

Corresponding author(s):	Wooley, K. L. Elsabahy, M.
Last updated by author(s):	Apr 23, 2019

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see Authors & Referees and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

Statistics			
For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.			
n/a Confirmed			
The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement			
A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly			
The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.			
A description of all covariates tested			
A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons			
A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coe AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)	fficient)		
For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.			
For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings			
For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes			
\square Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated			
Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.			
Software and code			
Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>			
Data collection N/A			
Data analysis N/A			
For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.			
Data			
Policy information about <u>availability of data</u> All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u> . This statement should provide the following information, where applicable: - Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets - A list of figures that have associated raw data - A description of any restrictions on data availability			
Data supporting the conclusions of this manuscript are available from the corresponding author upon request.			
Field-specific reporting			
Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your sele	ction.		
Life sciences Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences			

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences study design

7 0					
All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.					
Sample size	Preliminary results for examining the hemostatic efficiency of various dressings were used as the basis to perform power and sample analysis. Based on a previously measured time to homeostasis of a tested sample versus the control, we expected 6 animals to provide a power of about 0.9 with a Type I error probability for rejection of the null hypothesis of 0.05.				
Data exclusions	No data were excluded from the analyses				
Replication	All attempts at replication were successful				
Randomization	Specimens of each species were randomly collected from the laboratory population, and they were randomly assigned to the various experimental groups				
Blinding	The study was partially blinded. The commercially available tested samples were distinct. For the developed material and blank control, investigators were blind to group allocation during data collection.				
Reportin	g for specific materials, systems and methods				
We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.					
Materials & experimental systems Methods					

Involved in the study

Flow cytometry

MRI-based neuroimaging

ChIP-seq

Clinical data

Animals and other organisms

Involved in the study

Eukaryotic cell lines

Animals and other organisms Human research participants

Antibodies

Palaeontology

Policy information about studies involving animals: ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

,	
Laboratory animals	Male Sprague-Dawley rats (300 g), male New Zealand white rabbits (2 kg) and female Sus scrofa domesticus pigs (50 kg)
Wild animals	The study did not involve wild animals
	,
=: II	
Field-collected samples	The study did not involve samples collected from the field
Ethics oversight	The protocol was approved by the Assiut University Animal Ethical Committee
Ettiles 0 4 Ct 3 18 11 C	

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.