

**Additional File 1.** Comparison of predicted sgRNA efficiency to actual sgRNA efficiency shown in tobacco transient assay.

Name	Sequence	CRISPR-PLANT <sup>1</sup>			Plant sgRNA Characteristics <sup>2</sup>			CRISPR-P2.0 <sup>3</sup>		CHOPCHOP <sup>4</sup>	Efficiency Assay <sup>5</sup>
		PAM	Strand	Highly specific: Class 0.0 or 1.0	Total GC % <sup>6</sup> [30, 80]: Efficient	Secondary structure features <sup>6</sup> : CBP≤7; TBP≤12;IBP≤6: Efficient	Secondary structure <sup>7</sup> integrity: Repeat-anti-Repeat, Stem Loop 2 and Stem Loop 3 are essential; Stem Loop 1 is optional	Efficiency Score[0, 0.2] : Inefficient; [0.2, 0.5]: Intermediate; [0.5, 1]: Efficient	Efficiency Score	Relative GFP:RFP Ratio	
HCT_gRNA1	GTCGCTTGAAGAGAGACGATGA	TGG	-	1.0	50%	3 CBP;9 TBP;0 IBP	All Structures Detected	0.08	0.51	N.D.	
HCT_gRNA2	GTCTACTTCTACAGACCCAC	CGG	-	1.0	50%	5 CBP;13 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.67	0.51	N.D.	
HCT_gRNA3	GGTATGTGCACCGCGGACAA	GGG	+	0.0	60%	6 CBP;10 TBP;3 IBP	Repeat-anti-Repeat Altered	0	0.64	Medium	
HCT_gRNA4	GCTGTGGGGGGTCCCTAGCT	CGG	+	0.0	70%	8 CBP;14 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.13	0.51	Medium	
HCT_gRNA5	GACAGTGTCCCCATCCTCT	TGG	+	1.0	55%	3 CBP;5 TBP;2 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.07	0.38	Low	
HCT_gRNA6	GAGGTCACTCTTGACTACC	TGG	-	1.0	55%	7 CBP;10 TBP;3 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.05	0.34	Low	
HCT_gRNA7	GATAAGCTGCCATCATTAGT	AGG	+	1.0	45%	11 CBP;12 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.48	0.49	N.D.	
HCT_gRNA8	GATATTCACTGAAACACCAT	TGG	-	1.0	40%	4 CBP;11 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.28	0.51	Low	
HCT_gRNA9	GATCAGTGGAAAGGCCGA	GGG	-	1.0	60%	3 CBP;10 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0	0.67	High	
HCT_gRNA10	GATCTGTCAGGCCCTGTCCG	CGG	-	1.0	60%	3 CBP;10 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0	0.59	Low	
HCT_gRNA11	GATGAAAAGCAGGCTGTGGC	GGG	-	1.0	55%	5 CBP;11 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.14	0.48	Low	
HCT_gRNA12	GCTCATACGAGATGTTGGCA	GGG	-	1.0	50%	4 CBP;10 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.51	0.58	Low	
HCT_gRNA13	GTATGGATGATAACTATCTG	AGG	-	1.0	35%	7 CBP;10 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.55	0.57	Medium	
HCT_gRNA14	GTGTTCAAGATTGGAGGGCAA	TGG	+	1.0	50%	4 CBP;8 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.24	0.55	High	
GONST2_gRNA1	GAGATAACAGGCGTGTACAC	GGG	-	0.0	55%	6 CBP;12 TBP;0 IBP	Stem Loop 1 Missing	0.33	0.58	High	
GONST2_gRNA2	GTTGGTGGGTTCAAAACA	AGG	-	1.0	35%	6 CBP;11 TBP;0 IBP	All Structures Detected	0.08	0.57	Low	

<sup>1</sup>sgRNA specificity score from CRISPR-PLANT (<http://www.genome.arizona.edu/crispr/CRISPRsearch.html>).

<sup>2</sup>Criteria used for prediction of sgRNA efficiency in plants include total GC%, secondary structure features and integritys (Liang et al. 2016). Characters predicted for inefficient sgRNA was shown in red.

<sup>3</sup>sgRNA efficiency score and criteria from CRISPR-P2.0 (<http://crispr.hzau.edu.cn/cgi-bin/CRISPR2/CRISPR>). Agreement or disagreement of the prediction with tobacco assay results was shown in blue and red color respectively.

<sup>4</sup>sgRNA efficiency score and criteria from CHOPCHOP (<http://chopchop.cbu.uib.no/>). Agreement or disagreement of the prediction with tobacco assay results was shown in blue and red color respectively.

<sup>5</sup>sgRNA efficiency evaluation using the tobacco assay in this study (Fig. 2). N.D. : no activity was detected with statistical significance.

<sup>6</sup>Numbers for total GC% and secondary structure predicted by CRISPR-P2.0 (<http://crispr.hzau.edu.cn/cgi-bin/CRISPR2/CRISPR>). TBP, total base pairs; CBP, consecutive base pairs; IBP, internal base pairs; SL, stem loop.

<sup>7</sup>Secondary structure of sgRNA predicted by mFold (<http://unafold.rna.albany.edu/?q=mfold/RNA-Folding-Form>).