185 CD1687 | Cm, Tm | This study |
| pDIA6994 | pRPF185 CD1687-His | Cm, Tm | This study |
| | | | |
| Primer Name | Sequence | Restriction site | Primers Description |
| QRTpolIII-F_Cdiff | TCCATCTATTGCAGGGTGGT | | DNA <i>polIII</i> qPCR |
| QRTpolIII-R_Cdiff | CCCAACTCTTCGCTAAGCAC | | DNA <i>polIII</i> qPCR |
| QRTBD107-rpoA | TATGGAGATATTTGTAGATAAAGGTAG AGGTT | | <i>rpoA</i> qPCR |
| QRTBD108-rpoA | CCACAGGTAAAACACCTATTGGAA | | <i>rpoA</i> qPCR |
| QRTBD43-pgi | CAGATGATGTAGGTGGTCGTTTTT | | <i>pgi</i> qPCR |
| QRTBD44-pgi | AGCAGCAATAGGAAGTAACCCAAC | | <i>pgi</i> qPCR |

Table S2: List of strains, plasmids and primers used for qPCR and gene construct

| QRTBD001-tpi | TGAAGTTAGAATACAATACGGTGGA | | <i>tpi</i> qPCR |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| QRTBD002-tpi | CTATATCACTTTGACCCATTATTTCG | | <i>tpi</i> qPCR |
| QRTBD013-tcdA | TAATAAAAATACTGCCCTCGACAAA | | <i>tcdA</i> qPRC |
| QRTBD014-tcdA | ATAAATTGCATGTTGCTTCATAACT | | <i>tcdA</i> qPCR |
| QRTBD005-tcdB | CTGGAGAATGGAAGGTGGTT | | <i>tcdB</i> qPCR |
| QRTBD006-tcdB | TTGATGGTGCTGAAAAGAAGTG | | <i>tcdB</i> qPCR |
| CD1687-86a-IBS | AAAAAAGCTTATAATTATCCTTAGTTC | | CD1687 |
| | ACCTTTTTGTGCGCCCAGATAGGGTG | | interruption |
| CD1687-86a-EBS1d | CAGATTGTACAAATGTGGTGATAACAG | | CD1687 |
| | ATAAGTCCTTTTTCATAACTTACCTTTC | | interruption |
| | TTTGT | | |
| CD1687-86a-EBS2 | TGAACGCAAGTTTCTAATTTCGATTTG | | CD1687 |
| 4.60 | AACTCGATAGAGGAAAGTGTCT | | interruption |
| 1687verifF | CTTACACAGGCATTGAAAGAATTTATG | | CD1687-Clostron |
| 1(07;fD | | | verification |
| 168/Verlik | | | CD168/-Clostron |
| 1600 222° IDC | | | |
| 1000-2235-103 | | | interruption |
| 1688-223s-FBS1d | | | CD1688 |
| 1000-2233-1031u | ATAAGTCCCTGTACTTAACTTACCTTTC | | interruption |
| | TTTGT | | meerruption |
| 1688-223s-EBS2 | TGAACGCAAGTTTCTAATTTCGATTGT | | CD1688 |
| | ATCTCGATAGAGGAAAGTGTCT | | interruption |
| 1688verifF | AGAAGAACTTAGCAAGCATTTTG | | CD1688-Clostron |
| | | | verification |
| 1688verifR | CTAGTTTCCTTCATTGCAGTAGC | | CD1688-Clostron |
| | | | verification |
| CD1687-comp1 | CCG <u>CTCGAG</u> CTACTATAAAATACTACT | Xho I | CD1687-Clostron |
| CD1(07 | | David III | Complementation |
| CD1687-comp2 | UGU <u>UGAILU</u> IAUUIIIAIIIGIUIAIU | Bam HI | CD168/-Clostron |
| CD1687Histor | | Ram HI | |
| CD1007Illstag | TGGTGATGTTTGTCTATCTTAACTTTA | Dum III | CD1007-IIIS |
| | TGAGATTTTTAAGTC | | |
| pMTL007-F | TTAAGGAGGTGTATTTCATATGACCAT | | pMTL007 cloning |
| r | GATTACG | | verification |
| pMTL007-R | AGGGTATCCCCAGTTAGTGTTAAGTCT | | pMTL007 cloning |
| - | TGG | | verification |
| ErmRAM-F | ACGCGTTATATTGATAAAAATAATAAT | | Verification of the |
| | AGTGGG | | erm insertion |
| ErmRAM-R | ACGCGTGCGACTCATAGAATTATTTCC | | Verification of the |
| | TCCCG | | erm insertion |
| EBSu | CGAAATTAGAAACTTGCGTTCAGTAAA | | Verification of the |
| | C | | group-II intron |

Cm : chloramphenicol; *Tm* : Thiamphenicol; *Em* : Erythromycin; Mox: Moxiflaxine; Tc: tetracycline

| Strains and | Total bile salts | Primary bile salts | Secondary bile |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Replicates | | | salts |
| C. difficile | | | |
| Replicate 1 | 210.36 | 210.15 | 0.21 |
| Replicate 2 | 223.47 | 249.63 | 0 |
| Replicate 3 | 196.90 | 173.27 | 23.63 |
| Replicate 4 | 181.72 | 182.44 | 0 |
| C. scindens | | | |
| Replicate 1 | 121.67 | 44.48 | 77.19 |
| Replicate 2 | 142.03 | 22.35 | 119.68 |
| Replicate 3 | 93.38 | 16.17 | 77.20 |
| Replicate 4 | 78.54 | 10.79 | 67.75 |
| C. difficile and C. | | | |
| scindens | | | |
| Replicate 1 | 153.77 | 54.25 | 99.51 |
| Replicate 2 | 169.30 | 42.09 | 127.20 |
| Replicate 3 | 126.16 | 17.97 | 108.19 |
| Replicate 4 | 136.51 | 42.29 | 94.22 |

Table S3: Bile salt concentration in the culture supernatant of *C. difficile, C. scindens* and in co-culture

Supplementary References

- Heap JT, Pennington OJ, Cartman ST, Carter GP, Minton NP. 2007. The ClosTron: a universal gene knock-out system for the genus *Clostridium*. J Microbiol Methods. 70: 452-464
- Morris GN, Winter J, Cato, EP, Ritchie, AE, Bokkenheuser, VD. 1985. *Clostridium scindens* sp. nov., a human intestinal bacterium with desmolytic activity on corticoids. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 35: 478-481.
- Hussain HA, Roberts AP, Mullany P. 2005. Generation of an erythromycinsensitive derivative of *Clostridium difficile* strain 630 (630Deltaerm) and demonstration that the conjugative transposon Tn916DeltaE enters the genome of this strain at multiple sites. J Med Microbiol. 54:137-141.
- O'Connor JR, Lyras D, Farrow KA, Adams V, Powell DR, Hinds J, Cheung JK, Rood JI. 2006. Construction and analysis of chromosomal *Clostridium difficile* mutants. Mol Microbiol 61:1335–1351.
- Stabler RA, He M, Dawson L, Martin M, Valiente E, Corton C, Lawley TD, Sebaihia M, Quail MA, Rose G, Gerding DN, Gibert M, Popoff MR, Parkhill J, DouganG, Wren BW. 2009. Comparative genome and phenotypic analysis of *Clostridium difficile* 027 strains provides insight into the evolution of a hypervirulent bacterium. Genome Biol. 10(9):R102.
- Kurka H, Ehrenreich A, Ludwig W, Monot M, Rupnik M, Barbut F, Indra A, Dupuy B, Liebl W. 2014. Sequence similarity of *Clostridium difficile* strains by analysis of conserved genes and genome content is reflected by their ribotype affiliation. PLoS One. 9:e86535.

- 7. Kint N, Janoir C, Monot M, Hoys S, Soutourina O, Dupuy B, Martin-Verstraete I. 2017. The alternative sigma factor $\sigma(B)$ plays a crucial role in adaptive strategies of *Clostridium difficile* during gut infection. Environ Microbiol. 19:1933-1958.
- 8. Antunes A, Martin-Verstraete I, Dupuy B. 2011. CcpA-mediated repression of *Clostridium difficile* toxin gene expression. Mol Microbiol. 79:882-899.
- 9. Pereira FC, Saujet L, Tomé AR, Serrano M, Monot M, Couture-Tosi E, Martin-Verstraete I, Dupuy B, Henriques AO. 2013. The spore differentiation pathway in the enteric pathogen *Clostridium difficile*. PLoS Genet. 9:e1003782.
- 10. Saujet L, Monot M, Dupuy B, Soutourina O, Martin-Verstraete I. 2011. The key sigma factor of transition phase, SigH, controls sporulation, metabolism, and virulence factor expression in Clostridium difficile. J Bacteriol. 193:3186-3196.
- 11. Wydau-Dematteis S, El Meouche I, Courtin P, Hamiot A, Lai-Kuen R, Saubaméa B, Fenaille F, Butel MJ, Pons JL, Dupuy B, Chapot-Chartier MP, Peltier J. 2018. Cwp19 Is a Novel Lytic Transglycosylase Involved in Stationary-Phase Autolysis Resulting in Toxin Release in *Clostridium difficile*. MBio. 9. pii: e00648-18.