Table S4: Infection prevalence, combined nutrition plus WSH vs. WSH and nutrition interventions

Arm	N	Prevalence	Prevalence ratio			Prevalence difference		
			Unadjusted	Adjusted [a]	IPCW [b]	Unadjusted	Adjusted [a]	IPCW [b]
Ascaris								
Nutrition + WSH	933	33.0%						
WSH	941	34.3%	0.96 (0.83, 1.11)	0.99 (0.86, 1.13)	0.98 (0.85, 1.12)	-1.31 (-6.15, 3.52)	-0.48 (-5.05, 4.08)	-0.84 (-5.41, 3.74)
Nutrition	863	40.3%	0.82 (0.72, 0.93)	0.81 (0.71, 0.91)	0.82 (0.72, 0.93)	-7.31 (-12.06, -2.57)	-7.81 (-12.38, -3.24)	-7.38 (-12.00, -2.77)
Hookworm								
Nutrition + WSH	933	6.2%						
WSH	941	6.6%	0.94 (0.67, 1.32)	0.98 (0.71, 1.37)	0.99 (0.71, 1.37)	-0.37 (-2.55, 1.81)	-0.10 (-2.23, 2.02)	-0.07 (-2.22, 2.07)
Nutrition	863	9.5%	0.65 (0.47, 0.91)	0.67 (0.49, 0.90)	0.67 (0.50, 0.91)	-3.29 (-5.96, -0.61)	-3.12 (-5.61, -0.64)	-3.12 (-5.57, -0.68)
Trichuris								
Nutrition + WSH	933	9.1%						
WSH	941	6.4%	1.43 (0.91, 2.25)	1.48 (0.96, 2.28)	1.44 (0.93, 2.24)	2.73 (-0.61, 6.08)	3.04 (-0.13, 6.21)	2.82 (-0.44, 6.09)
Nutrition	863	7.2%	1.27 (0.86, 1.87)	1.26 (0.87, 1.83)	1.26 (0.89, 1.78)	1.93 (-1.31, 5.16)	1.90 (-1.20, 5.00)	1.89 (-0.98, 4.76)
Any STH								
Nutrition + WSH	933	38.8%						
WSH	941	39.3%	0.99 (0.87, 1.12)	1.01 (0.89, 1.13)	0.99 (0.88, 1.12)	-0.52 (-5.49, 4.45)	0.24 (-4.42, 4.89)	-0.25 (-4.97, 4.47)
Nutrition	863	45.1%	0.86 (0.77, 0.97)	0.86 (0.77, 0.96)	0.86 (0.77, 0.96)	-6.28 (-11.15, -1.40)	-6.43 (-11.15, -1.70)	-6.41 (-11.13, -1.68)

[a] Adjustment covariates considered include ID of the lab staff member who performed the Kato-Katz analysis, month of measurement, child age, sex and birthorder, mother's age, height and education, household food insecurity, number of children <18 years in household, number of individuals in compound, distance to the household's drinking water source, housing materials and assets. The adjusted model for each outcome includes covariates associated with the outcome at p<0.2 level in bivariate analysis. Adjustment covariates considered include the variables above except for ID of the lab staff member who performed the Kato-Katz analysis, month of measurement, child age, sex and birth order since this information is not available for individials lost to follow-up. An indicator variable distinguishing index vs. non-index child status was included as a proxy for age.

[b] Inverse probability of censoring weighting.