Molecular Cell, Volume 74

Supplemental Information

A Role for Chromatin Remodeling

in Cohesin Loading onto Chromosomes

Sofía Muñoz, Masashi Minamino, Corella S. Casas-Delucchi, Harshil Patel, and Frank Uhlmann

.



Figure S1. Cell Synchronization Protocol Used in This Study, Related to Figure 1

(A) Schematic of the experimental procedure followed in the *in vivo* experiments contained in this study to deplete either endogenous Sth1 or Scc2.

(B) FACS analysis of DNA content during the experiment shown in Figure 1B. A wild type control is included as well as the Sth1^{Degron} strain in which Sth1 was depleted, next to Sth1^{Degron} strains expressing either wild type Sth1 or Sth1^{K501R} from an ectopic locus.

(C) Time course analysis Scc1 levels in strains expressing or depleted of Sth1. Samples for immunoblotting were taken at 15 minute intervals following release from G1 arrest into nocodazole-containing medium.



RPL23B

RPL 19B

RPL3AA

PUG1

MRP10

MET10

CEN9

GLT

0.

POAT

Figure S2

Figure S2. Cohesin Distribution along Chromosomes following Sth1 Depletion, Related to Figure 1

(A) Cells of the indicated genotypes were synchronized in G1, endogenous Sth1 was depleted, and released into a nocodazole-imposed mitotic arrest. ChIP analysis against HA epitope-tagged Scc1 was performed and chromatin immunoprecipitates were PCR amplified and hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChip *S. cerevisiae* tiling 1.0 R arrays. Signal intensities, relative to a whole-genome DNA sample, are shown along chromosome 6. Centromeres, replication origins and coding regions are indicated. Note that due to the PCR amplification step, the cohesin pattern does not contain quantitative information about the amount of chromosomal cohesin.

(B) as in (A) but Scc1-HA levels at three chromosome arm cohesin binding sites (*POA1*, *MRP10* and *MET10*), one centromere (*CEN9*), a negative control site (*GLT1*) and five cohesin loading sites (*RPL19B*, *RPL23B*, *RPL34A*, *PUG1*, *tH*(*GUG*)*E1*) were assessed by ChIP followed by quantitative real-time PCR. Means and SEM of three independent experiments are shown to allow a quantitative comparison of chromosomal cohesin levels. DNA recovered by ChIP from an untagged strain was also quantified but remained below the visualization limit of this graph.



В



С



trinucleosome dinucleosome mononucleosome

Figure S3. Biochemical Reconstitution of Topological DNA Binding by the Budding Yeast Cohesin Ring, Related to Figure 3

(A) Schematic of the cohesin and cohesin loader complex purification procedures. The peak fractions from the final gel filtration steps were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie Blue staining.

(B) Schematic and gel image of a cohesin loading experiment, followed by DNA release by DNA linearization using the PstI restriction endonuclease to confirm the topological nature of DNA binding. The input is shown in its covalently closed circular (CCC) form, as well as following linearization (L). Supernatant (S) and beads (B) fractions of the cohesin pulldown are shown from reactions in the presence or absence of the cohesin loader, with or without PstI digestion.

(C) Micrococcal nuclease digestion of the chromatinized plasmid used as a template in the cohesin loading reaction shown in Figure 3B, confirming efficient and even nucleosome assembly.

Α





В





Figure S4. Additional Interaction Analyses between RSC, Cohesin Loader and Cohesin, Related to Figure 4

(A) RSC interaction with the cohesin loader in G1 and mitosis. As in Figure 4A, but cell extracts were prepared from aliquots of a culture arrested in G1, or following release into nocodazole-imposed mitotic arrest. FACS analysis of DNA content is shown to confirm cell cycle synchrony.

(B) Sth1^{K501R} interacts with the cohesin loader. Cell extracts were prepared, following depletion of endogenous Sth1, from Sth1^{Degron} strains that expressed protein A-tagged wild type Sth1 or Sth1^{K501R}. Sth1 was adsorbed to IgG beads and coprecipitation of Scc4 was analyzed by immunoblotting. Despite similar expression levels, protein A pulldown of Sth1^{K501R} was reproducibly less efficient compared to wild type Sth1. We do not know the reason for this difference. Based on the respective levels of Sth1 or Sth1^{K501R} pulldown, coprecipitation of Scc4 appeared equally efficient.

(C) RSC-independent interaction between cohesin and the cohesin loader. Cell extracts were prepared from the indicated strains following Sth1 depletion, or from the indicated control strains. Protein A-tagged Scc2 was precipitated and coprecipitation of Scc1 was analyzed by immunoblotting.



Figure S5. Additional Characterization of the Cohesin Loader Modules, Related to Figure 5

(A) Endogenous Scc2 levels before and after depletion during G1 arrest and release into nocodazole-imposed G2/M arrest were monitored by immunoblotting with an antiaid tag antibody (left). Immunoblot analysis of the various Scc2 fragments, fused to a Pk epitope tag, expressed under control of the Scc2 promoter at its endogenous or from an ectopic locus (right).

(B) Scc2N, but not Scc2C, interacts with and stabilizes Scc4. Cell extracts of the indicated strains were prepared after G1 arrest, endogenous Scc2 depletion and release into nocodazole-imposed mitotic arrest. The different Pk epitope-tagged Scc2 fragments were precipitated and copurification of Scc4 was analyzed by immunoblotting.

(C) Scc2C is undetectable at cohesin loading sites. Wild type Scc2 and Scc2C levels, following endogenous Scc2 depletion as in (A), were compared at four cohesin loading sites by ChIP followed by quantitative real-time PCR. Means and SEM of five independent experiments are shown. Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2C p < 0.01; two-way ANOVA test compared to Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2 wt.

(D) Neither Scc2C nor Scc4-Scc2N suffices for cohesin loading. Endogenous Scc2 was depleted in G1 before cells expressing the indicated Scc2 variants were released into nocodazole-imposed mitotic arrest. Cohesin levels at five cohesin binding sites and a negative control site were analyzed by ChIP followed by quantitative real-time PCR. Means and SEM of three independent experiments are shown. Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2 wt p < 0.01; Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2C and Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2N p not significant; two-way ANOVA test compared to Scc2^{Degron}. Sister chromatid cohesion at the GFP-marked *URA3* locus was scored in a similar experiment. The means and SEM of three independent experiment are shown. Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2C and Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2 wt p < 0.01; Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2N p not significant; two-way ANOVA test compared to Scc2^{Degron}. Sister chromatid cohesion at the GFP-marked *URA3* locus was scored in a similar experiment. The means and SEM of three independent experiments are shown. Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2 wt p < 0.01; Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2N p not significant; Student's *t*-test compared to Scc2^{Degron}.

(E) Scc4-Scc2N and Scc2C must be linked to support cell growth. The ability of the respective Scc2 variants to restore viability following Scc2^{Degron} depletion was tested by streaking the indicated strains on rich YPD medium containing methionine, supplemented with indole-3-acetic acid (IAA).









Pcf11-GFP

Figure S6. Additional Data to Characterize Engineered Cohesin Loading by GBP-Scc2C, Related to Figure 6

(A) Expression of GBP-Scc2C, next to Scc2C, was analyzed by immunoblotting against the C-terminal Pk epitope tags contained on both proteins. The faster migrating GBP-Scc2C band is likely the product of proteolytic cleavage at a flexible linker sequence between the GBP tag and Scc2C, that might be sensitive to proteases.

(B) Scc4 is dispensable for cohesin loading by GBP-Scc2C in conjunction with Sth1-GFP. Cohesin loading onto chromosomes was assessed as in Figure 6C, but including a strain lacking Scc4. Means and SEM of three independent experiments are shown. Scc2^{Degron} + Scc2 wt, Scc2^{Degron} + GBP-Scc2C + Sth1-GFP and Scc2^{Degron} + GBP-Scc2C + Sth1-GFP scc4 Δ *p* < 0.01; two-way ANOVA test compared to the Scc2^{Degron} + GBP-Scc2C strain. FACS analysis of DNA content confirmed the cell cycle stage at the time of endogenous Scc2 depletion and the mitotic arrest when the ChIP samples were taken. Note the reduced synchrony of cells lacking Scc4, suggesting that the chronic absence of Scc4 compromises cell proliferation.

(C) Cell growth as supported by GBP-Scc2C in conjunction with various putative chromatin receptor-GFP fusions. 10-fold serial dilutions of the indicated strains were spotted on YPD + IAA medium to deplete endogenous Scc2.

Table S2. qPCR primers used in this study

Name	Sequence (5' -3')	
POA1-F	AAACGGCCACATCAAATACC	
POA1-R	TCCAAGGGACTCCGAATATG	
MRP10-F	ACCCCCTCTTCCCAGACTAA	
MRP10-R	CCAGCACATTTAGGGCTCAT	
MET10-F	ACTTGTGTGGCCCTACTTGG	
MET10-R	CGACTTTGATGCCTCTTTCC	
CEN3-F	CGCCACTTTAACAAATGTGC	
CEN3-R	GCAGAACCACCGTAGCAGTT	
CEN9-F	TGTCACCTGGCTGTTTTGAG	
CEN9-R	TGGGTAATGTCAGCTGTGGA	
GLT1-F	TTTGACCCCAGCACATGTTA	
GLT1-F	GGGTGTGGAGTTTGTGGTCT	
RPL23B-F	CCGTCAAGCTAAGTCTTGGAGAAG	
RPL23B-R	CCTTAGGATTAGCGATGACACCAG	
RPL34A-F	GTCTTGTGGGTCTTGGAAACAG	
RPL34A-R	CAAAGTGTGGTGACTGTGGTAG	
PUG1-F	GCGGCAAGCTCATCCTAAAT	
PUG1-R	CCCACAATTGATTCGGTAGG	
tH(GUG)E1-F	GAAACCCTGGTTCGATTCTAGGAG	
tH(GUG)E1-R	GCTCTCATGATCACCACATCTGAC	
CIN8-F	AGGGCACAACTAGATAAACAGCA	
CIN8-R	GGGCCATTTGCATTACCTCAGTCA	

Table S3. Plasmids used in this study

Name	Description	Purpose	Source
pSM15	Ylplac204-Sth1-PK ₃	Integration of wild type Sth1-PK ₃ at TRP1	This study
		locus	
pSM16	YIplac204-Sth1K ^{501R} -PK ₃	Integration of wild type Sth1 ^{K501R} -PK ₃ at	This study
		TRP1 locus	
pSM32	YIplac204-Sth1-HA ₆	Integration of wild type Sth1-HA ₆ at TRP1	This study
		locus	
pSM33	YIplac204-Sth1K ^{501R} -HA ₆	Integration of wild type Sth1 ^{K501R} -HA ₆ at	This study
		TRP1 locus	
pSM51	YIplac204-Sth1-PA ₂	Integration of wild type Sth1-PA ₂ at TRP1	This study
		locus	
pSM52	YIplac204-Sth1K ^{501R} -PA ₂	Integration of wild type Sth1 ^{K501R} -PA ₂ at	This study
		TRP1 locus	
pCCD51	pBS-KSII-cut2-ARS1	Substrate for the cohesin loading assay	This study
	pCDFduet.H2A-H2B	Histone purification	Kurat et
			<i>al.</i> , 2017
	pETduet.H3-H4	Histone purification	Kurat et
			<i>al.</i> , 2017
pCFK1	pGEX-Nap1	Nap1 purification	Kurat et
			<i>al.</i> , 2017
pSM2	pRS303-Scc2-PK₃	Integration of wild type Scc2-PK ₃ at <i>HIS3</i>	This study
		locus	
pSM4	pRS303-Scc2-C-PK₃	Integration of Scc2-C-PK ₃ at <i>HIS3</i> locus	This study
pSM8	pRS303-Scc2-N-PK₃	Integration of Scc2-N-PK ₃ at <i>HIS3</i> locus	This study
pSM11	pRS304-Scc2-N-PK₃	Integration of Scc2-N-PK ₃ at <i>TRP1</i> locus	This study
pSM30	pRS303-Scc2-HA ₆	Integration of wild type Scc2-HA ₆ at HIS3	This study
		locus	
pSM31	pRS303-Scc2-C-HA ₆	Integration of Scc2-C-HA ₆ at HIS3 locus	This study
pSM34	pRS303-Scc2-N-HA ₆	Integration of Scc2-N-HA6 at HIS3 locus	This study
pSM50	pRS303-GBP-Scc2-C-PK₃	Integration of Scc2-GBP-C-PK ₃ at <i>HIS3</i>	This study
		locus	