

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Occupational distress raises the risk of alcohol use, bingeeating, sleep problems and ill health: cross-sectional study of UK medical doctors

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2018-027362 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 18-Oct-2018 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Medisauskaite, Asta; UCL, Research Department of Medical Education Kamau, Caroline; Birkbeck, University of London, Department of Organizational Psychology |
| Keywords: | Health & safety < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, MENTAL HEALTH, OCCUPATIONAL & INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE, Substance misuse < PSYCHIATRY, Eating disorders < PSYCHIATRY |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Occupational distress raises the risk of alcohol use, binge-eating, sleep problems and ill health: cross-sectional study of UK medical doctors

Asta Medisauskaite, PhD1 & Caroline Kamau, PhD2

¹ Research Department of Medical Education, UCL Medical School Room, GF/644, Royal Free Hospital, NW3 2PF.

² Birkbeck Centre for Medical Humanities, Birkbeck, University of London, Malet Street, London, WC1E 7HX.

Corresponding author: Asta Medisauskaite, Research Department of Medical Education, UCL Medical School Room GF/644, Royal Free Hospital, NW3 2PF. E-mail address:

a.medisauskaite@ucl.ac.uk. Telephone number: +44 2031086654

Abstract

Objectives. This study aims to assess the prevalence of health problems (e.g. sleep problems, binge-eating, substance use, and ill health) among UK doctors and to investigate whether doctors suffering from occupational distress have an increased risk of these health problems.

Design. This study reports the analysis of data collected at the baseline stage of a randomised controlled trial (protocol #NCT02838290).

Setting. Doctors were invited from medical Royal Colleges, the British Medical Association's research panel members, and a random selection of NHS trusts across various UK regions.

Participants: 417 UK doctors with an equivalent split of gender (48% males) and seniority (49% consultants).

Main Outcomes and Measures: Outcomes were sleep problems (e.g. insomnia), substance use (e.g. binge-drinking), ill health (e.g. backache) and binge-eating (e.g. uncontrollable eating). Predictor variables were occupational distress (psychiatric morbidity, burnout, job effort, worklife imbalance, coping with stress through self-blame or substances) and work factors (working in a hospital and years practicing medicine).

Results: 44% of doctors binge-drank and 5% met the criteria for alcohol dependence; 24-29% experienced negative emotions after overeating and 8% had a binge-eating disorder; 20-61% had some type of sleep problems and 12% had severe/moderate insomnia; 69% had fatigue and 19-29% experienced other types of ill health problems. The results show that occupational distress and job factors increases the odds of doctors using substances, having sleep problems, frequent symptoms of ill health, and binge-eating. For example, burnout increased the risk of all types of sleep problems, e.g. difficulty falling/staying asleep, insomnia ($OR \ge 1.344$; p ≤ 0.036).

Conclusion. Early recognition of occupational distress can prevent health problems, such as insomnia, binge-eating, substance use, ill health symptoms, among UK doctors. Even taking into consideration whether or not a doctor works in a hospital, the risk of health problems still rises when doctors have signs of occupational distress.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study exploring a wide range of health problems among UK doctors and examining work-related risk factors;
- The study was piloted doctors were consulted before the study started;
- This is a cross-sectional study and therefore it is not possible to conclude on causation;
- It was not possible to calculate the response rate as it was not clear if all trusts and royal colleges who agreed to take part in this study actually distributed the invitation and to how many doctors.

Introduction

Doctors experience higher levels of occupational distress than the general population [1] but little is known about the impact on the health of doctors. Little is known about whether occupational distress raises the risk of doctors using alcohol or drugs, as has been observed in the general population, [2] or presenting with symptoms of ill health such as daily or frequent headaches, backache, or insomnia. This study contributes new evidence to the literature by evaluating the health consequences of occupational distress for doctors. Occupational distress may be described as a syndrome comprising of burnout, job effort-reward imbalance, work-life imbalance, stress, depression, psychiatric morbidity, maladaptive coping strategies and other symptoms.[3,4] Although doctors are generally healthier than the general population,[5] they suffer higher levels of occupational distress than the average worker in the general population [1] making it useful to investigate the impact of different types of occupational distress on the relative risk of three dimensions of doctors' health: (a) behaviours that have an impact on doctors' health such as substance use and binge-eating; (b) trouble falling or staying asleep, and insomnia; and (c) daily or weekly symptoms of ill health such as headaches, backache, or fatigue. The other innovation of the current study is that, whereas many previous studies have sampled US doctors [6] or individual specialties such as oncology [4] and surgery, [7] this study sheds new light on the impact of occupational distress on health problems among UK doctors.

Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors using alcohol or drugs?

Workers experiencing occupational distress are more likely to regularly drink alcohol, bingedrink or use drugs as a method of coping.[2,8] Doctors, on average, report equivalent or lower rates of alcohol abuse than the general population [5] but daily alcohol consumption or bingedrinking that does not meet the threshold for defining alcoholism is still problematic,[9,10] and symptomatic of psychological distress. In the US, 10% of doctors drink alcohol daily and 8% report severe alcohol or drug misuse or dependence at some point in their lives.[6] Prescription drug abuse is particularly problematic because doctors are up to 5 times more likely to use prescription drugs than the general population due to easier access or familiarity with prescription drugs, e.g. 24% of US doctors use benzodiazepine and 40% use minor opiates.[6] There are many reasons why doctors drink alcohol or use drugs – not all of which are to do with

being distressed – therefore research is needed to clarify if doctors use alcohol/drugs as a way of coping with occupational distress. The coping function of alcohol/drug use among doctors, as with the general population, is plausible because alcohol and prescription drugs such as benzodiazepine and opiates have psychoactive properties. Occupational distress is known to predict alcohol misuse in the general population [2] but little is known about whether, for example, doctors with high levels of burnout are at greater risk of using prescription drugs or alcohol, and whether other types of occupational distress (e.g. work-life imbalance, psychiatric morbidity, negative coping strategies) have similar effects. Little is also known about the impact of other job factors on the risk of doctors engaging in substance use such as working in a hospital versus practising medicine in the community.

Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors' binge-eating?

Like alcohol or drug use, binge-eating is more prevalent among workers experiencing occupational distress [11] but, unlike substance use, little is known about binge-eating rates and risk factors among doctors. Binge-eating can be defined as eating a larger amount of food than most people in one sitting and finding oneself unable to control ones eating.[12] Binge-eating, like alcohol or drug use, is a common method of coping with psychological distress because eating offers an initial sense of comfort.[13,14] That is, however, followed by feelings of shame or guilt, thus exacerbating distress.[14] This is one of the first studies to assess the prevalence of binge-eating among UK doctors and to offer insights into whether doctors who binge-eat experience unpleasant emotions after binging. This study will also offer new insights into the impact of different types of occupational distress (e.g. burnout or work-life imbalance) which, together with analysing alcohol or drug abuse by doctors, will reveal the consequences of occupational distress for doctors' health-related behaviours.

Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors having trouble sleeping or insomnia?

There have been calls for research into the connection between sleep disturbances and doctors' health [15] but most previous studies have focused on sleep deprivation rather than sleep disturbances that have a psychological aetiology e.g. trouble falling/staying asleep due to worry or insomnia. Sleep deprivation can be defined as the lack of the opportunity to sleep, or more simply as sleeping too few hours each day. Sleep deprivation is associated with

depression,[16,17] burnout,[18] suicide risk,[17] relationship difficulties [17] and immunity or cardiovascular health problems [19] among doctors but sleep deprivation in itself is not necessarily a sign of psychological distress. Doctors who work long shifts [20] or lack block-scheduled shifts [21] sleep too few hours because they have no choice. Therefore, rather than measuring sleep deprivation, this study measured types of sleep disturbance with a psychological aetiology such as trouble falling asleep, waking up prematurely because of worrying about work, and insomnia. It is plausible that the risk of these types of sleep disturbance is higher among doctors suffering from work-life imbalance, psychiatric morbidity, and other types of occupational distress such as coping with problems at work by blaming oneself or using substances. This study will evaluate whether UK doctors suffering from occupational distress have an increased risk of sleep disturbances and insomnia.

Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors presenting with ill health?

There is some research about the physical health of doctors in some specialties (e.g. oncology)[4] but research is needed to assess whether occupational distress predicts the risk of doctors suffering from daily or frequent headaches, gastrointestinal problems, and other physical symptoms. Physical health has a complex range of causes (e.g. health behaviours, genetics and infections) but people who are distressed are more susceptible to infections because psychological distress weakens the immune system.[22] The important question, therefore, is the relative risk of ill health symptoms, comparing doctors with and without occupational distress. We recognise that the physical health of doctors is shaped by a complex range of factors – only one of which is occupational distress – because working in a clinical environment can pose some risk to physical health.[23] This study is one of the first to shed light on the impact of occupational distress on ill health symptoms among UK doctors.

Study aims

This study aims to assess whether doctors suffering from occupational distress have an increased risk of (i) using alcohol or drugs; (ii) binge-eating; (iii) having sleep problems or insomnia; and (iv) presenting with daily or frequent symptoms of ill health such as headaches, nausea, and fatigue. This study will extend previous literature about occupational distress in medicine, which has focused on burnout and psychiatric morbidity,[3] by measuring and defining occupational distress in additional ways, e.g. work-life imbalance, job effort, coping with stress through self-

blame or through substance use, drawing on previous literature highlighting the multiple dimensions of occupational distress.[4] This study will also provide new insight into the prevalence of alcohol/drug use, binge-eating, sleep problems and symptoms of ill health among UK doctors, extending previous research about doctors from other countries or individual specialties.[4,7]

Method

Patient and public involvement statement

Before the main study 15 doctors took part in a pilot study. Using online software (*Qualtrics*) the pilot study sought doctors' feedback about the relevance and clarity of questionnaires about occupational distress and health. The questionnaires were valid, reliable and selected from previous literature but it was important to utilise feedback from doctors about whether the types of occupational distress and health/health-related behaviours to be measured were relevant to doctors, and whether the instructions were clear. Doctors who answered open ended questions in the pilot study gave generally positive feedback. Feedback from the doctors resulted in some minor amendments to the wording of demographic questions, questionnaire instructions and the number of questionnaires was reduced to eliminate similar measures.

Study design

The research reported in this article is an analysis of data collected at the baseline stage of a randomised controlled trial (protocol #NCT02838290) of the effect of an occupational health intervention on doctors' levels of occupational distress, health and health-related behaviours. The trial took place from July to November 2016. Due to the complexity of the trial this paper reports analysis of only the baseline data.

Participants and study size

Any medical doctor currently working in the UK was eligible for inclusion in the baseline data analysis. Doctors learnt about the study through invitations sent on our behalf by 9 medical Royal Colleges, the British Medical Association's research panel members, and a random selection of 9 NHS trusts. Doctors took part in this study online on a platform using *Qualtrics* software.

Measures

Outcome measures: Doctors completed a variety of questionnaires (see protocol #NCT02838290). For the purpose of this article, the analysis focused on doctors' health, sleep and health-related behaviours as outcome measures:

- 1. Alcohol and drug use: Alcohol dependence was assessed with the Patient Health Questionnaire [24] and the frequency and quantity of alcohol use was assessed with 3 items from the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Scale (AUDIT).[25] Two items from the Brief COPE questionnaire [26] evaluated doctors' tendency to use alcohol or drugs as a stress coping strategy. Drug use was assessed using a list devised from the Commonly Abused Drugs Charts [27] and the UK drug misuse declaration.[28] It consisted of 22 illicit and legal drugs as well as over the counter medications.
- 2. *Binge-eating habits*: These were measured using the binge-eating disorder items from the Eating Disorder Diagnostic Scale (as a scale and separate items).[29]
- 3. *Sleep disturbances and insomnia*: Insomnia was measured with the Insomnia Severity Index,[30] an instrument with high reliability 0.891. Sleep problems were items derived from the Effort-Reward scale [31] and Insomnia Severity Index.[30]
- 4. *Ill health symptoms*: The Physical Symptom Inventory [32] comprising 12 items was used to assess the frequency with which doctors experience various symptoms.

Predictor measures: The predictor variables and measuring instruments captured different types of occupational distress and job factors:

1. *Psychiatric morbidity:* This was measured using the General Health Questionnaire-12 [33] with a high internal reliability of 0.927 in our study.

- 2. *Burnout*: Emotional exhaustion from the Maslach Burnout Inventory [34] was assessed in this study and had high internal reliability, α=0.905. Emotional exhaustion was selected because previous research shows that it is the best predictor of stress-related health among the three dimensions.[35]
- 3. *Job effort:* The Job Effort scale from the Effort-Reward scale [31] measured time pressure, interruptions and demands at work (Cronbach α = 0.770).
- 4. *Work-life imbalance:* This was measured using the Work-Family Conflict scale [36] (Cronbach α =0.934).
- 5. *Maladaptive coping strategies:* Two scales form the Brief COPE questionnaire [26] were used: coping through substance use (Cronbach α =0.872), and coping through self-blame (Cronbach α =0.783).
- 6. Work experience: years working in medicine.
- 7. Work place type: doctors indicated whether they work in a hospital or other setting.

More information about the measures is presented in Table 1.

Statistical methods

Outcome variables were re-coded into binary variables (symptom present or absent, see Table 1). Logistic regression tested the impact of predictors on the odds of the outcomes using SPSS v21 software.

Results

In total, 417 UK doctors participated. The mean age was 47.23 years (SD=10.97), and there was an equivalent split of gender (48% males, 52% females) and seniority (49% consultants, 51% other). 32.7% of doctors had psychiatric morbidity and 55.3% had a type of burnout called emotional exhaustion. The descriptive statistics (mean scores and standard deviations) of predicting factors (efforts, maladaptive coping strategies, and work-life imbalance) are presented in Table 1. Table 1 also shows the level of alcohol/drug use, binge-eating, sleep disturbances,

and ill health symptoms among doctors and Tables 2-5 present results from logistic regressions predicting thee health problems.

[Insert Table 1]

How many doctors use alcohol or drugs? Table 1 shows that 53% of doctors drank alcohol ≥2 times a week, 27% consumed ≥3 drinks on a typical day of drinking and 44% binge-drank by consuming 6+ drinks on one occasion. 5% of doctors met the criteria for alcohol dependence: most doctors did not report significant impairments in their occupational or other functioning as a result of drinking alcohol. In terms of drug use, 44% of doctors used some type of drugs; 3% of doctors used prescription opioids, 2% used benzodiazepines, 5% used sleep medication, 5% smoked tobacco, 7% used herbal or homeopathic remedies and 35% used over-the-counter medicines. Illegal drug use was rare: only 1 doctor reported cocaine use and 1 doctor used amyl nitrite. No doctor reported using amphetamines, anabolic steroids, cannabis, ecstasy, heroin, ketamine, khat, LSD, magic mushrooms, mephedrone, methadone, methamphetamine or tranquillizers.

Are distressed doctors more likely to use alcohol/drugs? Coping with stress was the reason given by many doctors for drinking alcohol or taking drugs, with 34% saying that they used substances in order to feel better, and 22% used substances to help them get through stressful events. Table 2 shows logistic regression results analysing the effects of occupational distress and job factors on alcohol and drug use by doctors. The model significance testing shows that the predictors significantly explained variance in doctors using substances to help them get through something (6%), drinking alcohol frequently (38%) and large amounts (12%), binge-drinking (28%), and being alcohol dependent (28%). The predictors did not explain variance in drug use and doctors using substances to feel better (p>0.05). Doctors who coped with stress by using substances had a higher risk of frequent alcohol use, binge-drinking, alcohol dependence and drug use (p≤0.022). The results summarised in Table 2 also show that having more experience working in medicine raised the risk of a doctor drinking alcohol frequently (OR=1.036; p=0.002) but lowered the risk of binge-drinking (OR=0.970; p=0.007). Doctors who worked in a hospital were more likely to drink high amounts of alcohol on a typical day of drinking and to binge-drink

 $(OR \ge 1.672; p \le 0.044)$. Doctors who react to stress by blaming themselves are more likely to use substances to get through something (OR=1.374; p=0.039). Burnout, work-life imbalance and job effort were not significant unique predictors of substance use although the combined models were significant. This implies that occupational distress should be considered as a syndrome when understanding its effects on substance abuse. The exception was psychiatric morbidity which had a significant unique effect of decreasing the risk of doctors drinking alcohol 2-3 times or more a week (OR=0.478; p=0.019).

[Insert Table 2]

How many doctors binge-eat? Table 1 shows that 35% of doctors ate a large amount of food when they were not physically hungry, 31% ate until they felt uncomfortably full, 24-29% of doctors experienced negative emotions after overeating, like embarrassment, disgust, depression or guilt. We found that 8% of doctors had a binge-eating disorder.

Are distressed doctors more likely to binge-eat? Table 3 shows that all models tested were statistically significant and the predictors explained 8.1-17.1% of variance in binge-eating (p<0.05). Types of occupational distress that, individually, significantly predicted binge-eating were: self-blaming, work-life imbalance, and burnout. More experienced doctors were less likely to feel disgusted with themselves after binge-eating (OR=0.966; p=0.009), as were doctors who work in hospitals (OR=0.453; p=0.008). Job effort lowered the risk of a binge-eating disorder (OR=0.179; p<0.001). Psychiatric morbidity, by itself, did not predict binge-eating, and nor did coping with stress through substance use (p>0.05).

[Insert Table 3]

How many doctors have sleep problems? Table 1 shows that 22% of doctors had difficulty falling asleep, 35% difficulty staying asleep, 44% were dissatisfied with their sleep pattern, 20% were worried or distressed about a current sleep problem, and 35% of doctors' sleep problems interfered with daily functioning. Thinking about work contributed to sleep problems; 61% of doctors thought about work when they went to bed and 49% had trouble sleeping if they

postponed something they were supposed to do that day. The Insomnia Severity Index [30] showed that 12% of doctors had severe/moderate insomnia.

Are distressed doctors more likely to have sleep problems? Logistic regression models predicting seven signs of sleep problems and insomnia are presented in Table 4. All models were statistically significant explaining from 23.4% to 39.1% of variance, showing that occupational distress and job factors significantly predicted sleep problems and insomnia among doctors. This supports the view of occupational distress as a syndrome that increases sleep problems. There were also several unique effects, showing that certain types of occupational distress can, even in isolation, predict sleep problems. Table 4 shows, for instance, that doctors with psychiatric morbidity were more likely to have difficulty falling/staying asleep, think about work when they went to bed, find that sleep problems interfered with their daily functioning, and insomnia $(OR \ge 1.17; p \le 0.026)$, and burnout increased the risk of all 7 sleep problems $(OR \ge 1.344; p \le 0.036)$. Other significant unique predictors of sleep problems among doctors were: maladaptive coping with stress, work-life imbalance, and working in a hospital $(p \le 0.030)$. Therefore, unlike alcohol/drug use which required a syndrome approach to occupational distress, the risk of sleep problems or insomnia existed even if doctors were suffering just burnout or one other type of distress with significant unique effects in Table 4.

[Insert Table 4]

How many doctors suffer from daily or frequent ill health? 69% of doctors had fatigue and between 19% and 29% frequently experienced other type of ill health problems, e.g. upset stomach, backache and headaches. Only 8% or fewer doctors reported frequent (daily/weekly) non-menstrual stomach cramps, constipation, appetite loss and dizziness therefore these symptoms were not analysed using logistic regression.

Are distressed doctors more likely to have daily or frequent ill health? Table 5 shows the logistic regression results that the odds of doctors suffering from frequent ill health are raised by occupational distress together with years practising medicine and working in a hospital. The predictors explained 8-35.2% of variance in doctors presenting with fatigue, upset stomach or

nausea, headaches, acid/indigestion/heartburn, eye strain, diarrhoea, and ringing in the ears . The effects on back pain were non-significant (p=0.083). Several types of occupational distress had significant unique effects, meaning that they individually predicted doctors' health: psychiatric morbidity, burnout, coping with stress by blaming oneself, job effort, and work-life imbalance. For instance, burnout raised the odds of doctors suffering from fatigue (OR=1.804; p<0.001); job effort raised the odds of headaches (OR=1.905; p=0.030); work-life imbalance raised the odds of diarrhoea (OR=1.717; p=0.005); and psychiatric morbidity raised the odds of doctors suffering from fatigue, upset stomach or nausea, headaches, acid/indigestion/heartburn, and eye strain (OR \geq 1.930; p \leq 0.017). Working in a hospital did not, by itself, predict doctors' presentation of ill health symptoms, and nor did using alcohol or drugs to cope with stress (p \geq 0.05). More years of experience in medicine decreased the odds of doctors having fatigue, upset stomach or nausea and headaches (OR \geq 0.963; p \leq 0.024), but more experienced doctors had increased odds of ear ringing (OR=1.050; p=0.002).

[Insert Table 5]

Discussion

Prevalence of occupational distress and health problems among UK doctors

This study shows the prevalence of occupational distress and health problems such as ill health symptoms, and health-related problems (e.g. substance use) among UK doctors. The results replicate recent systematic reviews about the prevalence of burnout and psychiatric morbidity,[3] finding that 32.7% of UK doctors have psychiatric morbidity and 55.3% a type of burnout called emotional exhaustion, while providing new evidence about the prevalence of types of problems that were unrepresented in previous literature. The results showed that 11% of doctors have insomnia; 20-61% experience various sleep problems; 5% are alcohol dependent; 27-53% drink in a hazardous way; 69% had fatigue; 4-33% experience other physical complaints; 8% have a binge-eating disorder; and 24-35% have binge-eating symptoms. Drug use was rare among doctors (3% used opioids; 2%benzodiazepines) suggesting that the proportion of doctors getting drug treatment for anxiety is lower than the proportion of doctors with anxiety (14.7%).[37]

Compared to the general population more doctors have psychiatric morbidity (55.3% compared 19%),[1] burnt out (32.7% compared to 24.8%),[38] and have physical complaints such as back pain (e.g. 34% compared to 8-28% in the general population).[39] However, fewer doctors are alcohol dependent (5%) compared to the general population whereby 13.9-29.1% have alcohol use disorder.[40]

Occupational distress increases the odds of health problems among UK doctors

The results show that occupational distress increases the odds of doctors using substances, having sleep problems, frequent symptoms of ill health, and binge-eating. Even taking into consideration whether or not a doctor works in a hospital, the risk of ill health still rises when doctors have signs of occupational distress such as burnout. Previous research showed that distress,[2,41] coping strategies [42–44] and job factors [45–50] are associated with ill health problems. This study replicates previous findings while demonstrating the generalisability of the effects to a wider range of health issues among UK doctors from various specialties:

Alcohol/drug use: Distressed doctors are more likely to use alcohol, with 22-34% of doctors reporting that they use substances to feel better or help them get through stressful events. Doctors who cope with stress by using substances have a higher risk of frequent alcohol use, binge-drinking, alcohol dependence and drug use. Doctors who react to stress by blaming themselves are more likely to use substances to get through something. Having more experience working in medicine makes a doctor more likely to drink alcohol frequently but lowers the likelihood of binge-drinking. Doctors who work in a hospital are more likely to drink high amounts of alcohol on a typical day of drinking and to binge-drink. In understanding the effects of occupational distress on alcohol or drug use, the results showed the usefulness of assessing the combined effects of different types of occupational distress, but that, except for psychiatric morbidity, burnout, work-life imbalance and job effort do not individually predict alcohol or drug use. This suggests that occupational distress is best understood as a syndrome when understanding its effect on alcohol use. These findings extend previous studies which demonstrate that such occupational stress factors as burnout, depression and psychiatric morbidity, individually

predict alcohol defences/abuse.[7,51] The results also show that – for UK doctors – occupational distress as a syndrome has no significant effect on drug use. Only doctors who cite substance use as their strategy for coping with stress are significantly more likely to use drugs – and most of these are prescription or over-the-counter drugs.

Binge-eating: The risks of doctors' binge-eating and experiencing negative emotions after over-eating are raised by occupational distress e.g. burnout, coping with stress by blaming oneself, and work-life imbalance. This aligns with previous studies describing binge-eating as a method of coping with stress offering an initial sense of comfort.[13,14] More experienced doctors and doctors who work in hospitals are less likely to have unpleasant emotions after binge-eating and job effort lowers the risk of a doctor suffering from a binge-eating disorder. Psychiatric morbidity, by itself, did not predict binge-eating, and nor did coping with stress through substance use.

Sleep problems: Occupational distress and job factors significantly predict sleep problems and insomnia among doctors, supporting the view of occupational distress as a syndrome that increases sleep problems, whilst also revealing that certain types of occupational distress can also, individually, predict sleep problems or insomnia among doctors. Previous research show that physicians with high burnout, for example, are more likely to experience sleep related problems.[52] This study extends our understanding of the risk factors and shows that burnout, psychiatric morbidity, maladaptive coping strategies, work-life imbalance, and working in a hospital increase the risk of sleep problems. This means that the risk of sleep problems or insomnia exists even if doctors are suffering from just one of these types of occupational distress. The sleep problems include trouble falling/staying sleep, worrying about work when trying to sleep, and finding that sleep problems interfere with daily functioning.

Daily or frequent ill health: Occupational distress increases the odds of doctors suffering from fatigue, upset stomach or nausea, headaches, acid/indigestion or heartburn, eye strain, diarrhoea, and ringing in the ears but not back pain. Psychiatric morbidity, burnout, coping with stress by blaming oneself, job effort, and work-life imbalance each, as individual

predictors, also raise the odds of doctors suffering from frequent ill health. These findings extend previous studies which investigate how doctors' work conditions link to their ill health [23] by showing that occupational distress also increases the risk of ill health problems. Doctors who work in a hospital do not have increased odds of ill health symptoms, and nor do doctors who use substances to cope with stress. More experienced doctors have lower odds of fatigue, upset stomach or nausea and headaches, but are at a greater risk of suffering from ringing ears.

Strengths and weaknesses of the study and future research

This study is the first to explore the impact of occupational distress and work factors on the risk of health problems (sleep problems, substance use, ill health and binge-eating) among UK doctors from various specialties. It was not possible, however, to calculate the response rate for this study as it was not clear if all trusts and royal colleges who agreed to take part in this study actually distributed the invitation and to how many doctors. This study is a part of the bigger study which used "a gold standard" design and was piloted by consulting doctors. The weakness of this study, however, is not using a longitudinal design which would let us to conclude on causation between occupational distress and health problems – but this would be difficult to do because occupational distress is often a continually occurring phenomenon with seldom a clear starting point. We encourage future research about work factors not measured in the current study, for example, how the risk of gastrointestinal problems among doctors changes with patient caseload or infection exposure and how the risk of ringing ears changes with exposure to repeated equipment noise in hospitals.

The meaning of the study: possible explanations and implications for clinicians and policymakers

We recommend that occupational health services for doctors recognise (1) the prevalence of occupational distress among doctors; (2) that occupational distress increases the risk of health problem among doctors; and (3) the need to provide early interventions for doctors suffering from signs of occupational distress to prevent them from adopting negative health behaviours such as binge-drinking or eating, and to prevent doctors from suffering the long-term health effects of sleep disturbances and frequent ill health.

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to all the doctors who kindly agreed to take part in this study and the organisations who helped us to reach their doctors.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The BEI School Ethics Committee at Birkbeck, University of London, approved the study in May 2016. Participants voluntarily consented to take part in this study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

AM and CK participated in the conception and design of the study. AM collected and analysed data. AM and CK were involved in the interpretation of the data and preparation of this article. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data sharing

No additional data available

References

- Goodwin L, Ben-Zion I, Fear NT, *et al.* Are reports of psychological stress higher in occupational studies? A systematic review across occupational and population based studies. *PLoS One* 2013;**8**. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078693
- Ahola K, Honkonen T, Pirkola S, *et al.* Alcohol dependence in relation to burnout among the Finnish working population. *Addiction* 2006;**101**:1438–43. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01539.x
- Imo UO. Burnout and psychiatric morbidity among doctors in the UK: a systematic literature review of prevalence and associated factors. *BJPsych Bull* Published Online First: 2017. doi:10.1192/pb.bp.116.054247

- 4 Medisauskaite A, Kamau C. Prevalence of oncologists in distress: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychooncology* Published Online First: 2017. doi:10.1002/pon.4382
- Merlo LJ, Gold MS. Prescription opioid abuse and dependence among physicians: Hypotheses and treatment. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 2008;**16**:181–94. doi:10.1080/10673220802160316
- 6 Hughes, H. P, Brandenburg, C. N, Baldwin, L. D, *et al.* Prevalence of Substance Use Among US Physicians. *JAMA* 1992;47:771–3.
- Oreskovich MR, Kaups KL, Balch CM, *et al.* Prevalence of Alcohol Use Disorders Among American Surgeons. *Arch Surg* 2012;**147**:168. doi:10.1001/archsurg.2011.1481
- 8 Leiter MP, Hakanen JJ, Ahola K, *et al.* Organizational predictors and health consequences of changes in burnout: A 12-year cohort study. *J Organ Behav* 2012;:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1002/job.1830
- 9 NHS. Alcohol misuse NHS. https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/alcohol-misuse/ (accessed 26 Sep 2018).
- NHS. Binge drinking NHS. https://www.nhs.uk/live-well/alcohol-support/binge-drinking-effects/ (accessed 26 Sep 2018).
- Pena Gralle APB, Barbosa Moreno A, Lopes Juvanhol L, *et al.* Job strain and binge eating among Brazilian workers participating in the ELSA-Brasil study: does BMI matter? *J Occup Health* 2017;**59**:247–55. doi:10.1539/joh.16-0157-OA
- Waller D. Binge eating. *BMJ* 2001;**322**:343. doi:10.1136/BMJ.322.7282.343
- Macht M, Simons G. Emotions and eating in everyday life. *Appetite* 2000;**35**:65–71. doi:10.1006/appe.2000.0325
- McManus F, Waller Gi. A functional analysis of binge-eating. *Clin Psychol Rev* 1995;**15**:845–63. doi:10.1016/0272-7358(95)00042-9
- Kamau C. Safe working hours protect doctors from sleep deprivation. *BMJ rapid response* 2017;:j4547. doi:10.1136/bmj.j4547
- Kalmbach DA, Arnedt JT, Song PX, *et al.* Sleep Disturbance and Short Sleep as Risk Factors for Depression and Perceived Medical Errors in First-Year Residents. *Sleep* 2017;**40**. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsw073
- Eddy R. Sleep deprivation among physicians. *B C Med J* 2005;**47**:176–80.
- Aldrees TM, Aleissa S, Zamakhshary M, *et al.* Physician well-being: Prevalence of burnout and associated risk factors in a tertiary hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Ann Saudi Med* 2013;**33**:451–6. doi:10.5144/0256-4947.2013.451
- Tobaldini E, Cogliati C, Fiorelli EM, *et al.* One night on-call: Sleep deprivation affects cardiac autonomic control and inflammation in physicians. *Eur J Intern Med* 2013;**24**:664–70. doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2013.03.011

- Anderson C, Sullivan JP, Flynn-Evans EE, *et al.* Deterioration of Neurobehavioral Performance in Resident Physicians During Repeated Exposure to Extended Duration Work Shifts. *Sleep* 2012;**35**:1137–46. doi:10.5665/sleep.2004
- Bordley J, Agustin AG, Ahmed MA, *et al.* Restoration of resident sleep and wellness with block scheduling. *Med Educ* 2017;**51**:1241–9. doi:10.1111/medu.13392
- Cohen S, Williamson GM. Stress and infectious disease in humans. *Psychol Bull* 1991;**109**:5–24. doi:10.1037//0033-2909.109.1.5
- Vijendren A, Yung M, Sanchez J. Occupational health issues amongst UK doctors: A literature review. *Occup Med (Chic Ill)* 2015;65:519–28. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqv088
- Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Kroenke K, *et al.* Validity and utility of the PRIME-MD Patient Health Questionnaire in assessment of 3000 obstetric-gynecologic patients: The PRIME-MD Patient Health Questionnaire Obstetrics-Gynecology Study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2000;**183**:759–69. doi:10.1067/mob.2000.106580
- Babor TF, Higgins-Biddle JC, Saunders JB, *et al.* The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Guidelines for Use in Primary Care. *World Heal Organ* 2001;:pp1-40. doi:10.1177/0269881110393051
- Carver CS. You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: Consider the Brief COPE. Int. J. Behav. Med. 1997;4:92–100. doi:10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401 6
- National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). Commonly Abused Drugs Charts. 2016.https://www.drugabuse.gov/drugs-abuse/commonly-abused-drugs-charts (accessed 16 Jan 2016).
- 28 Office for National Statistics. User Guide to Drug Misuse Statistics Contents. 2015.
- Stice E, Telch CF, Rizvi SL. Development and validation of the Eating Disorder Diagnostic Scale: a brief self-report measure of anorexia, bulimia, and binge-eating disorder. *Psychol Assess* 2000;**12**:123–31. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.12.2.123
- Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the insomnia severity index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. *Sleep Med* 2001;**2**:297–307. doi:10.1016/S1389-9457(00)00065-4
- Siegrist J, Li J, Montano D. Psychometric properties of the effort-reward imbalance questionnaire. Germany: 2014. http://www.uniklinik-duesseldorf.de/fileadmin/Datenpool/einrichtungen/institut_fuer_medizinische_soziologie_id54/ERI/Psychometrie.pdf
- Spector PE, Jex SM. Development of Four Self-Report Measures of Job Stressors and Strain: Interpersonal Conflict at Work Scale, Organizational Constraints Scale, Quantitative Workload Inventory, and Physical Symptoms Inventory. *J Occup Health Psychol* 1998;**3**:356–67.
- Goldberg DP, Hillier VF. A scaled version of the General Health Questionnaire. *Psychol*

Med 1979;9:139-45. doi:10.1017/S0033291700021644

- Maslach C, Jackson S. The measurement of experienced Burnout. *J Occup Behav* 1981;**2**:99–113. doi:10.1002/job.4030020205
- Maslach C, Leiter MP. Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry* 2016;**15**:103–11. doi:10.1002/wps.20311
- Netemeyer RG, Boles JS, McMurrian R. Development and Validation of Work-Family Conflict and Family-Work Conflict Scales. *J Appl Psychol* 1996;**81**:400–10.
- Johansson R, Carlbring P, Heedman Åsa, *et al.* Depression, anxiety and their comorbidity in the Swedish general population: point prevalence and the effect on health-related quality of life. *PeerJ* 2013;1:e98. doi:10.7717/peerj.98
- 38 Shanafelt TD, Hasan O, Dyrbye LN, *et al.* Changes in Burnout and Satisfaction with Work-Life Balance in Physicians and the General US Working Population between 2011 and 2014. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2015;**90**:1600–13. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.08.023
- Health & Safety Executive. The role of work stress and psychological factors in the development of musculoskeletal disorders. 2004. http://www.hse.gov.uk/research/rrpdf/rr273.pdf (accessed 5 May 2018).
- Grant BF, Goldstein RB, Saha TD, *et al.* Epidemiology of *DSM-5* Alcohol Use Disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015;**72**:757. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0584
- Davey MM, Cummings G, Newburn-Cook C V., *et al.* Predictors of nurse absenteeism in hospitals: A systematic review. *J Nurs Manag* 2009;**17**:312–30. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2834.2008.00958.x
- Kataoka M, Ozawa K, Tomotake M, *et al.* Occupational stress and its related factors among university teachers in Japan. *Health (Irvine Calif)* 2014;**06**:299–305. doi:10.4236/health.2014.65043
- Litman JA. The COPE inventory: Dimensionality and relationships with approach- and avoidance-motives and positive and negative traits. *Pers Individ Dif* 2006;**41**:273–84. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2005.11.032
- Mark G, Smith AP. Occupational stress, job characteristics, coping, and the mental health of nurses. *Br J Health Psychol* 2012;**17**:505–21. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8287.2011.02051.x
- Khan A, Teoh KR, Islam S, *et al.* Psychosocial work characteristics, burnout, psychological morbidity symptoms and early retirement intentions: a cross-sectional study of NHS consultants in the UK. *BMJ Open* 2018;**8**:e018720. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018720
- Lee RT, Seo B, Hladkyj S, *et al.* Correlates of physician burnout across regions and specialties: a meta-analysis. *Hum Resour Health* 2013;**11**:48. doi:10.1186/1478-4491-11-48

- 47 Umene-nakano W, Kato TA, Kikuchi S, *et al.* Nationwide Survey of Work Environment, Work-Life Balance and Burnout among Psychiatrists in Japan. *PLoS One* 2013;**8**:1–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055189
- 48 Keller M, Bamberg E, Kersten M, *et al.* Instrument for stress-related job analysis for hospital physicians: validation of a short version. *J Occup Med Toxicol* 2013;**8**:10–20. doi:10.1186/1745-6673-8-10
- Shirom A, Nirel N, Vinokur AD. Work hours and caseload as predictors of physician burnout: The Mediating Effects by Perceived Workload and by Autonomy. *Appl Psychol* 2010;**59**:539–65. doi:10.1111/j.1464-0597.2009.00411.x
- Wen J, Cheng Y, Hu X, *et al.* Workload, burnout, and medical mistakes among physicians in China: A cross-sectional study. *Biosci Trends* 2016;**10**:27–33. doi:10.5582/bst.2015.01175
- Taylor C, Graham J, Potts H, *et al.* Impact of hospital consultant's poor mental health on patient care. *Br J Psyhiatry* 2007;**190**:268–9.
- Vela-Bueno A, Moreno-Jiménez B, Rodríguez-Muñoz A, *et al.* Insomnia and sleep quality among primary care physicians with low and high burnout levels. *J Psychosom Res* 2008;**64**:435–42. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2007.10.014

 Table

Table 1. Description of measures

| Item | N | No of Items | % of doctors with present symptom/M(SD) | Recoding (if applicable) | Measure | Measure/Original Scoring |
|---|-----|----------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| Sleep problems | | <u></u> | | | | |
| Difficulty falling asleep | 390 | 1 | 22% | | × | |
| Difficulty staying asleep | 390 | 1 | 35% | | nde | |
| Sleep problems interfere with daily functioning | 389 | 1 | 35% | 0,1 – Symptom absent 2,3,4 - Symptom present | verity l | 0 (scores showing no |
| Worried or distressed about a current sleep problem | 390 | 1 | 20% | | nnia Se | insomnia) to 4 (showing severe insomnia) |
| Dissatisfied with sleep pattern | 390 | 1 | 44% | 0,1,2 – Symptom absent (2 is included as it means "unsure") 3,4 - Symptom present | The Insomnia Severity Index | |
| Think about work when they go to bed | 417 | 1 | 61% | 0,1 – Symptom absent | ort- ard Je | 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 |
| Trouble sleeping if they postpone tasks | 416 | 1 | 49% | 2,3 - Symptom present | Effort- Reward scale | (strongly agree) |
| Insomnia | 390 | 7 | 11% | ≤14 – Symptom absent (no, subthreshold insomnia) ≥15 – Symptom present (moderate, severe insomnia) | The Insomnia Severity Index | 0 (scores showing no insomnia) to 4 (showing severe insomnia) |

| 1 | |
|---|---|
| | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| | 4 |
| 1 | |
| | 6 |
| 1 | |
| | 8 |
| | 9 |
| | |
| | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| | 3 |
| | 4 |
| | 5 |
| | 6 |
| 2 | |
| | 8 |
| 2 | 9 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 3 | |
| | 4 |
| 3 | |
| | 6 |
| 3 | |
| | 8 |
| | |
| | 9 |
| | 0 |
| 4 | |
| 4 | 2 |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | 5 |

| Substance use | | | | | | |
|---|-----|----|-----|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Substance use in order to feel better | 392 | 1 | 34% | 1 – Symptom absent | ıf COPE | 1 (I usually don't do this) |
| Substance use in order to get through something | 393 | | 22% | 2, 3, 4 - Symptom present | The Brief COPE | to 4 (I usually do this a lot) |
| Frequency of drinking alcohol | 386 | 1 | 53% | 1,2,3 – not drinking or drinking less than 2-3 times a week 4,5 - drinking 2-3 times a week or more often | ΙΙ | 1 (never/1-2) to 5 |
| Quantity of drinks on typical day of drinking | 362 | 1 | 27% | 1 – drinking 1,2 drinks 2, 3, 4, 5 – drinking 3 or more drinks | AUDIT | (daily/more than 10) |
| Drink 6 or more drinks on one occasion | 373 | 1 | 44% | 1 – never 2, 3, 4, 5 – binge-drinking | | |
| Alcohol dependence | 377 | 5 | 5% | Substance abuse was identified if any of the items were answered "yes" | The Patient Health Question naire | 0 (no) and 1 (yes) |
| Drug use | 380 | 22 | 44% | Drug use was identified if any of the items were answered "yes" | Common ly Abused Drugs | 0 (no) and 1 (yes) |

| Physical symptoms | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|---|-----|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Fatigue | 390 | 1 | 69% | | | |
| Upset stomach or nausea | 390 | 1 | 19% | | | |
| Backache | 390 | 1 | 33% | | tory | |
| Headaches | 390 | 1 | 27% | | veni | |
| Acid indigestion or heartburn | 390 | 1 | 23% | | m In | |
| Eye strain | 390 | 1 | 29% | 1,2 – Symptom absent | The Physical Symptom Inventory | |
| Diarrhoea | 390 | 1 | 12% | 3,4,5 - Symptom present | Sym | 1 (not at all) to 5 (every day) |
| Ringing in the ears | 390 | 1 | 13% | | ical | day) |
| Stomach cramps | 389 | 1 | 8% | | hys | |
| Constipation | 390 | 1 | 4% | | he F | |
| Loss of appetite | 390 | 1 | 7% | | Τ | |
| Dizziness | 390 | 1 | 8% | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Eating problems

Feel disgusted with themselves, 316 1

| T . 1 | | | | | | |
|---|-----|---|------|-------|------------------|--------------------|
| Eating large amounts of food when not physically hungry | 316 | 1 | 35% | | ıting | |
| Eat until feeling uncomfortably full | 316 | 1 | 31% | n/a | inge Ea Scale | 0 (no) and 1 (yes) |
| Eat alone because they feel embarrassed | 315 | 1 | 24% | 11/ 0 | he Bing Sc | o (no) and 1 (yes) |
| F1 4:4- 4:41- 411 | 216 | 1 | 200/ | | \vdash | |

28%

| 1 |
|----|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| - |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 46 |
| |

| | | | | | | 25 |
|---|-----|----|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| depressed or very guilty after overeating | | | | | | |
| Feel upset about their uncontrollable eating or weight gain | 316 | 1 | 29% | | | |
| Binge eating | 316 | 9 | 8% | Please see [29] details for coding | The Binge Eating Scale | 8 questions - 0 (no) and 1 (yes); 1 question – 0 to 7 days |
| Predictor variables | | | | | | |
| Burnout: Emotional exhaustion | 406 | 8 | 55% (M = 3.16; SD = 1.34) | Recoded for prevalence: <27 – Symptom absent ≥27 – Symptom present | Maslach Burnout Inventor y | 0 (never) to 6 (every day) |
| Psychiatric morbidity | 393 | 12 | 33% (M = 2.19; SD = 0.55) | Recoded for prevalence: 0,1 into 0 and 2,3 into 2; & < 4 – Symptom absent ≥4 – Symptom present | General Health Questionna ire-12 | 0 (better than usual/not at all) to 3 (much less than usual/much less capable) |
| Effort scale | 415 | 3 | M = 3.3 (SD = 0.6) | n/a | Short Version of Effort- Reward scale | 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree) |
| Work-family Imbalance | 416 | 5 | M = 5.26 (SD = 1.38) | n/a | Work- Family Conflict scale | 0 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree) |
| | | | | | | |

| Coping: Substance use | 392 | 2 | M = 2.33 (SD = 0.88) n/a | | 1 (I usually don't do this) |
|-----------------------|-----|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Coping: Self-blame | 393 | 2 | M = 1.37 (SD = 0.61) | The Brief COPE | to 4 (I usually do this a lot |
| | | <u>ر</u> | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Table 2. Logistic regression predicting substance use problems

| | | Constan | Psychiatric | Burnout | Job | Work-life | Coping: | Coping: | Work | Work pla |
|------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | | t | morbidity | (emotional | effort | balance | Self- | Substance | experienc | (hospital) |
| | | • | mor braity | exhaustion) | CHOIL | bulunce | blame | use | e (years) | (nospital) |
| Substance use | В | -2.239 | 0.304 | 0.162 | 0.096 | -0.083 | 0.092 | n/a | 0.011 | 0.053 |
| in order to feel | SE | 0.844 | 0.258 | 0.123 | 0.237 | 0.100 | 0.135 | n/a | 0.010 | 0.231 |
| better | OR | 0.107 | 1.355 | 1.175 | 1.101 | 0.921 | 1.097 | n/a | 1.011 | 1.054 |
| | p | 0.008 | 0.239 | 0.190 | 0.685 | 0.411 | 0.493 | n/a | 0.278 | 0.819 |
| | Model | $X^2(7)=10.96$ | 6; $p=0.140$; $R^2=$ | =0.04 | | | | | | |
| Substance use | В | -3.034 | 0.423 | 0.085 | 0.202 | -0.179 | 0.318 | n/a | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| in order to get | SE | 0.963 | 0.289 | 0.142 | 0.274 | 0.113 | 0.154 | n/a | 0.012 | 0.266 |
| through | OR | 0.048 | 1.527 | 1.089 | 1.224 | .836 | 1.374 | n/a | 1.002 | 1.005 |
| something | p | 0.002 | 0.143 | 0.551 | 0.461 | 0.113 | 0.039 | n/a | 0.859 | 0.986 |
| | Model | $X^2(7)=14.76$ | 6; p=0.039; R ² = | 0.059 | | | | | | |
| Drinking | В | -2.297 | -0.739 | 0.203 | -0.360 | 0.086 | -0.183 | 2.936 | 0.035 | 0.013 |
| alcohol 2-3 | SE | 1.001 | 0.316 | 0.141 | 0.256 | 0.114 | 0.155 | 0.393 | 0.011 | 0.257 |
| times a week | OR | 0.101 | 0.478 | 1.226 | 0.698 | 1.090 | 0.833 | 18.836 | 1.036 | 1.013 |
| or more often | p | 0.022 | 0.019 | 0.148 | 0.160 | 0.449 | 0.237 | < 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.960 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=123.0$ | 03; p<0.001; R ² | =0.379 | | | | | | |
| Drink more | В | -2.593 | -0.222 | -0.041 | 0.185 | -0.004 | -0.083 | 0.955 | 0.002 | 0.594 |
| than 3 drinks | SE | 0.975 | 0.314 | 0.141 | 0.281 | 0.119 | 0.161 | 0.206 | 0.012 | 0.276 |
| typically | OR | 0.075 | 0.801 | 0.772 | 1.203 | 0.996 | 0.920 | 2.599 | 1.002 | 1.812 |
| · | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work pla |
|----------------|-------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| | p | 0.008 | 0.480 | 0.960 | 0.511 | 0.971 | 0.604 | <0.001 | 0.885 | 0.031 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=29.1$ | 0; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.118 | | | | | | |
| Drink 6 or | В | -0.341 | -0.481 | 0.038 | -0.440 | 0.148 | -0.190 | 1.849 | -0.030 | 0.514 |
| more drinks on | SE | 0.942 | 0.308 | 0.135 | 0.260 | 0.113 | 0.151 | 0.267 | 0.011 | 0.255 |
| one occasion | OR | 0.711 | 0.618 | 1.039 | 0.644 | 1.159 | 0.827 | 6.355 | 0.970 | 1.672 |
| | p | 0.717 | 0.118 | 0.777 | 0.090 | 0.191 | 0.209 | <0.001 | 0.007 | 0.044 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=83.1$ | 8; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.279 | | | | | | |
| Alcohol | В | -3.171 | -0.047 | 0.037 | -0.855 | 0.162 | -0.091 | 1.819 | -0.041 | 0.095 |
| dependence | SE | 1.781 | 0.581 | 0.286 | 0.623 | 0.269 | 0.350 | 0.359 | 0.028 | 0.616 |
| | OR | 0.042 | 0.955 | 1.037 | 0.425 | 1.176 | 0.913 | 6.165 | 0.960 | 1.100 |
| | p | 0.075 | 0.936 | 0.898 | 0.170 | 0.548 | 0.795 | <0.001 | 0.153 | 0.877 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=3$ | 4.648; p<0.001; | $R^2=0.280$ | | | | | | |
| Drug use | В | -1.732 | -0.084 | 0.073 | 0.192 | 0.067 | 0.068 | 0.425 | -0.011 | -0.047 |
| | SE | 0.830 | 0.256 | 0.120 | 0.228 | 0.099 | 0.133 | 0.186 | 0.010 | 0.226 |
| | OR | 0.177 | 0.92 | 1.076 | 1.211 | 1.069 | 1.070 | 1.530 | 0.989 | 0.954 |
| | p | 0.037 | 0.744 | 0.541 | 0.400 | 0.501 | 0.608 | 0.022 | 0.287 | 0.835 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=13.9$ | 35; p=0.083; R ² | =0.051 | | | | | | |

Note. n/a – scale is not included in the analysis because predictor is a part of it. a 1= Hospital (n=239); 0 = Other (n=175)

Table 3. Logistic regression predicting substance binge-eating problems

| | | Constan | Psychiatric | Burnout | Job | Work-life | Coping: | Coping: | Work | Work plac |
|----------------|-------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| | | t | morbidity | (emotional | effort | balance | Self- | Substance | experienc | (hospital) ^a |
| | | · | mor bluity | exhaustion) | CHOIL | Dalance | blame | use | e (years) | (nospital) |
| Eating large | В | -1.831 | 0.344 | 0.127 | -0.403 | 0.176 | 0.410 | -0.094 | -0.022 | -0.040 |
| amounts of | SE | 0.935 | 0.290 | 0.142 | 0.275 | 0.124 | 0.156 | 0.208 | 0.011 | 0.271 |
| food when not | OR | 0.160 | 1.410 | 1.136 | 0.669 | 1.193 | 1.507 | 0.910 | 0.978 | 0.961 |
| physically | p | 0.050 | 0.236 | 0.370 | 0.143 | 0.156 | 0.009 | 0.652 | 0.052 | 0.883 |
| hungry | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=29.5$ | 37; p<0.001; R ² | =0.127 | | | | | | |
| Eat until | В | -2.229 | 0.064 | 0.132 | -0.186 | 0.271 | 0.297 | -0.117 | -0.018 | -0.342 |
| feeling | SE | 0.972 | 0.294 | 0.144 | 0.278 | 0.131 | 0.159 | 0.214 | 0.012 | 0.275 |
| uncomfortably | OR | 0.108 | 1.066 | 1.141 | 0.831 | 1.311 | 1.346 | 0.890 | 0.982 | 0.710 |
| full | | | 1.000 | 1.141 | 0.831 | 1.311 | 1.340 | 0.890 | 0.962 | 0.710 |
| | p | 0.022 | 0.828 | 0.359 | 0.504 | 0.038 | 0.062 | 0.585 | 0.121 | 0.212 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=22.4$ | 20; p=0.004; R ² | =0.100 | | | | | | |
| Eat alone | В | -3.111 | 0.233 | 0.213 | -0.139 | 0.112 | 0.378 | 0.089 | -0.015 | -0.386 |
| because they | SE | 1.068 | 0.314 | 0.158 | 0.307 | 0.139 | 0.175 | 0.220 | 0.013 | 0.300 |
| feel | OR | 0.045 | 1.262 | 1.237 | 0.870 | 1.119 | 1.46 | 1.093 | 0.985 | 0.680 |
| embarrassed | p | 0.004 | 0.458 | 0.178 | 0.650 | 0.418 | 0.031 | 0.686 | 0.255 | 0.199 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=21.8$ | 90; p=0.005; R ² | =0.105 | | | | | | |
| Feel disgusted | В | -2.237 | 0.079 | 0.321 | -0.313 | 0.208 | 0.335 | 0.154 | -0.034 | -0.791 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric | Burnout (emotional | Job | Work-life | Coping: Self- | Coping: Substance | Work experienc | Work plac |
|------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|
| | | | morbidity | exhaustion) | effort | balance | blame | use | e (years) | (hospital) |
| with | SE | 1.036 | 0.310 | 0.155 | 0.299 | 0.138 | 0.172 | 0.218 | 0.013 | 0.298 |
| themselves, | OR | 0.107 | 1.082 | 1.378 | 0.731 | 1.231 | 1.398 | 1.166 | 0.966 | 0.453 |
| depressed or | p | 0.031 | 0.799 | 0.038 | 0.295 | 0.132 | 0.052 | 0.481 | 0.009 | 0.008 |
| very guilty | Model | $X^2(8)=38.02$ | 29; p<0.001; R ² | =0.171 | | | | | | |
| after overeating | | | | | | | | | | |
| Feel upset | В | -2.367 | -0.187 | 0.324 | -0.234 | 0.206 | 0.337 | 0.021 | -0.015 | -0.200 |
| about their | SE | 0.998 | 0.302 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.133 | 0.164 | 0.212 | 0.012 | 0.282 |
| uncontrollable | OR | 0.094 | 0.830 | 1.383 | 0.792 | 1.228 | 1.400 | 1.021 | 0.986 | 0.819 |
| eating or | p | 0.018 | 0.537 | 0.030 | 0.416 | 0.121 | 0.040 | 0.922 | 0.231 | 0.478 |
| weight gain | Model | $X^2(8)=23.3$ | 74; p=0.003; R ² | =0.106 | | | | | | |
| Binge eating | В | -1.064 | -0.343 | 0.610 | -1.678 | 0.250 | 0.473 | 0.220 | -0.014 | 0.224 |
| | SE | 1.395 | 0.445 | 0.237 | 0.443 | 0.219 | 0.253 | 0.309 | 0.019 | 0.444 |
| | OR | 0.345 | 0.710 | 1.841 | 0.187 | 1.284 | 1.606 | 1.246 | 0.986 | 1.251 |
| | p | 0.446 | 0.440 | 0.010 | <0.001 | 0.253 | 0.062 | 0.477 | 0.458 | 0.614 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=27.08$ | 89; p=0.001; R ² | =0.163 | | | | | | |

Note. ^a 1= Hospital (n=239); 0 = Other (n=175)

Table 4. Logistic regression predicting sleep problems

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|--------------------|-------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Difficulty | В | -5.019 | 0.906 | 0.393 | -0.303 | 0.107 | -0.039 | 0.617 | -0.022 | 0.669 |
| falling asleep | SE | 1.098 | 0.304 | 0.158 | 0.313 | 0.139 | 0.171 | 0.210 | 0.013 | 0.308 |
| immig wareep | OR | 0.007 | 2.474 | 1.482 | 0.738 | 1.113 | 0.962 | 1.854 | 0.979 | 1.953 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.013 | 0.333 | 0.443 | 0.822 | 0.003 | 0.103 | 0.030 |
| | Model | | 9; p<0.001; R ² = | | 0.000 | 0.1.10 | 0.022 | | 0.100 | |
| Difficulty | В | -5.593 | 0.829 | 0.468 | -0.022 | 0.038 | 0.155 | 0.443 | 0.014 | 0.275 |
| staying asleep | SE | 1.014 | 0.286 | 0.137 | 0.267 | 0.114 | 0.148 | 0.202 | 0.012 | 0.257 |
| | OR | 0.004 | 2.291 | 1.596 | 0.978 | 1.039 | 1.168 | 1.557 | 1.014 | 1.317 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.933 | 0.741 | 0.296 | 0.028 | 0.233 | 0.285 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=79.09$ | 9; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.264 | | | | | | |
| Dissatisfied | В | -3.678 | 0.962 | 0.536 | -0.142 | -0.050 | -0.006 | 0.280 | -0.014 | 0.438 |
| with sleep pattern | SE | 0.937 | 0.292 | 0.134 | 0.256 | 0.108 | 0.143 | 0.200 | 0.011 | 0.250 |
| | OR | 0.025 | 2.617 | 1.710 | 0.868 | 0.642 | 0.994 | 1.324 | 0.986 | 1.549 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.579 | 0.951 | 0.966 | 0.160 | 0.200 | 0.080 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=85.13$ | 8; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.274 | | | | | | |
| Sleep problems | В | -5.352 | 1.047 | 0.492 | -0.303 | 0.058 | 0.347 | 0.485 | -0.016 | 0.483 |
| interfere with | SE | 1.040 | 0.299 | 0.144 | 0.283 | 0.120 | 0.153 | 0.208 | 0.012 | 0.272 |

| daily | OR | 0.005 | 2 040 | | | | blame | use | e (years) | | |
|----------------------|-------|--|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|-----------|-------|--|
| daily functioning | | | 2.848 | 1.6360 | 0.739 | 1.059 | 1.414 | 1.625 | 0.984 | 1.621 | |
| C | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.001 | 0.284 | 0.631 | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.187 | 0.075 | |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=102.7$ | 74; p<0.001; R ² | =0.333 | | | | | | | |
| Worried or | В | -6.003 | 1.342 | 0.570 | -0.414 | 0.014 | 0.267 | -0.033 | 0013 | 0.776 | |
| distressed | SE | 1.202 | 0.321 | 0.171 | 0.340 | 0.146 | 0.179 | 0.232 | 0.014 | 0.331 | |
| about a current | OR | 0.002 | 3.826 | 1.768 | 0.661 | 1.014 | 1.306 | 0.968 | 0.987 | 2.172 | |
| sleep problem | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.001 | 0.224 | 0.924 | 0.136 | 0.888 | 0.362 | 0.019 | |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=86.58$; p<0.001; R ² =0.327 | | | | | | | | | |
| Think about | В | -6.476 | 0.750 | 0.295 | 0.214 | 0.456 | 0.616 | -0.053 | -0.010 | 0.399 | |
| work when | SE | 1.091 | 0.337 | 0.141 | 0.263 | 0.116 | 0.160 | 0.227 | 0.012 | 0.263 | |
| they go to bed | OR | 0.002 | 2.117 | 1.344 | 1.238 | 1.577 | 1.851 | 0.948 | 0.990 | 1.491 | |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.026 | 0.036 | 0.416 | <0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.814 | 0.393 | 0.128 | |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=128.23$; p<0.001; R ² =0.391 | | | | | | | | | |
| Trouble | В | -3.377 | 0.434 | 0.337 | -0.273 | 0.243 | 0.460 | 0.090 | -0.013 | 0.011 | |
| sleeping if they | SE | 0.901 | 0.277 | 0.128 | 0.247 | 0.107 | 0.140 | 0.196 | 0.011 | 0.239 | |
| postpone tasks | OR | 0.034 | 1.544 | 1.401 | 0.761 | 1.275 | 1.584 | 1.094 | 0.988 | 1.011 | |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.117 | 0.008 | 0.270 | 0.023 | 0.001 | 0.648 | 0.237 | 0.965 | |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=72.32$ | 2; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.234 | | | | | | | |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work pla |
|---------|-------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| nsomnia | В | -8.627 | 1.458 | 0.597 | -0.109 | 0.035 | 0.346 | 0.148 | -0.025 | 0.802 |
| | SE | 1.600 | 0.378 | 0.216 | 0.433 | 0.191 | 0.226 | 0.270 | 0.018 | 0.417 |
| | OR | < 0.001 | 4.299 | 1.817 | .897 | 1.036 | 1.414 | 1.160 | .975 | 2.231 |
| | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.006 | 0.802 | 0.854 | 0.126 | 0.582 | 0.162 | 0.054 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=78.24$ | 4; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.360 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 5. Logistic regression predicting ill-health

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric | Burnout (emotional | Job | Work-life | Coping: Self- | Coping: Substance | Work experienc | Work plac |
|---------------|-------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------|-----------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | morbidity | exhaustion) | effort | balance | blame | use | e (years) | (hospital) ^a |
| Fatigue | В | -3.632 | 0.947 | 0.590 | 0.127 | 0.056 | 0.308 | 0.083 | -0.029 | -0.130 |
| | SE | 1.079 | 0.386 | 0.152 | 0.267 | 0.115 | 0.164 | 0.247 | 0.012 | 0.276 |
| | OR | 0.026 | 2.577 | 1.804 | 1.135 | 1.058 | 1.360 | 1.087 | 0.972 | 0.878 |
| | p | 0.001 | 0.014 | <0.001 | 0.635 | 0.623 | 0.060 | 0.735 | 0.019 | 0.637 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=10$ | 06.899; p<0.001 | $R^2 = 0.352$ | | | | | | |
| Upset stomach | В | -3.970 | 1.265 | 0.094 | 0.091 | -0.011 | 0.057 | -0.200 | -0.038 | -0.059 |
| or nausea | SE | 1.089 | 0.312 | 0.157 | 0.313 | 0.136 | 0.173 | 0.237 | 0.014 | 0.303 |
| | OR | 0.019 | 3.544 | 1.098 | 1.095 | .989 | 1.059 | 0.819 | 0.963 | 0.942 |
| | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.550 | 0.771 | 0.936 | 0.742 | 0.399 | 0.006 | 0.845 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=45.36$ | 6; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.185 | | | | | | |
| Backache | В | -2.011 | 0.218 | 0.176 | -0.172 | 0.161 | 0.026 | -0.070 | -0.001 | -0.025 |
| | SE | 0.863 | 0.262 | 0.126 | 0.244 | 0.108 | 0.139 | 0.190 | 0.010 | 0.237 |
| | OR | 0.134 | 1.243 | 1.193 | 0.842 | 1.175 | 1.026 | 0.932 | 0.999 | 0.975 |
| | p | 0.020 | 0.407 | 0.161 | 0.481 | 0.138 | 0.854 | 0.712 | 0.955 | 0.917 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=13.96$ | 6; p=0.083; R ² = | =0.051 | | | | | | |
| Headaches | В | -6.523 | 0.944 | 0.272 | 0.644 | 0.069 | 0.035 | 0.132 | -0.029 | 0.394 |
| | SE | 1.114 | 0.293 | 0.143 | 0.297 | 0.125 | 0.158 | 0.208 | 0.013 | 0.280 |
| | OR | 0.001 | 2.570 | 1.313 | 1.905 | 1.071 | 1.035 | 1.141 | 0.972 | 1.483 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- | Coping: Substance | Work experienc | Work plac |
|----------------|-------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | | | · | exhaustion) | 011011 | | blame | use | e (years) | (<i>P</i>) |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.057 | 0.030 | 0.580 | 0.826 | 0.527 | 0.024 | 0.160 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=75.6$ | 7; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.267 | | | | | | |
| Acid | В | -4.707 | 0.826 | 0.120 | 0.323 | -0.011 | 0.007 | -0.062 | 0.007 | 0.185 |
| indigestion or | SE | 1.030 | 0.290 | 0.142 | 0.284 | 0.119 | 0.158 | 0.209 | 0.012 | 0.270 |
| heartburn | OR | 0.009 | 2.284 | 1.128 | 1.382 | 0.989 | 1.007 | 0.940 | 1.007 | 1.203 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.398 | 0.255 | 0.928 | 0.965 | 0.768 | 0.549 | 0.493 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=27.78$ | 8; p=0.001; R ² = | =0.109 | | | | | | |
| Eye strain | В | -3.929 | 0.657 | -0.06 | 0.496 | 0.066 | -0.027 | 0.088 | -0.012 | -0.129 |
| | SE | 0.945 | 0.275 | 0.13 | 0.259 | 0.110 | 0.146 | 0.194 | 0.011 | 0.249 |
| | OR | 0.020 | 1.930 | 0.942 | 1.641 | 1.068 | 0.973 | 1.092 | 0.988 | 0.879 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.017 | 0.647 | 0.056 | 0.550 | 0.851 | 0.650 | 0.299 | 0.606 |
| | Model | $X^2(8) = 22.4$ | 45; p=0.004; R ² | =0.084 | | | | | | |
| Diarrhea | В | -5.574 | 0.576 | -0.155 | -0.245 | 0.541 | 0.212 | -0.020 | 0.002 | 0.148 |
| | SE | 1.317 | 0.349 | 0.179 | 0.363 | 0.191 | 0.198 | 0.259 | 0.015 | 0.350 |
| | OR | 0.004 | 1.779 | 0.857 | 0.783 | 1.717 | 1.237 | 0.981 | 1.002 | 1.159 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.098 | 0.386 | 0.501 | 0.005 | 0.284 | 0.940 | 0.877 | 0.672 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=21.64$ | 40; p=0.006; R ² | =0.106 | | | | | | |
| Ringing in the | В | -3.318 | 0.315 | 0.292 | -0.372 | -0.018 | 0.063 | -0.155 | 0.048 | < 0.0001 |
| ears | SE | 1.204 | 0.358 | 0.182 | 0.342 | 0.144 | 0.192 | 0.262 | 0.015 | 0.316 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|-------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| OR | 0.036 | 1.370 | 1.338 | 0.689 | 0.983 | 1.065 | 0.857 | 1.050 | 1.000 |
| p | 0.006 | 0.379 | 0.109 | 0.277 | 0.903 | 0.742 | 0.555 | 0.002 | 1.000 |
| Model | $X^2(8)=16.81$ | 7; p=0.032; R ² | =0.080 | | | | | | |

Note. a 1= Hospital (n=239); 0 = Other (n=175)

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

| | Item No | Recommendation | Page number |
|----------------------|------------|--|----------------------|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract | 1-2 |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced | 2-3 |
| | | summary of what was done and what was found | |
| Introduction | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the | 4-6 |
| | | investigation being reported | |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | 6-7 |
| Methods | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 7 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including | 7 |
| - | | periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of | 7 |
| | | selection of participants | |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential | 8-9 |
| | | confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if | |
| | | applicable | |
| Data sources/ | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of | 8-9 |
| measurement | | methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability | |
| | | of assessment methods if there is more than one group | |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | Not explained as was |
| | | | based on |
| | | | requirements for the |
| | | | RCT |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | Not explained as was |
| | | | based on |
| | | | requirements for the |
| | | | RCT |
| Quantitative | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the | 9 |
| variables | | analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen | |
| | | and why | |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to | 9 |
| | | control for confounding | |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and | - |
| | | interactions | |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | - |
| | | (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of | - |
| | | sampling strategy | |
| | | (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses | - |
| Results | | · · · · · · | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg | 9 |
| r | | (ii) if | - |

| | | numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, | |
|-------------------|-----|--|------------|
| | | and analysed | |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage | - |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | - |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, | 9 |
| | | clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders | |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each | - |
| | | variable of interest | |
| Outcome data | 15* | Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | 9; Table 1 |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder- | 9-12 |
| | | adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence | |
| | | interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and | |
| | | why they were included | |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were | - |
| | | categorized | |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into | - |
| | | absolute risk for a meaningful time period | |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and | - |
| | | interactions, and sensitivity analyses | |
| Discussion | | | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | 13-15 |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of | 15 |
| | | potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and | |
| | | magnitude of any potential bias | |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering | 13-15 |
| | | objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from | |
| | | similar studies, and other relevant evidence | |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study | 16 |
| | | results | |
| Other information | | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the | 16 |
| | | present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which | |
| | | the present article is based | |

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Does occupational distress raise the risk of alcohol use, binge-eating, ill health and sleep problems among medical doctors? A UK cross-sectional study

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2018-027362.R1 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 27-Nov-2018 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Medisauskaite, Asta; UCL, Research Department of Medical Education Kamau, Caroline; Birkbeck, University of London, Department of Organizational Psychology |
| Primary Subject Heading : | Occupational and environmental medicine |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Health services research, Mental health |
| Keywords: | Health & safety < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, MENTAL HEALTH, OCCUPATIONAL & INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE, Substance misuse < PSYCHIATRY, Eating disorders < PSYCHIATRY |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| Ξ. | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 1 | 0 |
| | |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | |
| | |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | |
| | |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | 6 |
| 2 | 7 |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | ч |
| | |
| 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 1 |
| 3 3 | 0 1 2 |
| 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 |
| 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 |
| 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 | 01234567890 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 | 012345678901 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 | 0123456789012 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 | 0123456789012 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 | 01234567890123 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 | 012345678901234 |
| 33333333444444 | 0123456789012345 |
| 33333333344444444 | 01234567890123456 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 012345678901234567 |
| 33333333344444444 | 012345678901234567 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 0123456789012345678 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 01234567890123456789 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 | 012345678901234567890 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 | 0123456789012345678901 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 01234567890123456789012 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 | 01234567890123456789012 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 012345678901234567890123 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 0123456789012345678901234 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 01234567890123456789012345 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 012345678901234567890123456 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 01234567890123456789012345 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 0123456789012345678901234567 |

- 1 Does occupational distress raise the risk of alcohol use, binge-eating, ill health and sleep
- 2 problems among medical doctors? A UK cross-sectional study

3

- 4 Asta Medisauskaite, PhD¹ & Caroline Kamau, PhD²
- ¹ Research Department of Medical Education, UCL Medical School Room, GF/644, Royal
- 6 Free Hospital, NW3 2PF.
- ² Birkbeck Centre for Medical Humanities, Birkbeck, University of London, Malet Street,
- 8 London, WC1E 7HX.

9 10

11

12 Corresponding author: Asta Medisauskaite, Research Department of Medical Education,

- UCL Medical School Room GF/644, Royal Free Hospital, NW3 2PF. E-mail address:
- 14 <u>a.medisauskaite@ucl.ac.uk</u>. Telephone number: +44 2031086654

15

| 1 | Abstract |
|---|----------|
| | |

- **Objectives.** This study aims to assess the prevalence of health problems (e.g. insomnia,
- 4 binge-eating, substance use, and ill health) among UK doctors and to investigate whether
- 5 occupational distress increases the risk of health problems.
- **Design.** This study reports the analysis of data collected at the baseline stage of a randomised
- 7 controlled trial (protocol #NCT02838290).
- 8 Setting. Doctors were invited through medical Royal Colleges, the British Medical
- 9 Association's research panel, and a random selection of NHS trusts across various UK
- 10 regions.
- Participants: 417 UK doctors with an equivalent split of gender (48% males) and seniority
- 12 (49% consultants).
- 13 Main Outcomes and Measures: Outcomes were sleep problems (e.g. insomnia),
- alcohol/drug use (e.g. binge-drinking), ill health (e.g. backache) and binge-eating (e.g.
- uncontrollable eating). Predictor variables were occupational distress (psychiatric morbidity,
- burnout, job effort, work-life imbalance, coping with stress through self-blame or substances)
- and work factors (workplace and years practicing medicine).
- **Results**: 44% of doctors binge-drank and 5% met the criteria for alcohol dependence; 24-
- 19 29% experienced negative emotions after overeating and 8% had a binge-eating disorder; 20-
- 20 61% had some type of sleep problem and 12% had severe/moderate insomnia; 69% had
- 21 fatigue and 19-29% experienced other types of ill health problems. The results show that
- occupational distress and job factors increase the odds of doctors using substances, having
- sleep problems, presenting with frequent symptoms of ill health, and binge-eating. For
- example, burnout increased the risk of all types of sleep problems, e.g. difficulty
- falling/staying asleep, insomnia (OR \geq 1.344; p \leq 0.036). Even taking into consideration

- whether or not a doctor works in a hospital, the risk of health problems still rises when
- 2 doctors have signs of occupational distress.
- **Conclusion**. Early recognition of occupational distress can prevent health problems among
- 4 UK doctors and in turn an impact on the quality of care by reducing the need for sickness-
- 5 related absence.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study to explore a wide range of health problems among UK doctors and to examine work-related risk factors;
- The study was piloted by consulting doctors about the method before the study started;
- This is a cross-sectional study and therefore it is not possible to draw conclusions about causation;
- It was not possible to calculate the response rate because it was not clear if all NHS trusts and Royal Colleges who agreed to invite doctors to take part in this research did send out the invitations, and to how many doctors.

Introduction

Distress suffered by doctors has significant consequences for patient care. A recent metaanalysis of 47 studies found that burned-out doctors were more likely to provide poor quality care because of reduced professionalism, and they were more likely to be associated with poor patient satisfaction and incidents that jeopardise patient safety.[1] There is a high prevalence of distress among doctors in the United Kingdom (UK), with a systematic review of 30 studies showing that 17% to 52% of doctors have psychiatric morbidity,[2] higher than the prevalence rate of 19% in the general population, [3] and 31% to 54% of doctors have a type of burnout called emotional exhaustion.[2] Little is known, however, about whether occupational distress raises the risk of health problems (e.g. insomnia, binge-drinking) that might compel doctors to be absent from work or take sick leave resulting in under-staffing and a risk to patient safety. Occupational distress can be described as a syndrome comprising of burnout, depression, maladaptive coping strategies and other symptoms.[4] The potential implications for risks to patient safety due to sickness-absence makes it useful to investigate the impact of different types of occupational distress on the relative risk of: (a) behaviours that have an impact on doctors' health such as alcohol/drug use and binge-eating; (b) health issues such as sleep disturbances and daily or weekly symptoms of ill health (e.g. headaches or fatigue). This is the first study to examine such a broad spectrum of health consequences among doctors. The other innovation of the current study is that, whereas many previous studies have sampled US doctors [5] or individual specialties such as oncology [6] and surgery, [7] this study sheds new light on the impact of occupational distress on health problems among doctors in the UK. Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors using alcohol or drugs? Workers experiencing occupational distress are more likely to regularly drink alcohol, bingedrink or use drugs as a method of coping.[8,9] Doctors, on average, report equivalent or lower rates of alcohol abuse than the general population [10] but daily alcohol consumption or binge-drinking that does not meet the threshold for diagnosis of alcoholism is still problematic,[11,12] and symptomatic of psychological distress. In the US, 10% of doctors drink alcohol daily and 8% report severe alcohol or drug misuse or dependence at some point

in their lives.[5] Prescription drug abuse is particularly problematic because doctors are up to

- 5 times more likely to use prescription drugs than the general population due to easier access
- or familiarity with prescription drugs, e.g. 24% of US doctors use benzodiazepine and 40%
- 3 use minor opiates.[5] There are many reasons why doctors use substances not all of which
- 4 are to do with being distressed therefore research is needed to clarify the proportion of
- 5 doctors who use alcohol/drugs as a way of coping with occupational distress. The coping
- 6 function of alcohol/drug use among doctors, as with the general population, is plausible
- 7 because alcohol and many drugs have psychoactive properties, e.g. prescription drugs such as
- 8 benzodiazepine and opiates; illicit drugs such as LSD. Occupational distress is known to
- 9 predict alcohol misuse in the general population [8] but little is known about whether, for
- example, doctors with high levels of burnout are at greater risk of using alcohol or drugs
- 11 (including prescription or legally purchased drugs), and whether other types of occupational
- distress (e.g. psychiatric morbidity, negative coping strategies) have similar effects. Little is
- also known about the impact of other job factors such as work experience on the risk of
- doctors engaging in substance use.
- 15 Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors' binge-eating?
- Like alcohol or drug use, binge-eating is more prevalent among workers experiencing
- occupational distress [13] but, unlike substance use, little is known about binge-eating rates
- among doctors and risk factors. Binge-eating can be defined as eating a larger amount of food
- than most people eat in one sitting and finding oneself unable to control ones eating.[14]
- 20 Binge-eating, like alcohol or drug use, is a common method of coping with psychological
- 21 distress because eating offers an initial sense of comfort. [15,16] The initial comfort is,
- 22 however, followed by feelings of shame or guilt, thus exacerbating distress.[16] This is one
- of the first studies to assess the prevalence of binge-eating among UK doctors and to offer
- 24 insights into whether doctors who binge-eat experience unpleasant emotions after binging.
- 25 This study will also offer new insights into the impact of different types of occupational
- distress (e.g. work-life imbalance) which, together with analysing substance abuse by
- doctors, will reveal the consequences of occupational distress for doctors' health-related
- behaviours.
- 29 Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors having sleep disturbances?
- There have been calls for research into the connection between sleep problems and doctors'
- 31 health [17] but most previous studies have focused on sleep deprivation rather than sleep
- 32 disturbances that have a psychological aetiology, e.g. trouble falling/staying asleep due to

1 worry. Sleep deprivation can be defined as the lack of the opportunity to sleep, or more

- 2 simply as sleeping too few hours each day. Sleep deprivation is associated with
- depression,[18,19] burnout,[20] suicide risk,[19] and immunity or cardiovascular health
- 4 problems [21] among doctors but sleep deprivation in itself is not necessarily a sign of
- 5 psychological distress. Doctors who work long shifts [22] or lack block-scheduled shifts [23]
- 6 sleep too few hours because they have no choice. Therefore, rather than measuring sleep
- 7 deprivation, this study measured types of sleep disturbance with a psychological aetiology
- 8 such as trouble falling asleep, waking up prematurely because of worrying about work, and
- 9 insomnia. It is plausible that the risk of these types of sleep disturbance is higher among
- doctors suffering from work-life imbalance, psychiatric morbidity, and other types of
- occupational distress. This study will evaluate whether UK doctors suffering from
- occupational distress have an increased risk of sleep disturbances.
- 13 Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors presenting with ill health?
- 14 There is some research about the physical health of doctors in some specialties (e.g.
- oncology)[6] but research is needed to assess whether occupational distress predicts the risk
- of doctors from various specialties suffering from daily or frequent headaches,
- gastrointestinal problems, and other physical symptoms. Physical health has a complex range
- of causes (e.g. health behaviours, genetics and infections) but people who are distressed are
- more susceptible to infections because psychological distress weakens the immune
- system. [24] The important question, therefore, is the relative risk of ill health symptoms,
- 21 comparing doctors with and without occupational distress. We recognise that the physical
- health of doctors is shaped by a complex range of factors only one of which is occupational
- 23 distress because working in a clinical environment can pose some risk to physical
- health.[25] This study is one of the first to shed light on the impact of occupational distress
- on ill health symptoms among UK doctors.
- 26 Study aims
- 27 This study aims to assess whether doctors suffering from occupational distress have an
- increased risk of (i) using alcohol or drugs (illicit, non-illicit); (ii) binge-eating; (iii) having
- sleep disturbances; and (iv) presenting with physical health symptoms. This study will extend
- 30 previous literature about occupational distress in medicine, which has focused on burnout and
- 31 psychiatric morbidity, [2] by measuring and defining occupational distress in additional ways,
- e.g. work-life imbalance, job effort, coping with stress through self-blame or through

| 1 | |
|---|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| - | |
| | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | |
| | 9 |
| | 0 |
| 2 | |
| | |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| | 4 |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | 7 |
| 2 | 8 |
| 2 | 9 |
| | 0 |
| 3 | - |
| 3 | |
| 3 | |
| 3 | |
| _ | |
| 3 | |
| _ | 6 |
| 3 | 7 |
| _ | 8 |
| | 9 |
| | 0 |
| 4 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| | 9 |
| | 0 |
| 5 | |
| 5 | 2 |
| 5 | |
| | 4 |
| 5 | |
| | 6 |
| 5 | |
| | 8 |
| , | 0 |
| 2 | 9 |

substance use, drawing on previous literature highlighting the multiple dimensions of occupational distress.[6] This study will also provide new insights into the prevalence of alcohol/drug use, binge-eating, sleep and ill health problems among UK doctors, extending previous research about doctors from other countries or individual specialties.[6,7]

6 Method

7

5

- 8 Patient and public involvement statement
- 9 All questionnaires were valid, reliable measures selected from previous literature but it was
- important to consult doctors about whether the types of occupational distress and health
- problems to be measured were relevant to doctors, and whether the instructions were clear.
- We thus consulted 15 doctors before the main study, using online software (*Qualtrics*). The
- pilot study sought the doctors' feedback (through open ended questions) about the relevance
- and clarity of the questionnaires. Doctors gave generally positive feedback. Some minor
- amendments included revising the wording of demographic questions, questionnaire
- instructions and reducing the number of questionnaires to eliminate similar measures.

17

- 18 Study design
- 19 The research reported in this article is an analysis of data collected at the baseline stage of a
- randomised controlled trial (protocol #NCT02838290) of the effect of an intervention on
- doctors' levels of occupational distress and health problems. The trial took place from July to
- November 2016. Due to the complexity of the trial this paper reports a cross-sectional
- analysis of the baseline data.

- 25 Participants and study size
- 26 Doctors took part in this study online (*Qualtrics*). They learnt about the study through
- 27 medical Royal Colleges, NHS trusts, and the British Medical Association (BMA). To prevent
- selection bias based on specialty, we invited all Royal Colleges from which 9 agreed to
- 29 distribute information about the research to their members. To prevent selection bias due to
- an NHS trust's reputation we randomly selected 25% NHS trusts. From the ones possible to
- reach, 9 distributed invitations to this study. The third source of data was the BMA's research
- panel. The majority of UK medical doctors are members of the BMA and any of them can
- join the BMA's research panel; therefore, this panel represented a broad spectrum of doctors.
- 34 All medical doctors working in the UK were included in the baseline data analysis.

1 Measures

- *Outcome measures:* Doctors completed a variety of questionnaires assessing health problems.
- 3 In some cases, we analysed responses to individual items in addition to the average of whole
- 4 questionnaires because this offered richer insights into the prevalence of certain types of
- 5 substance misuse (e.g. binge-drinking), different symptoms of ill health (e.g. backache),
- 6 different signs of binge-eating (e.g. uncontrollable eating) and sleep disturbances (e.g. trouble
- 7 falling asleep). This also enabled a better understanding of what aspects of health problems
- 8 are predicted by occupational distress.
 - 1. Alcohol and drug use: Alcohol dependence was assessed with the Patient Health Questionnaire [26] and the alcohol use habits with 3 items from the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Scale (AUDIT).[27] Two items from the Brief COPE questionnaire [28] evaluated doctors' tendency to use substances as a stress coping strategy. Drug use list of 22 illicit and legal drugs was devised from the Commonly Abused Drugs Charts [29] and the UK drug misuse declaration.[30]
 - 2. *Binge-eating habits*: These were measured using the binge-eating disorder items from the Eating Disorder Diagnostic Scale (as a scale and separate items).[31]
 - 3. *Sleep disturbances*: Insomnia was measured with the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI; Cronbach α=0.891).[32] Sleep problems were items derived from the Effort-Reward scale [33] and ISI.[32]
 - 4. *Ill health symptoms*: The Physical Symptom Inventory [34] comprising 12 items was used to assess the frequency with which doctors experienced various symptoms.
 - *Predictor measures:* The predictor variables and measuring instruments captured different types of occupational distress and job factors:
 - 1. *Psychiatric morbidity:* This was measured using the General Health Questionnaire-12 (GHQ-12; Cronbach α=0.927).[35] This measure of psychiatric morbidity was chosen instead of others (e.g. Patient Health Questionnaire) because GHQ-12 was previously extensively used to examine working populations (e.g.[3]), including doctors (e.g.[6]).
 - 2. *Burnout*: Emotional exhaustion from the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) [36] was assessed in this study (Cronbach α=0.905). Emotional exhaustion was selected because previous research shows that it is the best predictor of stress-related health among the three dimensions.[37]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

29

31

59 60

| 1 | | |
|----------|---|--|
| ี ว | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| _ | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| | 0 | |
| 1 | | |
| 1 | 2 | |
| 1 | | |
| | 4 | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| | 6 | |
| 1 | | |
| | 8 | |
| 1 | 9 | |
| | 0 | |
| | 1 | |
| っ | 2 | |
| 2 | | |
| <u>۷</u> | 4 | |
| 2 | 4 | |
| 2 | 5 | |
| 2 | 6 | |
| 2 | 7 | |
| 2 | 8 | |
| 2 | 9 | |
| 3 | 0 | |
| | 1 | |
| 3 | | |
| 3 | 2 | |
| ၁ ၁ | 4 | |
| 3 | 4 | |
| 3 | 5 | |
| 3 | 6 | |
| 3 | 7 | |
| 3 | 8 | |
| 3 | 9 | |
| 4 | 0 | |
| 4 | 1 | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| | 0 | |
| 5 | 1 | |
| 5 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 5 | | |
| э 5 | | |
| _ | _ | |
| J | 6 | |
| | 7 | |
| 5 | 8 | |

- 3. *Job effort:* The Job Effort scale from the Effort-Reward scale [33] measured time pressure, interruptions and demands at work (Cronbach α =0.770).
- 4. *Work-life imbalance:* This was measured using the Work-Family Conflict scale [38] (Cronbach α =0.934).
- 5. *Maladaptive coping strategies*: Two scales from the Brief COPE questionnaire [28] were used: coping through substance use (Cronbach α =0.872), and coping through self-blame (Cronbach α =0.783).
- 6. Work experience: years working in medicine.
- 7. Work place type: doctors indicated whether they work in a hospital or other setting.
- More information about the measures is presented in Table 1.
- 13 [Insert Table 1]
- 15 Statistical methods
- Outcome variables were re-coded into binary variables in order to distinguish between the
- presence and absence of a health problem and to identify factors that raise the risk of the
- symptom being present. The cut-off points where possible were identified from the literature
- 19 (see citations within Table 1). For sleep problems, we determined the cut-off points based on
- 20 the guidance for the ISI.[32] For each item doctors reporting moderate or severe sleep
- 21 problems were noted as having the symptom present, and reporting no/mild sleep problems
- were noted as having the symptom absent. Doctors' responses about using substances to cope
- 23 with stress were dichotomised as either not doing so, or using substances a little bit, to a
- 24 medium extent or a lot. Frequency of drinking was divided into drinking alcohol less than 2-3
- 25 times a week and more than 2-3 times a week. Ill health symptoms were recoded such that the
- presence of each symptom meant experiencing once/twice per week or more often. See Table
- 27 1 for more details. Logistic regression tested the impact of predictors on the odds of the
- outcomes using SPSS v21 software.

30 Results

In total, 417 UK doctors participated. The mean age was 47.23 years (SD=10.97), and there was an equivalent split of gender (48% males) and seniority (49% consultants). We compared demographic characteristics of doctors in this study to doctors on the List of Registered

- 1 Medical Practitioners (LRPM)[39,40] and the comparison showed that the current sample
- 2 largely mirrors the demographics of UK medical doctors in terms of the proportion of doctors
- 3 by gender, age, grade and specialty, except that there was a higher representation of
- 4 consultants and public health doctors than the proportions within the LRPM (Table 2).

- 6 Table 1 shows the prevalence and descriptive statistics of different types of occupational
- 7 distress; for example, 32.7% of doctors had psychiatric morbidity and 55.3% were
- 8 emotionally exhausted.

[Insert Table 2]

- 12 How many doctors use alcohol or drugs? Table 1 shows that 53% of doctors drank alcohol
- ≥ 2 times a week, 27% consumed ≥ 3 drinks on a typical day of drinking and 44% binge-drank
- by consuming 6+ drinks on one occasion. 5% of doctors met the criteria for alcohol
- dependence but the rest of doctors did not report significant impairments in their occupational
- or other functioning as a result of drinking alcohol. In terms of illicit/non-illicit drug use,
- 17 44% of doctors used some type of drugs but almost all were non-illicit drugs: 3% of doctors
- used prescription opioids, 2% used benzodiazepines, 5% used sleep medication, 5% smoked
- tobacco, 7% used herbal or homeopathic remedies and 35% used over-the-counter medicines.
- 20 Illegal drug use was rare: only 1 doctor reported cocaine use and 1 doctor used amyl nitrite.
- 21 No doctor reported using amphetamines, anabolic steroids, cannabis, ecstasy, heroin,
- ketamine, khat, LSD, magic mushrooms, mephedrone, methamphetamine or
- 23 tranquillizers.

- 25 Are distressed doctors more likely to use alcohol/drugs? Coping with stress was the reason
- 26 given by many doctors for drinking alcohol or taking drugs, with 34% saying that they used
- substances in order to feel better, and 22% used substances to help them get through stressful
- events. Table 3 shows logistic regression results analysing the effects of occupational distress
- and job factors on alcohol and drug use. The model significance testing shows that the
- 30 predictors significantly explained variance in doctors using substances to help them get
- through something (6%), drinking alcohol frequently (38%) and large amounts (12%), binge-
- drinking (28%), and being alcohol dependent (28%). The predictors did not explain variance
- in drug use and doctors using substances to feel better (p>0.05). Doctors who coped with
- stress by using substances had a higher risk of frequent alcohol use, binge-drinking, alcohol

- dependence and drug use ($p \le 0.022$). The results also show that having more experience
- working in medicine raised the risk of a doctor drinking alcohol frequently (OR=1.036;
- 3 p=0.002) but lowered the risk of binge-drinking (OR=0.970; p=0.007). Doctors who worked
- 4 in a hospital were more likely to drink high amounts of alcohol on a typical day of drinking
- and to binge-drink (OR \geq 1.672; p \leq 0.044). Doctors who reacted to stress by blaming
- 6 themselves were more likely to use substances to get through something (OR=1.374;
- 7 p=0.039). Burnout, work-life imbalance and job effort were not significant unique predictors
- 8 of substance use although the combined models were significant. The exception was
- 9 psychiatric morbidity which had a significant unique effect of decreasing the risk of doctors
- drinking alcohol frequently (OR=0.478; p=0.019).
- 12 [Insert Table 3]

- 14 How many doctors binge-eat? Table 1 shows that 35% of doctors ate a large amount of food
- when they were not physically hungry, 31% ate until they felt uncomfortably full, and 24-
- 16 29% of doctors experienced negative emotions after overeating such as embarrassment,
- disgust, depression or guilt. We found that 8% of doctors had a binge-eating disorder.
- 19 Are distressed doctors more likely to binge-eat? Table 4 shows that all models tested were
- statistically significant and the predictors explained 8.1-17.1% of variance in binge-eating
- 21 habits (p<0.05). Types of occupational distress that, individually, significantly predicted
- binge-eating habits were: self-blaming, work-life imbalance, and burnout. More experienced
- 23 doctors were less likely to feel disgusted with themselves after binge-eating (OR=0.966;
- p=0.009), as were doctors who worked in hospitals (OR=0.453; p=0.008). Job effort lowered
- 25 the risk of a binge-eating disorder (OR=0.179; p<0.001). Psychiatric morbidity, by itself, did
- not predict binge-eating, and nor did coping with stress through substance use (p>0.05).
- 28 [Insert Table 4]
- 30 How many doctors have sleep disturbances? Table 1 shows that 22% of doctors had
- 31 difficulty falling asleep, 35% difficulty staying asleep, 44% were dissatisfied with their sleep
- pattern, 20% were worried or distressed about a current sleep problem, and 35% of doctors'
- 33 sleep problems interfered with daily functioning. Thinking about work contributed to sleep
- problems; 61% of doctors thought about work when they went to bed and 49% had trouble

| 1 | sleeping if they postponed something they were supposed to do that day. The ISI [32] showed |
|---|---|
| 2 | that 12% of doctors had severe/moderate insomnia. |

- 4 Are distressed doctors more likely to have sleep disturbances? Logistic regression models
- 5 predicting 7 signs of sleep problems and insomnia are presented in Table 5. All models were
- 6 statistically significant explaining from 23.4% to 39.1% of variance, showing that
- 7 occupational distress and job factors significantly predicted sleep disturbances among
- 8 doctors. Doctors with psychiatric morbidity were more likely to have insomnia, difficulty
- 9 falling/staying asleep, think about work when they went to bed, find that sleep problems
- interfered with their daily functioning (OR \geq 2.117; p \leq 0.026), and burnout increased the risk
- of all 7 sleep disturbances (OR \geq 1.344; p \leq 0.036). Other significant unique predictors of sleep
- problems among doctors were: maladaptive coping with stress, work-life imbalance, and
- working in a hospital ($p \le 0.030$).

[Insert Table 5]

- 17 How many doctors suffer from daily or frequent ill health? 69% of doctors had fatigue and
- between 19% and 29% frequently experienced other type of ill health problems, e.g. upset
- stomach, backache and headaches. Only 8% or fewer doctors reported frequent
- 20 (daily/weekly) non-menstrual stomach cramps, constipation, appetite loss and dizziness,
- 21 therefore these symptoms were not analysed using logistic regression.

- 23 Are distressed doctors more likely to have daily or frequent ill health? Table 6 shows the
- logistic regression results that the odds of doctors suffering from frequent ill health are raised
- by occupational distress together with years practising medicine and working in a hospital.
- The predictors explained 8-35.2% of variance in doctors presenting with ill health symptoms;
- 27 only the effects on back pain were non-significant (p=0.083). Several types of occupational
- distress had significant unique effects, meaning that they individually predicted doctors'
- 29 health. For instance, burnout raised the odds of doctors suffering from fatigue (OR=1.804;
- p<0.001); job effort raised the odds of headaches (OR=1.905; p=0.030); work-life imbalance
- raised the odds of diarrhoea (OR=1.717; p=0.005); and psychiatric morbidity raised the odds
- of doctors suffering from fatigue, upset stomach or nausea, headaches,
- acid/indigestion/heartburn, and eye strain (OR≥1.930; p≤0.017). Working in a hospital did
- not, by itself, predict doctors' presentation of ill health symptoms, and nor did using

substances to cope with stress (p>0.05). More years of experience in medicine decreased the

odds of doctors having fatigue, upset stomach or nausea and headaches (OR≥0.963;

 $p \le 0.024$), but more experienced doctors had increased odds of ear ringing (OR=1.050;

p=0.002).

[Insert Table 6]

Discussion

Prevalence of occupational distress and health problems among UK doctors

11 This study shows the prevalence of occupational distress and health problems such as ill

health symptoms, and health-related problems (e.g. substance use) among UK doctors. The

results replicate a recent systematic review about the prevalence of burnout and psychiatric

morbidity [2] by finding that 32.7% of UK doctors have psychiatric morbidity and 55.3% a

type of burnout called emotional exhaustion while providing new evidence about the

prevalence of types of problems that were unrepresented in previous literature. The results

showed that 11% of doctors have insomnia; 20-61% experience various sleep problems; 5%

are alcohol dependent; 27-53% drink in a hazardous way; 69% have fatigue; 4-33%

experience other physical complaints; 8% have a binge-eating disorder; and 24-35%

20 experience binge-eating symptoms. 44% of doctors use some type of drugs, but mostly over-

21 the-counter medications (35%). Prescription drug use was rare (3% use opioids and 2% use

benzodiazepines), suggesting that the proportion of doctors getting drug treatment for anxiety

is lower than the proportion of doctors with anxiety (14.7%).[41] Compared to the general

population more doctors have psychiatric morbidity (32.7% compared 19%),[3] burnout

(55.3% compared to 24.8%),[42] and more doctors have physical complaints such as back

pain (e.g. 34% compared to 8-28% in the general population).[43] However, fewer doctors

27 have insomnia (11%) or are alcohol dependent (5%) compared to the general population

28 whereby 37% have insomnia and 13.9-29.1% have alcohol use disorder.[44] The results from

29 this study also revealed a higher prevalence of burnout than the National Trainee Survey

30 (NTS) which reported that 23.8% of medical trainees have burnout [45] but this could be

because the current study had a high representation of consultants (49%). The current study

32 supports the recent BMA annual survey reporting that 61% of doctors feel that their stress

levels have increased over the last year [46] by showing that a similar proportion of doctors

34 (55.3%) have a type of burnout called emotional exhaustion.

1 Occupational distress increases the odds of health problems among UK doctors

2 The results show that occupational distress increases the odds of doctors using substances,

- 3 having sleep disturbances, frequent symptoms of ill health, and binge-eating. Even taking
- 4 into consideration whether or not a doctor works in a hospital, the risk of health problems still
- 5 rises when doctors have signs of occupational distress such as burnout. Previous research
- showed that distress, [8,47] coping strategies [48–50] and job factors [51–56] are associated
- 7 with health problems. This study replicates previous findings while demonstrating the
- 8 generalisability of the effects to a wider range of health issues among UK doctors from
 - various specialties:

Alcohol/drug use: Distressed doctors are more likely to use alcohol, with 22-34% of doctors reporting that they use substances to feel better or help them get through stressful events. Doctors who cope with stress by using substances have a higher risk of alcohol dependence, binge-drinking, drinking larger amounts of alcohol, and a higher risk to a great extent of using alcohol more frequently. Doctors who react to stress by blaming themselves are more likely to use substances to get through something. Having more experience working in medicine makes a doctor more likely to drink alcohol frequently but lowers the likelihood of binge-drinking. Doctors who work in a hospital are more likely to drink high amounts of alcohol on a typical day of drinking and to binge-drink. In understanding the effects of occupational distress on alcohol or drug use, the results showed the usefulness of assessing the combined effects of different types of occupational distress, but that, except for psychiatric morbidity, burnout, worklife imbalance and job effort do not individually predict alcohol use. This suggests that occupational distress is best understood as a syndrome when understanding its effect on alcohol use. These findings extend previous studies which show that burnout, depression and psychiatric morbidity individually predict using alcohol dependence/abuse.[7,57] The results also show that – for UK doctors – occupational distress as a syndrome has no significant effect on legal or illegal drug use. Only doctors who cite substance use as a strategy that they use to cope with stress are significantly more likely to use drugs – and most of these are prescription or over-the-

counter drugs.

Binge-eating: The risks of doctors binge-eating and experiencing negative emotions after over-eating are raised by occupational distress, e.g. burnout, coping with stress by

blaming oneself, and work-life imbalance. This supports previous studies showing that binge-eating is a method of coping with stress that offers people an initial sense of comfort.[15,16] Doctors who have longer experience working in medicine, and doctors who work in hospitals, are less likely to have unpleasant emotions after binge-eating, suggesting that community-based doctors are more at risk of finding that binge-eating makes them feel worse, rather than better. The amount of effort that a doctor puts into their job lowers the risk of their suffering from a binge-eating disorder. Psychiatric morbidity, by itself, did not predict binge-eating, and nor did coping with stress through substance use.

Sleep disturbances: Occupational distress and job factors significantly predict sleep problems and insomnia among doctors, supporting the view of occupational distress as a syndrome, whilst also revealing that certain types of occupational distress can also, individually, predict sleep disturbances. Previous research show that physicians with high burnout, for example, are more likely to experience sleep related problems.[58] This study extends our understanding of the risk factors and shows that burnout, psychiatric morbidity, maladaptive coping strategies, work-life imbalance, and working in a hospital increase the risk of sleep disturbances. This means that the risk of sleep problems or insomnia exists even if doctors are suffering from just one of these types of occupational distress which is in particularly noticeable with the increase in psychiatric morbidity. The sleep problems include trouble falling/staying sleep, worrying about work when trying to sleep, and finding that sleep problems interfere with daily functioning.

Daily or frequent ill health: Occupational distress increases the odds of doctors suffering from fatigue, upset stomach or nausea, headaches, acid/indigestion or heartburn, eye strain, diarrhoea, and ringing in the ears but not back pain. Psychiatric morbidity, burnout, coping with stress by blaming oneself, job effort, and work-life imbalance each, as individual predictors, also raise the odds of doctors suffering from frequent ill health. These findings extend previous studies which investigate how the working conditions experienced by doctors relate to ill health [25] by showing that occupational distress, especially psychiatric morbidity, increases the risk of physical health problems. Doctors who work in a hospital do not have increased odds of ill health symptoms, and nor do doctors who use substances to cope with stress. More

experienced doctors have lower odds of fatigue, upset stomach or nausea and headaches, but are at a greater risk of suffering from ringing ears.

Strengths and weaknesses of the study and future research

5 This study is the first to explore the impact of occupational distress and work factors on the

6 risk of health problems among UK doctors from various specialties. An additional strength of

this study is that it is part of a bigger study using "a gold standard" design (a randomised

8 controlled trial) and it was piloted by consulting doctors to ensure that the types of

9 occupational distress and health problems measured were relevant. A weakness of this study

is a cross-sectional analysis. We need future experimental research to test causation between

occupational distress and health problems using a longitudinal design. We also encourage

future research to measure hypotheses not possible to test within the current study, e.g.

whether the risk of gastrointestinal problems among doctors rises with patient caseload or

infection exposure.

It was not possible to calculate the response rate because it was not clear whether all NHS trusts and medical Royal Colleges who agreed to take part in this study actually distributed the invitation and to how many doctors. Future research should explore whether our findings replicate in a larger sample because the current sample size is relatively small, in comparison to the whole population of UK medical doctors. We are also mindful that some participants might not have been comfortable answering some sensitive questions (e.g. about illicit drug or alcohol use) but the risk of response bias was mitigated by allowing doctors to complete confidential self-reported questionnaires. This is a recognised methods of measuring health

The meaning of the study: possible implications for clinicians and policymakers

and health-related behaviours (e.g. alcohol intake [59]).

Occupational distress among doctors has a detrimental effect on the quality of care and

patient safety.[1] This study has revealed that occupational distress also increases the risk of

doctors suffering from health problems. The impact of occupational distress on ill health

30 could increase levels of sickness-absence among doctors, thus reducing patient safety because

of under-staffing. Likewise, the impact of occupational distress on substance use and sleep

32 problems could mean that distress indirectly impairs doctors' fitness to practice, judgement or

decision-making because of being intoxicated, hung-over or having disturbed sleep.

Therefore, we recommend that doctors' mentors, supervisors, peers and occupational health

- support services recognise and act upon (1) the prevalence of occupational distress and health
- 2 problems among doctors; (2) the possibility that occupational distress raises the risk of
- 3 several health problems; and (3) the need to provide early interventions that prevent doctors
- 4 who are experiencing occupational distress from suffering the long-term health effects of
- 5 sleep disturbances, frequent symptoms of ill health, and adopting negative health behaviours,
- 6 such as binge-drinking or eating in order to cope.

8 Acknowledgements

- 9 We are extremely grateful to all the doctors who kindly agreed to take part in this study and
- the organisations who helped us to reach their doctors.
- 12 Ethical approval and consent to participate
- 13 The BEI School Ethics Committee at Birkbeck, University of London, approved the study in
- May 2016. Participants voluntarily consented to take part in this study.
- 16 Competing interests
- 17 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
- 19 Funding
- 20 Not applicable
- , ,
- 22 Authors' contributions
- 23 AM and CK participated in the conception and design of the study. AM collected and
- 24 analysed data. AM and CK were involved in the interpretation of the data and preparation of
- 25 this article. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.
- 27 Data sharing
- No additional data available
- 30 References
- Panagioti M, Geraghty K, Johnson J, *et al.* Association between physician burnout and patient safety, professionalism, and patient satisfaction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med* 2018;**178**:1317–30. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.3713
- Imo UO. Burnout and psychiatric morbidity among doctors in the UK: a systematic

literature review of prevalence and associated factors. BJPsych Bull Published Online First: 2017. doi:10.1192/pb.bp.116.054247 Goodwin L, Ben-Zion I, Fear NT, et al. Are reports of psychological stress higher in occupational studies? A systematic review across occupational and population based studies. PLoS One 2013;8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078693 Medisauskaite A, Kamau C. Prevalence of oncologists in distress: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychooncology* 2017;**26**:1732–40. doi:10.1002/pon.4382 Hughes, H. P, Brandenburg, C. N, Baldwin, L. D, et al. Prevalence of Substance Use Among US Physicians. *JAMA* 1992;47:771–3. Medisauskaite A, Kamau C. Prevalence of oncologists in distress: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychooncology* Published Online First: 2017. doi:10.1002/pon.4382 Oreskovich MR, Kaups KL, Balch CM, et al. Prevalence of Alcohol Use Disorders Among American Surgeons. Arch Surg 2012;147:168. doi:10.1001/archsurg.2011.1481 Ahola K, Honkonen T, Pirkola S, et al. Alcohol dependence in relation to burnout among the Finnish working population. Addiction 2006;101:1438–43. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01539.x Leiter MP, Hakanen JJ, Ahola K, et al. Organizational predictors and health consequences of changes in burnout: A 12-year cohort study. J Organ Behav 2012;:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1002/job.1830 Merlo LJ, Gold MS. Prescription opioid abuse and dependence among physicians: Hypotheses and treatment. Harv Rev Psychiatry 2008;16:181–94. doi:10.1080/10673220802160316 NHS. Alcohol misuse - NHS. https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/alcohol-misuse/ (accessed 26 Sep 2018). NHS. Binge drinking - NHS. https://www.nhs.uk/live-well/alcohol-support/binge-drinking-effects/ (accessed 26 Sep 2018). Pena Gralle APB, Barbosa Moreno A, Lopes Juvanhol L, et al. Job strain and binge eating among Brazilian workers participating in the ELSA-Brasil study: does BMI matter? J Occup Health 2017;**59**:247–55. doi:10.1539/joh.16-0157-OA Waller D. Binge eating. *BMJ* 2001;**322**:343. doi:10.1136/BMJ.322.7282.343 Macht M, Simons G. Emotions and eating in everyday life. *Appetite* 2000;**35**:65–71. doi:10.1006/appe.2000.0325 McManus F, Waller Gi. A functional analysis of binge-eating. Clin Psychol Rev 1995;**15**:845–63. doi:10.1016/0272-7358(95)00042-9 Kamau C. Safe working hours protect doctors from sleep deprivation. BMJ rapid response 2017;:j4547. doi:10.1136/bmj.j4547

| 1 | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|---|
| 2 3 4 5 6 | 1 2 3 | 18 | Kalmbach DA, Arnedt JT, Song PX, <i>et al.</i> Sleep Disturbance and Short Sleep as Risk Factors for Depression and Perceived Medical Errors in First-Year Residents. <i>Sleep</i> 2017; 40 . doi:10.1093/sleep/zsw073 |
| 7 8 | 4 | 19 | Eddy R. Sleep deprivation among physicians. <i>B C Med J</i> 2005; 47 :176–80. |
| 9 | _ | 20 | Aldrees TM, Aleissa S, Zamakhshary M, et al. Physician well-being: Prevalence of |
| 10 | 5 6 | 20 | burnout and associated risk factors in a tertiary hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Ann |
| 11 12 | 7 | | Saudi Med 2013; 33 :451–6. doi:10.5144/0256-4947.2013.451 |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | 8 | 21 | Tobaldini E, Cogliati C, Fiorelli EM, et al. One night on-call: Sleep deprivation affects |
| 15 16 | 9 | | cardiac autonomic control and inflammation in physicians. Eur J Intern Med |
| 17 | 10 | | 2013; 24 :664–70. doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2013.03.011 |
| 18 | 11 | 22 | Anderson C, Sullivan JP, Flynn-Evans EE, et al. Deterioration of Neurobehavioral |
| 19 | 12 | | Performance in Resident Physicians During Repeated Exposure to Extended Duration |
| 20 21 | 13 | | Work Shifts. Sleep 2012; 35 :1137–46. doi:10.5665/sleep.2004 |
| 22 | 14 | 23 | Bordley J, Agustin AG, Ahmed MA, et al. Restoration of resident sleep and wellness |
| 23 | 15 | 23 | with block scheduling. <i>Med Educ</i> 2017; 51 :1241–9. doi:10.1111/medu.13392 |
| 24 25 | 13 | | with block scheduling. Wed Bade 2017,51.1241 7. doi:10.1111/medd.15572 |
| 26 | 16 | 24 | Cohen S, Williamson GM. Stress and infectious disease in humans. <i>Psychol Bull</i> |
| 27 | 17 | | 1991; 109 :5–24. doi:10.1037//0033-2909.109.1.5 |
| 28 29 | 18 | 25 | Vijendren A, Yung M, Sanchez J. Occupational health issues amongst UK doctors: A |
| 30 | 19 | | literature review. Occup Med (Chic III) 2015;65:519–28. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqv088 |
| 31 | | | |
| 32 | 20 | 26 | Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Kroenke K, et al. Validity and utility of the PRIME-MD |
| 33 | 21 | | Patient Health Questionnaire in assessment of 3000 obstetric-gynecologic patients: |
| 34 35 | 22 | | The PRIME-MD Patient Health Questionnaire Obstetrics-Gynecology Study. <i>Am J Obstet Gynecol</i> 2000; 183 :759–69. doi:10.1067/mob.2000.106580 |
| 36 | 23 | | Oostel Gynecol 2000, 183 . 739–69. doi:10.1007/11100.2000.100380 |
| 37 | 24 | 27 | Babor TF, Higgins-Biddle JC, Saunders JB, et al. The Alcohol Use Disorders |
| 38 39 | 25 | | Identification Test Guidelines for Use in Primary Care. World Heal Organ 2001;:pp1- |
| 40 | 26 | | 40. doi:10.1177/0269881110393051 |
| 41 | 27 | 28 | Carver CS. You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: Consider the |
| 42 | 28 | 20 | Brief COPE. Int. J. Behav. Med. 1997;4:92–100. doi:10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401 6 |
| 43 44 | 20 | | Blief Cof E. Ilit. 3. Beliav. Med. 1997,4.92 100. doi:10.1207/3133273361jblil0401_0 |
| 45 | 29 | 29 | National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). Commonly Abused Drugs Charts. |
| 46 | 30 | | 2016.https://www.drugabuse.gov/drugs-abuse/commonly-abused-drugs-charts |
| 47 | 31 | | (accessed 16 Jan 2016). |
| 48 49 | 32 | 30 | Office for National Statistics. User Guide to Drug Misuse Statistics Contents. 2015. |
| 50 | 32 | 50 | Office for National Statistics. Osci Guide to Diag Misuse Statistics Contents. 2013. |
| 51 | 33 | 31 | Stice E, Telch CF, Rizvi SL. Development and validation of the Eating Disorder |
| 52 | 34 | | Diagnostic Scale: a brief self-report measure of anorexia, bulimia, and binge-eating |
| 53 54 | 35 | | disorder. <i>Psychol Assess</i> 2000; 12 :123–31. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.12.2.123 |
| 55 | 36 | 32 | Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the insomnia severity index as an |
| 56 | 37 | - - | outcome measure for insomnia research. Sleep Med 2001;2:297–307. |
| 57 58 | 38 | | doi:10.1016/S1389-9457(00)00065-4 |
| 59 | 20 | 22 | Circuit I I i I Montono D. Don 1 |
| 60 | 39 | 33 | Siegrist J, Li J, Montano D. Psychometric properties of the effort-reward imbalance |

BMJ Open

questionnaire. Germany: 2014. http://www.uniklinik-duesseldorf.de/fileadmin/Datenpool/einrichtungen/institut fuer medizinische soziolo gie id54/ERI/Psychometrie.pdf Spector PE, Jex SM. Development of Four Self-Report Measures of Job Stressors and Strain: Interpersonal Conflict at Work Scale, Organizational Constraints Scale, Quantitative Workload Inventory, and Physical Symptoms Inventory. J Occup Health Psychol 1998;3:356-67. Goldberg DP, Hillier VF. A scaled version of the General Health Questionnaire. Psychol Med 1979;9:139-45. doi:10.1017/S0033291700021644 Maslach C, Jackson S. The measurement of experienced Burnout. J Occup Behav 1981;**2**:99–113. doi:10.1002/job.4030020205 Maslach C, Leiter MP. Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. World Psychiatry 2016;15:103–11. doi:10.1002/wps.20311 Netemeyer RG, Boles JS, McMurrian R. Development and Validation of Work-Family Conflict and Family-Work Conflict Scales. J Appl Psychol 1996;81:400–10. General Medical Council. The state of medical education and practice in the UK. 2017. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004 General Medical Council. Data Explorer. https://data.gmc-uk.org/gmcdata/home/#/reports (accessed 24 Nov 2018). Johansson R, Carlbring P, Heedman Åsa, et al. Depression, anxiety and their comorbidity in the Swedish general population: point prevalence and the effect on health-related quality of life. *PeerJ* 2013;1:e98. doi:10.7717/peerj.98 Shanafelt TD, Hasan O, Dyrbye LN, et al. Changes in Burnout and Satisfaction with Work-Life Balance in Physicians and the General US Working Population between 2011 and 2014. Mayo Clin Proc 2015;90:1600-13. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.08.023 Health & Safety Executive. The role of work stress and psychological factors in the development of musculoskeletal disorders. 2004. http://www.hse.gov.uk/research/rrpdf/rr273.pdf (accessed 5 May 2018). Grant BF, Goldstein RB, Saha TD, et al. Epidemiology of DSM-5 Alcohol Use Disorder. JAMA Psychiatry 2015;72:757. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0584 General Medical Council. National training surveys 2018: Initial findings report. 2018::1–16.https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/dc11391-nts-2018-initial-findings-report pdf-75268532.pdf BMA. BMA guarterly tracker survey. BMA Surv Published Online First: 2017.http://bma.org.uk/working-for-change/policy-and-lobbying/training-and-workforce/tracker-survey/omnibus-august-survey-2014 Davey MM, Cummings G, Newburn-Cook C V., et al. Predictors of nurse absenteeism in hospitals: A systematic review. J Nurs Manag 2009;17:312–30. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2834.2008.00958.x

| 1 | | | 21 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----|--|
| 2 3 4 5 6 | 1 2 3 | 48 | Kataoka M, Ozawa K, Tomotake M, <i>et al.</i> Occupational stress and its related factors among university teachers in Japan. <i>Health (Irvine Calif)</i> 2014; 06 :299–305. doi:10.4236/health.2014.65043 |
| 7 8 9 10 | 4 5 6 | 49 | Litman JA. The COPE inventory: Dimensionality and relationships with approach- and avoidance-motives and positive and negative traits. <i>Pers Individ Dif</i> 2006; 41 :273–84. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2005.11.032 |
| 11 12 13 14 15 | 7 8 9 | 50 | Mark G, Smith AP. Occupational stress, job characteristics, coping, and the mental health of nurses. <i>Br J Health Psychol</i> 2012; 17 :505–21. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8287.2011.02051.x |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | 10 11 12 13 | 51 | Khan A, Teoh KR, Islam S, <i>et al.</i> Psychosocial work characteristics, burnout, psychological morbidity symptoms and early retirement intentions: a cross-sectional study of NHS consultants in the UK. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2018; 8 :e018720. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018720 |
| 21 22 23 24 | 14 15 16 | 52 | Lee RT, Seo B, Hladkyj S, <i>et al.</i> Correlates of physician burnout across regions and specialties: a meta-analysis. <i>Hum Resour Health</i> 2013; 11 :48. doi:10.1186/1478-4491-11-48 |
| 25 26 27 28 29 | 17 18 19 | 53 | Umene-nakano W, Kato TA, Kikuchi S, <i>et al.</i> Nationwide Survey of Work Environment, Work-Life Balance and Burnout among Psychiatrists in Japan. <i>PLoS One</i> 2013; 8 :1–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055189 |
| 30 31 32 33 | 20 21 22 | 54 | Keller M, Bamberg E, Kersten M, <i>et al.</i> Instrument for stress-related job analysis for hospital physicians: validation of a short version. <i>J Occup Med Toxicol</i> 2013; 8 :10–20. doi:10.1186/1745-6673-8-10 |
| 34 35 36 37 | 23 24 25 | 55 | Shirom A, Nirel N, Vinokur AD. Work hours and caseload as predictors of physician burnout: The Mediating Effects by Perceived Workload and by Autonomy. <i>Appl Psychol</i> 2010; 59 :539–65. doi:10.1111/j.1464-0597.2009.00411.x |
| 38 39 40 41 | 26 27 28 | 56 | Wen J, Cheng Y, Hu X, <i>et al.</i> Workload, burnout, and medical mistakes among physicians in China: A cross-sectional study. <i>Biosci Trends</i> 2016; 10 :27–33. doi:10.5582/bst.2015.01175 |
| 42 43 44 45 | 29 30 | 57 | Taylor C, Graham J, Potts H, <i>et al.</i> Impact of hospital consultant's poor mental health on patient care. <i>Br J Psyhiatry</i> 2007; 190 :268–9. |
| 46 47 48 49 | 31 32 33 | 58 | Vela-Bueno A, Moreno-Jiménez B, Rodríguez-Muñoz A, <i>et al.</i> Insomnia and sleep quality among primary care physicians with low and high burnout levels. <i>J Psychosom Res</i> 2008; 64 :435–42. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2007.10.014 |
| 50 51 52 53 54 55 | 34 35 36 37 | 59 | Glovannucci E, Colditz G, Stampfer MJ, <i>et al.</i> The Assessment of Alcohol Consumption by a Simple Self-administered Questionnaire. <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 1991; 133 :810–7. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115960 |
| 56 57 58 59 60 | | | |

Table

Table 1. Description of measures

| Item | N | No of Items | % of doctors with present symptom/ M(SD) | Recoding (if applicable) | Measure | Measure/Original Scoring |
|---|-------|----------------|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| Sleep disturbances | | | | | | |
| Difficulty falling asleep | 390 | 1 | 22% | | | |
| Difficulty staying asleep | 390 | 1 | 35% | | | |
| Sleep problems interfere with daily functioning | 389 | 1 | 35% | 0,1 – Symptom absent; 2,3,4 - Symptom present | | 0 (scores showing no |
| Worried or distressed about a current sleep problem | 200 1 | | 20% | | ISI | insomnia) to 4 (showing severe insomnia) |
| Dissatisfied with sleep pattern | 390 | 1 | 44% | 0,1,2 – Symptom absent (2 is included as it means "unsure"); 3,4 - Symptom present | | insomina) |
| Think about work when they go to bed | 417 | 1 | 61% | 0,1 – Symptom absent; 2,3 - | ard le | 1 (strongly disagree) |
| Trouble sleeping if they postpone tasks | 416 | 1 | 49% | Symptom present | Effort- Reward scale | to 4 (strongly agree) |
| Insomnia | 390 | 7 | 11% | ≤14 – Symptom absent (no, subthreshold insomnia);≥15 – Symptom present (moderate, severe insomnia)[32] | ISI | 7 items; 0 (scores showing no insomnia) to 4 (showing severe insomnia) |

| 1 |
|----------------|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| |
| 16 17 18 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 20 21 |
| 21 22 |
| 22 23 |
| 23 |
| 24 25 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| |

| Substance use | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----------|-----|---|---|---|--|
| Substance use in order to feel better | 392 | 1 | 34% | | 4 П | 1 (I usually don't do | |
| Substance use in order to get through something | 393 | 1 | 22% | 1 – Symptom absent; 2, 3, 4 - Symptom present | The Brief COPE | this) to 4 (I usually do this a lot) | |
| Frequency of drinking alcohol | 386 | | 53% | 1,2,3 – not drinking or drinking less than 2-3 times a week; 4,5 - drinking 2-3 times a week or more often | <u>_</u> | | |
| Quantity of drinks on typical day of drinking | 362 | 1 | 27% | 1 – drinking 1,2 drinks; 2, 3, 4, 5 – drinking 3 or more drinks | AUDIT | 1 (never/1-2) to 5 (daily/more than 10) | |
| Drink 6 or more drinks on one occasion | 373 | 1 | 44% | 1 – never; 2, 3, 4, 5 – binge- drinking | | | |
| Alcohol dependence | 377 | 5 | 5% | Substance abuse was identified if any of the items were answered "yes" [26] | The Patient Health Questio nnaire | 0 (no) and 1 (yes) | |
| Drug use | 380 | 22 | 44% | Drug use was identified if any of the items were answered "yes" | Common -ly Abused Drugs | 22 items; 0 (no) and 1 (yes) | |
| Physical symptoms | | | | | | | |
| Fatigue | 390 | 1 | 69% | | al om rry | | |
| Upset stomach or nausea | 390 | 390 1 19% | | 1,2 – Symptom absent; 3,4,5 - Symptom present | The Physical Symptom Inventory | 1 (not at all) to 5 | |
| Backache | 390 | 1 | 33% | Symptom present | Ph Syı Inv | (every day) | |

| Headaches | 390 | 1 | 27% | | | |
|---|-----|---|-----|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Acid indigestion or heartburn | 390 | 1 | 23% | | | |
| Eye strain | 390 | 1 | 29% | | | |
| Diarrhoea | 390 | 1 | 12% | | | |
| Ringing in the ears | 390 | 1 | 13% | | | |
| Stomach cramps | 389 | 1 | 8% | | | |
| Constipation | 390 | 1 | 4% | | | |
| Loss of appetite | 390 | 1 | 7% | | | |
| Dizziness | 390 | 1 | 8% | | | |
| Eating problems | | | 16/ | | | |
| Eating large amounts of food when not physically hungry | 316 | 1 | 35% | | | |
| Eat until feeling uncomfortably full | 316 | 1 | 31% | | ale | |
| Eat alone because they feel embarrassed | 315 | 1 | 24% | | ating Sc | |
| Feel disgusted with themselves, depressed or very guilty after overeating | 316 | 1 | 28% | n/a | The Binge Eating Scale | 0 (no) and 1 (yes) |
| Feel upset about their uncontrollable eating or weight gain | 316 | 1 | 29% | | T | |
| Binge eating | 316 | 9 | 8% | Please see [31] details for coding | The Binge Eating Scale | 8 items - 0 (no) and 1 (yes); 1 question – 0 to 7 days |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 21 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |

| Predictor variables | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Burnout: Emotional exhaustion | 406 | 8 | 55% (M = 3.16; SD = 1.34) | Recoded for prevalence: <27 – Symptom absent; ≥27 – Symptom present [36] | MBI | 9 items; 0 (never) to 6 (every day) |
| Psychiatric morbidity | 393 | 12 | 33% (M = 2.19; SD = 0.55) | Recoded for prevalence: 0,1 into 0 and 2,3 into 2; & <4 – Symptom absent; ≥4 – Symptom present [35] | GHQ-12 | 12 items; 0 (better than usual/not at all) to 3 (much less than usual/much less capable) |
| Effort scale | 415 | 3 | M = 3.3 (SD = 0.6) | n/a | Effort- Reward scale | 3 items; 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree) |
| Work-family Imbalance | 416 | 5 | M = 5.26 (SD = 1.38) | n/a | Work- Family Conflict scale | 5 items; 0 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree) |
| Coping: Substance use | 392 | 2 | M = 2.33 (SD = 0.88) | n/a | Brief OPE | 2 items; 1 (I usually |
| Coping: Self-blame | 393 | 2 | M = 1.37 (SD = 0.61) | n/a | The Brief COPE | don't do this) to 4 (I usually do this a lot) |

Table 2. Participants' sociodemographic characteristics

| Casiadana | | %(n) or | LRMP | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------|--|
| Sociodemographi | ic characteristics | M(SD) | | |
| Gender (Male) | | 48% (199) | 54.5% | |
| Age | | 47.23 (10.97) | | |
| | Under 30 | 7% (28) | 13% | |
| | 30-49 | 46% (190) | 59% | |
| | Over 50 | 48% (197) | 28% | |
| Year of experience | e | 22.94 (11.35) | N/A | |
| in medicine | | | | |
| Grade | Junior doctor | 20% (82) | 21% | |
| | General | 18% (75) | 23% | |
| | practitioner | | | |
| | Consultant | 49% (203) | 32% | |
| | Other | 14% (57) | 23% | |
| Workplace | Community | 5% (21) | | |
| | General practice | 15% (61) | | |
| | Hospital | 58% (239) | N/A | |
| | Multiple-places | 15% (61) | | |
| | Other | 8% (32) | | |
| Specialty | General practice | 17% (71) | 23% | |
| | Public health | 12% (49) | 0.4% | |
| | Anaesthetics and | 9% (39) | 8% | |
| | intensive care | | | |
| | Paediatrics | 7% (27) | 2% | |
| | Emergency | 6% (24) | 1% | |
| | medicine | | | |
| | Psychiatry | 6% (25) | 3% | |
| | Other | 44% (184) | | |
| Working hours | ≤40 | 34% (141) | N/A | |
| | 41-50 | 41% (170) | | |
| | >50 | 15% (104) | | |

Note. LRMP – List of registered medical practitioners

Table 3. Logistic regression predicting substance use problems

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Substance use | В | -2.239 | 0.304 | 0.162 | 0.096 | -0.083 | 0.092 | n/a | 0.011 | 0.053 |
| in order to feel | SE | 0.844 | 0.258 | 0.123 | 0.237 | 0.100 | 0.135 | n/a | 0.010 | 0.231 |
| better | OR | 0.107 | 1.355 | 1.175 | 1.101 | 0.921 | 1.097 | n/a | 1.011 | 1.054 |
| | p | 0.008 | 0.239 | 0.190 | 0.685 | 0.411 | 0.493 | n/a | 0.278 | 0.819 |
| | Model | $X^2(7)=10.96$ | 6; p=0.140; R ² = | =0.04 | | | | | | |
| Substance use | В | -3.034 | 0.423 | 0.085 | 0.202 | -0.179 | 0.318 | n/a | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| in order to get | SE | 0.963 | 0.289 | 0.142 | 0.274 | 0.113 | 0.154 | n/a | 0.012 | 0.266 |
| through | OR | 0.048 | 1.527 | 1.089 | 1.224 | 0.836 | 1.374 | n/a | 1.002 | 1.005 |
| something | p | 0.002 | 0.143 | 0.551 | 0.461 | 0.113 | 0.039 | n/a | 0.859 | 0.986 |
| | Model | $X^2(7)=14.76$ | 6; p=0.039; R ² = | 0.059 | | | | | | |
| Drinking | В | -2.297 | -0.739 | 0.203 | -0.360 | 0.086 | -0.183 | 2.936 | 0.035 | 0.013 |
| alcohol 2-3 | SE | 1.001 | 0.316 | 0.141 | 0.256 | 0.114 | 0.155 | 0.393 | 0.011 | 0.257 |
| times a week | OR | 0.101 | 0.478 | 1.226 | 0.698 | 1.090 | 0.833 | 18.836 | 1.036 | 1.013 |
| or more often | p | 0.022 | 0.019 | 0.148 | 0.160 | 0.449 | 0.237 | <0.001 | 0.002 | 0.960 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=123.6$ | 03; p<0.001; R ² | =0.379 | | | | | | |
| Drink more | В | -2.593 | -0.222 | -0.041 | 0.185 | -0.004 | -0.083 | 0.955 | 0.002 | 0.594 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|----------------|-------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| than 3 drinks | SE | 0.975 | 0.314 | 0.141 | 0.281 | 0.119 | 0.161 | 0.206 | 0.012 | 0.276 |
| typically | OR | 0.075 | 0.801 | 0.772 | 1.203 | 0.996 | 0.920 | 2.599 | 1.002 | 1.812 |
| | p | 0.008 | 0.480 | 0.960 | 0.511 | 0.971 | 0.604 | <0.001 | 0.885 | 0.031 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=29.10$ | $0; p < 0.001; R^2 =$ | =0.118 | | | | | | |
| Drink 6 or | В | -0.341 | -0.481 | 0.038 | -0.440 | 0.148 | -0.190 | 1.849 | -0.030 | 0.514 |
| more drinks on | SE | 0.942 | 0.308 | 0.135 | 0.260 | 0.113 | 0.151 | 0.267 | 0.011 | 0.255 |
| one occasion | OR | 0.711 | 0.618 | 1.039 | 0.644 | 1.159 | 0.827 | 6.355 | 0.970 | 1.672 |
| | p | 0.717 | 0.118 | 0.777 | 0.090 | 0.191 | 0.209 | <0.001 | 0.007 | 0.044 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=83.13$ | 8; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.279 | | | | | | |
| Alcohol | В | -3.171 | -0.047 | 0.037 | -0.855 | 0.162 | -0.091 | 1.819 | -0.041 | 0.095 |
| dependence | SE | 1.781 | 0.581 | 0.286 | 0.623 | 0.269 | 0.350 | 0.359 | 0.028 | 0.616 |
| | OR | 0.042 | 0.955 | 1.037 | 0.425 | 1.176 | 0.913 | 6.165 | 0.960 | 1.100 |
| | p | 0.075 | 0.936 | 0.898 | 0.170 | 0.548 | 0.795 | <0.001 | 0.153 | 0.877 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=3$ | 4.648; p<0.001; | $R^2=0.280$ | | | | | | |
| Drug use | В | -1.732 | -0.084 | 0.073 | 0.192 | 0.067 | 0.068 | 0.425 | -0.011 | -0.047 |
| | SE | 0.830 | 0.256 | 0.120 | 0.228 | 0.099 | 0.133 | 0.186 | 0.010 | 0.226 |
| | OR | 0.177 | 0.92 | 1.076 | 1.211 | 1.069 | 1.070 | 1.530 | 0.989 | 0.954 |
| | p | 0.037 | 0.744 | 0.541 | 0.400 | 0.501 | 0.608 | 0.022 | 0.287 | 0.835 |

| _ | |
|-------|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| ر | |
| 0 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 |
| _ | |
| 1 1 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| - 1 | / |
| 1 | 8 |
| _ | _ |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 |
| 2 | ე |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 2 | 7 |
| 2 2 | 8 |
| 2 | a |
| 2 | 0 |
| | |
| 3 | 1 |
| 3 | _ |
| 3 | |
| 3 | 4 |
| 3 | |
| 3 | 6 |
| 3 | 7 |
| 3 | |
| | 9 |
| | |
| | 0 |
| 4 | 1 |
| | 2 |
| | 3 |
| 4 | |
| 4 | 5 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work plac |
|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=13.9$ | 35; p=0.083; R ² | =0.051 | | | | | | |
| Note n/o | ganla ig not | included in the | ha analyzsis haaa | use predictor is a | nort of it | a 1— Hognital (r | -220)· 0 - <i>(</i> | Other (n=175) | | |
| voie. II/a – | - scarc is not | included in t | ne analysis occa | use predictor is a | i pari or ii. | 1–110spitai (i | (-239), 0 - C | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | use predictor is a | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4. Logistic regression predicting substance binge-eating problems

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|----------------|-------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Eating large | В | -1.831 | 0.344 | 0.127 | -0.403 | 0.176 | 0.410 | -0.094 | -0.022 | -0.040 |
| amounts of | SE | 0.935 | 0.290 | 0.142 | 0.275 | 0.176 | 0.416 | 0.208 | 0.011 | 0.271 |
| food when not | OR | 0.160 | 1.410 | 1.136 | 0.669 | 1.193 | 1.507 | 0.910 | 0.978 | 0.961 |
| physically | р | 0.050 | 0.236 | 0.370 | 0.143 | 0.156 | 0.009 | 0.652 | 0.052 | 0.883 |
| hungry | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=29.53$ | 37; p<0.001; R ² | =0.127 | | | | | | |
| Eat until | В | -2.229 | 0.064 | 0.132 | -0.186 | 0.271 | 0.297 | -0.117 | -0.018 | -0.342 |
| feeling | SE | 0.972 | 0.294 | 0.144 | 0.278 | 0.131 | 0.159 | 0.214 | 0.012 | 0.275 |
| uncomfortably | OR | 0.108 | 1.066 | 1.141 | 0.831 | 1.311 | 1.346 | 0.890 | 0.982 | 0.710 |
| full | p | 0.022 | 0.828 | 0.359 | 0.504 | 0.038 | 0.062 | 0.585 | 0.121 | 0.212 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=22.42$ | 20; p=0.004; R ² | =0.100 | | | | | | |
| Eat alone | В | -3.111 | 0.233 | 0.213 | -0.139 | 0.112 | 0.378 | 0.089 | -0.015 | -0.386 |
| because they | SE | 1.068 | 0.314 | 0.158 | 0.307 | 0.139 | 0.175 | 0.220 | 0.013 | 0.300 |
| feel | OR | 0.045 | 1.262 | 1.237 | 0.870 | 1.119 | 1.460 | 1.093 | 0.985 | 0.680 |
| embarrassed | p | 0.004 | 0.458 | 0.178 | 0.650 | 0.418 | 0.031 | 0.686 | 0.255 | 0.199 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=21.89$ | 90; p=0.005; R ² | =0.105 | | | | | | |
| Feel disgusted | В | -2.237 | 0.079 | 0.321 | -0.313 | 0.208 | 0.335 | 0.154 | -0.034 | -0.791 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| with | SE | 1.036 | 0.310 | 0.155 | 0.299 | 0.138 | 0.172 | 0.218 | 0.013 | 0.298 |
| themselves, | OR | 0.107 | 1.082 | 1.378 | 0.731 | 1.231 | 1.398 | 1.166 | 0.966 | 0.453 |
| depressed or | p | 0.031 | 0.799 | 0.038 | 0.295 | 0.132 | 0.052 | 0.481 | 0.009 | 0.008 |
| very guilty after overeating | Model | $X^{2}(8)=38.0$ | 29; p<0.001; R ² | =0.171 | | | | | | |
| Feel upset | В | -2.367 | -0.187 | 0.324 | -0.234 | 0.206 | 0.337 | 0.021 | -0.015 | -0.200 |
| about their | SE | 0.998 | 0.302 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.133 | 0.164 | 0.212 | 0.012 | 0.282 |
| uncontrollable | OR | 0.094 | 0.830 | 1.383 | 0.792 | 1.228 | 1.400 | 1.021 | 0.986 | 0.819 |
| eating or | p | 0.018 | 0.537 | 0.030 | 0.416 | 0.121 | 0.040 | 0.922 | 0.231 | 0.478 |
| weight gain | Model | $X^2(8)=23.3$ | 74; p=0.003; R ² | =0.106 | | | | | | |
| Binge eating | В | -1.064 | -0.343 | 0.610 | -1.678 | 0.250 | 0.473 | 0.220 | -0.014 | 0.224 |
| | SE | 1.395 | 0.445 | 0.237 | 0.443 | 0.219 | 0.253 | 0.309 | 0.019 | 0.444 |
| | OR | 0.345 | 0.710 | 1.841 | 0.187 | 1.284 | 1.606 | 1.246 | 0.986 | 1.251 |
| | p | 0.446 | 0.440 | 0.010 | <0.001 | 0.253 | 0.062 | 0.477 | 0.458 | 0.614 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=27.0$ | 89; p=0.001; R ² | =0.163 | | | | | | |

Note. ^a 1= Hospital (n=239); 0 = Other (n=175)

 Table 5.
 Logistic regression predicting sleep disturbances

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|----------------|-------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Difficulty | В | -5.019 | 0.906 | 0.393 | -0.303 | 0.107 | -0.039 | 0.617 | -0.022 | 0.669 |
| falling asleep | SE | 1.098 | 0.304 | 0.158 | 0.313 | 0.139 | 0.171 | 0.210 | 0.013 | 0.308 |
| | OR | 0.007 | 2.474 | 1.482 | 0.738 | 1.113 | 0.962 | 1.854 | 0.979 | 1.953 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.013 | 0.333 | 0.443 | 0.822 | 0.003 | 0.103 | 0.030 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=65.69$ | 9; p<0.001; R ² = | = 0.249 | | | | | | |
| Difficulty | В | -5.593 | 0.829 | 0.468 | -0.022 | 0.038 | 0.155 | 0.443 | 0.014 | 0.275 |
| staying asleep | SE | 1.014 | 0.286 | 0.137 | 0.267 | 0.114 | 0.148 | 0.202 | 0.012 | 0.257 |
| | OR | 0.004 | 2.291 | 1.596 | 0.978 | 1.039 | 1.168 | 1.557 | 1.014 | 1.317 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.933 | 0.741 | 0.296 | 0.028 | 0.233 | 0.285 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=79.09$ | 9; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.264 | | | | | | |
| Dissatisfied | В | -3.678 | 0.962 | 0.536 | -0.142 | -0.050 | -0.006 | 0.280 | -0.014 | 0.438 |
| with sleep | SE | 0.937 | 0.292 | 0.134 | 0.256 | 0.108 | 0.143 | 0.200 | 0.011 | 0.250 |
| pattern | OR | 0.025 | 2.617 | 1.710 | 0.868 | 0.642 | 0.994 | 1.324 | 0.986 | 1.549 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.579 | 0.951 | 0.966 | 0.160 | 0.200 | 0.080 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=85.13$ | 8; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.274 | | | | | | |
| Sleep problems | В | -5.352 | 1.047 | 0.492 | -0.303 | 0.058 | 0.347 | 0.485 | -0.016 | 0.483 |
| interfere with | SE | 1.040 | 0.299 | 0.144 | 0.283 | 0.120 | 0.153 | 0.208 | 0.012 | 0.272 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| daily | OR | 0.005 | 2.848 | 1.6360 | 0.739 | 1.059 | 1.414 | 1.625 | 0.984 | 1.621 |
| functioning | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.001 | 0.284 | 0.631 | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.187 | 0.075 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=102$. | 74; p<0.001; R ² | =0.333 | | | | | | |
| Worried or | В | -6.003 | 1.342 | 0.570 | -0.414 | 0.014 | 0.267 | -0.033 | 0013 | 0.776 |
| distressed | SE | 1.202 | 0.321 | 0.171 | 0.340 | 0.146 | 0.179 | 0.232 | 0.014 | 0.331 |
| about a current | OR | 0.002 | 3.826 | 1.768 | 0.661 | 1.014 | 1.306 | 0.968 | 0.987 | 2.172 |
| sleep problem | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.001 | 0.224 | 0.924 | 0.136 | 0.888 | 0.362 | 0.019 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=86.5$ | 8; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.327 | | | | | | |
| Think about | В | -6.476 | 0.750 | 0.295 | 0.214 | 0.456 | 0.616 | -0.053 | -0.010 | 0.399 |
| work when | SE | 1.091 | 0.337 | 0.141 | 0.263 | 0.116 | 0.160 | 0.227 | 0.012 | 0.263 |
| they go to bed | OR | 0.002 | 2.117 | 1.344 | 1.238 | 1.577 | 1.851 | 0.948 | 0.990 | 1.491 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.026 | 0.036 | 0.416 | <0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.814 | 0.393 | 0.128 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=128$. | 23; p<0.001; R ² | =0.391 | | | | | | |
| Trouble | В | -3.377 | 0.434 | 0.337 | -0.273 | 0.243 | 0.460 | 0.090 | -0.013 | 0.011 |
| sleeping if they | SE | 0.901 | 0.277 | 0.128 | 0.247 | 0.107 | 0.140 | 0.196 | 0.011 | 0.239 |
| postpone tasks | OR | 0.034 | 1.544 | 1.401 | 0.761 | 1.275 | 1.584 | 1.094 | 0.988 | 1.011 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.117 | 0.008 | 0.270 | 0.023 | 0.001 | 0.648 | 0.237 | 0.965 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=72.3$ | 2; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.234 | | | | | | |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|------------|-------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| nsomnia | В | -8.627 | 1.458 | 0.597 | -0.109 | 0.035 | 0.346 | 0.148 | -0.025 | 0.802 |
| | SE | 1.600 | 0.378 | 0.216 | 0.433 | 0.191 | 0.226 | 0.270 | 0.018 | 0.417 |
| | OR | < 0.001 | 4.299 | 1.817 | 0.897 | 1.036 | 1.414 | 1.160 | 0.975 | 2.231 |
| | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.006 | 0.802 | 0.854 | 0.126 | 0.582 | 0.162 | 0.054 |
| | - | | | 0.000 | 0.00= | | | | | |
| Note. a 1: | Model | | 4 <0.001. D2 | 0.260 | | | | | | |
| Note. a 1: | Model | $X^2(8)=78.24$ | 4 <0.001. D2 | | | | | | | |

Table 6. Logistic regression predicting ill health

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|---------------|-------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Fatigue | В | -3.632 | 0.947 | 0.590 | 0.127 | 0.056 | 0.308 | 0.083 | -0.029 | -0.130 |
| | SE | 1.079 | 0.386 | 0.152 | 0.267 | 0.115 | 0.164 | 0.247 | 0.012 | 0.276 |
| | OR | 0.026 | 2.577 | 1.804 | 1.135 | 1.058 | 1.360 | 1.087 | 0.972 | 0.878 |
| | p | 0.001 | 0.014 | <0.001 | 0.635 | 0.623 | 0.060 | 0.735 | 0.019 | 0.637 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=10$ | 6.899; p<0.001 | $R^2 = 0.352$ | | | | | | |
| Upset stomach | В | -3.970 | 1.265 | 0.094 | 0.091 | -0.011 | 0.057 | -0.200 | -0.038 | -0.059 |
| or nausea | SE | 1.089 | 0.312 | 0.157 | 0.313 | 0.136 | 0.173 | 0.237 | 0.014 | 0.303 |
| | OR | 0.019 | 3.544 | 1.098 | 1.095 | 0.989 | 1.059 | 0.819 | 0.963 | 0.942 |
| | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.550 | 0.771 | 0.936 | 0.742 | 0.399 | 0.006 | 0.845 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=45.36$ | 6; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.185 | | | | | | |
| Backache | В | -2.011 | 0.218 | 0.176 | -0.172 | 0.161 | 0.026 | -0.070 | -0.001 | -0.025 |
| | SE | 0.863 | 0.262 | 0.126 | 0.244 | 0.108 | 0.139 | 0.190 | 0.010 | 0.237 |
| | OR | 0.134 | 1.243 | 1.193 | 0.842 | 1.175 | 1.026 | 0.932 | 0.999 | 0.975 |
| | p | 0.020 | 0.407 | 0.161 | 0.481 | 0.138 | 0.854 | 0.712 | 0.955 | 0.917 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=13.96$ | 6; p=0.083; R ² = | =0.051 | | | | | | |
| Headaches | В | -6.523 | 0.944 | 0.272 | 0.644 | 0.069 | 0.035 | 0.132 | -0.029 | 0.394 |
| | SE | 1.114 | 0.293 | 0.143 | 0.297 | 0.125 | 0.158 | 0.208 | 0.013 | 0.280 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|----------------|-------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | OR | 0.001 | 2.570 | 1.313 | 1.905 | 1.071 | 1.035 | 1.141 | 0.972 | 1.483 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.057 | 0.030 | 0.580 | 0.826 | 0.527 | 0.024 | 0.160 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=75.6$ | 7; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.267 | | | | | | |
| Acid | В | -4.707 | 0.826 | 0.120 | 0.323 | -0.011 | 0.007 | -0.062 | 0.007 | 0.185 |
| indigestion or | SE | 1.030 | 0.290 | 0.142 | 0.284 | 0.119 | 0.158 | 0.209 | 0.012 | 0.270 |
| heartburn | OR | 0.009 | 2.284 | 1.128 | 1.382 | 0.989 | 1.007 | 0.940 | 1.007 | 1.203 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.398 | 0.255 | 0.928 | 0.965 | 0.768 | 0.549 | 0.493 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=27.7$ | 8; p=0.001; R ² = | =0.109 | | | | | | |
| Eye strain | В | -3.929 | 0.657 | -0.06 | 0.496 | 0.066 | -0.027 | 0.088 | -0.012 | -0.129 |
| | SE | 0.945 | 0.275 | 0.13 | 0.259 | 0.110 | 0.146 | 0.194 | 0.011 | 0.249 |
| | OR | 0.020 | 1.930 | 0.942 | 1.641 | 1.068 | 0.973 | 1.092 | 0.988 | 0.879 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.017 | 0.647 | 0.056 | 0.550 | 0.851 | 0.650 | 0.299 | 0.606 |
| | Model | $X^2(8) = 22.4$ | 5; p=0.004; R ² | =0.084 | | | | | | |
| Diarrhea | В | -5.574 | 0.576 | -0.155 | -0.245 | 0.541 | 0.212 | -0.020 | 0.002 | 0.148 |
| | SE | 1.317 | 0.349 | 0.179 | 0.363 | 0.191 | 0.198 | 0.259 | 0.015 | 0.350 |
| | OR | 0.004 | 1.779 | 0.857 | 0.783 | 1.717 | 1.237 | 0.981 | 1.002 | 1.159 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.098 | 0.386 | 0.501 | 0.005 | 0.284 | 0.940 | 0.877 | 0.672 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=21.64$ | 40; p=0.006; R ² | =0.106 | | | | | | |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|----------------|-------|---------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Ringing in the | В | -3.318 | 0.315 | 0.292 | -0.372 | -0.018 | 0.063 | -0.155 | 0.048 | < 0.0001 |
| ears | SE | 1.204 | 0.358 | 0.182 | 0.342 | 0.144 | 0.192 | 0.262 | 0.015 | 0.316 |
| | OR | 0.036 | 1.370 | 1.338 | 0.689 | 0.983 | 1.065 | 0.857 | 1.050 | 1.000 |
| | p | 0.006 | 0.379 | 0.109 | 0.277 | 0.903 | 0.742 | 0.555 | 0.002 | 1.000 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=16.8$ | 17; p=0.032; R ² | =0.080 | | | | | | |
| | | | , , | | | | | | | |
| | | | | =0.080 | | | | | | |



STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional studies

| | Item No | Recommendation | Page number |
|----------------------|------------|---|----------------------|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in | 1-3 |
| | | the title or the abstract | |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced | 2-3 |
| | | summary of what was done and what was found | |
| Introduction | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the | 4-6 |
| | | investigation being reported | |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | 6-7 |
| Methods | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 7 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including | 7 |
| | | periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of | 7 |
| - | | selection of participants | |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential | 8-9 |
| | | confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if | |
| | | applicable | |
| Data sources/ | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of | 8-9 |
| measurement | | methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability | |
| | | of assessment methods if there is more than one group | |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | 7 |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | Not explained as was |
| Stady Size | 10 | Explain now the study size was univer at | based on |
| | | | requirements for the |
| | | | RCT |
| Quantitative | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the | 9 |
| variables | | analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen | |
| | | and why | |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to | 9 |
| | | control for confounding | |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and | |
| | | interactions | |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | |
| | | (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of | _ |
| | | sampling strategy | |
| | | (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses | _ |
| Results | | (2) Describe any sonsitivity analyses | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg | 9-10 |
| 1 articipants | 13. | numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, | <i>y</i> -10 |
| | | confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, | |
| | | | |
| | | and analysed | |
| | | | |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage | - |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, | 9-10 |

| | | divised assists and information on an arrange and actualist | |
|-------------------|-----|---|----------------|
| | | clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential | |
| | | confounders | |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each | - |
| | | variable of interest | |
| Outcome data | 15* | Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | 10-13; Table 1 |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder- | 10-13 |
| | | adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence | |
| | | interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and | |
| | | why they were included | |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were | 9; Table 1 |
| | | categorized | |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into | - |
| | | absolute risk for a meaningful time period | |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and | - |
| - | | interactions, and sensitivity analyses | |
| Discussion | | 7 | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | 13-16 |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of | 16 |
| | | potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and | |
| | | magnitude of any potential bias | |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering | 13-16 |
| | | objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from | |
| | | similar studies, and other relevant evidence | |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study | 16 |
| • | | results | |
| Other information | | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the | 16 |
| | | present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which | |
| | | the present article is based | |

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Does occupational distress raise the risk of alcohol use, binge-eating, ill health and sleep problems among medical doctors? A UK cross-sectional study

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2018-027362.R2 |
| Article Type: | Research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 23-Feb-2019 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Medisauskaite, Asta; UCL, Research Department of Medical Education Kamau, Caroline; Birkbeck, University of London, Department of Organizational Psychology |
| Primary Subject Heading : | Occupational and environmental medicine |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Health services research, Mental health |
| Keywords: | Health & safety < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, MENTAL HEALTH, OCCUPATIONAL & INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE, Substance misuse < PSYCHIATRY, Eating disorders < PSYCHIATRY |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| Ξ. | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 1 | 0 |
| | |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | |
| | |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | |
| | |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | 6 |
| 2 | 7 |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | ч |
| | |
| 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 1 |
| 3 3 | 0 1 2 |
| 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 |
| 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 |
| 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 | 01234567890 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 | 012345678901 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 | 0123456789012 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 | 0123456789012 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 | 01234567890123 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 | 012345678901234 |
| 33333333444444 | 0123456789012345 |
| 33333333344444444 | 01234567890123456 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 012345678901234567 |
| 33333333344444444 | 012345678901234567 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 0123456789012345678 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 01234567890123456789 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 | 012345678901234567890 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 | 0123456789012345678901 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 01234567890123456789012 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 | 01234567890123456789012 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 012345678901234567890123 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 0123456789012345678901234 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 01234567890123456789012345 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 012345678901234567890123456 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 01234567890123456789012345 |
| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 | 0123456789012345678901234567 |

- 1 Does occupational distress raise the risk of alcohol use, binge-eating, ill health and sleep
- 2 problems among medical doctors? A UK cross-sectional study

3

- 4 Asta Medisauskaite, PhD¹ & Caroline Kamau, PhD²
- ¹ Research Department of Medical Education, UCL Medical School Room, GF/644, Royal
- 6 Free Hospital, NW3 2PF.
- ² Birkbeck Centre for Medical Humanities, Birkbeck, University of London, Malet Street,
- 8 London, WC1E 7HX.

9 10

11

12 Corresponding author: Asta Medisauskaite, Research Department of Medical Education,

- UCL Medical School Room GF/644, Royal Free Hospital, NW3 2PF. E-mail address:
- 14 <u>a.medisauskaite@ucl.ac.uk</u>. Telephone number: +44 2031086654

15

| 1 | Abstract |
|---|----------|
| - | ADSHACE |

- **Objectives.** This study aims to assess the prevalence of health problems (e.g. insomnia,
- 4 binge-eating, substance use, and ill health) among UK doctors and to investigate whether
- 5 occupational distress increases the risk of health problems.
- **Design.** This study reports the analysis of data collected at the baseline stage of a randomised
- 7 controlled trial (protocol #NCT02838290).
- 8 Setting. Doctors were invited through medical Royal Colleges, the British Medical
- 9 Association's research panel, and a random selection of NHS trusts across various UK
- 10 regions.
- Participants: 417 UK doctors with an equivalent split of gender (48% males) and seniority
- 12 (49% consultants).
- 13 Main Outcomes and Measures: Outcomes were sleep problems (e.g. insomnia),
- alcohol/drug use (e.g. binge-drinking), ill health (e.g. backache) and binge-eating (e.g.
- uncontrollable eating). Predictor variables were occupational distress (psychiatric morbidity,
- burnout, job effort, work-life imbalance, coping with stress through self-blame or substances)
- and work factors (workplace and years practicing medicine).
- **Results**: 44% of doctors binge-drank and 5% met the criteria for alcohol dependence; 24-
- 19 29% experienced negative emotions after overeating and 8% had a binge-eating disorder; 20-
- 20 61% had some type of sleep problem and 12% had severe/moderate insomnia; 69% had
- 21 fatigue and 19-29% experienced other types of ill health problems. The results show that
- occupational distress and job factors increase the odds of doctors using substances, having
- sleep problems, presenting with frequent symptoms of ill health, and binge-eating. For
- example, burnout increased the risk of all types of sleep problems, e.g. difficulty
- falling/staying asleep, insomnia (OR \geq 1.344; p \leq 0.036). Even taking into consideration

| 1 | |
|------------|--------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| | 0 |
| | |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 9 |
| | 0 |
| _ っ | 1 |
| <u>ィ</u> っ | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| | |
| | 4 |
| | 5 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 2 | 7 |
| 2 | 8 |
| 2 | 9 |
| 3 | 0 |
| | 1 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 |
| | 4 |
| | 5 |
| | 5 6 |
| <u>ح</u> | 0 |
| | 7 |
| 3 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 4 | 3 |
| | 4 |
| 4 | - |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | , Q |
| 4 4 | _ |
| | |
| 5 | |
| 5 | |
| 5 | 2 |
| 5 | |
| 5 | |
| 5 | |
| 5 | 6 |
| 5 | 7 |
| 5 | 8 |
| 5 | |
| ر | _ |

- whether or not a doctor works in a hospital, the risk of health problems still rises when
- 2 doctors have signs of occupational distress.
- 3 Conclusion. Early recognition of occupational distress can prevent health problems among
- 4 UK doctors that can reduce the quality of patient care because of sickness-related absence.

Strengths and limitations of this study

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

started;

- This is the first study to explore a wide range of health problems among UK doctors and to examine work-related risk factors;
- The study was piloted by consulting doctors about the method before the study
 - This is a cross-sectional study and therefore it is not possible to draw conclusions about causation;
 - It was not possible to calculate the response rate because it was not clear if all NHS trusts and Royal Colleges who agreed to invite doctors to take part in this research did send out the invitations, and to how many doctors.

Introduction

| 2 |
|---|
| _ |
| |

| Distress suffered by doctors has significant consequences for patient car | re. A recent meta- |
|---|-------------------------|
| analysis of 47 studies found that burned-out doctors were more likely to | provide poor quality |
| care because of reduced professionalism, and they were more likely to be | be associated with |
| poor patient satisfaction and incidents that jeopardise patient safety.[1] | There is a high |
| prevalence of distress among doctors in the United Kingdom (UK), with | n a systematic review |
| of 30 studies showing that 17% to 52% of doctors have psychiatric mort | bidity,[2] higher than |
| the prevalence rate of 19% in the general population,[3] and 31% to 54% | % of doctors have a |
| type of burnout called emotional exhaustion.[2] Little is known, however | er, about whether |
| occupational distress raises the risk of health problems (e.g. insomnia, b | inge-drinking) that |
| might compel doctors to be absent from work or take sick leave resulting | g in under-staffing |
| and a risk to patient safety. | |
| Occupational distress can be described as a syndrome comprising of bur | rnout, depression, |
| maladaptive coping strategies and other symptoms.[4] The potential imp | plications for risks to |
| patient safety due to sickness-absence make it useful to investigate the i | mpact of different |
| types of occupational distress on the relative risk of: (a) behaviours that | have an impact on |
| doctors' health such as alcohol/drug use and binge-eating; (b) health iss | ues such as sleep |
| disturbances and daily or weekly symptoms of ill health (e.g. headaches | or fatigue). This is |
| the first study to examine such a broad spectrum of health consequences | s among doctors. The |
| other innovation of the current study is that, whereas many previous study | dies have sampled US |
| doctors [5] or individual specialties such as oncology [6] and surgery,[7 |] this study sheds new |
| light on the impact of occupational distress on health problems among d | loctors in the UK. |
| Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors using alcohol or | · drugs? |
| Workers experiencing occupational distress are more likely to regularly | drink alcohol, binge- |
| drink or use drugs as a method of coping.[8,9] Doctors, on average, repo | ort equivalent or |
| lower rates of alcohol abuse than the general population [10] but daily a | lcohol consumption |
| or binge-drinking that does not meet the threshold for diagnosis of alcohol | nolism is still |
| problematic,[11,12] and symptomatic of psychological distress. In the U | JS, 10% of doctors |
| drink alcohol daily and 8% report severe alcohol or drug misuse or depe | endence at some point |
| | |

in their lives.[5] Prescription drug abuse is particularly problematic because doctors are up to

- 5 times more likely to use prescription drugs than the general population due to easier access
- or familiarity with prescription drugs, e.g. 24% of US doctors use benzodiazepine and 40%
- 3 use minor opiates.[5] There are many reasons why doctors use substances not all of which
- 4 are to do with being distressed therefore research is needed to clarify the proportion of
- 5 doctors who use alcohol/drugs as a way of coping with occupational distress. The coping
- 6 function of alcohol/drug use among doctors, as with the general population, is plausible
- 7 because alcohol and many drugs have psychoactive properties, e.g. prescription drugs such as
- 8 benzodiazepine and opiates; illicit drugs such as LSD. Occupational distress is known to
- 9 predict alcohol misuse in the general population [8] but little is known about whether, for
- example, doctors with high levels of burnout are at greater risk of using alcohol or drugs
- 11 (including prescription or legally purchased drugs), and whether other types of occupational
- distress (e.g. psychiatric morbidity, negative coping strategies) have similar effects. Little is
- also known about the impact of other job factors such as work experience on the risk of
- 14 doctors engaging in substance use.
- 15 Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors' binge-eating?
- Like alcohol or drug use, binge-eating is more prevalent among workers experiencing
- occupational distress [13] but, unlike substance use, little is known about binge-eating rates
- among doctors and risk factors. Binge-eating can be defined as eating a larger amount of food
- than most people eat in one sitting and finding oneself unable to control ones eating.[14]
- 20 Binge-eating, like alcohol or drug use, is a common method of coping with psychological
- 21 distress because eating offers an initial sense of comfort. [15,16] The initial comfort is,
- 22 however, followed by feelings of shame or guilt, thus exacerbating distress.[16] This is one
- of the first studies to assess the prevalence of binge-eating among UK doctors and to offer
- 24 insights into whether doctors who binge-eat experience unpleasant emotions after binging.
- 25 This study will also offer new insights into the impact of different types of occupational
- distress (e.g. work-life imbalance) which, together with analysing substance abuse by
- doctors, will reveal the consequences of occupational distress for doctors' health-related
- behaviours.
- 29 Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors having sleep disturbances?
- There have been calls for research into the connection between sleep problems and doctors'
- 31 health [17] but most previous studies have focused on sleep deprivation rather than sleep
- 32 disturbances that have a psychological aetiology, e.g. trouble falling/staying asleep due to

1 worry. Sleep deprivation can be defined as the lack of the opportunity to sleep, or more

- 2 simply as sleeping too few hours each day. Sleep deprivation is associated with
- depression,[18,19] burnout,[20] suicide risk,[19] and immunity or cardiovascular health
- 4 problems [21] among doctors but sleep deprivation in itself is not necessarily a sign of
- 5 psychological distress. Doctors who work long shifts [22] or lack block-scheduled shifts [23]
- 6 sleep too few hours because they have no choice. Therefore, rather than measuring sleep
- 7 deprivation, this study measured types of sleep disturbance with a psychological aetiology
- 8 such as trouble falling asleep, waking up prematurely because of worrying about work, and
- 9 insomnia. It is plausible that the risk of these types of sleep disturbance is higher among
- doctors suffering from work-life imbalance, psychiatric morbidity, and other types of
- occupational distress. This study will evaluate whether UK doctors suffering from
- occupational distress have an increased risk of sleep disturbances.
- 13 Does occupational distress increase the risk of doctors presenting with ill health?
- 14 There is some research about the physical health of doctors in some specialties (e.g.
- oncology)[6] but research is needed to assess whether occupational distress predicts the risk
- of doctors from various specialties suffering from daily or frequent headaches,
- gastrointestinal problems, and other physical symptoms. Physical health has a complex range
- of causes (e.g. health behaviours, genetics and infections) but people who are distressed are
- more susceptible to infections because psychological distress weakens the immune
- system. [24] The important question, therefore, is the relative risk of ill health symptoms,
- 21 comparing doctors with and without occupational distress. We recognise that the physical
- health of doctors is shaped by a complex range of factors only one of which is occupational
- 23 distress because working in a clinical environment can pose some risk to physical
- health.[25] This study is one of the first to shed light on the impact of occupational distress
- on ill health symptoms among UK doctors.
- 26 Study aims
- 27 This study aims to assess whether doctors suffering from occupational distress have an
- increased risk of (i) using alcohol or drugs (illicit, non-illicit); (ii) binge-eating; (iii) having
- sleep disturbances; and (iv) presenting with physical health symptoms. This study will extend
- 30 previous literature about occupational distress in medicine, which has focused on burnout and
- 31 psychiatric morbidity, [2] by measuring and defining occupational distress in additional ways,
- e.g. work-life imbalance, job effort, coping with stress through self-blame or through

| 1 | |
|----------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| | |
| | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 20 27 | |
| | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 40 41 | |
| | |
| 42 43 | |
| | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 55 56 | |
| 20 | |
| ٠, | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |
| 60 | |

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

substance use, drawing on previous literature highlighting the multiple dimensions of 1 occupational distress.[6] This study will also provide new insights into the prevalence of 2 alcohol/drug use, binge-eating, sleep and ill health problems among UK doctors, extending 3 previous research about doctors from other countries or individual specialties.[6,7] 4 5 6 Method 7 8 Patient and public involvement statement 9 All questionnaires were valid, reliable measures selected from previous literature but it was 10 important to consult doctors about whether the types of occupational distress and health problems to be measured were relevant to doctors, and whether the instructions were clear. 11 We thus consulted 15 doctors before the main study, using online software (*Qualtrics*). The 12 pilot study sought the doctors' feedback (through open ended questions) about the relevance 13 14 and clarity of the questionnaires. Doctors gave generally positive feedback. Some minor amendments included revising the wording of demographic questions, questionnaire 15 instructions and reducing the number of questionnaires to eliminate similar measures. 16 17 18 Study design The research reported in this article is an analysis of data collected at the baseline stage of a 19 20 randomised controlled trial (protocol #NCT02838290) of the effect of an intervention on doctors' levels of occupational distress and health problems. The trial took place from July to 21 November 2016. Due to the complexity of the trial this paper reports a cross-sectional 22 analysis of the baseline data. 23 24 Participants and study size 25 Doctors took part in this study online (*Qualtrics*). They learnt about the study through 26

Doctors took part in this study online (*Qualtrics*). They learnt about the study through medical Royal Colleges, NHS trusts, and the British Medical Association (BMA). To prevent selection bias based on specialty, we invited all Royal Colleges from which 9 agreed to distribute information about the research to their members. To prevent selection bias due to an NHS trust's reputation we randomly selected 25% NHS trusts. From the ones possible to reach, 9 distributed invitations to this study. The third source of data was the BMA's research panel. The majority of UK medical doctors are members of the BMA and any of them can join the BMA's research panel; therefore, this panel represented a broad spectrum of doctors. All medical doctors working in the UK were included in the baseline data analysis.

1 Measures

- *Outcome measures:* Doctors completed a variety of questionnaires assessing health problems.
- 3 In some cases, we analysed responses to individual items in addition to the average of whole
- 4 questionnaires because this offered richer insights into the prevalence of certain types of
- 5 substance misuse (e.g. binge-drinking), different symptoms of ill health (e.g. backache),
- 6 different signs of binge-eating (e.g. uncontrollable eating) and sleep disturbances (e.g. trouble
- 7 falling asleep). This also enabled a better understanding of what aspects of health problems
- 8 are predicted by occupational distress.
 - 1. Alcohol and drug use: Alcohol dependence was assessed with the Patient Health Questionnaire [26] and the alcohol use habits with 3 items from the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Scale (AUDIT).[27] Two items from the Brief COPE questionnaire [28] evaluated doctors' tendency to use substances as a stress coping strategy. Drug use list of 22 illicit and legal drugs was devised from the Commonly Abused Drugs Charts [29] and the UK drug misuse declaration.[30]
 - 2. *Binge-eating habits*: These were measured using the binge-eating disorder items from the Eating Disorder Diagnostic Scale (as a scale and separate items).[31]
 - 3. *Sleep disturbances*: Insomnia was measured with the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI; Cronbach α=0.891).[32] Sleep problems were items derived from the Effort-Reward scale [33] and ISI.[32]
 - 4. *Ill health symptoms*: The Physical Symptom Inventory [34] comprising 12 items was used to assess the frequency with which doctors experienced various symptoms.
 - *Predictor measures:* The predictor variables and measuring instruments captured different types of occupational distress and job factors:
 - 1. *Psychiatric morbidity:* This was measured using the General Health Questionnaire-12 (GHQ-12; Cronbach α=0.927).[35] This measure of psychiatric morbidity was chosen instead of others (e.g. Patient Health Questionnaire) because GHQ-12 was previously extensively used to examine working populations (e.g.[3]), including doctors (e.g.[6]).
 - 2. *Burnout*: Emotional exhaustion from the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) [36] was assessed in this study (Cronbach α=0.905). Emotional exhaustion was selected because previous research shows that it is the best predictor of stress-related health among the three dimensions.[37]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

29

31

59 60

| 1 | | |
|----------|---|--|
| ี ว | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| _ | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| | 0 | |
| 1 | | |
| 1 | 2 | |
| 1 | | |
| | 4 | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| | 6 | |
| 1 | | |
| | 8 | |
| 1 | 9 | |
| | 0 | |
| | 1 | |
| っ | 2 | |
| 2 | | |
| <u>۷</u> | 4 | |
| 2 | 4 | |
| 2 | 5 | |
| 2 | 6 | |
| 2 | 7 | |
| 2 | 8 | |
| 2 | 9 | |
| 3 | 0 | |
| | 1 | |
| 3 | | |
| 3 | 2 | |
| ၁ ၁ | 4 | |
| 3 | 4 | |
| 3 | 5 | |
| 3 | 6 | |
| 3 | 7 | |
| 3 | 8 | |
| 3 | 9 | |
| 4 | 0 | |
| 4 | 1 | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| | 0 | |
| 5 | 1 | |
| 5 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 5 | | |
| э 5 | | |
| _ | _ | |
| J | 6 | |
| | 7 | |
| 5 | 8 | |

- 3. *Job effort:* The Job Effort scale from the Effort-Reward scale [33] measured time pressure, interruptions and demands at work (Cronbach α =0.770).
- 4. *Work-life imbalance:* This was measured using the Work-Family Conflict scale [38] (Cronbach α =0.934).
- 5. *Maladaptive coping strategies*: Two scales from the Brief COPE questionnaire [28] were used: coping through substance use (Cronbach α =0.872), and coping through self-blame (Cronbach α =0.783).
- 6. Work experience: years working in medicine.
- 7. Work place type: doctors indicated whether they work in a hospital or other setting.
- More information about the measures is presented in Table 1.
- 13 [Insert Table 1]
- 15 Statistical methods
- Outcome variables were re-coded into binary variables in order to distinguish between the
- presence and absence of a health problem and to identify factors that raise the risk of the
- symptom being present. The cut-off points where possible were identified from the literature
- 19 (see citations within Table 1). For sleep problems, we determined the cut-off points based on
- 20 the guidance for the ISI.[32] For each item doctors reporting moderate or severe sleep
- 21 problems were noted as having the symptom present, and reporting no/mild sleep problems
- were noted as having the symptom absent. Doctors' responses about using substances to cope
- 23 with stress were dichotomised as either not doing so, or using substances a little bit, to a
- 24 medium extent or a lot. Frequency of drinking was divided into drinking alcohol less than 2-3
- 25 times a week and more than 2-3 times a week. Ill health symptoms were recoded such that the
- presence of each symptom meant experiencing once/twice per week or more often. See Table
- 27 1 for more details. Logistic regression tested the impact of predictors on the odds of the
- outcomes using SPSS v21 software.

30 Results

In total, 417 UK doctors participated. The mean age was 47.23 years (SD=10.97), and there was an equivalent split of gender (48% males) and seniority (49% consultants). We compared demographic characteristics of doctors in this study to doctors on the List of Registered

1 Medical Practitioners (LRMP)[39,40] and the comparison showed that the current sample

2 largely mirrors the demographics of UK medical doctors in terms of the proportion of doctors

- by gender, age, grade and specialty, except that there was a higher representation of
- 4 consultants and public health doctors than the proportions within the LRMP (Table 2).

6 Table 1 shows the prevalence and descriptive statistics of different types of occupational

7 distress; for example, 32.7% of doctors had psychiatric morbidity and 55.3% were

emotionally exhausted.

[Insert Table 2]

12 How many doctors use alcohol or drugs? Table 1 shows that 53% of doctors drank alcohol

 \geq 2 times a week, 27% consumed \geq 3 drinks on a typical day of drinking and 44% binge-drank

by consuming 6+ drinks on one occasion. 5% of doctors met the criteria for alcohol

dependence but the rest of doctors did not report significant impairments in their occupational

or other functioning as a result of drinking alcohol. In terms of illicit/non-illicit drug use,

17 44% of doctors used some type of drugs but almost all were non-illicit drugs: 3% of doctors

used prescription opioids, 2% used benzodiazepines, 5% used sleep medication, 5% smoked

tobacco, 7% used herbal or homeopathic remedies and 35% used over-the-counter medicines.

20 Illegal drug use was rare: only 1 doctor reported cocaine use and 1 doctor used amyl nitrite.

21 No doctor reported using amphetamines, anabolic steroids, cannabis, ecstasy, heroin,

ketamine, khat, LSD, magic mushrooms, mephedrone, methamphetamine or

23 tranquillizers.

Are distressed doctors more likely to use alcohol/drugs? Coping with stress was the reason

given by many doctors for drinking alcohol or taking drugs, with 34% saying that they used

substances in order to feel better, and 22% used substances to help them get through stressful

events. Table 3 shows logistic regression results analysing the effects of occupational distress

and job factors on alcohol and drug use. The model significance testing shows that the

30 predictors significantly explained variance in doctors using substances to help them get

through something (6%), drinking alcohol frequently (38%) and large amounts (12%), binge-

drinking (28%), and being alcohol dependent (28%). The predictors did not explain variance

in drug use and doctors using substances to feel better (p>0.05). Doctors who coped with

stress by using substances had a higher risk of frequent alcohol use, binge-drinking, alcohol

- dependence and drug use ($p \le 0.022$). The results also show that having more experience
- working in medicine raised the risk of a doctor drinking alcohol frequently (OR=1.036;
- 3 p=0.002) but lowered the risk of binge-drinking (OR=0.970; p=0.007). Doctors who worked
- 4 in a hospital were more likely to drink high amounts of alcohol on a typical day of drinking
- and to binge-drink (OR \geq 1.672; p \leq 0.044). Doctors who reacted to stress by blaming
- 6 themselves were more likely to use substances to get through something (OR=1.374;
- 7 p=0.039). Burnout, work-life imbalance and job effort were not significant unique predictors
- 8 of substance use although the combined models were significant. The exception was
- 9 psychiatric morbidity which had a significant unique effect of decreasing the risk of doctors
- drinking alcohol frequently (OR=0.478; p=0.019).
- 12 [Insert Table 3]

- 14 How many doctors binge-eat? Table 1 shows that 35% of doctors ate a large amount of food
- when they were not physically hungry, 31% ate until they felt uncomfortably full, and 24-
- 16 29% of doctors experienced negative emotions after overeating such as embarrassment,
- disgust, depression or guilt. We found that 8% of doctors had a binge-eating disorder.
- 19 Are distressed doctors more likely to binge-eat? Table 4 shows that all models tested were
- statistically significant and the predictors explained 8.1-17.1% of variance in binge-eating
- 21 habits (p<0.05). Types of occupational distress that, individually, significantly predicted
- binge-eating habits were: self-blaming, work-life imbalance, and burnout. More experienced
- 23 doctors were less likely to feel disgusted with themselves after binge-eating (OR=0.966;
- p=0.009), as were doctors who worked in hospitals (OR=0.453; p=0.008). Job effort lowered
- 25 the risk of a binge-eating disorder (OR=0.179; p<0.001). Psychiatric morbidity, by itself, did
- not predict binge-eating, and nor did coping with stress through substance use (p>0.05).
- 28 [Insert Table 4]
- 30 How many doctors have sleep disturbances? Table 1 shows that 22% of doctors had
- 31 difficulty falling asleep, 35% difficulty staying asleep, 44% were dissatisfied with their sleep
- pattern, 20% were worried or distressed about a current sleep problem, and 35% of doctors'
- 33 sleep problems interfered with daily functioning. Thinking about work contributed to sleep
- problems; 61% of doctors thought about work when they went to bed and 49% had trouble

| 1 | sleeping if they postponed something they were supposed to do that day. The ISI [32] showed |
|---|---|
| 2 | that 12% of doctors had severe/moderate insomnia. |

- 4 Are distressed doctors more likely to have sleep disturbances? Logistic regression models
- 5 predicting 7 signs of sleep problems and insomnia are presented in Table 5. All models were
- 6 statistically significant explaining from 23.4% to 39.1% of variance, showing that
- 7 occupational distress and job factors significantly predicted sleep disturbances among
- 8 doctors. Doctors with psychiatric morbidity were more likely to have insomnia, difficulty
- 9 falling/staying asleep, think about work when they went to bed, find that sleep problems
- interfered with their daily functioning (OR \geq 2.117; p \leq 0.026), and burnout increased the risk
- of all 7 sleep disturbances (OR \geq 1.344; p \leq 0.036). Other significant unique predictors of sleep
- problems among doctors were: maladaptive coping with stress, work-life imbalance, and
- working in a hospital ($p \le 0.030$).

[Insert Table 5]

- 17 How many doctors suffer from daily or frequent ill health? 69% of doctors had fatigue and
- between 19% and 29% frequently experienced other type of ill health problems, e.g. upset
- stomach, backache and headaches. Only 8% or fewer doctors reported frequent
- 20 (daily/weekly) non-menstrual stomach cramps, constipation, appetite loss and dizziness,
- 21 therefore these symptoms were not analysed using logistic regression.

- 23 Are distressed doctors more likely to have daily or frequent ill health? Table 6 shows the
- logistic regression results that the odds of doctors suffering from frequent ill health are raised
- by occupational distress together with years practising medicine and working in a hospital.
- The predictors explained 8-35.2% of variance in doctors presenting with ill health symptoms;
- 27 only the effects on back pain were non-significant (p=0.083). Several types of occupational
- distress had significant unique effects, meaning that they individually predicted doctors'
- 29 health. For instance, burnout raised the odds of doctors suffering from fatigue (OR=1.804;
- p<0.001); job effort raised the odds of headaches (OR=1.905; p=0.030); work-life imbalance
- raised the odds of diarrhoea (OR=1.717; p=0.005); and psychiatric morbidity raised the odds
- of doctors suffering from fatigue, upset stomach or nausea, headaches,
- acid/indigestion/heartburn, and eye strain (OR≥1.930; p≤0.017). Working in a hospital did
- not, by itself, predict doctors' presentation of ill health symptoms, and nor did using

substances to cope with stress (p>0.05). More years of experience in medicine decreased the

odds of doctors having fatigue, upset stomach or nausea and headaches (OR≥0.963;

 $p \le 0.024$), but more experienced doctors had increased odds of ear ringing (OR=1.050;

p=0.002).

[Insert Table 6]

Discussion

Prevalence of occupational distress and health problems among UK doctors

11 This study shows the prevalence of occupational distress and health problems such as ill

health symptoms, and health-related problems (e.g. substance use) among UK doctors. The

results replicate a recent systematic review about the prevalence of burnout and psychiatric

morbidity [2] by finding that 32.7% of UK doctors have psychiatric morbidity and 55.3% a

type of burnout called emotional exhaustion while providing new evidence about the

prevalence of types of problems that were unrepresented in previous literature. The results

showed that 11% of doctors have insomnia; 20-61% experience various sleep problems; 5%

are alcohol dependent; 27-53% drink in a hazardous way; 69% have fatigue; 4-33%

experience other physical complaints; 8% have a binge-eating disorder; and 24-35%

20 experience binge-eating symptoms. 44% of doctors use some type of drugs, but mostly over-

21 the-counter medications (35%). Prescription drug use was rare (3% use opioids and 2% use

benzodiazepines), suggesting that the proportion of doctors getting drug treatment for anxiety

is lower than the proportion of doctors with anxiety (14.7%).[41] Compared to the general

population more doctors have psychiatric morbidity (32.7% compared 19%),[3] burnout

(55.3% compared to 24.8%),[42] and more doctors have physical complaints such as back

pain (e.g. 34% compared to 8-28% in the general population).[43] However, fewer doctors

27 have insomnia (11%) or are alcohol dependent (5%) compared to the general population

28 whereby 37% have insomnia and 13.9-29.1% have alcohol use disorder.[44] The results from

29 this study also revealed a higher prevalence of burnout than the National Trainee Survey

30 (NTS) which reported that 23.8% of medical trainees have burnout [45] but this could be

because the current study had a high representation of consultants (49%). The current study

32 supports the recent BMA annual survey reporting that 61% of doctors feel that their stress

levels have increased over the last year [46] by showing that a similar proportion of doctors

34 (55.3%) have a type of burnout called emotional exhaustion.

1 Occupational distress increases the odds of health problems among UK doctors

2 The results show that occupational distress increases the odds of doctors using substances,

- 3 having sleep disturbances, frequent symptoms of ill health, and binge-eating. Even taking
- 4 into consideration whether or not a doctor works in a hospital, the risk of health problems still
- 5 rises when doctors have signs of occupational distress such as burnout. Previous research
- showed that distress, [8,47] coping strategies [48–50] and job factors [51–56] are associated
- 7 with health problems. This study replicates previous findings while demonstrating the
- 8 generalisability of the effects to a wider range of health issues among UK doctors from
- 9 various specialties:

Alcohol/drug use: Distressed doctors are more likely to use alcohol, with 22-34% of doctors reporting that they use substances to feel better or help them get through stressful events. Doctors who cope with stress by using substances have a higher risk of alcohol dependence (OR=6.165), binge-drinking (OR=6.355), drinking larger amounts of alcohol (OR=2.599), and a higher risk of using alcohol more frequently (OR=18.836). Doctors who react to stress by blaming themselves are more likely to use substances to get through something (OR=1.374). Having more experience working in medicine makes a doctor more likely to drink alcohol frequently (OR=1.036) but lowers the likelihood of binge-drinking (OR=0.970). Doctors who work in a hospital are more likely to drink high amounts of alcohol on a typical day of drinking (OR=1.812) and to binge-drink (OR=1.672). In understanding the effects of occupational distress on alcohol or drug use, the results showed the usefulness of assessing the combined effects of different types of occupational distress, but that, except for psychiatric morbidity, burnout, work-life imbalance and job effort do not individually predict alcohol use. This suggests that occupational distress is best understood as a syndrome when understanding its effect on alcohol use. These findings extend previous studies which show that burnout, depression and psychiatric morbidity individually predict using alcohol dependence/abuse.[7,57] The results also show that – for UK doctors – occupational distress as a syndrome has no significant effect on legal or illegal drug use. Only doctors who cite substance use as a strategy that they use to

cope with stress are significantly more likely to use drugs (OR=1.530) – and most of

these are prescription or over-the-counter drugs.

Binge-eating: The risks of doctors binge-eating and experiencing negative emotions after over-eating are raised by occupational distress (OR=1.311 to 1.841), e.g. burnout, coping with stress by blaming oneself, and work-life imbalance. This supports previous studies showing that binge-eating is a method of coping with stress that offers people an initial sense of comfort.[15,16] Doctors who have longer experience working in medicine, and doctors who work in hospitals, are less likely to have unpleasant emotions after binge-eating (OR=0.966 and 0.453), suggesting that community-based doctors are more at risk of finding that binge-eating makes them feel worse, rather than better. The amount of effort that a doctor puts into their job lowers the risk of their suffering from a binge-eating disorder (OR=0.187). Psychiatric morbidity, by itself, did not predict binge-eating, and nor did coping with stress through substance use.

Sleep disturbances: Occupational distress and job factors significantly predict sleep problems and insomnia among doctors, supporting the view of occupational distress as a syndrome, whilst also revealing that certain types of occupational distress can also, individually, predict sleep disturbances. Previous research show that physicians with high burnout, for example, are more likely to experience sleep related problems.[58] This study extends our understanding of the risk factors and shows that burnout, psychiatric morbidity, maladaptive coping strategies, work-life imbalance, and working in a hospital increase the risk of sleep disturbances (OR=1.344 to 3.826). This means that the risk of sleep problems or insomnia exists even if doctors are suffering from just one of these types of occupational distress which is particularly noticeable with the increase in psychiatric morbidity. The sleep problems doctors experience include trouble falling/staying sleep, worrying about work when trying to sleep, and finding that sleep problems interfere with daily functioning.

Daily or frequent ill health: Occupational distress increases the odds of doctors suffering from fatigue, upset stomach or nausea, headaches, acid/indigestion or heartburn, eye strain, diarrhoea, and ringing in the ears but not back pain. Psychiatric morbidity, burnout, coping with stress by blaming oneself, job effort, and work-life imbalance each, as individual predictors, also raise the odds of doctors suffering from frequent ill health (OR=1.050 to 3.544). These findings extend previous studies which investigate how the working conditions experienced by doctors relate to ill health [25] by showing that occupational distress, especially psychiatric morbidity, increases the

risk of physical health problems. Doctors who work in a hospital do not have increased odds of ill health symptoms, and nor do doctors who use substances to cope with stress. More experienced doctors have lower odds of fatigue, upset stomach or nausea and headaches (OR=0.963 to 0.972), but are at a greater risk of suffering from ringing ears (OR=1.050).

Strengths and weaknesses of the study and future research

This study is the first to explore the impact of occupational distress and work factors on the risk of health problems among UK doctors from various specialties. A weakness of this study is that the analysis is cross-sectional. We need future experimental research to test causation between occupational distress and health problems using a longitudinal design, and an evaluation of effect sizes using indicators such as Cohen's *d*. It was not possible to reliably convert odds ratios into Cohen's *d* within the current study because of the limitations of interpreting Cohen's *d* from data with dichotomous outcome variables. We also encourage future research to measure hypotheses that were not possible to test within the current study, e.g. whether the risk of gastrointestinal problems among doctors rises with patient caseload or infection exposure.

It was not possible to calculate the response rate because it was not clear whether all NHS trusts and medical Royal Colleges who agreed to take part in this study actually distributed the invitation and to how many doctors. We are also mindful that some participants might not have been comfortable answering some sensitive questions (e.g. about illicit drug or alcohol use) but the risk of response bias was mitigated by allowing doctors to complete confidential self-reported questionnaires. This is a recognised methods of measuring health and health-related behaviours (e.g. alcohol intake [59]). We also recognise that using individual items from previously validated questionnaires (rather than all items) might have an impact on the validity and reliability of the measurement methods.

The meaning of the study: possible implications for clinicians and policymakers

Occupational distress among doctors has a detrimental effect on the quality of care and patient safety.[1] This study has revealed that occupational distress also increases the risk of doctors suffering from health problems (OR=1.036 to 18.836). The impact of occupational distress on ill health could increase levels of sickness-absence among doctors, thus reducing patient safety because of under-staffing. Likewise, the impact of occupational distress on

| 1 |
|-------------------|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 4 5 |
| , |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 23 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| |
| 46 |
| 47 |
| 48 |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 55 56 |
| |
| 57 |
| 58 |
| 59 |

14

18

21

24

- substance use and sleep problems could mean that distress indirectly impairs doctors' fitness
- 2 to practice, judgement or decision-making because of being intoxicated, hung-over or having
- 3 disturbed sleep. Therefore, we recommend that doctors' mentors, supervisors, peers and
- 4 occupational health support services recognise and act upon (1) the prevalence of
- 5 occupational distress and health problems among doctors; (2) the possibility that occupational
- 6 distress raises the risk of several health problems; and (3) the need to provide early
- 7 interventions that prevent doctors who are experiencing occupational distress from suffering
- 8 the long-term health effects of sleep disturbances, frequent symptoms of ill health, and
- 9 adopting negative health behaviours, such as binge-drinking or eating in order to cope.
- 11 Acknowledgements
- We are extremely grateful to all the doctors who kindly agreed to take part in this study and
- the organisations who helped us to reach their doctors.
- 15 Ethical approval and consent to participate
- 16 The BEI School Ethics Committee at Birkbeck, University of London, approved the study in
- 17 May 2016. Participants voluntarily consented to take part in this study.
- 19 Competing interests
- 20 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
- 22 Funding
- Not applicable
- 25 Authors' contributions
- 26 AM and CK participated in the conception and design of the study. AM collected and
- analysed data. AM and CK were involved in the interpretation of the data and preparation of
- this article. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.
- 30 Data sharing
- 31 No additional data available
- 32 33

29

--

References

- Panagioti M, Geraghty K, Johnson J, *et al.* Association between physician burnout and patient safety, professionalism, and patient satisfaction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med* 2018;**178**:1317–30. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.3713
- Imo UO. Burnout and psychiatric morbidity among doctors in the UK: a systematic literature review of prevalence and associated factors. *BJPsych Bull* Published Online First: 2017. doi:10.1192/pb.bp.116.054247
- Goodwin L, Ben-Zion I, Fear NT, *et al.* Are reports of psychological stress higher in occupational studies? A systematic review across occupational and population based studies. *PLoS One* 2013;**8**. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078693
- Medisauskaite A, Kamau C. Prevalence of oncologists in distress: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychooncology* 2017;**26**:1732–40. doi:10.1002/pon.4382
- Hughes, H. P, Brandenburg, C. N, Baldwin, L. D, *et al.* Prevalence of Substance Use
 Among US Physicians. *JAMA* 1992;47:771–3.
- Medisauskaite A, Kamau C. Prevalence of oncologists in distress: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychooncology* Published Online First: 2017. doi:10.1002/pon.4382
- Oreskovich MR, Kaups KL, Balch CM, *et al.* Prevalence of Alcohol Use Disorders Among American Surgeons. *Arch Surg* 2012;**147**:168.
- 20 doi:10.1001/archsurg.2011.1481
- Ahola K, Honkonen T, Pirkola S, *et al.* Alcohol dependence in relation to burnout among the Finnish working population. *Addiction* 2006;**101**:1438–43. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01539.x
- 25 doi:10.1111/j.1300-0443.2000.01339.X
- Leiter MP, Hakanen JJ, Ahola K, *et al.* Organizational predictors and health
 consequences of changes in burnout: A 12-year cohort study. *J Organ Behav* 2012;:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1002/job.1830
- Merlo LJ, Gold MS. Prescription opioid abuse and dependence among physicians:
 Hypotheses and treatment. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 2008;**16**:181–94.
- doi:10.1080/10673220802160316
- NHS. Alcohol misuse NHS. https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/alcohol-misuse/ (accessed 26 Sep 2018).
- NHS. Binge drinking NHS. https://www.nhs.uk/live-well/alcohol-support/binge-drinking-effects/ (accessed 26 Sep 2018).
- Pena Gralle APB, Barbosa Moreno A, Lopes Juvanhol L, *et al.* Job strain and binge eating among Brazilian workers participating in the ELSA-Brasil study: does BMI matter? *J Occup Health* 2017;**59**:247–55. doi:10.1539/joh.16-0157-OA
- 37 14 Waller D. Binge eating. *BMJ* 2001;**322**:343. doi:10.1136/BMJ.322.7282.343
- Macht M, Simons G. Emotions and eating in everyday life. *Appetite* 2000;**35**:65–71. doi:10.1006/appe.2000.0325

| 1 | | | 19 |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 4 5 | 1 2 | 16 | McManus F, Waller Gi. A functional analysis of binge-eating. <i>Clin Psychol Rev</i> 1995; 15 :845–63. doi:10.1016/0272-7358(95)00042-9 |
| 6 7 8 | 3 4 | 17 | Kamau C. Safe working hours protect doctors from sleep deprivation. <i>BMJ rapid response</i> 2017;:j4547. doi:10.1136/bmj.j4547 |
| 9 10 11 12 | 5 6 7 | 18 | Kalmbach DA, Arnedt JT, Song PX, <i>et al.</i> Sleep Disturbance and Short Sleep as Risk Factors for Depression and Perceived Medical Errors in First-Year Residents. <i>Sleep</i> 2017; 40 . doi:10.1093/sleep/zsw073 |
| 13 14 | 8 | 19 | Eddy R. Sleep deprivation among physicians. B C Med J 2005;47:176–80. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | 9 10 11 | 20 | Aldrees TM, Aleissa S, Zamakhshary M, <i>et al.</i> Physician well-being: Prevalence of burnout and associated risk factors in a tertiary hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. <i>Ann Saudi Med</i> 2013; 33 :451–6. doi:10.5144/0256-4947.2013.451 |
| 20 21 22 23 | 12 13 14 | 21 | Tobaldini E, Cogliati C, Fiorelli EM, <i>et al.</i> One night on-call: Sleep deprivation affects cardiac autonomic control and inflammation in physicians. <i>Eur J Intern Med</i> 2013; 24 :664–70. doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2013.03.011 |
| 24 25 26 27 | 15 16 17 | 22 | Anderson C, Sullivan JP, Flynn-Evans EE, <i>et al.</i> Deterioration of Neurobehavioral Performance in Resident Physicians During Repeated Exposure to Extended Duration Work Shifts. <i>Sleep</i> 2012; 35 :1137–46. doi:10.5665/sleep.2004 |
| 28 29 30 | 18 19 | 23 | Bordley J, Agustin AG, Ahmed MA, <i>et al.</i> Restoration of resident sleep and wellness with block scheduling. <i>Med Educ</i> 2017; 51 :1241–9. doi:10.1111/medu.13392 |
| 31 32 33 | 20 21 | 24 | Cohen S, Williamson GM. Stress and infectious disease in humans. <i>Psychol Bull</i> 1991; 109 :5–24. doi:10.1037//0033-2909.109.1.5 |
| 34 35 36 37 | 22 23 | 25 | Vijendren A, Yung M, Sanchez J. Occupational health issues amongst UK doctors: A literature review. <i>Occup Med (Chic Ill)</i> 2015;65:519–28. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqv088 |
| 38 39 40 41 42 | 24 25 26 27 | 26 | Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Kroenke K, <i>et al.</i> Validity and utility of the PRIME-MD Patient Health Questionnaire in assessment of 3000 obstetric-gynecologic patients: The PRIME-MD Patient Health Questionnaire Obstetrics-Gynecology Study. <i>Am J Obstet Gynecol</i> 2000; 183 :759–69. doi:10.1067/mob.2000.106580 |
| 43 44 45 46 47 | 28 29 30 | 27 | Babor TF, Higgins-Biddle JC, Saunders JB, <i>et al.</i> The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Guidelines for Use in Primary Care. <i>World Heal Organ</i> 2001;:pp1-40. doi:10.1177/0269881110393051 |
| 48 49 | 31 32 | 28 | Carver CS. You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: Consider the Brief COPE. Int. J. Behav. Med. 1997; 4 :92–100. doi:10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401_6 |
| 50 51 52 53 54 | 33 34 35 | 29 | National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). Commonly Abused Drugs Charts. 2016.https://www.drugabuse.gov/drugs-abuse/commonly-abused-drugs-charts (accessed 16 Jan 2016). |
| 55 56 | 36 | 30 | Office for National Statistics. User Guide to Drug Misuse Statistics Contents. 2015. |
| 57 58 59 60 | 37 38 39 | 31 | Stice E, Telch CF, Rizvi SL. Development and validation of the Eating Disorder Diagnostic Scale: a brief self-report measure of anorexia, bulimia, and binge-eating disorder. <i>Psychol Assess</i> 2000; 12 :123–31. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.12.2.123 |

| 1 2 3 | 32 | Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the insomnia severity index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. <i>Sleep Med</i> 2001; 2 :297–307. doi:10.1016/S1389-9457(00)00065-4 |
|--------------------|----|---|
| 4 5 6 7 | 33 | Siegrist J, Li J, Montano D. Psychometric properties of the effort-reward imbalance questionnaire. Germany: 2014. http://www.uniklinik-duesseldorf.de/fileadmin/Datenpool/einrichtungen/institut_fuer_medizinische_soziolo gie_id54/ERI/Psychometrie.pdf |
| 8 9 10 11 | 34 | Spector PE, Jex SM. Development of Four Self-Report Measures of Job Stressors and Strain: Interpersonal Conflict at Work Scale, Organizational Constraints Scale, Quantitative Workload Inventory, and Physical Symptoms Inventory. <i>J Occup Health Psychol</i> 1998; 3 :356–67. |
| 12 13 | 35 | Goldberg DP, Hillier VF. A scaled version of the General Health Questionnaire. <i>Psychol Med</i> 1979; 9 :139–45. doi:10.1017/S0033291700021644 |
| 14 15 | 36 | Maslach C, Jackson S. The measurement of experienced Burnout. <i>J Occup Behav</i> 1981; 2 :99–113. doi:10.1002/job.4030020205 |
| 16 17 18 | 37 | Maslach C, Leiter MP. Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. <i>World Psychiatry</i> 2016; 15 :103–11. doi:10.1002/wps.20311 |
| 19 20 | 38 | Netemeyer RG, Boles JS, McMurrian R. Development and Validation of Work-Family Conflict and Family-Work Conflict Scales. <i>J Appl Psychol</i> 1996; 81 :400–10. |
| 21 22 | 39 | General Medical Council. <i>The state of medical education and practice in the UK</i> . 2017. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004 |
| 23 24 | 40 | General Medical Council. Data Explorer. https://data.gmc-uk.org/gmcdata/home/#/reports (accessed 24 Nov 2018). |
| 25 26 27 | 41 | Johansson R, Carlbring P, Heedman Åsa, <i>et al.</i> Depression, anxiety and their comorbidity in the Swedish general population: point prevalence and the effect on health-related quality of life. <i>PeerJ</i> 2013;1:e98. doi:10.7717/peerj.98 |
| 28 29 30 | 42 | Shanafelt TD, Hasan O, Dyrbye LN, <i>et al.</i> Changes in Burnout and Satisfaction with Work-Life Balance in Physicians and the General US Working Population between 2011 and 2014. <i>Mayo Clin Proc</i> 2015; 90 :1600–13. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.08.023 |
| 31 32 33 | 43 | Health & Safety Executive. The role of work stress and psychological factors in the development of musculoskeletal disorders. 2004. http://www.hse.gov.uk/research/rrpdf/rr273.pdf (accessed 5 May 2018). |
| 34 35 | 44 | Grant BF, Goldstein RB, Saha TD, <i>et al.</i> Epidemiology of <i>DSM-5</i> Alcohol Use Disorder. <i>JAMA Psychiatry</i> 2015; 72 :757. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0584 |
| 36 37 38 | 45 | General Medical Council. National training surveys 2018: Initial findings report. 2018;:1–16.https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/dc11391-nts-2018-initial-findings-report_pdf-75268532.pdf |
| 39 40 | 46 | BMA. BMA quarterly tracker survey. <i>BMA Surv</i> Published Online First: 2017.http://bma.org.uk/working-for-change/policy-and-lobbying/training-and- |

| 1 2 | | | |
|----------|----------|------------|---|
| 3 | 1 | | workforce/tracker-survey/omnibus-august-survey-2014 |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 6 | 2 | 47 | Davey MM, Cummings G, Newburn-Cook C V., et al. Predictors of nurse absenteeism |
| 7 | 3 4 | | in hospitals: A systematic review. <i>J Nurs Manag</i> 2009; 17 :312–30. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2834.2008.00958.x |
| 8 9 | 4 | | 2034.2000.00730.A |
| 10 | 5 | 48 | Kataoka M, Ozawa K, Tomotake M, et al. Occupational stress and its related factors |
| 11 | 6 | | among university teachers in Japan. <i>Health (Irvine Calif)</i> 2014; 06 :299–305. |
| 12 13 | 7 | | doi:10.4236/health.2014.65043 |
| 14 | 8 | 49 | Litman JA. The COPE inventory: Dimensionality and relationships with approach- and |
| 15 | 9 | | avoidance-motives and positive and negative traits. Pers Individ Dif 2006;41:273–84. |
| 16 17 | 10 | | doi:10.1016/j.paid.2005.11.032 |
| 18 | 11 | 50 | Mark G, Smith AP. Occupational stress, job characteristics, coping, and the mental |
| 19 | 12 | | health of nurses. <i>Br J Health Psychol</i> 2012; 17 :505–21. doi:10.1111/j.2044- |
| 20 21 | 13 | | 8287.2011.02051.x |
| 22 | 1.1 | 51 | Khan A, Teoh KR, Islam S, et al. Psychosocial work characteristics, burnout, |
| 23 | 14 15 | 31 | psychological morbidity symptoms and early retirement intentions: a cross-sectional |
| 24 | 16 | | study of NHS consultants in the UK. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2018; 8 :e018720. |
| 25 26 | 17 | | doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018720 |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | 18 | 52 | Lee RT, Seo B, Hladkyj S, et al. Correlates of physician burnout across regions and |
| 29 30 | 19 | | specialties: a meta-analysis. <i>Hum Resour Health</i> 2013; 11 :48. doi:10.1186/1478-4491- |
| 31 | 20 | | 11-48 |
| 32 | 21 | 53 | Umene-nakano W, Kato TA, Kikuchi S, et al. Nationwide Survey of Work |
| 33 | 22 | | Environment, Work-Life Balance and Burnout among Psychiatrists in Japan. PLoS |
| 34 35 | 23 | | One 2013; 8 :1–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055189 |
| 36 | 24 | 54 | Keller M, Bamberg E, Kersten M, et al. Instrument for stress-related job analysis for |
| 37 38 | 25 | | hospital physicians: validation of a short version. <i>J Occup Med Toxicol</i> 2013; 8 :10–20. |
| 39 | 26 | | doi:10.1186/1745-6673-8-10 |
| 40 | 27 | 55 | Shirom A. Niral N. Vinakur A.D. Work hours and aggaland as predictors of physician |
| 41 | 27 28 | 33 | Shirom A, Nirel N, Vinokur AD. Work hours and caseload as predictors of physician burnout: The Mediating Effects by Perceived Workload and by Autonomy. <i>Appl</i> |
| 42 43 | 29 | | Psychol 2010; 59 :539–65. doi:10.1111/j.1464-0597.2009.00411.x |
| 44 | | | |
| 45 | 30 | 56 | Wen J, Cheng Y, Hu X, et al. Workload, burnout, and medical mistakes among |
| 46 47 | 31 | | physicians in China: A cross-sectional study. <i>Biosci Trends</i> 2016; 10 :27–33. |
| 48 | 32 | | doi:10.5582/bst.2015.01175 |
| 49 | 33 | 57 | Taylor C, Graham J, Potts H, et al. Impact of hospital consultant's poor mental health |
| 50 51 | 34 | | on patient care. Br J Psyhiatry 2007;190:268–9. |
| 52 | 35 | 58 | Vela-Bueno A, Moreno-Jiménez B, Rodríguez-Muñoz A, et al. Insomnia and sleep |
| 53 | 36 | 50 | quality among primary care physicians with low and high burnout levels. J Psychosom |
| 54 55 | 37 | | Res 2008; 64 :435–42. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2007.10.014 |
| 56 | | 5 0 | |
| 57 | 38 | 59 | Glovannucci E, Colditz G, Stampfer MJ, et al. The Assessment of Alcohol |
| 58 59 | 39 40 | | Consumption by a Simple Self-administered Questionnaire. <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 1991; 133 :810–7. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115960 |
| 60 | 40 | | 1771, 133 .010–7. uot.10.1073/0xt0tuj0uttiais.ajc.a113900 |
| | | | |

Table

Table 1. Description of measures

| Item | N | No of Items | % of doctors with present symptom/ M(SD) | Recoding (if applicable) | Measure | Measure/Original Scoring |
|---|-----|----------------|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| Sleep disturbances | | | | | | |
| Difficulty falling asleep | 390 | 1 | 22% | | | |
| Difficulty staying asleep | 390 | 1 | 35% | | | |
| Sleep problems interfere with daily functioning | 389 | 1 | 35% | 0,1 – Symptom absent; 2,3,4 - Symptom present | | 0 (scores showing no |
| Worried or distressed about a current sleep problem | 390 | 1 | 20% | | ISI | insomnia) to 4 (showing severe insomnia) |
| Dissatisfied with sleep pattern | 390 | 1 | 44% | 0,1,2 – Symptom absent (2 is included as it means "unsure"); 3,4 - Symptom present | | insomina) |
| Think about work when they go to bed | 417 | 1 | 61% | 0,1 – Symptom absent; 2,3 - | ard le | 1 (strongly disagree) |
| Trouble sleeping if they postpone tasks | 416 | 1 | 49% | Symptom present | Effort- Reward scale | to 4 (strongly agree) |
| Insomnia | 390 | 7 | 11% | ≤14 – Symptom absent (no, subthreshold insomnia);≥15 – Symptom present (moderate, severe insomnia)[32] | ISI | 7 items; 0 (scores showing no insomnia) to 4 (showing severe insomnia) |

| 1 |
|----------------|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| |
| 16 17 18 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 20 21 |
| 21 22 |
| 22 23 |
| 23 |
| 24 25 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| |

| Substance use | | | | | | |
|---|-----|----|-----|---|---|---|
| Substance use in order to feel better | 392 | 1 | 34% | | 4 П | 1 (I usually don't do |
| Substance use in order to get through something | 393 | 1 | 22% | 1 – Symptom absent; 2, 3, 4 - Symptom present | The Brief COPE | this) to 4 (I usually do this a lot) |
| Frequency of drinking alcohol | 386 | | 53% | 1,2,3 – not drinking or drinking less than 2-3 times a week; 4,5 - drinking 2-3 times a week or more often | <u>_</u> | |
| Quantity of drinks on typical day of drinking | 362 | 1 | 27% | 1 – drinking 1,2 drinks; 2, 3, 4, 5 – drinking 3 or more drinks | AUDIT | 1 (never/1-2) to 5 (daily/more than 10) |
| Drink 6 or more drinks on one occasion | 373 | 1 | 44% | 1 – never; 2, 3, 4, 5 – binge- drinking | | |
| Alcohol dependence | 377 | 5 | 5% | Substance abuse was identified if any of the items were answered "yes" [26] | The Patient Health Questio nnaire | 0 (no) and 1 (yes) |
| Drug use | 380 | 22 | 44% | Drug use was identified if any of the items were answered "yes" | Common -ly Abused Drugs | 22 items; 0 (no) and 1 (yes) |
| Physical symptoms | | | | | | |
| Fatigue | 390 | 1 | 69% | | al om rry | |
| Upset stomach or nausea | 390 | 1 | 19% | 1,2 – Symptom absent; 3,4,5 - Symptom present | The Physical Symptom Inventory | 1 (not at all) to 5 |
| Backache | 390 | 1 | 33% | | | (every day) |

| Headaches | 390 | 1 | 27% | | | |
|---|-----|---|-----|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Acid indigestion or heartburn | 390 | 1 | 23% | | | |
| Eye strain | 390 | 1 | 29% | | | |
| Diarrhoea | 390 | 1 | 12% | | | |
| Ringing in the ears | 390 | 1 | 13% | | | |
| Stomach cramps | 389 | 1 | 8% | | | |
| Constipation | 390 | 1 | 4% | | | |
| Loss of appetite | 390 | 1 | 7% | | | |
| Dizziness | 390 | 1 | 8% | | | |
| Eating problems | | | 16/ | | | |
| Eating large amounts of food when not physically hungry | 316 | 1 | 35% | | | |
| Eat until feeling uncomfortably full | 316 | 1 | 31% | | ale | |
| Eat alone because they feel embarrassed | 315 | 1 | 24% | | ating Sc | |
| Feel disgusted with themselves, depressed or very guilty after overeating | 316 | 1 | 28% | n/a | The Binge Eating Scale | 0 (no) and 1 (yes) |
| Feel upset about their uncontrollable eating or weight gain | 316 | 1 | 29% | | T | |
| Binge eating | 316 | 9 | 8% | Please see [31] details for coding | The Binge Eating Scale | 8 items - 0 (no) and 1 (yes); 1 question – 0 to 7 days |

| 1 | |
|--------|----------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 5 6 | |
| 0 | |
| / | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| | 5 |
| | <i>5</i> |
| | |
| | 7 |
| | 8 |
| | 9 |
| | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| | 4 |
| | 5 |
| | 6 |
| | 7 |
| | |
| | 8 |
| | 9 |
| | 0 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 |
| | 4 |
| 3 | 5 |
| | 6 |
| | 7 |
| | / ጸ |
| _ | • |
| | 9 |
| | 0 |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | |
| 4 | 4 |
| 4 | |
| - | - |

| Predictor variables | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Burnout: Emotional exhaustion | 406 | 8 | 55% (M = 3.16; SD = 1.34) | Recoded for prevalence: <27 – Symptom absent; ≥27 – Symptom present [36] | MBI | 9 items; 0 (never) to 6 (every day) |
| Psychiatric morbidity | 393 | 12 | 33% (M = 2.19; SD = 0.55) | Recoded for prevalence: 0,1 into 0 and 2,3 into 2; & < 4 − Symptom absent; ≥4 − Symptom present [35] | GHQ-12 | 12 items; 0 (better than usual/not at all) to 3 (much less than usual/much less capable) |
| Effort scale | 415 | 3 | M = 3.3 (SD = 0.6) | n/a | Effort- Reward scale | 3 items; 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree) |
| Work-family Imbalance | 416 | 5 | M = 5.26 (SD = 1.38) | n/a | Work- Family Conflict scale | 5 items; 0 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree) |
| Coping: Substance use | 392 | 2 | M = 2.33 (SD = 0.88) | n/a | Brief OPE | 2 items; 1 (I usually |
| Coping: Self-blame | 393 | 2 | M = 1.37 (SD = 0.61) | n/a | The Brief COPE | don't do this) to 4 (I usually do this a lot) |

Table 2. Participants' sociodemographic characteristics

| Conindomo 1 | la abayastawisti | %(n) or | LRMP |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------|
| Sociodemographi | ic cnaracteristics | M(SD) | |
| Gender (Male) | | 48% (199) | 54.5% |
| Age | | 47.23 (10.97) | |
| | Under 30 | 7% (28) | 13% |
| | 30-49 | 46% (190) | 59% |
| | Over 50 | 48% (197) | 28% |
| Year of experience | e | 22.94 (11.35) | N/A |
| in medicine | | | |
| Grade | Junior doctor | 20% (82) | 21% |
| | General | 18% (75) | 23% |
| | practitioner | | |
| | Consultant | 49% (203) | 32% |
| | Other | 14% (57) | 23% |
| Workplace | Community | 5% (21) | |
| | General practice | 15% (61) | |
| | Hospital | 58% (239) | N/A |
| | Multiple-places | 15% (61) | |
| | Other | 8% (32) | |
| Specialty | General practice | 17% (71) | 23% |
| | Public health | 12% (49) | 0.4% |
| | Anaesthetics and | 9% (39) | 8% |
| | intensive care | | |
| | Paediatrics | 7% (27) | 2% |
| | Emergency | 6% (24) | 1% |
| | medicine | | |
| | Psychiatry | 6% (25) | 3% |
| | Other | 44% (184) | |
| Working hours | ≤40 | 34% (141) | N/A |
| | 41-50 | 41% (170) | |
| | >50 | 15% (104) | |

Note. LRMP – List of registered medical practitioners

Table 3. Logistic regression predicting substance use problems

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Substance use | В | -2.239 | 0.304 | 0.162 | 0.096 | -0.083 | 0.092 | n/a | 0.011 | 0.053 |
| in order to feel | SE | 0.844 | 0.258 | 0.123 | 0.237 | 0.100 | 0.135 | n/a | 0.010 | 0.231 |
| better | OR | 0.107 | 1.355 | 1.175 | 1.101 | 0.921 | 1.097 | n/a | 1.011 | 1.054 |
| | p | 0.008 | 0.239 | 0.190 | 0.685 | 0.411 | 0.493 | n/a | 0.278 | 0.819 |
| | Model | $X^2(7)=10.96$ | 6; p=0.140; R ² = | =0.04 | | | | | | |
| Substance use | В | -3.034 | 0.423 | 0.085 | 0.202 | -0.179 | 0.318 | n/a | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| in order to get | SE | 0.963 | 0.289 | 0.142 | 0.274 | 0.113 | 0.154 | n/a | 0.012 | 0.266 |
| through | OR | 0.048 | 1.527 | 1.089 | 1.224 | 0.836 | 1.374 | n/a | 1.002 | 1.005 |
| something | p | 0.002 | 0.143 | 0.551 | 0.461 | 0.113 | 0.039 | n/a | 0.859 | 0.986 |
| | Model | $X^2(7)=14.76$ | 6; p=0.039; R ² = | 0.059 | | | | | | |
| Drinking | В | -2.297 | -0.739 | 0.203 | -0.360 | 0.086 | -0.183 | 2.936 | 0.035 | 0.013 |
| alcohol 2-3 | SE | 1.001 | 0.316 | 0.141 | 0.256 | 0.114 | 0.155 | 0.393 | 0.011 | 0.257 |
| times a week | OR | 0.101 | 0.478 | 1.226 | 0.698 | 1.090 | 0.833 | 18.836 | 1.036 | 1.013 |
| or more often | p | 0.022 | 0.019 | 0.148 | 0.160 | 0.449 | 0.237 | <0.001 | 0.002 | 0.960 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=123.6$ | 03; p<0.001; R ² | =0.379 | | | | | | |
| Drink more | В | -2.593 | -0.222 | -0.041 | 0.185 | -0.004 | -0.083 | 0.955 | 0.002 | 0.594 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|----------------|-------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| than 3 drinks | SE | 0.975 | 0.314 | 0.141 | 0.281 | 0.119 | 0.161 | 0.206 | 0.012 | 0.276 |
| typically | OR | 0.075 | 0.801 | 0.772 | 1.203 | 0.996 | 0.920 | 2.599 | 1.002 | 1.812 |
| | p | 0.008 | 0.480 | 0.960 | 0.511 | 0.971 | 0.604 | <0.001 | 0.885 | 0.031 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=29.10$ | $0; p < 0.001; R^2 =$ | =0.118 | | | | | | |
| Drink 6 or | В | -0.341 | -0.481 | 0.038 | -0.440 | 0.148 | -0.190 | 1.849 | -0.030 | 0.514 |
| more drinks on | SE | 0.942 | 0.308 | 0.135 | 0.260 | 0.113 | 0.151 | 0.267 | 0.011 | 0.255 |
| one occasion | OR | 0.711 | 0.618 | 1.039 | 0.644 | 1.159 | 0.827 | 6.355 | 0.970 | 1.672 |
| | p | 0.717 | 0.118 | 0.777 | 0.090 | 0.191 | 0.209 | <0.001 | 0.007 | 0.044 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=83.13$ | 8; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.279 | | | | | | |
| Alcohol | В | -3.171 | -0.047 | 0.037 | -0.855 | 0.162 | -0.091 | 1.819 | -0.041 | 0.095 |
| dependence | SE | 1.781 | 0.581 | 0.286 | 0.623 | 0.269 | 0.350 | 0.359 | 0.028 | 0.616 |
| | OR | 0.042 | 0.955 | 1.037 | 0.425 | 1.176 | 0.913 | 6.165 | 0.960 | 1.100 |
| | p | 0.075 | 0.936 | 0.898 | 0.170 | 0.548 | 0.795 | <0.001 | 0.153 | 0.877 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=3$ | 4.648; p<0.001; | $R^2=0.280$ | | | | | | |
| Drug use | В | -1.732 | -0.084 | 0.073 | 0.192 | 0.067 | 0.068 | 0.425 | -0.011 | -0.047 |
| | SE | 0.830 | 0.256 | 0.120 | 0.228 | 0.099 | 0.133 | 0.186 | 0.010 | 0.226 |
| | OR | 0.177 | 0.92 | 1.076 | 1.211 | 1.069 | 1.070 | 1.530 | 0.989 | 0.954 |
| | p | 0.037 | 0.744 | 0.541 | 0.400 | 0.501 | 0.608 | 0.022 | 0.287 | 0.835 |

| 1 | |
|---|--------|
| | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| _ | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| ′ | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| | |
| | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| | |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| • | 4 |
| I | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | _ |
| I | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| • | , ^ |
| I | 8 |
| 1 | 9 |
| | _ |
| | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| _ | |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| _ | • |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |
| | |
| 2 | 6 |
| 2 | 7 |
| _ | , ^ |
| 2 | 8 |
| 2 | 9 |
| _ | _ |
| | 0 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 |
| | |
| 3 | 3 |
| | 4 |
| | |
| | 5 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 3 | O |
| 3 | 7 |
| | 8 |
| | |
| 3 | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| | |
| 4 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| | |
| 4 | 3 |
| | 4 |
| | |
| 1 | 5 |

| | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work plac |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Model | $X^{2}(8)=13.9$ | 35; p=0.083; R ² | =0.051 | | | | | | |
| Note. n/a – scale is not | included in t | he analysis heca | use predictor is a | part of it | 1 1= Hospital (r | =239)· $0 = 0$ | Other (n=175) | | |
| rvove. If a searc is not | iniciaded in the | ile unary sis occu | ase predictor is a | puri or it. | i iiospitai (i | 237), 0 | outer (ii 173) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | use predictor is a | | | | | | |

Table 4. Logistic regression predicting substance binge-eating problems

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|----------------|-------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Eating large | В | -1.831 | 0.344 | 0.127 | -0.403 | 0.176 | 0.410 | -0.094 | -0.022 | -0.040 |
| amounts of | SE | 0.935 | 0.290 | 0.142 | 0.275 | 0.176 | 0.416 | 0.208 | 0.011 | 0.271 |
| food when not | OR | 0.160 | 1.410 | 1.136 | 0.669 | 1.193 | 1.507 | 0.910 | 0.978 | 0.961 |
| physically | p | 0.050 | 0.236 | 0.370 | 0.143 | 0.156 | 0.009 | 0.652 | 0.052 | 0.883 |
| hungry | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=29.53$ | 37; p<0.001; R ² | =0.127 | | | | | | |
| Eat until | В | -2.229 | 0.064 | 0.132 | -0.186 | 0.271 | 0.297 | -0.117 | -0.018 | -0.342 |
| feeling | SE | 0.972 | 0.294 | 0.144 | 0.278 | 0.131 | 0.159 | 0.214 | 0.012 | 0.275 |
| uncomfortably | OR | 0.108 | 1.066 | 1.141 | 0.831 | 1.311 | 1.346 | 0.890 | 0.982 | 0.710 |
| full | p | 0.022 | 0.828 | 0.359 | 0.504 | 0.038 | 0.062 | 0.585 | 0.121 | 0.212 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=22.42$ | 20; p=0.004; R ² | =0.100 | | | | | | |
| Eat alone | В | -3.111 | 0.233 | 0.213 | -0.139 | 0.112 | 0.378 | 0.089 | -0.015 | -0.386 |
| because they | SE | 1.068 | 0.314 | 0.158 | 0.307 | 0.139 | 0.175 | 0.220 | 0.013 | 0.300 |
| feel | OR | 0.045 | 1.262 | 1.237 | 0.870 | 1.119 | 1.460 | 1.093 | 0.985 | 0.680 |
| embarrassed | p | 0.004 | 0.458 | 0.178 | 0.650 | 0.418 | 0.031 | 0.686 | 0.255 | 0.199 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=21.89$ | 90; p=0.005; R ² | =0.105 | | | | | | |
| Feel disgusted | В | -2.237 | 0.079 | 0.321 | -0.313 | 0.208 | 0.335 | 0.154 | -0.034 | -0.791 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| with | SE | 1.036 | 0.310 | 0.155 | 0.299 | 0.138 | 0.172 | 0.218 | 0.013 | 0.298 |
| themselves, | OR | 0.107 | 1.082 | 1.378 | 0.731 | 1.231 | 1.398 | 1.166 | 0.966 | 0.453 |
| depressed or | p | 0.031 | 0.799 | 0.038 | 0.295 | 0.132 | 0.052 | 0.481 | 0.009 | 0.008 |
| very guilty after overeating | Model | $X^{2}(8)=38.0$ | 29; p<0.001; R ² | =0.171 | | | | | | |
| Feel upset | В | -2.367 | -0.187 | 0.324 | -0.234 | 0.206 | 0.337 | 0.021 | -0.015 | -0.200 |
| about their | SE | 0.998 | 0.302 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.133 | 0.164 | 0.212 | 0.012 | 0.282 |
| uncontrollable | OR | 0.094 | 0.830 | 1.383 | 0.792 | 1.228 | 1.400 | 1.021 | 0.986 | 0.819 |
| eating or | p | 0.018 | 0.537 | 0.030 | 0.416 | 0.121 | 0.040 | 0.922 | 0.231 | 0.478 |
| weight gain | Model | $X^2(8)=23.3$ | 74; p=0.003; R ² | =0.106 | | | | | | |
| Binge eating | В | -1.064 | -0.343 | 0.610 | -1.678 | 0.250 | 0.473 | 0.220 | -0.014 | 0.224 |
| | SE | 1.395 | 0.445 | 0.237 | 0.443 | 0.219 | 0.253 | 0.309 | 0.019 | 0.444 |
| | OR | 0.345 | 0.710 | 1.841 | 0.187 | 1.284 | 1.606 | 1.246 | 0.986 | 1.251 |
| | p | 0.446 | 0.440 | 0.010 | <0.001 | 0.253 | 0.062 | 0.477 | 0.458 | 0.614 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=27.0$ | 89; p=0.001; R ² | =0.163 | | | | | | |

Note. a 1= Hospital (n=239); 0 = Other (n=175)

 Table 5.
 Logistic regression predicting sleep disturbances

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|----------------|-------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Difficulty | В | -5.019 | 0.906 | 0.393 | -0.303 | 0.107 | -0.039 | 0.617 | -0.022 | 0.669 |
| falling asleep | SE | 1.098 | 0.304 | 0.158 | 0.313 | 0.139 | 0.171 | 0.210 | 0.013 | 0.308 |
| | OR | 0.007 | 2.474 | 1.482 | 0.738 | 1.113 | 0.962 | 1.854 | 0.979 | 1.953 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.013 | 0.333 | 0.443 | 0.822 | 0.003 | 0.103 | 0.030 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=65.69$ | 9; p<0.001; R ² = | = 0.249 | | | | | | |
| Difficulty | В | -5.593 | 0.829 | 0.468 | -0.022 | 0.038 | 0.155 | 0.443 | 0.014 | 0.275 |
| staying asleep | SE | 1.014 | 0.286 | 0.137 | 0.267 | 0.114 | 0.148 | 0.202 | 0.012 | 0.257 |
| | OR | 0.004 | 2.291 | 1.596 | 0.978 | 1.039 | 1.168 | 1.557 | 1.014 | 1.317 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.933 | 0.741 | 0.296 | 0.028 | 0.233 | 0.285 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=79.09$ | 9; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.264 | | | | | | |
| Dissatisfied | В | -3.678 | 0.962 | 0.536 | -0.142 | -0.050 | -0.006 | 0.280 | -0.014 | 0.438 |
| with sleep | SE | 0.937 | 0.292 | 0.134 | 0.256 | 0.108 | 0.143 | 0.200 | 0.011 | 0.250 |
| pattern | OR | 0.025 | 2.617 | 1.710 | 0.868 | 0.642 | 0.994 | 1.324 | 0.986 | 1.549 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.579 | 0.951 | 0.966 | 0.160 | 0.200 | 0.080 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=85.13$ | 8; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.274 | | | | | | |
| Sleep problems | В | -5.352 | 1.047 | 0.492 | -0.303 | 0.058 | 0.347 | 0.485 | -0.016 | 0.483 |
| interfere with | SE | 1.040 | 0.299 | 0.144 | 0.283 | 0.120 | 0.153 | 0.208 | 0.012 | 0.272 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|------------------|-------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| daily | OR | 0.005 | 2.848 | 1.636 | 0.739 | 1.059 | 1.414 | 1.625 | 0.984 | 1.621 |
| functioning | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.001 | 0.284 | 0.631 | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.187 | 0.075 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=102$. | 74; p<0.001; R ² | =0.333 | | | | | | |
| Worried or | В | -6.003 | 1.342 | 0.570 | -0.414 | 0.014 | 0.267 | -0.033 | 0013 | 0.776 |
| distressed | SE | 1.202 | 0.321 | 0.171 | 0.340 | 0.146 | 0.179 | 0.232 | 0.014 | 0.331 |
| about a current | OR | 0.002 | 3.826 | 1.768 | 0.661 | 1.014 | 1.306 | 0.968 | 0.987 | 2.172 |
| sleep problem | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.001 | 0.224 | 0.924 | 0.136 | 0.888 | 0.362 | 0.019 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=86.5$ | 8; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.327 | | | | | | |
| Think about | В | -6.476 | 0.750 | 0.295 | 0.214 | 0.456 | 0.616 | -0.053 | -0.010 | 0.399 |
| work when | SE | 1.091 | 0.337 | 0.141 | 0.263 | 0.116 | 0.160 | 0.227 | 0.012 | 0.263 |
| they go to bed | OR | 0.002 | 2.117 | 1.344 | 1.238 | 1.577 | 1.851 | 0.948 | 0.990 | 1.491 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.026 | 0.036 | 0.416 | <0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.814 | 0.393 | 0.128 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=128$. | 23; p<0.001; R ² | =0.391 | | | | | | |
| Trouble | В | -3.377 | 0.434 | 0.337 | -0.273 | 0.243 | 0.460 | 0.090 | -0.013 | 0.011 |
| sleeping if they | SE | 0.901 | 0.277 | 0.128 | 0.247 | 0.107 | 0.140 | 0.196 | 0.011 | 0.239 |
| postpone tasks | OR | 0.034 | 1.544 | 1.401 | 0.761 | 1.275 | 1.584 | 1.094 | 0.988 | 1.011 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.117 | 0.008 | 0.270 | 0.023 | 0.001 | 0.648 | 0.237 | 0.965 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=72.3$ | 2; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.234 | | | | | | |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|------------|-------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| nsomnia | В | -8.627 | 1.458 | 0.597 | -0.109 | 0.035 | 0.346 | 0.148 | -0.025 | 0.802 |
| | SE | 1.600 | 0.378 | 0.216 | 0.433 | 0.191 | 0.226 | 0.270 | 0.018 | 0.417 |
| | OR | < 0.001 | 4.299 | 1.817 | 0.897 | 1.036 | 1.414 | 1.160 | 0.975 | 2.231 |
| | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.006 | 0.802 | 0.854 | 0.126 | 0.582 | 0.162 | 0.054 |
| | - | | | 0.000 | 0.00= | | | | | |
| Note. a 1: | Model | | 4 <0.001. D2 | 0.260 | | | | | | |
| Note. a 1: | Model | $X^2(8)=78.24$ | 4 <0.001. D2 | | | | | | | |

Table 6. Logistic regression predicting ill health

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|---------------|-------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Fatigue | В | -3.632 | 0.947 | 0.590 | 0.127 | 0.056 | 0.308 | 0.083 | -0.029 | -0.130 |
| | SE | 1.079 | 0.386 | 0.152 | 0.267 | 0.115 | 0.164 | 0.247 | 0.012 | 0.276 |
| | OR | 0.026 | 2.577 | 1.804 | 1.135 | 1.058 | 1.360 | 1.087 | 0.972 | 0.878 |
| | p | 0.001 | 0.014 | <0.001 | 0.635 | 0.623 | 0.060 | 0.735 | 0.019 | 0.637 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=10$ | 6.899; p<0.001 | $R^2 = 0.352$ | | | | | | |
| Upset stomach | В | -3.970 | 1.265 | 0.094 | 0.091 | -0.011 | 0.057 | -0.200 | -0.038 | -0.059 |
| or nausea | SE | 1.089 | 0.312 | 0.157 | 0.313 | 0.136 | 0.173 | 0.237 | 0.014 | 0.303 |
| | OR | 0.019 | 3.544 | 1.098 | 1.095 | 0.989 | 1.059 | 0.819 | 0.963 | 0.942 |
| | p | < 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.550 | 0.771 | 0.936 | 0.742 | 0.399 | 0.006 | 0.845 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=45.36$ | 6; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.185 | | | | | | |
| Backache | В | -2.011 | 0.218 | 0.176 | -0.172 | 0.161 | 0.026 | -0.070 | -0.001 | -0.025 |
| | SE | 0.863 | 0.262 | 0.126 | 0.244 | 0.108 | 0.139 | 0.190 | 0.010 | 0.237 |
| | OR | 0.134 | 1.243 | 1.193 | 0.842 | 1.175 | 1.026 | 0.932 | 0.999 | 0.975 |
| | p | 0.020 | 0.407 | 0.161 | 0.481 | 0.138 | 0.854 | 0.712 | 0.955 | 0.917 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=13.96$ | 6; p=0.083; R ² = | =0.051 | | | | | | |
| Headaches | В | -6.523 | 0.944 | 0.272 | 0.644 | 0.069 | 0.035 | 0.132 | -0.029 | 0.394 |
| | SE | 1.114 | 0.293 | 0.143 | 0.297 | 0.125 | 0.158 | 0.208 | 0.013 | 0.280 |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|----------------|-------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | OR | 0.001 | 2.570 | 1.313 | 1.905 | 1.071 | 1.035 | 1.141 | 0.972 | 1.483 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.057 | 0.030 | 0.580 | 0.826 | 0.527 | 0.024 | 0.160 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=75.6$ | 7; p<0.001; R ² = | =0.267 | | | | | | |
| Acid | В | -4.707 | 0.826 | 0.120 | 0.323 | -0.011 | 0.007 | -0.062 | 0.007 | 0.185 |
| indigestion or | SE | 1.030 | 0.290 | 0.142 | 0.284 | 0.119 | 0.158 | 0.209 | 0.012 | 0.270 |
| heartburn | OR | 0.009 | 2.284 | 1.128 | 1.382 | 0.989 | 1.007 | 0.940 | 1.007 | 1.203 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.398 | 0.255 | 0.928 | 0.965 | 0.768 | 0.549 | 0.493 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=27.7$ | 8; p=0.001; R ² = | =0.109 | | | | | | |
| Eye strain | В | -3.929 | 0.657 | -0.06 | 0.496 | 0.066 | -0.027 | 0.088 | -0.012 | -0.129 |
| | SE | 0.945 | 0.275 | 0.13 | 0.259 | 0.110 | 0.146 | 0.194 | 0.011 | 0.249 |
| | OR | 0.020 | 1.930 | 0.942 | 1.641 | 1.068 | 0.973 | 1.092 | 0.988 | 0.879 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.017 | 0.647 | 0.056 | 0.550 | 0.851 | 0.650 | 0.299 | 0.606 |
| | Model | $X^2(8) = 22.4$ | 5; p=0.004; R ² | =0.084 | | | | | | |
| Diarrhea | В | -5.574 | 0.576 | -0.155 | -0.245 | 0.541 | 0.212 | -0.020 | 0.002 | 0.148 |
| | SE | 1.317 | 0.349 | 0.179 | 0.363 | 0.191 | 0.198 | 0.259 | 0.015 | 0.350 |
| | OR | 0.004 | 1.779 | 0.857 | 0.783 | 1.717 | 1.237 | 0.981 | 1.002 | 1.159 |
| | p | < 0.001 | 0.098 | 0.386 | 0.501 | 0.005 | 0.284 | 0.940 | 0.877 | 0.672 |
| | Model | $X^2(8)=21.64$ | 40; p=0.006; R ² | =0.106 | | | | | | |

| | | Constan t | Psychiatric morbidity | Burnout (emotional exhaustion) | Job effort | Work-life balance | Coping: Self- blame | Coping: Substance use | Work experienc e (years) | Work place (hospital) ^a |
|----------------|-------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Ringing in the | В | -3.318 | 0.315 | 0.292 | -0.372 | -0.018 | 0.063 | -0.155 | 0.048 | < 0.0001 |
| ears | SE | 1.204 | 0.358 | 0.182 | 0.342 | 0.144 | 0.192 | 0.262 | 0.015 | 0.316 |
| | OR | 0.036 | 1.370 | 1.338 | 0.689 | 0.983 | 1.065 | 0.857 | 1.050 | 1.000 |
| | p | 0.006 | 0.379 | 0.109 | 0.277 | 0.903 | 0.742 | 0.555 | 0.002 | 1.000 |
| | Model | $X^{2}(8)=16.817$; p=0.032; $R^{2}=0.080$ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | =0.080 | | | | | | |



STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional studies

| | Item No | Recommendation | Page number |
|----------------------|------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in | 1-3 |
| | | the title or the abstract | |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced | 2-3 |
| | | summary of what was done and what was found | |
| Introduction | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the | 4-6 |
| | | investigation being reported | |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | 6-7 |
| Methods | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 7 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including | 7 |
| | | periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of | 7 |
| • | | selection of participants | |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential | 8-9 |
| | | confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if | |
| | | applicable | |
| Data sources/ | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of | 8-9 |
| measurement | O | methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability | |
| measurement | | of assessment methods if there is more than one group | |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | 7 |
| | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | • |
| Study size | 10 | Explain now the study size was arrived at | Not explained as was based on |
| | | | |
| | | | requirements for the |
| | | | RCT |
| Quantitative | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the | 9 |
| variables | | analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen | |
| | | and why | |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to | 9 |
| | | control for confounding | |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and | - |
| | | | |
| | | interactions | |
| | | interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | - |
| | | - | - |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | - |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of | - |
| Results | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy | - |
| Results Participants | 13* | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy | - - - 9-10 |
| | 13* | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses | - - - 9-10 |
| | 13* | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg | - - - 9-10 |
| | 13* | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, | - - - 9-10 |
| | 13* | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, | - - - 9-10 |
| | 13* | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed | - - 9-10 |
| | 13* | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage | - - - 9-10 |

| | | tioning to entirely and in Commercian and an execution | |
|-------------------|-----|---|----------------|
| | | clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential | |
| | | confounders | |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each | - |
| | | variable of interest | |
| Outcome data | 15* | Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | 10-13; Table 1 |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder- | 10-13 |
| | | adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence | |
| | | interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and | |
| | | why they were included | |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were | 9; Table 1 |
| | | categorized | |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into | - |
| | | absolute risk for a meaningful time period | |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and | - |
| - | | interactions, and sensitivity analyses | |
| Discussion | | 7 | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | 13-16 |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of | 16 |
| | | potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and | |
| | | magnitude of any potential bias | |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering | 13-16 |
| _ | | objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from | |
| | | similar studies, and other relevant evidence | |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study | 16 |
| , | | results | |
| Other information | | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the | 16 |
| | | present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which | |
| | | the present article is based | |

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.