## Supplementary Material

## **Supplementary Figures**



Supplementary Figure 1 | Longitudinal phylogenetic analysis of participants' *env* sequences using timecalibrated trees in BEAST. Viral (co-)evolution of four study participants was determined in BEAST timecalibrated MCC trees using 564 functional *env* sequences from the years 2002–2017 (HIV region 6225–7817 according to HXB2 numbering). Two trees are shown separated by a common timeline. The upper tree displays the viral evolution in a transmission cluster from Cameroon composed of three individuals infected with HIV-1 URF viruses; the lower tree displays the viral evolution in an incidence-matched control case from the same study cohort infected with a CRF02\_AG virus. The branches of the trees are color-coded according to each individual. The taxa are color-coded according to time point as indicated in the legend to the right of each participant symbol. Six SGA *env* sequences that were selected for detailed genetic and functional analyses are indicated with gray arrows and labeled, *i.e.*, three representatives of the bulk of URF sequences from #f1, #f2, and #m, as well as three representatives of the bulk of CRF02\_AG sequences from #f3 before initiation of antiretroviral treatment and occurrence of superinfection. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees; MCC: maximum clade credibility; URF: unique recombinant form.



**Supplementary Figure 2** | **Longitudinal analysis of participants'** *env* sequences in Neighbor-joining phylogenetic trees. (A) Neighbor-joining trees (Kimura two-parameter substitution model) displaying *env* sequences covering HIV region 6225–7817 according to HXB2 numbering. Separate trees are shown for each individual and the taxa are color-coded according to time point as indicated in the legend to the left of each tree. At least 20 sequences were analyzed per time point, generated using single genome amplification (SGA) or cloning. Participant #f3's CRF02\_AG sequences are sub-classified according to their relatedness to sequences prior or post superinfection (SI), the latter labeled SI. Most sequences are derived from plasma viral RNA and a few from cellular (PBMC) proviral DNA (highlighted with c in superscript after the time point). (B) Combined neighbor-joining tree (Kimura two-parameter model) displaying the four participants' *env* sequences (HIV region 6225-7817 according to HXB2 numbering) color-coded according to study participant. Six SGA *env* sequences that were selected for detailed genetic and functional analyses are highlighted in green, *i.e.*, three representatives of the bulk of unique recombinant form (URF) sequences from #f1, #f2, and #m, as well as three representatives of the bulk of CRF02\_AG sequences from #f3 before initiation of antiretroviral treatment and occurrence of superinfection. The bar indicates a genetic distance of 5%. Reference sequences from the Los Alamos Database are shown in black. PBMC: peripheral blood mononuclear cells.



**Supplementary Figure 3** | **Heterologous neutralization responses in four Cameroonian HIV-1-infected individuals.** Neutralization curves from plasma samples for #f1, #f2, #m, and #f3, shown as nonlinear regression fits of percent neutralization at the reciprocal plasma dilution. Longitudinal

plasma samples (time points in parentheses) were tested in 2-fold serial dilutions from 1/20 to 1/320 against pseudoviruses of diverse subtypes as indicated in the figure legend (HIV strains in parentheses). Virus pseudotyped with Env of murine leukemia virus (MLV) was used as negative control. Experiments were done at least twice, of which one representative experiment is displayed. The dashed line marks 50% neutralization.



Supplementary Figure 4 | Quantitative analysis of autologous plasma and IgG neutralization and cell-associated binding. (A and B) Autologous/cross-neutralization responses of longitudinal plasma (A) and plasma-purified IgG samples (B) are illustrated as heat maps (right) according to the color-coded IC<sub>50</sub> scheme of plasma dilutions (dil) or IgG concentrations (µg/mL), respectively. Time points of plasma samples are indicated in parentheses; S in superscript indicates the time point when superinfection was detected in #f3. Two autologous viruses were studied pseudotyped with Env of study participants #m and #f3 before initiation of antiretroviral treatment and occurrence of superinfection (#m-(4)-SGA and #f3-(8)a-SGA, see Figure 3). Murine leukemia virus (MLV) and IgG purified from a healthy, HIV negative Cameroonian individual were used as negative controls (n.c.). Plasma and IgG dilutions needed for 50% neutralization of the corresponding pseudovirus (IC<sub>50</sub>) were calculated using nonlinear regression fits of neutralization curves (left). Undetectable IC<sub>50</sub> values are indicated as <20 (plasma) or >500 (IgG). The entirety of plasma and IgG cross-neutralization curves, color-coded according to the virus are shown on the left. Neutralization curves were done with 2-fold serial plasma dilutions from 1/20 to 1/320 or IgG concentrations of 500, 200, 100, 10, 1, and 0.1 µg/mL. The dashed line marks 50% neutralization. Differences in mean neutralization sensitivities of viruses against participants' IgG samples are indicated by the location of the schematic viruses in relation to the Xaxis (IC<sub>50</sub>) and Y-axis (maximum neutralization). Neut max and IC<sub>50</sub> values were quantitatively compared between viruses in the boxed plots using unpaired t-tests (two-tailed) and all statistically significant results displayed. Mean and standard deviation are indicated. Color-coding was done according to pseudovirus. Undetectable IC<sub>50</sub> values are shown below the dashed line (at plasma dilution 0 or IgG concentration 500ug/mL). (C) Cellsurface staining of 293T cells co-transfected with viral env (URF and CRF02 AG) isolated from study participants #m or #f3 (as described above) and an empty (-CD4) or human CD4 expressor (+CD4). Staining of native Env was done using longitudinal plasma samples as indicated. The data points are color-coded according to the participant; additionally, the filled circles correspond to ART-naïve time points, open circles to time points where the participants received ART and bi-colored diamonds to the time points when superinfection was detected in #f3. Means and standard deviation of two experiments are displayed. Cell staining against URF and CRF02 AG Env was statistically compared using unpaired two-tailed t-tests. (D) Cell-surface staining of 293T cells transfected with env of participants' autologous viruses (as above). Staining of native Env was done using a panel of monoclonal antibodies directed against the gp120-gp41 interface (PGT151), V2q (PG9), CD4bs (VRC03), V2p (CH58, CH59), V2i (830A), CD4i (17b, A32), V3 (19b) and gp41 (F240, 7B2), grouped according to broadly or weakly/non-neutralizing antibodies (bnAbs, weakly/nnAbs). Means of three experiments are shown in stacked bar graphs, sorted in descending order (highest values on top). All statistically significant differences between Envs per mAb are indicated (unpaired two-tailed t-test); \* P<0.05; \*\* P<0.005; \*\*\* P<0.0005; \*\*\*\* P<0.0001; URF: unique recombinant form.



Infected cells (GFP+)

Supplementary Figure 5 | Detection of infected cells by flow cytometry. CEM.NKR cells infected with wildtype (pNL4.3-ADA-GFP) or Nef- and Vpu-deficient (pNL4.3-ADA-GFP/N-U-) viruses were stained with 1:1000 diluted HIV+ sera followed by second antibody (goat anti-human Ab coupled to Alexa Fluor 647). Infected cells were identified as being GFP+ by flow cytometry (BD LSR II).



**Supplementary Figure 6** | **Correlation analysis of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), cell-associated Env binding, IgA and IgG levels.** Correlation analysis was performed on three data sets, *i.e.*, all data points (**A**), means per individual and parameter (**B**), and means from time points pre-ART and pre-superinfection (**C**). A correlogram is shown for each data set with circles, sized and color-coded according to linear regression coefficients (*r*) of correlations between the indicated parameters. Asterisks indicate all statistically significant correlations according to the provided *P*-value scheme. Selected linear regression analyses are shown at the bottom, boxed in the same colors as in the correlogram above. The data points in the boxed plots for (**A**) are color-coded according to the individual; additionally, in (**A**), the filled circles correspond to ART-naïve time points, open circles to time points where the participants received ART, and bi-colored diamonds to time points when superinfection was detected in #f3. ART: antiretroviral treatment; cell stain: cell-associated Env binding; Env: Env-specific; N-U-: infection experiment with Nef- and Vpu-deficient virus; WT: wild-type virus infection.



**Supplementary Figure 7** | **Sequence analysis of viral envelope V3 and C5 regions.** Sequence logo analysis of the variable V3 and constant C5 region (aa 296-330/1 and aa 470-511 according to HXB2 Env numbering, respectively) using all functional, longitudinal Env sequences determined in the four study participants. Sequence logos were created to assess the conservation of each position of the sequence motifs. The analyses were done for each participant separately (top) as well as for the three individuals of the transmission cluster (415 sequences in total) and for all four study participants together (570 sequences in total) (bottom). Sites of divergence in viral sequences of the transmission cluster are boxed in orange and highlighted with arrows on top; aa: amino acids.



**Supplementary Figure 8** | **Correlation analysis of the V1V2 binding response.** Correlation analysis between V1V2 binding levels (six scaffolded V1V2 proteins and the average thereof) and selected immune responses from **Figures 4**, **5**, and **7**, and the presence of residue K169 and mismatch (mm) at I181 (according to the sieve analysis in the RV144 vaccine trial) in contemporaneous viral sequences. Analyses were performed using all data points from the four study participants (middle and right) or the three epidemiologically linked individuals (left) according to **Supplementary Table 2**. The correlations are summarized in a correlogram with circles, sized and color-coded according to linear regression coefficients (*r*) between the indicated parameters (red for positive correlation; blue for inverse correlation). All statistically significant correlations are indicated by asterisks (two-tailed Spearman rank, \* P<0.05; \*\* P<0.01; \*\*\* P<0.005). All significant linear regression analyses with V1V2 (avg) using the four-participant data set are depicted as scatter plots in the middle. Corresponding analyses were done using the data set of the three epidemiologically-linked participants (on the left). The data points are color-coded according to the individual; additionally, the filled circles correspond to antiretroviral treatment (ART)-naïve time points, open circles to time points at which participants received ART, and bi-colored diamonds to time points when superinfection was detected in #f3. Linear regression fits are shown for all correlations that reach statistical significance and are highlighted by a bold arrow. Sieve (V2) on the x-axis is scaled according to the presence of K169 (score of 1), mismatch at 1181 (score of 1), or both (score of 2) in contemporaneous viruses of participants. Env: Env-specific; WT: wild-type infection; N-U-: Nef- and Vpu- deficient virus infection; avg: average.

+ + = 1 = 1 ≈ = 1 = 1 ≈ = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1		166	C	S	159	160	M	162	163	164	165	R	D	168 K	K	K	K		173	S	175	176	Υ <sup>μμ</sup>	R	179		Ļ	182	<b>b</b>
	1 5 5	1 5 6	1 5 7	1 5 8	1 5 9	1 6 0	1 6 1	1 6 2	1 6 3	1 6 4	1 6 5	1 6 6	1 6 7	1 6 8	1 6 9	1 7 0	1 7 1	1 7 2	1 7 3	1 7 4	1 7 5	1 7 6	1 7 7	1 7 8	1 7 9	1 8 0	1 8 1	1 8 2	1 8 3
conserved		*	*	*		*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*							*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*
Major residue	Q	N	с	S	F	N	м	т	т	E	L	R	D	к	к	к	к	v	F	S	L	F	Y	R	L	D	T	v	Ρ
Minor residues	к				Y		v		(S)						R E	R Q	R	м	YSNHD	A				(K)			v		





Α



	V1V2 binding (avg)									
site scanning		(059/ 01)		adjusted P						
	'	(95% CI)	P	BH	Bonferron					
Q155	0.59	(0-0.87)	0.06	0.07	0.45					
F159	-0.76	(-0.930.31)	0.01	0.01	0.07					
M161	na	na	na	na	na					
K169	0.76	(0.31 - 0.93)	0.01	0.01	0.07					
K170	na	na	na	na	na					
K171	-0.48	(-0.83-0.15)	0.17	0.17	1					
V172	-0.76	(-0.930.31)	0.01	0.01	0.07					
F173	na	na	na	na	na					
S174	na	na	na	na	na					
1181	na	na	na	na	na					
K169-I181mm (Sieve RV144)	0.76	(0.31-0.93)	0.01	0.01	0.07					

Supplementary Figure 9 | Site-scanning analysis of the immunodominant V1V2 region and correlation analysis with V1V2 binding. (A) Top: Sequence logo analysis of the immunodominant V1V2 region (aa 155-183 according to HXB2 numbering) using all functional, longitudinal Env sequences determined in the four study participants. The table below differentiates variable amino acids from conserved amino acids (indicated by an asterisk; N156 and N160 boxed in orange; T163 and R178 are <100% conserved, but 100% conserved for the data points for which immune responses were determined [gray asterisk]). For the variable amino acids, both major and minor residues are indicated as present in the dataset. If the presence of the major residue was found to be associated with increased or decreased V1V2 binding (according to the correlation analysis in the middle section), the major residues are highlighted with dark red or blue background, respectively. Middle: All nonconserved sites were subjected to a non-parametric correlation analysis (Spearman rank, two-tailed) with the binding responses against six scaffolded V1V2 proteins, the average (avg) of the latter, and a V2 cyclic peptide (see Figure 7A). The entirety of linear correlations for the individual sites and the combined epitope is shown in a correlogram using circles, sized and color-coded according to linear regression coefficients (r). All correlations that reach statistical significance are indicated by stars (Spearman rank, \* P<0.05; \*\* P<0.01; \*\*\* P<0.005). Sites that reach statistically significant correlations with V1V2 binding (avg) are highlighted in light blue/with light blue arrows in the correlogram and tables. Identified V1V2 correlation sites that correspond to the sites of V1V2 selection pressure, as observed in the RV144 vaccine trial, are indicated. The multi-site analysis included the presence of K169 and a mismatch (mm) at 181 (according to the Sieve analysis in RV144). Bottom: Correction for multiple comparisons. Table summarizing correlations (Spearman rank) between longitudinal V1V2 binding (avg) and the presence of the indicated amino acid residue(s) in the contemporaneous viruses. Correlation coefficients r, 95% confidence intervals (CI), significance P and multiple comparison-adjusted P-values (Benjamini Hochberg and Bonferroni method) are shown for each correlation; statistically significant results (P < 0.05) are highlighted in light blue. Right: For site K169, which achieved significant *P*-values for both BH and Bonferroni corrections, linear regression analyses against selected immune responses are displayed, i.e., K169 against V1V2 binding (avg), against Env-specific IgA/IgG ratios, and against antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) of cells infected with Nef- and Vpu-deficient (N-U-) virus (statistically significant according to the provided r- and P-values; 95% CI shown in brackets). The individual data points are color-coded according to the participant; additionally, the filled circles correspond to antiretroviral treatment (ART)-naïve time points, open circles to time points where the participants received ART, and bi-colored diamonds to time points when superinfection was detected in #f3. (B) V1V2 site scanning and correlation analysis were similarly done for the data set on the three epidemiologically-linked individuals, as described above for the four participants (A). The results are summarized in a table displaying two-tailed Spearman rank correlations between longitudinal V1V2 binding (avg) and the presence of the indicated amino acid residue(s) in the contemporaneous viruses (see above). Sites that remain significantly associated with V1V2 binding (avg) in the three linked participant data set are highlighted with a blue arrow; aa: amino acids; avg: average; mm: mismatch; na: not applicable (due to 100% conserved sites in the given data set).



В

**∲**∕∕ #f1 ø #f2 20 ₩f3 #m

single parameter		(059/ 01)		adjusted P		
	'	(95% CI)	P	BH	Bonferron	
Neut	0.66	(0.20-0.88)	0.05	0.12	0.45	
ADCC (N-U-)	0.40	(0.13-0.62)	0.22	0.28	0.90	
ADCC (WT)	-0.01	(-0.02-0)	0.97	0.97	1.00	
cell stain (N-U-)	0.64	(0.23-0.86)	0.04	0.07	0.34	
cell stain (WT)	0.70	(0.26-0.90)	0.02	0.05	0.24	
IgA (Env)	-0.23	(-0.380.07)	0.50	0.54	1.00	
IgG (Env)	0.72	(0.27-0.91)	0.02	0.05	0.21	
IgA/IgG (Env)	-0.37	(-0.580.12)	0.26	0.30	0.90	
V1V2/CaseA2 mut3	0.62	(0.22-0.84)	0.05	0.07	0.34	
K169	0.70	(0.17-0.92)	0.04	0.07	0.34	
PVL	-0.67	(-0.880.24)	0.03	0.06	0.27	
			CD4/CD8			
multiple parameter	0.04	(050) 011		adjusted P		
	r	(95% CI)	P	BH	Bonferron	
IgG (Env) & cell stain (N-U-)	0.72	(0.27-0.91)	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	
IgG (Env) & cell stain (WT)	0.75	(0.29-0.93)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
V1V2/CaseA2 mut3 & K169	0.71	(0.27-0.91)	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	
ADCC (N-U-) & IgA/IgG (Env)	0.45	(0.15-0.68)	0.02	0.05	0.24	
ADCC (WT) & IgA/IgG (Env)	0.40	(0.13-0.62)	0.04	0.07	0.34	

C	\$\$\$\$\$
	#f1 #f2
	#m

	CD4/CD8									
single parameter		(05% (1)	0	adju	sted P					
		(35% (1)	r	BH	Bonferroni					
Neut	0.61	(0.09-0.87)	0.17	0.21	1.00					
ADCC (N-U-)	0.48	(0.06-0.75)	0.24	0.26	1.00					
ADCC (WT)	-0.29	(-0.510.04)	0.50	0.50	1.00					
cell stain (N-U-)	0.86	(0.16-0.98)	0.01	0.03	0.17					
cell stain (WT)	0.52	(0.07-0.79)	0.20	0.22	1.00					
IgA (Env)	-0.60	(-0.860.09)	0.13	0.18	1.00					
IgG (Env)	0.76	(0.12-0.95)	0.04	0.07	0.59					
IgA/IgG (Env)	-0.79	(-0.960.13)	0.03	0.06	0.45					
V1V2/CaseA2 mut3	0.69	(0.10 - 0.92)	0.07	0.10	1.00					
K169	0.79	(0.13-0.96)	0.07	0.10	1.00					
PVL	-0.78	(-0.96 0.13)	0.03	0.06	0.47					
			CD4/CD8							
multiple parameter		(059) (01)		adju	usted P					
	'	(95% CI)	P	BH	Bonferroni					
IgG (Env) & cell stain (N-U-)	0.86	(0.16-0.98)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					
IgG (Env) & cell stain (WT)	0.76	(0.12-0.95)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					
V1V2/CaseA2 mut3 & K169	0.78	(0.13-0.96)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					
ADCC (N-U-) & IgA/IgG (Env)	0.93	(0.20-0.97)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					
ADCC (WT) & IgA/IgG (Env)	0.79	(0.13-0.96)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					

Supplementary Figure 10 | Correlation analysis including the full matrix of study parameters using data from time points pre-ART and presuperinfection. (A) Correlogram using circles, sized and color-coded according to linear regression coefficients (r) from correlations between the indicated parameters (based on Supplementary Table 2) and grouped according to clinical, host, viral, binding (Luminex) or immunological parameters. Asterisks indicate all statistically significant correlations according to the provided P-value scheme in the upper right corner. In the lower left triangle of the correlogram, r-values are shown in the same color-code as applied in the correlogram; P-values are shown below in gray. To the right of the correlogram, linear regression analyses are shown for three selected parameters (X-axis) that exhibited statistically significant correlations with CD4/CD8 ratios (Y-axis), both in data sets comprising four and three study participants (see below, **B** and **C**). The individual data points are colorcoded according to participant (#f1 pink, #f2 purple, #m blue, and #f3 red); \* P < 0.05; \*\* P < 0.01; \*\*\* P < 0.05. (**B** and **C**) CD4/CD8 correlation analysis using data points of the four study participants (**B**) or the subset of three epidemiologically-linked individuals (**C**). The tables summarize linear and multivariate correlation analyses (two-tailed Spearman rank) among various viral, immunologic, and clinical parameters and CD4/CD8 ratios using data points pre-ART and pre-superinfection. Correlation coefficients r, 95% confidence intervals (**C**], significant P and multiple-comparisonsadjusted P-values (Benjamini-Hochberg and Bonferroni method) are displayed for each correlation; statistically significant results (P < 0.05) are highlighted in green. ADCC: antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity; ART: antiretroviral treatment; avg: average; Env: Env-specific; Neut: Neutralization breadth-potency score; N-U-: infection experiment with Nef-, Vpu-deficient virus; P



	CD4/CD8	CD4 [cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ]
N	6	6
R <sup>2</sup>	0.69	0.60
Slope (progression/year)	-0.13	-112.6

В

С

	CD4/CD8	CD4 [cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ]
N	7	11
R <sup>2</sup>	0.48	0.27
Slope (progression/year)	-0.04	-25.21

	CD4/CD8	CD4 [cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ]
N	5	5
R <sup>2</sup>	0.05	0.17
Slope (progression/year)	-0.07	-79.4

	CD4/CD8	CD4 [cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ]
N	8	8
R <sup>2</sup>	0.44	0.62
Slope (progression/year)	-0.08	-94.6

	Time point	Time post EDI	Time post diagnosis	ART
	earliest: (2)	<2 years	1 year 3 months	naive
#11 🖓 🥯 🛛	2 <sup>nd</sup> earliest: (6)	< 3 years	2 years 3 months	naive
#£2 🖁 🔺	earliest: (2)	< 1 year	10 months	naive
#T2 🙀 🥯	2 <sup>nd</sup> earliest: (5)	< 2 years	1 year 8 months	naive
	earliest: (1)	< 3 years	7 months	naive
#m 🗶 🥯	2 <sup>nd</sup> earliest: (3)	< 3.5 years	1 year 2 months	naive
#f3 🖗 🔹	earliest: (3)	< 1.5 years	9 months	naive
	2 <sup>nd</sup> earliest: (5)	< 2 years	1 year	naive



Slower CD4/CD8 decline per year

**Supplementary Figure 11** | **Early predictors of disease progression.** (**A**) Clinical progression of four HIV-1 infected participants during ART-naïve years of infection. Dot plots show for each participant longitudinal values of CD4/CD8 ratios, CD4 counts (cells/mm<sup>3</sup>), and plasma viral load (PVL [cps/ml]). Linear regression fits are indicated for CD4/CD8 and CD4 counts with statistics shown in the tables below including the number of data points (N), the goodness of fit ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), and slope of linear regression (progression per year). (**B**) Table summarizing the characteristics of the early/intermediate study time points of the four participants used for the determination of predictors of disease progression. Estimated dates of infection (EDI) are based on BEAST analyses (**Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1**). (**C**) Correlogram using circles, sized and color-coded according to linear regression coefficients (*r*) from correlations between the indicated parameters (red for positive correlation; blue for inverse correlation). All statistically significant correlations are indicated by an asterisk (one-tailed Spearmar rank, \* P < 0.05; n=4). Two early/intermediate time points were studied as indicated. The parameters on the x-axis are based on **Supplementary Table 2**. The parameters on the y-axis are the rates of disease progression as determined in (**A**) using slopes (decline) of CD4/CD8 ratios and absolute CD4 counts per year. The correlation analysis was done for the two most early study time points for which the full set of functional and structural data were generated (as shown in **B**). To the right of the correlogram, linear regression analyses are shown for all statistically significant correlations (shown for the CD4/CD8 data set only). The data points are labeled according to the participant. ADCC: antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity; ART: antiretroviral treatment; ave: average; BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees; EDI: estimated date of infection; Env: Env-specific; mn: mismat

## **Supplementary Tables**

Supplementary Table 1 | Parameter estimates of the discrete phylogeography models.

Bayes factor test support for discrete diffusion rates <sup>a</sup>						
From	То	Bayes Factor	Support			
#f1	#f2	<1.0	None			
#f1	#m	<3.0	None			
#f2	#f1	<2.0	None			
#f2	#m	>500.0	Very strong			
#m	#f1	>4.0	Strong			
#m	#f2	>500.0	Very strong			
Markov Rewards⁰	Mean	95% HPD Interval	Effective Sample Size			
#f1	46.02	32.5 – 62.2	3294			
#f2	38.11	31.0 – 47.6	341			
#m	61.66	38.17 – 87.4	3181			
Subject	Estimated date	95% HPD	Incidence Testing			
	of infection	Interval	reating			
#m	2001	1999 – 2003	< April 2003			
#m #f1	2001 2002	1999 – 2003 2001 – 2003	< April 2003 < April 2003			
#m #f1 #f2	2001 2002 2003	1999 – 2003 2001 – 2003 2003 – 2004	<ul> <li>&lt; April 2003</li> <li>&lt; April 2003</li> <li>&lt; April 2003</li> <li>&lt; April 2003</li> </ul>			

Markov Jumps <sup>ь</sup>	Mean Magnitude	95% HPD Interval	Effective Sample size
#f1 to #f2	0.042	0 – 0.19	3685
<b>#f1</b> to <b>#m</b>	0.623	0-2.09	1575
#f2 to #f1	0.136	0 - 1.06	2559
#f2 to #m	4.804	3.96 - 7.30	2683
<b>#m</b> to <b>#f1</b>	0.636	0 – 1.53	1178
<b>#m</b> to <b>#f2</b>	5.549	4.04 - 6.96	3534
Total Count	11.8	9.29 – 14.38	1680

Subject	Root Probability <sup>d</sup>
#m	0.52
#f1	0.40
#f2	0.08

<sup>a</sup> Bayes factor test values for significant historic diffusion-dynamics links among individuals. <sup>b</sup> Quantification of the magnitude of the inferred historic diffusion events using Markov jumps. <sup>c</sup> Estimates of the time spent in each host using Markov rewards and inferred using stochastic mapping techniques. <sup>d</sup> Root probabilities. <sup>e</sup> Comparison of estimated dates of infection based on time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA), 95% higher posterior density interval (95% HPD) of TMRCA, and incidence testing (Figure 1).

				im	mun	e res	spo	nse	25				binding											virus							clinics		HLA
а		Neut ADC (N'U	C ADCC ) (WT)	cell stair (N <sup>.</sup> U <sup>.</sup> )	cell stair (WT)	lgA/lgG (Env)	lgA/lg (tota	gG lg. I) (En	A IgA v)(tota	lgG I) (Env	lgG ) (total)	gp120 binding (avg	V3 () binding	C5 binding	V1V2 binding (av	V1V2/ g) 1086-tage	V1V2/ CaseA2 gp70 mut3	V1V2/ CaseA2 gp70 WT	V1V2/ ZM109-1FD6	V1V2/ ZM53-2F5k	V1V2/ 2M109-TTE	Gyclic V2 E	к169	K171mm I	173mm	l181mm	K169-I181mm (Sieve RV144)	K169-K171mm- F173mm-l181mm	<sup>age</sup> (1	sex f=1; m=0)	PVL CD4	CD4/CD8	Prot. HLA
#	1-(2)	0.24 10.0	0 3.40	17.14	1.93	0.00687	0.122	2 1.0	18 583.	33 0.156	6 4.960	156743.8	170610.0	8563.8	15306.4	818.3	27105.5	1417.5	5627.3	52450.8	4419.0	3730.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	1	16847 202	2 0.28	0
#	1-(6)	0.20 14.1	4 2.13	15.93	1.94	0.01124	0.13	2 1.1	55 444.	85 0.113	3.821	183058.0	185591.8	16178.5	19619.0	4742.3	20852.5	1772.0	11273.3	63786.5	15287.5	11044.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	31.8	1	76242 169	0.17	0
#1	1-(7) <sup>T</sup> _	na -8.3	4 0.12	13.55	1.60	0.00329	0.199	9 0.1	34 643.	50 0.044	3.500	103681.8	133373.5	11841.3	8673.3	4349.8	5851.5	925.8	8919.3	18044.0	13949.8	9642.0	na	na	na	na	na	na	34.9	1	0 360	0.38	0
#f	-(10) <sup>T</sup>	na 2.22	2 -4.40	8.07	1.39	0.00591	0.279	9 0.2	70 671.	31 0.046	5 2.565	76020.8	96325.3	6020.5	4841.5	2228.0	2480.5	330.5	4501.3	11070.0	8438.5	5057.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	40.3	1	0 789	0.65	0
#f	-(12) <sup>1</sup>	na 6.20	) -5.42	10.02	1.44	0.00525	0.358	8 0.1	43 957.9	94 0.030	2.845	71701.9	90728.8	4906.8	3555.6	1607.5	2518.5	454.8	3344.3	8780.0	4628.8	4144.8	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	1	0 62	0.74	0
#	2-(2)	4.48 18.4	5 3.19	22.59	2.20	0.00218	0.080	0 0.4	89 304.	59 0.249	9 4.106	168058.9	170730.0	131917.0	20796.6	1079.0	38402.0	4480.0	4445.3	72125.5	4248.0	6117.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	23.6	1	3686 709	0.76	0
#	2-(5)	0.55 19.1	8 2.67	23.33	2.37	0.00435	0.139	9 0.8	30 382.0	55 0.209	3.032	180558.9	176888.8	169644.0	52000.6	2154.5	107430.8	13689.0	11535.8	167385.8	9807.8	5269.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	24.4	1	9158 534	0.93	0
#	2-(6)	na 14.0	6 -2.19	36.31	2.03	0.00093	0.074	4 0.3	35 376.2	22 0.402	2 5.411	178594.5	176849.0	167512.0	44268.7	1239.3	87232.8	25773.0	12526.8	125015.8	13824.5	4023.5	na	na	na	na	na	na	27.8	1	6500 599	0.96	0
#	2-(10)	0.86 8.6	7 1.86	24.92	2.60	0.00158	0.070	0 0.4	32 229.4	13 0.286	3.643	189654.4	184689.5	181009.0	42438.6	1119.8	62004.5	32433.3	7730.8	143075.8	8267.8	3070.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	33.1	1	1/036 626	0.40	
#1/	-(12)	na 9.68	3 -1.99	48.27	2.81	0.00111	0.079	9 0.3	11 334.	6 0.319	4.465	186141.5	1/9187.0	177554.0	44450.4	1516.3	61126.8	35586.5	7479.3	1526/3.3	8320.5	3555.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35.8	1	0 686	0.86	0
#	n-(1)	2.30 15.2	0 0.86	21.59	2.19	0.00510	0.16	1 0.9	70 510.2	5 0.207	3.398	164481.4	159226.0	136243.0	56979.4	2402.3	134085.0	20403.8	11585.3	162765.5	10634.8	/1/9.8	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	37.9	0	10847 478	0.61	0
	n-(3)	1.1/ /.1	2 -1.57	19.67	1.84	0.00507	0.100	0.3	SI 119.	0.075	1.210	1458/4.4	128955.0	75250.0	35942.9	/34.0	78369.8	1200.5	3391.8	71002.0	2938.8	2246.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	38.4	0	9204 32	0.32	·····
#1	-(0) -(10) <sup>T</sup>	na 6.6	+ 1.09	11.02	1.55	0.00455	0.32	2 0.1	48 202 J	75 0.031	7 2 070	104691 5	77/21 9	17559.0	12010.2	1224 5	47094.0	1209.5	2010.5	57856 5	25/0.9	2929 5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	42.5	0	0 200	0.56	
#1	-(10) -(12) <sup>T</sup>	na 5.20	2 2 2 25	7 70	1.20	0.00471	0.31	2 0.1	40 5/9	6 0.037	7 2.075	78226.0	22029 5	52000 5	34664.0	67808.3	50226.9	47610.9	25469.9	-3400.5	1160.9	76256.3		10	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	50.2	0	0 856	1 9/	Ĭ
#	3-(3)	0 19.0	3 -0.61	11 23	1.50	0.00072	0.25	0 0.0	70 768	1 0.090	3.086	104583.4	44605.3	12277 5	61539.4	2369.5	90072.8	50079.0	52250.0	129323 5	45141.8	/0250.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	19.3	1	24696 44	0.38	1
#	3-(5)	0 183	5 -2 99	7.60	1.55	0.00072	0.23	3 0.0	53 449	13 0.001	1 924	85654.4	36718 5	10471.0	50109.5	1564.3	74105 5	39786.0	40560.0	110614.3	34027.3	5558.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	19.5	1	12132 520	0.30	1
#	3-(6)	1 50 20 4	2 2 67	11.74	1 94	0.00038	0.22	1 0.0	46 638	78 0 122	2 887	98958.1	46777.8	7312.8	57340 3	2414.0	83030.0	46788.8	46536.3	124847 3	40425.8	3359 3	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	19.9	1	9597 349	0.50	1
#f:	-(10) <sup>s</sup>	11.44 26.1	2 -0.30	8.98	2.01	0.00179	0.38	8 0.1	00 1302	25 0.056	3.403	131513.8	93327.5	3553.8	70432.0	2338.3	91196.0	65407.5	72716.3	128958.5	61975.5	3186.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	28.3	<del>.</del> 1	58544 50	0.02	1
#f	-(16) <sup>T</sup>	na 14.4	0 -0.97	5.25	1.54	0.00318	0.410	0 0.0	96 669.	54 0.035	1.634	54940.6	18088.5	2401.3	27507.9	903.8	41863.8	24942.5	21377.0	59291.5	16668.8	2352.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	32.9	1	0 879	1.48	1
		•																															
b		Neut ADCO	ADCC c (WT)	ell stain (N <sup>-</sup> U <sup>-</sup> )	cell stain (WT)	lgA/lgG (Env)	lgA/lg (total	G lgA ) (Env	A IgA v)(total	lgG ) (Env)	lgG (total)	gp120 binding (avg	V3 ) binding	C5 binding	V1V2 binding (av	V1V2/ g) 1086-tags	V1V2/ CaseA2 gp70 mut3	V1V2/ CaseA2 gp70 WT	V1V2/ ZM109-1FD6	V1V2/ ZM53-2F5K	V1V2/ ZM109-TTE	Cyclic V2 E	к169	K171mm	F173mm	l181mm	K169-I181mm (Sieve RV144)	K169-K171mm- F173mm-l181mm	<sup>age</sup> (	sex [f=1; m=0]	PVL CD4	CD4/CD8	Prot. HLA
	#f1	0.22 12.07	2.76	16.54	1.93	0.00905	0.127	1.08	7 514.3	0.134	4.390	169900.9	178100.9	12371.1	17462.7	2780.3	23979.0	1594.8	8450.3	58118.6	9853.3	7387.3	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	31.3	1	46545 186	0.23	0
	#f2	1.96 15.09	1.38	26.78	2.30	0.00226	0.091	0.52	1 323.2	2 0.287	4.048	179216.7	177289.3	162520.5	39876.1	1398.1	73767.5	19093.8	9059.6	126900.7	9037.0	4620.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	27.2	1	9095 617	0.76	0

Supprementary rubic =   Combined data matrix used in correlation analyses
---

 #m
 1.74
 11.16
 -0.36
 20.63
 2.02
 0.00508
 0.134
 0.661
 315.19
 0.141
 2.304
 155177.9
 144090.5
 127514.0
 46461.2
 1568.1
 106227.4
 12805.7

**#13** 0.50 19.27 -0.31 10.19 1.84 0.00056 0.235 0.056 618.94 0.104 2.632 96398.6 42700.5 10020.4 56329.8 2115.9

Data acquired from the results of this study and clinical data assessment. * Longitudinal data points for the four participants. Viral signatures from #f3-
(6) (dark green) are derived from viruses collected five months later (see Figure 1; time point 8). HLA typing was performed for at least two longitudinal
time points per participant (black) and consistent values were imputed for the remaining time points per participant (gray). Eight immunological,
clinical, and viral parameters that were selected for subsequent multivariate/network analyses (Figure 10A) are highlighted in green. The values for
V1V2 and gp120 binding are averaged (avg) antibody binding levels to six different constrained and unconstrained V1V2 proteins or two different
gp120 proteins, respectively (Figure 7A). The values for viral signatures mirror the majority of viral sequences per participant and time point. <sup>b</sup> Means
per participant and parameter from time points pre-ART and pre-superinfection. The value for the parameter age is set to the date October 2004; all
participants at this age were at a stage of chronic HIV-1 infection, before the initiation of ART, and before the occurrence of superinfection. All other
means are calculated from applicable data points (a). ART: antiretroviral treatment; Env: Env-specific; na: not available; Neut: Neutralization breadth-
potency score; N-U-: infection experiment with Nef-, Vpu-deficient virus; VL: viral load; WT: wild-type virus.

45551.3

82402.8

7488.5 143890.5 6786.8 4713.1 1.0 0.8

46448.8 121595.0 39864.9 4409.3 1.0 0.0

1.0 1.0

0.0 0.0

1.0

2.0

1.3

4.0

38.2 0 13026 400 0.47

15475 437 0.40

19.6 1

0

1