Supplementary Information

Metal-organic frameworks with photocatalytic bactericidal activity for integrated air cleaning

Li et al.

Supplementary Methods

Anatase TiO₂ (25 nm, 99.8%) and ZnO (50 nm, 99.8%) were purchased from Macklin Biochemical Co. Ltd. Nutrient broth (NB, BR) and nutrient agar (NA, BR) were received from Beijing Aoboxing biotech Company (Beijing, China). *E. coli* (CPCC 100522 (Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences) were selected as bacterial strains. All other chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers including J&K Scientific Co. Ltd., Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd., Energy Chemical Co. Ltd., Beijing Chemical Reagent Company, and used without further purification. Non-woven fabric employed in this work is the spunlaced non-woven fabric with polyethylene (PE) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) (1:2). It has a fiber diameter of ca. 12 µm, thickness of 0.190 mm, density of 1.4 g cm⁻³, maximum pore size of 116.6 µm, average pore size of 56.1 µm and porosity of 69.7%.



Supplementary Figure 1 PXRD patterns of MIL-100(Fe), NH₂-MIL-125(Ti), NH₂-UIO-66, ZIF-11

and ZIF-8.



Supplementary Figure 2 a FT-IR spectra, **b** TG curve, **c** N₂ sorption isotherms measured at 77 K, **d** SEM image and size distribution (insert), **e-h** elemental mapping analysis, **i** TEM image of ZIF-8.



Supplementary Figure 3. Photocatalytic disinfection performance of ZIF-8 at different dosage levels. The error bars are calculated *via* repeating the measurements for three times.



Supplementary Figure 4. Confocal fluorescence images of *E. coli* stained with N01 (live cells, green fluorescence) and PI (dead cells, red fluorescence), after cells $(10^7 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1})$ photocatalytically treated by ZIF-8 (500 mg L⁻¹) under sunlight irradiation for 0 min, 30 min, 60 min, 90 min and 120 min respectively.



Supplementary Figure 5. Regrowth test of *E. coli* after photocatalytically treated by ZIF-8. The 1^{st} , 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} incubation indicate regrowth at 0 h, 24 h and 48 h after photo disinfection experiment.



Supplementary Figure 6. PXPD patterns of simulated and as-synthesized ZIF-8 along with ZIF-8 after photocatalytic reaction. The peaks marked with pentagram represent the characteristic diffraction signals of NaCl.



Supplementary Figure 7. The optical images showing MIC measurement results.

	MIC (mg/L)	MBC (mg/L)	
	E.coli		
Zn ²⁺	31.25	250	

Supplementary Table 1 The values of MIC and MBC of Zn^{2+} against *E.coli* strain.



Supplementary Figure 8. a SEM and b TEM images of ZnO, c SEM and d TEM images of TiO₂.



Supplementary Figure 9. Inactivation kinetics on TiO₂, ZnO and ZIF-8



Supplementary Figure 10. UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra of ZIF-8 and H-MeIm.



Supplementary Figure 11. The Mott–Schottky plots of ZIF-8.



Supplementary Figure 12. The VB-XPS spectra of ZIF-8.



Supplementary Figure 13. Time-dependent absorption spectra of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) for

 $\bullet O_2^-$ detection



Supplementary Figure 14. EPR spectra of DMPO-•OH recorded in ZIF-8 aqueous solution suspension under light and dark conditions.



Supplementary Figure 15. Time-dependent fluorescence spectra of generated 7-hydroxycoumarin in ZIF-8 reaction system for •OH detection. 7-hydroxycoumarin could emit fluorescence at 455 nm when excited at 332 nm.



Supplementary Figure 16. Time-dependent fluorescence spectra of produced p-hydroxyphenylacetic acid dimer in reaction system for H₂O₂ detection.



Supplementary Figure 17. Fluorescence spectra of produced p-hydroxyphenylacetic acid dimer in ZIF-8 reaction system. ZIF-8 suspensions were irradiated by single-wavelength incident light with wavelength ranging from 313 to 375 nm respectively.



Supplementary Figure 18. a Photocatalytic disinfection performance with scavenger isopropanol to quench photo generated •OH, **b** L-histidine to quench photo generated ${}^{1}O_{2}$, **c** sodium chromate to quench photo generated e^{-} , **d** sodium oxalate to quench photo generated h^{+} , **e** SOD to quench photo generated $\bullet O_{2^{-}}$, **f** catalase to quench photo generated $H_{2}O_{2}$. The error bars are calculated *via* repeating the measurements for three times.



Supplementary Figure 19. Disinfection performance of ZIF-8 and the concentration of generated H_2O_2 versus time under the alternated light and dark conditions (irradiation in white and dark periods in gray). The error bars are calculated *via* repeating the measurements for three times.



Supplementary Figure 20. a, b SEM images of NWF, c, d, e SEM images of MOFilter and size distribution of ZIF-8 particles grown on fibers (insert), f, g corresponding elemental mapping images.

Sample	m₁ (mg)	m ₂ (mg)	Δm (mg)	
1	223.97	223.65	0.32	
2	213.17	213.02	0.15	
3	207.57	207.41	0.16	
4	218.76	218.51	0.25	
5	214.88	214.27	0.61	
6	212.49	212.33	0.16	
7	219.85	219.80	0.05	
8	211.33	211.09	0.24	
9	210.22	310.13	0.09	
10	233.55	233.19	0.36	

Supplementary Table 2. The weight change of MOFilter after continuously bended and rubbed for 1000 times.



Supplementary Figure 21. SEM images of MOFilter **a** before and **b** after bended and rubbed repeatedly for 1000 times.



Supplementary Figure 22. a Schematic representation of the test method for surface disinfection under light irradiation. **b** Comparison of the number of viable cells present in eluent used for collecting living bacteria on MOFfilter after reaction. **c** The bacterial colonies residual on eluent-treated MOFilter after photocatalytic reaction. The error bars are calculated *via* repeating the measurements for three times.



Supplementary Figure 23. The optical image of MOFilter with a large area.



Supplementary Figure 24. The optical image of MOFilter fixed in device.



Supplementary Figure 25. After photocatalytic reaction, MOFilter and NWF (samples represented by *light with MOFilter* and *light with NWF* respectively in Figure 4e) were cut into five pieces respectively, and immersed in nutrient broth (NB) solution for 20 h at 37 °C for confirmation of residual viable cells on filter (top row for MOFilter and bottom row for NWF).



Supplementary Figure 26. a Optical image of silk substrate used for MOFilter fabrication. **b** Comparison of the number of viable cells present in eluent used for collecting living bacteria on MOFilter (silk as substrate) after reaction. **c**, **d** The bacterial colonies residual on eluent-treated MOFilter (silk as substrate) **c** and silk substrate **d** after photocatalytic reaction. The error bars are calculated *via* repeating the measurements for three times.