PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	Prevalence and impact of clinical violence towards nursing students in Hong Kong: A cross-sectional study	
AUTHORS	Cheung, Kin; Ching, Shirley SY; Cheng, Samuel Hung Nam; Ho, Simone Siu Man	

VERSION 1 - REVIEW

REVIEWER	Lea M Budden	
	James Cook University	
REVIEW RETURNED	19-Nov-2018	

GENERAL COMMENTS	An interesting study. I have a few suggestions for improving the
	manuscript namely:
	1. Include in the title and abstract that your paper refers to Nursing
	Students in Hong Kong.
	Include more detail of the method in the Abstract.
	3. Some of the references are older than six years and need updating.
	4. The strengths and limitations of the study do not need to added to the Abstract.
	5. Add the survey's response rate to the Method section of the Abstract.
	6. The results presented in the Tables do not need to be repeated in text.
	5. Add more information about the ethical issues for the study.
	Were participants given an information sheet about the study,
	asked to sign a consent form? Were the students told it was
	strictly voluntary to participate etc?

REVIEWER	Hulya Bilgin	
	Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa Florence Nightingale Nursing	
	Faculty Istanbul, Turkey	
REVIEW RETURNED	24-Nov-2018	

GENERAL COMMENTS	In discussion, line36-38, could you please look over again, your
	comment based on economic issues does not make sense and
	what you mean the word "social violence"

there is no any type as social violence in literature. different culture is mentioned as a causative factor influencing the prevalence rates.
Need to check reference numbers in text and list, there are numerous mistakes.

REVIEWER	Elizabeth McLindon	
	Department of General Practice and Primary Care, The University	
	of Melbourne, Australia	
REVIEW RETURNED	19-Jan-2019	

GENERAL COMMENTS	To the a	uthore	
	To the authors, I was generally interested and enjoyed reading the paper. It is an important study, particularly because it comes from an Eastern country where no research has been done on this issue and because we increasingly need to train nurses. A general comment first, I imagine that English is your second language, and while the English is good in this paper and mostly your ideas are communicable, I do not believe it is of the standard required for publication. I would recommend getting a native English speaker to proof read and make substantive changes. Abstract		
	Page #	Line #	Comment
	3	45	I do not understand what you mean to say when you use the word "bothersome". Choose a different word
	7	15-16	You could explain why, "in general, workplaces in the East are more hierarchical than in the west"
	7	24	You use the term "horizontal to vertical violence" several times but you haven't explained this
	7	36	"Incidents against qualified nurses" – do you mean that the nurses were the bullies/harassers? Clarify
	8	10	Can you expand here as this is a key point. I think a more rigorous review of papers on this topic is needed. Ie. The amount of violence, the type associated with student then wanting to leave the profession
	9	36-37	Rephrase. It seems you are talking about more than the affect of being "bothered"
	11	15	Can you please comment whether participants were given any incentive for participation?
	12	10	Can you draw greater insights from the results? It seems to me that those participants who had not experienced violence more commonly believed that the reasons for violence were patient and staff problematic behavior, whereas participants who had experienced violence commonly believed contributing factors were the

 r		
		hospital system (staff shortages and
		high patient volume) and patients. I
		may be wrong and may not have read
		the results closely enough though.
13	30	How were "contributing factors"
		measured? le. Via a validated scale?
		Overall, could you add the percentage
		of missing data to a notes box at the
		bottom of the table
14	21	What does "all the time" mean here?
		Everyday?
18	26	This is of particular concern. What
		explanations do abused nurses give for
		the violence by hospital staff? Is there
		any previous research on this?
18	40	After "furthermore" I think you should
		add "because of cultural values and
		norms", so that the sentence starts,
		"furthermore, because of cultural
		values and norms"
19	31	Is this referring to the abused
		participants or all participants?
19	47	Can you talk about this in Hong Kong?
		Is this in the University education? Do
		you have local data?
19	49	I think you should be carful here. You
		risk sounding like you are saying that it
		is the responsibility of students to
		prevent violence/abuse from their
		patients or senior colleagues
20	3	Could you expand on their "anti-
		violence policies"
20	10	What are "multidisciplinary assault
		reduction teams"? What is the
		research, if any, about outcomes?
26	STROBE	This could be done more thoroughly in
	STATEMENT:	the text
	Data sources	
26	STROBE	Is not in the text
	STATEMENT:	
	Statistical	
	methods 'C'	
26	STROBE	This is not done in a chart, although I
	STATEMENT:	am ok with that so it is more whether
	Participants	this is a requirement of this journal
	ʻa'	
	u	

VERSION 1 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

Reviewer #1	
1. Include in the title and abstract that your paper refers to	The title has been revised on pp.1
Nursing Students in Hong Kong.	and 3.
2. Include more detail of the method in the Abstract.	Instrument used and response rate
	were added to the abstract, p.3.

 3. Some of the references are older than six years and need updating. 4. The strengths and limitations of the study do not need to added to the Abstract. 			References #1, 17, 30 have been updated But the studies related to NSs' violence have been retained, such as references #3, 8, 11,13, 14, and 23 Also, references 28 and 29 were used to develop the study instrument; therefore, they have been retained. Last, we have checked the violence guidelines, but they are still current and up-to-date. Those references are #16, 22, 31. Please refer to Editor's comment #3. It is the requirement of the journal to
			have "Strengths and Limitations" before the article starts.
the Abst	ract. esults presented	nse rate to the Method section of in the Tables do not need to be	The response rate has been added to the abstract, p.3. The results section has been reviewed and some information has
7. Add m study. W the study	nore information a ere participants o y, asked to sign a	about the ethical issues for the given an information sheet about consent form? Were the y voluntary to participate etc?	been deleted to minimize repetition. The required information about the ethical issues has been added to pp.8 & 9.
Reviewe	r #2		
1. In disc again, yo make se violence literature	cussion, line36-38 our comment bas nse and what you ". There is no any	3, could you please look over ed on economic issues does not u mean the word "social v type as social violence in e is mentioned as a causative valence rates.	Thank you for the comment. After careful review, lines 36-38 have been deleted since the explanation, as depicted by this Turkish study, seems to be confusing and may not enrich the discussion.
	to check referenc erous mistakes.	e numbers in text and list, there	The reference numbers in the text and list have been checked and corrections have been made.
Reviewe	r #3		Responses
Page #	Line # Comment		
3	45	I do not understand what you mean to say when you use the word "bothersome". Choose a different word	"the extent to which they were disturbed by the violence" has been used to replace "bothersome" on p. 3.
7	15-16	You could explain why, "in general, workplaces in the East are more hierarchical than in the west"	Explanation has been added on p. 7.
7	24	You use the term "horizontal to vertical violence" several times but you haven't explained this	Explanation was given on p.7.

7	36	"Incidents against qualified	Clarification has been provided on p.
,		nurses" – do you mean that	7 "19.6% of incidents involved
		the nurses were the	qualified nurses as
		bullies/harassers? Clarify	bullies/harrassers".
0	10		
8	10	Can you expand here as this	Thank you for the comment. More
		is a key point. I think a more	information has been added on p. 8.
		rigorous review of papers on	
		this topic is needed. le. The	
		amount of violence, the type	
		associated with student then	
		wanting to leave the	
		profession	
9	36-37	Rephrase. It seems you are	The sentence has been rephrased to
		talking about more than the	"how much they were disturbed by
		affect of being "bothered"	the violence" on p.10.
11	15	Can you please comment	No incentive was given (see p.8 for
		whether participants were	the added information).
		given any incentive for	
		participation?	
12	10	Can you draw greater	Thank you for the observation. More
		insights from the results? It	discussion has been added to pp. 20-
		seems to me that those	21.
		participants who had not	
		experienced violence more	
		commonly believed that the	
		reasons for violence were	
		patient and staff problematic	
		behavior, whereas	
		participants who had	
		experienced violence	
		commonly believed	
		contributing factors were the	
		hospital system (staff	
		shortages and high patient	
		volume) and patients. I may	
		be wrong and may not have	
		read the results closely	
		enough though.	
13	30	How were "contributing	Sorry for the typos, "confused
-		factors" measured? le. Via a	patients" has been indented. The
		validated scale? Overall,	contributing factors include: confused
		could you add the	patients, patients/visitors with alcohol
		percentage of missing data	influence Explanation has been
		to a notes box at the bottom	given on p. 9 about the workplace
		of the table	factors contributing to clinical
			violence, such as patients The
			validation of the study questionnaire
			has been explained on p. 10.
			The % of missing data has been
			added at the bottom of each table
14	21	What does "all the time"	"All the time" means almost every day
1-1	~ '		
		mean here? Everyday?	during clinical placement, p.15

18	26	This is of particular concorr	Thank you for the commont. The
		This is of particular concern. What explanations do abused nurses give for the violence by hospital staff? Is there any previous research on this?	Thank you for the comment. The following sentences have been added: "Despite nursing being caring profession, it is a great concern that there is vertical violence inflicted by hospital staff and university supervisors/teachers. However, the reasons for such vertical violence are not well understood. Future research is necessary to elucidate the contributing factors for such vertical clinical violence" on p.20.
18	40	After "furthermore" I think you should add "because of cultural values and norms", so that the sentence starts, "furthermore, because of cultural values and norms"	"because of cultural values and norms" has been added after "furthermore" on p.19.
19	31	Is this referring to the abused participants or all participants?	The sentence has been rephrased on p.20.
19	47	Can you talk about this in Hong Kong? Is this in the University education? Do you have local data?	Thank you for the comment. The following sentences have been added on p.21: "Although all graduating NSs in our university do undergo violence prevention and management training, our study results can inform the revision of the training programme to include how to assess and communicate with confused patients in an understaffed clinical environment. Future study is also needed to examine whether such training would enhance NSs' competence in managing clinical violence."
19	49	I think you should be carful here. You risk sounding like you are saying that it is the responsibility of students to prevent violence/abuse from their patients or senior colleagues	Thank you for your comment. The paragraph has been rewritten as follows on p.21: "According to the Framework Guidelines for addressing workplace violence in the health sector ¹⁶ , the areas that should be considered include early recognition of escalating behaviours and situations, de- escalating techniques in interpersonal and communication skills, and enhanced knowledge about medications to control patients' agitation better."
20	3	Could you expand on their "anti-violence policies"	Thank you for the comment. The following sentence has been added

			on p.21: "Appropriate policies against workplace violence, with priorities given to work ethics, safety, mutual respect, tolerance, equal opportunity, and cooperation, should be developed and implemented to address workplace violence. ^{16"}
20	10	What are "multidisciplinary assault reduction teams"? What is the research, if any, about outcomes?	Thank you for the comment. The following information has been added on pp.21 & 22: "The multidisciplinary assault reduction team is formulated by the nursing supervisor, security personnel, the primary physician and nurse, and others involved in the patient's direct care. All team members have undergone specialized verbal de-escalation training." "Research evidence has demonstrated that early intervention with verbal-escalation conducted by multidisciplinary assault reduction teams can be effective in resolving violent incidences and decreasing nursing staff injuries by 47% in hospital settings. ³⁴ ."
26	STROBE STATEMENT: Data sources	This could be done more thoroughly in the text	Please refer to pp.9-10 for the data sources.
26	STROBE STATEMENT: Statistical methods 'C'	Is not in the text	The treatment of missing data was added on p. 11: "Missing data were not replaced because the maximum percentage of missing data for the study variables was 0.07%."
26	STROBE STATEMENT: Participants 'a'	This is not done in a chart, although I am ok with that so it is more whether this is a requirement of this journal	After discussion among the team members, we decided not to use a chart, because the current presentation is clear.

VERSION 2 – REVIEW

REVIEWER	Elizabeth McLindon	
	The University of Melbourne, Australia	
REVIEW RETURNED	21-Mar-2019	

GENERAL COMMENTS	I am satisfied with all of the changes made from the last review
	and I look froward to seeing this paper published. Well done