Table S5: Moderate/heavy infection prevalence, all interventions vs. control

			Prevalence ratio			Prevalence difference		
Arm	N	Prevalence	Unadjusted	Adjusted [a]	IPCW [b]	Unadjusted	Adjusted [a]	IPCW [b]
Ascaris								
Control	1530	4.2%						
Water	971	4.1%	0.97 (0.65, 1.44)	1.01 (0.69, 1.47)	0.96 (0.66, 1.40)	-0.13 (-1.76, 1.51)	0.04 (-1.55, 1.63)	-0.17 (-1.75, 1.42)
Sanitation	972	3.9%	0.92 (0.63, 1.35)	0.95 (0.67, 1.34)	0.91 (0.61, 1.35)	-0.34 (-1.89, 1.21)	-0.22 (-1.64, 1.19)	-0.38 (-1.94, 1.18)
Handwashing	977	5.6%	1.33 (0.94, 1.86)	1.38 (1.01, 1.90)	1.29 (0.92, 1.83)	1.38 (-0.43, 3.19)	1.60 (-0.11, 3.30)	1.26 (-0.56, 3.09)
WSH	941	3.3%	0.78 (0.49, 1.22)	0.73 (0.45, 1.18)	0.72 (0.44, 1.17)	-0.95 (-2.54, 0.63)	-1.15 (-2.76, 0.47)	-1.21 (-2.85, 0.43)
Nutrition	863	4.2%	0.98 (0.67, 1.45)	1.04 (0.72, 1.49)	1.01 (0.68, 1.50)	-0.08 (-1.71, 1.56)	0.15 (-1.40, 1.70)	0.05 (-1.64, 1.74)
Nutrition + WSH	933	6.1%	1.44 (0.96, 2.16)	1.49 (1.03, 2.15)	1.50 (1.01, 2.22)	1.86 (-0.45, 4.17)	2.05 (-0.09, 4.20)	2.10 (-0.24, 4.45)
Hookworm								
Control	1530	0.1%						
Water	971	0.0%	– [c]	– [c]	– [c]	-0.13 (-0.39, 0.13)	-0.13 (-0.40, 0.14)	-0.14 (-0.36, 0.09)
Sanitation	972	0.2%	1.57 (0.18, 13.83)	1.57 (0.17, 14.61)	1.53 (0.20, 11.43)	0.08 (-0.26, 0.41)	0.08 (-0.27, 0.42)	0.07 (-0.26, 0.39)
Handwashing	977	0.1%	0.78 (0.06, 9.46)	0.79 (0.06, 10.29)	0.77 (0.07, 8.01)	-0.03 (-0.32, 0.27)	-0.03 (-0.34, 0.28)	-0.03 (-0.32, 0.26)
WSH	941	0.2%	1.63 (0.13, 19.90)	1.64 (0.13, 20.30)	1.62 (0.18, 14.25)	0.08 (-0.35, 0.52)	0.08 (-0.35, 0.52)	0.08 (-0.31, 0.47)
Nutrition	863	0.3%	2.66 (0.21, 33.94)	2.74 (0.21, 35.30)	2.77 (0.32, 24.20)	0.22 (-0.29, 0.72)	0.23 (-0.27, 0.72)	0.23 (-0.24, 0.71)
Nutrition + WSH	933	0.0%	– [c]	– [c]	– [c]	-0.13 (-0.41, 0.15)	-0.13 (-0.42, 0.16)	-0.13 (-0.34, 0.07)
Trichuris								
Control	1530	0.4%						
Water	971	0.4%	1.05 (0.27, 4.14)	1.07 (0.28, 4.15)	1.02 (0.25, 4.23)	0.02 (-0.53, 0.57)	0.03 (-0.53, 0.58)	0.01 (-0.56, 0.58)
Sanitation	972	0.2%	0.52 (0.08, 3.63)	0.53 (0.08, 3.47)	0.53 (0.08, 3.52)	-0.19 (-0.69, 0.31)	-0.18 (-0.67, 0.30)	-0.18 (-0.68, 0.32)
Handwashing	977	0.1%	0.26 (0.03, 2.04)	0.26 (0.04, 1.98)	0.27 (0.03, 2.34)	-0.29 (-0.70, 0.12)	-0.29 (-0.68, 0.11)	-0.29 (-0.71, 0.14)
WSH	941	0.7%	1.90 (0.53, 6.81)	1.87 (0.52, 6.69)	1.82 (0.46, 7.31)	0.35 (-0.40, 1.11)	0.34 (-0.42, 1.11)	0.34 (-0.50, 1.17)
Nutrition	863	0.5%	1.18 (0.34, 4.13)	1.13 (0.33, 3.91)	1.11 (0.27, 4.55)	0.07 (-0.46, 0.60)	0.05 (-0.48, 0.58)	0.04 (-0.55, 0.63)
Nutrition + WSH	933	1.1%	2.73 (0.90, 8.31)	2.71 (0.91, 8.07)	2.64 (0.78, 8.94)	0.68 (-0.11, 1.47)	0.68 (-0.11, 1.46)	0.64 (-0.23, 1.52)
Any STH								
Control	1530	4.5%						
Water	971	4.5%	1.00 (0.71, 1.43)	1.05 (0.75, 1.47)	1.00 (0.71, 1.41)	0.02 (-1.57, 1.62)	0.23 (-1.32, 1.77)	-0.01 (-1.59, 1.57)
Sanitation	972	4.2%	0.94 (0.64, 1.37)	0.97 (0.69, 1.36)	0.92 (0.63, 1.34)	-0.29 (-1.93, 1.35)	-0.15 (-1.63, 1.33)	-0.36 (-1.98, 1.26)
Handwashing	977	5.7%	1.27 (0.90, 1.80)	1.31 (0.95, 1.82)	1.24 (0.87, 1.76)	1.22 (-0.66, 3.10)	1.40 (-0.39, 3.19)	1.08 (-0.83, 2.98)
WSH	941	3.8%	0.85 (0.55, 1.31)	0.82 (0.52, 1.28)	0.80 (0.50, 1.28)	-0.68 (-2.41, 1.04)	-0.83 (-2.58, 0.92)	-0.91 (-2.71, 0.90)
Nutrition	863	4.8%	1.05 (0.71, 1.57)	1.12 (0.77, 1.62)	1.08 (0.72, 1.62)	0.24 (-1.62, 2.10)	0.51 (-1.26, 2.28)	0.37 (-1.56, 2.30)
Nutrition + WSH	933	6.6%	1.47 (0.97, 2.25)	1.51 (1.04, 2.21)	1.53 (1.02, 2.29)	2.14 (-0.44, 4.71)	2.30 (-0.06, 4.67)	2.37 (-0.21, 4.95)

[a] Adjustment covariates considered include ID of the lab staff member who performed the Kato-Katz analysis, month of measurement, child age, sex and birthorder, mother's age, height and education, household food insecurity, number of children <18 years in household, number of individuals in compound, distance to the household's drinking water source, housing materials and assets. The adjusted model for each outcome includes covariates associated with the outcome at p<0.2 level in bivariate analysis.

[b] Inverse probability of censoring weighting. Adjustment covariates considered include the variables above except for ID of the lab staff member who performed the Kato-Katz analysis, month of measurement, child age, sex and birth order since this information is not available for individuals lost to follow-up. An indicator variable distinguishing index vs. non-index child status was included as a proxy for age.

[c] Could not calculate due to sparse data.