

Opposite Profiles of Complement in Antiphospholipid Syndrome (APS) and Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) among Patients with Antiphospholipid Antibodies (aPL)

Stephanie L. Savelli,^{1,2,4} Robert A.S. Roubey,⁵ Kathryn J. Kitzmiller,^{1,4} Danlei Zhou,^{1,3,4} Haikady N. Nagaraja,⁶ Evan Mulvihill,^{3,4} Fatima Barbar-Smilely,^{3,4} Stacy P. Ardoin,^{3,4} Yee Ling Wu,^{1,4,7} and C. Yung Yu^{1,3,4}

¹The Research Institute at Nationwide Children's Hospital, ²Division of Hematology/Oncology, ³Division of Rheumatology, Nationwide Children's Hospital, 700 Children's Drive, Columbus Ohio 43205; ⁴Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH; ⁵Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC; ⁶Division of Biostatistics, College of Public Health, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH and ⁷Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Loyola University Chicago, IL

Supplementary Table

Supplementary Table. Multiple logistic regression analyses of independent parameters related to thromboses, recurrent pregnancy loss and SLE in aPL-positive subjects.

A. Thrombosis

Whole model summary: $n=395$, $DF=5$; $\chi^2 = 74.1$; $p=1.47 \times 10^{-14}$

a. Effect Likelihood Ratio Tests		
Source	χ^2	p
C4	33.8	6.2×10^{-9}
LAC	15.8	0.000069
CFH	10.0	0.0016
SLE	6.88	0.0087
Gender	6.46	0.011
b. Odds Ratios		
Parameter	Odds Ratio	Remarks
C4 (mg/dL)	1.07	higher C4, ↑risk
C4 (range)	45.1	
LAC (+/-)	2.56	LAC +, ↑ risk
CFH () mg/dL	0.97	higher CFH, protective
CFH (range)	0.045	
SLE (+/-)	0.55	SLE +, protective
Gender (F/M)	0.46	female, protective

B. Arterial Thrombosis

Whole model summary: $n=452$, $DF=2$; $\chi^2 = 26.6$; $p= 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$

a. Effect Likelihood Ratio Tests		
Source	χ^2	p
C4B	18.1	2.2×10^{-5}
LAC	8.33	0.0027
b. Odds Ratios		
Parameter	Odds Ratio	Remarks
C4B (mg/dL)	1.09	higher C4, ↑risk
C4B (range)	11.0	
LAC (+/-)	2.23	LAC +, ↑ risk

C. Venous Thrombosis

Whole model summary: $n=395$, $DF=4$; $\chi^2 = 36.8$; $p= 2.0 \times 10^{-7}$

a. Effect Likelihood Ratio Tests		
Source	χ^2	p
MBL	10.5	0.0012
Gender (M)	8.42	0.0037
C4	6.62	0.010
LAC	6.33	0.012

b. Odds Ratios		
<i>Parameter</i>	<i>Odds Ratio</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
MBL(mg/dL)	0.997	higher MBL, protective
MBL (range)	0.059	
LAC (+/ -)	1.84	LAC +, ↑ risk
C4 (mg/dL)	1.03	higher C4, ↑risk
C4 (range)	4.86	
Gender (M)	2.31	male, ↑risk

D. Recurrent pregnancy loss		
<i>Whole model summary: n=336, DF=3; $\chi^2 = 14.0$; p=0.0029</i>		
a. Effect Likelihood Ratio Tests		
<i>Source</i>	χ^2	<i>p</i>
C4	6.69	0.0097
ACLA-IgG	4.76	0.029
CFH	4.59	0.032
b. Odds Ratios		
<i>Term</i>	<i>Odds Ratio</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
C4 (mg/dL)	1.04	higher C4, ↑ risk
C4 (range)	7.21	
ACLA IgG (GPL)	1.01	higher ACLA-IgG, ↑ risk
ACLA IgG (range)	32.8	
CFH (mg/dL)	0.976	higher CFH, protective
CFH (range)	0.070	

E. Nominal Logistic Fit for SLE (with C3)		
<i>Whole model summary: n=457, DF=3; $\chi^2 = 40.81$; p=7.2 x 10⁻⁹</i>		
a. Effect Likelihood Ratio Tests		
<i>Source</i>	χ^2	<i>p</i>
C3	19.2	1.2 x 10 ⁻⁵
ACLA IgG	17.3	3.2 x 10 ⁻⁵
Gender	6.03	0.014
b. Odds Ratios		
<i>Term</i>	<i>Odds Ratio</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
C3 (mg/dL)	0.988	low C3, ↑ risk
C3 (range)	0.042	
ACLA IgG (GPL)	0.991	low ACLA-IgG, ↑ risk
ACLA IgG (range)	0.0033	
Gender (F/M)	1.98	female, ↑ risk

F. Nominal Logistic Fit for SLE (with C4)		
<i>Whole model summary: n=456, DF=3; $\chi^2 = 33.47$; $p=2.6 \times 10^{-7}$</i>		
a. Effect Likelihood Ratio Tests		
<i>Source</i>	χ^2	<i>p</i>
ACLA IgG	15.0	0.0001
C4	12.7	0.0004
Gender	4.82	0.028
b. Odds Ratios		
<i>Term</i>	<i>Odds Ratio</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
ACLA IgG (GPL)	0.992	low ACLA-IgG, ↑ risk
ACLA-IgG (range)	0.0058	
C4 (mg/dL)	0.966	low C4, ↑ risk
C4 (range)	0.147	
Gender (F/M)	1.84	female, ↑ risk