# Three Pairs of New Spirocyclic Alkaloid Enantiomers from the Marine-Derived Fungus *Eurotium* sp. SCSIO F452

Weimao Zhong<sup>1,5</sup>, Junfeng Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoyi Wei<sup>2</sup>, Tingdan Fu<sup>3</sup>, Yuchan Chen<sup>4</sup>, Qi Zeng<sup>1,5</sup>, Zhonghui Huang<sup>1,5</sup>, Xinan Huang<sup>3</sup>, Weimin Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Si Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Lijuan Long<sup>1</sup>\* and Fazuo Wang<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Marine Bio-resources and Ecology, Guangdong Key Laboratory of Marine Materia Medica, RNAM Center for Marine Microbiology, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 164 West Xingang Road, Guangzhou 510301, China, <sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Plant Resources Conservation and Sustainable Utilization, South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China, <sup>3</sup> Institute of Tropical Medicine, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou 510400, China, <sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory of Applied Microbiology Southern China, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Microbial Culture Collection and Application, Guangdong Open Laboratory of Applied Microbiology, Guangdong Institute of Microbiology, 100 Central Xianlie Road, Guangzhou 510070, China, <sup>5</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19 Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Lijuan Long longlj@scsio.ac.cn Fazuo Wang wangfazuo@scsio.ac.cn

# **Table of Contents**

Experimental Details	3
Computational details	4
Molecular docking study	6
References	13
Figure S8 The <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	15
Figure S9 The <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	16
Figure S10 The HSQC spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	17
Figure S11 The HMBC spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub> .	
Figure S12 The <sup>1</sup> H– <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	19
Figure S13 The NOESY spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	20
Figure S14 The HRESIMS spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	21
Figure S15 The IR spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	22
Figure S16 The UV spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	23
Figure S17 The <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	24
Figure S18 The <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	25
Figure S19 The HSQC spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	26
Figure S20 The HMBC spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	27
<b>Figure S21</b> The <sup>1</sup> H– <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	
Figure S22 The NOESY spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD <sub>3</sub> COCD <sub>3</sub>	29
Figure S23 The HRESIMS spectrum of eurotinoid B (2)	
Figure S24 The UV spectrum of eurotinoid B (2)	31
<b>Figure S25</b> The <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of eurotinoid C ( <b>3</b> ) in DMSO- $d_6$	
<b>Figure S26</b> The <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of eurotinoid C ( <b>3</b> ) in DMSO- $d_6$	
Figure S27 The HSQC spectrum of eurotinoid C (3) in DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>	
Figure S28 The HMBC spectrum of eurotinoid C (3) in DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>	35
Figure S29 The <sup>1</sup> H– <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectrum of eurotinoid C (3) in DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub>	
Figure S30 The NOESY spectrum of eurotinoid C (3) in DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub>	
Figure S31 The HRESIMS spectrum of eurotinoid C (3)	
Figure S32 The IR spectrum of eurotinoid C (3).	
Figure S33 The UV spectrum of eurotinoid C (3)	40

# **Experimental Details**

## **Chiral separation**

#### The chiral HPLC separation of 1.

Chromatographic conditions:

(1) Column: Daicel chiralpak IC ( $250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu \text{m}$ )

- (2) Mobile phase: n-hexane/isopropanol (87:13)
- (3) Wavelength: 210 nm, 254 nm, 280 nm
- (4) Flow rate: 1 mL/min
- (5) Retention time: (+)-1 (10.825 min), (-)-1 (23.026 min)
- (6) Yield: (+)-1 (1.3 mg), (-)-1 (1.4 mg)



Figure S1 The chiral HPLC chromatogram of 1.

## The chiral HPLC separation of 2.

Chromatographic conditions:

- (1) Column: Daicel chiralpak IA ( $250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu \text{m}$ )
- (2) Mobile phase: n-hexane/isopropanol (72:28)
- (3) Wavelength: 202 nm, 254 nm, 280 nm
- (4) Flow rate: 0.9 mL/min
- (5) Retention time: (+)-2 (6.379 min), (-)-2 (7.053 min)

#### (6) Yield: (+)-2 (0.6 mg), (-)-2 (0.6 mg)



Figure S2 The chiral HPLC chromatogram of 2.

#### The chiral HPLC separation of 3.

(1) Column: Daicel chiralpak IC ( $250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu \text{m}$ )

- (2) Mobile phase: n-hexane/isopropanol (87:13)
- (3) Wavelength: 210 nm, 254 nm, 280 nm
- (4) Flow rate: 1 mL/min
- (5) Retention time: (+)-3 (11.887 min), (-)-3 (17.220 min)
- (6) Yield: (+)-3 (0.8 mg), (-)-3 (0.8 mg)



Figure S3 The chiral HPLC chromatogram of 3.

#### The chiral HPLC separation of 4.

- (1) Column: Daicel chiralpak IC ( $250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu \text{m}$ )
- (2) Mobile phase: n-hexane/isopropanol (90:10)
- (3) Wavelength: 210 nm, 254 nm, 280 nm
- (4) Flow rate: 1 mL/min
- (5) Retention time: (+)-4 (22.237 min), (-)-4 (34.315 min)
- (6) Yield: (+)-4 (1.8 mg), (-)-4 (1.7 mg)



Figure S4 The chiral HPLC chromatogram of 4.

# **Computational details**

#### 1. Methods



Figure S5 Structures of the truncated model compounds of (12S,28R,31R)-1', (12S,28S,31S)-2', and (12R,28R,31R)-3' applied for theoretical calculations.

## 2. Results

**Table S1** Relative thermal energies ( $\Delta E$ ), relative free energies ( $\Delta G$ ), and equilibrium populations (P) of low-energy conformers of structures (12*S*,28*R*,31*R*)-1', (12*S*,28*S*,31*S*)-2', and (12*R*,28*R*,31*R*)-3' in MeCN.

Conformer	$\Delta E (\text{kcal/mol})^a$	$\Delta G (\text{kcal/mol})^{a}$	$P(\%)^{b}$
Compound (12 <i>S</i> ,28 <i>R</i> ,31 <i>R</i> )-1'			
1'a	0.0	0.0	79.3
1'b	1.21	0.83	19.7
1'c <sup>c</sup>	2.77	2.59	1.0
Compound (12 <i>S</i> ,28 <i>S</i> ,31 <i>S</i> )- <b>2</b> '			
2'a	0.0	0.0	80.3
2'b	1.08	0.84	19.4
<b>2'c</b> <sup><i>c</i></sup>	2.81	3.41	0.3
Compound (12 <i>R</i> ,28 <i>R</i> ,31 <i>R</i> )- <b>3</b> '			
3'a	0.0	0.0	89.8
3'b	1.52	1.30	10.1
<b>3'c</b> <sup><i>c</i></sup>	3.63	4.06	0.1

<sup>*a*</sup> At the M06-2X/def2-TZVP/ IEFPCM level of theory.

<sup>*b*</sup> From  $\Delta G$  values at 298.15 K.

<sup>*c*</sup> Conformer not applied to ECD/TDDFT calculations.





**Figure S6** Conformations of low-energy conformers of (12*S*,28*R*,31*R*)-1', (12*S*,28*S*,31*S*)-2', and (12*R*,28*R*,31*R*)-3'.

#### Molecular docking study

#### 1. Methods

According to the references, five common types of antioxidative targets, such as lipoxygenase (LOX) (Mashima and Okuyama 2015), superoxide dismutase (SOD) (Tovmasyan et al., 2014), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-PX) (Jin et al., 2015), xanthine oxidase (XOD) (Kelley et al., 2010), and peroxiredoxin (PRDX) (Chae et al., 2017), and six types of cytotoxic targets of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) (Ohashi et al., 2018), vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) (Graziani et al., 2016), cyclin dependent kinases (CDK) (Premnath et al., 2015), focal adhesion kinase (FAK) (Liu et al., 2010), farnesyltransferase (FTase) (Sulzmaier et al., 2014), and B cell lymphoma/leukaemia 2 (Bcl-2) (Bate-Eya et al., 2016) were chosen for bioactive screening of these compounds. The 3D structural data of the proteins in these eleven types of proteins were downloaded and corrected by Sybyl-X 2.1 software package, respectively. After the diverse conformers of each compound were generated, the binding affinity of compound (+)-4 with antioxidative proteins (Table S2) and cytotoxic proteins (Table S3) were calculated via molecular docking using Surflex-Dock, respectively. The total score of greater than 7 was used as the criterion for filtering the bioactive protein. Then the total score and consensus score (CScore) were analyzed for evaluating the binding affinity.

#### 2. Results

With the total score greater than 7, 5FNO, 1LOX, 1N8Q, 1YGE, 3BNB, 1JNQ, 3PZW, 1ROV, 3BNC, 1B06, 3SOP, 1GP1, 1YZX, 2RM5, 2P31, 1VLB, 3L8W, 4XCS, 3HY2, 2RII, 3TJG and 2WFC (Table S4), as well as 1M17, 4RJ3,1M6B, 1RV6, 3HNG, 5EX3, 1Y6B, 4BSK, 3DDQ, 1PW2, 2R3G, 1DKS, 5TO8, 2AEH, 1OW8, 2R2L, 4GTM, 1D8E, and 2YXJ (Table S5) were selected as the candidates for the next round of virtual screening. These eight compounds were classified into four pairs of isomers, and in each pair the compound with (+)-configuration exhibited higher bioactivity in bioassay. On the comprehensive consideration of the bioactivity, total score and Cscore (Tables S4 and S5), 5FNO and 4RJ3 were suggeted to be potential targets for antioxidation and cytotoxicity, respectively. The contribution of force fields for each binding between the protein and crossponding compound were listed in Table S6, which demonstrated the ChemScores of Cscore were relatively consistent with the binding affinity in each pair of isomers. It suggeted that the electrostatic potential contact may play critical roles in the binding (Figures. 6 and S7).

Targets	PDB ID
LOX	5FNO, 1LOX, 1N8Q, 1YGE, 3BNB, 3PZW, 1ROV, 3BNC
SOD	1B06, 3SOP
GSH-PX	1GP1, 1YZX, 2RM5, 2P31,4EVM
XOD	1VLB, 3L8W
PRDX	4XCS, 3HY2, 2RII, 3TJG, 2WFC, 4K7N

Table S2 antioxidative proteins

Table S3 cytotoxicity proteins

Targets	PDB ID
EGFR	1M17, 3W2S, 4RJ3, 1M6B
VEGFR	1RV6, 3HNG, 5EX3, 1Y6B, 4BSK
CDK	3DDQ, 1PW2, 2R3G, 1DKS
FAK	5TO8, 2AEH, 1KTM, 1OW8, 4XEF
FTase	2R2L, 4GTM, 1D8E
Bcl-2	2YXJ

Table S4 Molecular docking for compounds  $(\pm)$ -1– $(\pm)$ -4.

PDB ID	<b>BID</b> Chemical Total Score		CSCORE	
	(+)-1	7.383	3	

	(–)-1	7.214	0
	(+) <b>-2</b>	13.151	4
5FNO	(–) <b>-2</b>	12.433	4
	(+)-3	14.428	4
	(–)-3	13.050	3
	(+)-4	14.428	4
	(-)-4	13.050	3
	(+)-1	12.201	4
	(–)-1	12.422	3
	(+) <b>-2</b>	12.577	2
1LOX	(–) <b>-2</b>	15.969	3
	(+)-3	13.272	3
	(–)-3	13.377	2
	(+)-4	13.272	3
	(-)-4	13.377	2
	(+)-1	13.603	1
	(–)-1	14.095	0
	(+)- <b>2</b>	14.555	1
1N8Q	(–) <b>-2</b>	16.405	2
	(+)-3	15.584	3
	(–)-3	12.588	3
	(+)-4	15.584	3
	(-)-4	12.588	3
	(+)-1	15.069	2
	(–)-1	13.271	2
	(+)- <b>2</b>	13.300	0
1YGE	(-)-2	13.300	2
	(+)-3	14.441	3
	(-)-3	16.281	1
	(+)-4	14.441	3
	(-)-4	16.281	1
	(+)-1	12.865	0
	(-)-1	13.757	0
	(+)- <b>2</b>	14.960	1
3BNB	(-)-2	14.182	2
	(+)-3	14.472	1
	(-)-3	11.416	2
	(+)-4	14.472	1
	(-)-4	11.416	2
	(+)-1	11.019	0
	(–)-1	11.851	0
	(+)- <b>2</b>	9.470	0
1JNQ	(–) <b>-2</b>	6.815	0
	(+)-3	12.180	4

	(-)-3	12.573	1
	(+)-4	12.180	4
	(-)-4	12.573	1
	(+)-1	12.962	2
	(-)-1	12.973	1
	(+)-2	16.253	4
3PZW	(-)-2	13.583	0
	(+)-3	11.890	2
	(-)-3	12.192	2
	(+)-4	11.890	2
	(-)-4	12.192	2
	(+)-1	13.121	1
	(-)-1	10.215	1
	(+) <b>-2</b>	10.661	2
1ROV	(-)-2	9.422	3
	(+)-3	10.659	2
	(-)-3	10.668	4
	(+)-4	10.659	2
	(-)-4	10.668	4
	(+)-1	12.404	1
	(-)-1	13.602	0
	(+)-2	12.216	5
3BNC	(-)-2	12.894	4
	(+)-3	14.957	2
	(-)-3	12.822	4
	(+)-4	14.957	2
	(-)-4	12.822	4
	(+)-1	9.811	1
	(–)-1	9.954	1
	(+)-2	10.100	4
1B06	(-)-2	10.430	3
	(+)-3	7.812	5
	(-)-3	8.050	3
	(+)-4	7.812	5
	(-)-4	8.050	3
	(+)-1	12.590	0
	(-)-1	10.970	2
	(+)-2	10.102	3
3SOP	(-)-2	10.526	4
	(+)-3	9.801	2
	(-)-3	12.486	3
	(+)-4	9.801	2
	(-)-4	12.486	3
	(+)-1	9.550	1

	(–)-1	8.704	0
	(+) <b>-2</b>	8.851	1
1GP1	(–) <b>-2</b>	9.163	2
	(+)-3	10.250	1
	(-)-3	8.614	4
	(+)-4	10.250	1
	(-)-4	8.614	4
	(+)-1	14.268	4
	(-)-1	14.692	1
	(+) <b>-2</b>	11.905	4
1YZX	(–) <b>-2</b>	10.851	1
	(+)-3	11.013	4
	(-)-3	14.330	2
	(+)-4	11.013	4
	(-)-4	14.330	2
	(+)-1	6.891	1
	(–)-1	7.735	1
	(+) <b>-2</b>	7.767	1
2RM5	(-)-2	6.937	1
	(+)-3	7.137	4
	(-)-3	9.026	0
	(+)- <b>4</b>	7.137	4
	(-)-4	9.026	0
	(+)-1	4.168	0
	(-)-1	9.354	1
	(+) <b>-2</b>	13.152	0
2P31	(-)-2	6.292	0
	(+)-3	8.270	5
	(-)-3	6.095	1
	(+)-4	8.270	5
	(-)-4	6.095	1
	(+)-1	10.052	4
	(-)-1	9.976	2
	(+) <b>-2</b>	11.706	2
1VLB	(–) <b>-2</b>	11.924	2
	(+)-3	12.257	2
	(-)-3	10.918	2
	(+)-4	12.257	2
	(-)-4	10.918	2
	(+)-1	8.056	2
	(-)-1	10.086	1
	(+) <b>-2</b>	8.853	1
3L8W	(-)-2	7.413	1
	(+)-3	9.670	4

	(-)-3	7.898	1
	(+)-4	9.670	4
	(-)-4	7.898	1
	(+)-1	10.180	3
	(-)-1	13.691	0
	(+)-2	11.074	2
4XCS	(-)-2	14.762	2
	(+)-3	11.128	1
	(-)-3	16.507	1
	(+)-4	11.128	1
	(-)-4	16.507	1
	(+)-1	11.254	1
	(-)-1	11.365	1
	(+) <b>-2</b>	9.097	0
3HY2	(-)-2	12.783	4
	(+)-3	10.893	4
	(-)-3	11.909	0
	(+)-4	10.893	4
	(-)-4	11.909	0
	(+)-1	10.281	1
	(-)-1	10.980	3
	(+)-2	13.143	2
2RII	(-)-2	10.731	4
	(+)-3	12.723	0
	(-)-3	14.650	1
	(+)-4	12.722	0
	(-)-4	14.650	1
	(+)-1	11.169	0
	(-)-1	11.801	1
	(+) <b>-2</b>	11.336	2
3TJG	(-)-2	14.325	4
	(+)-3	9.360	1
	(-)-3	11.528	0
	(+)-4	9.360	1
	(-)-4	11.528	0
	(+)-1	14.008	0
	(-)-1	13.517	0
	(+) <b>-2</b>	11.086	0
2WFC	(-)-2	12.161	3
	(+)-3	14.456	0
	(-)-3	11.215	1
	(+)-4	14.456	0
	(-)-4	11.215	1
4EVM	(+)-4	6.376	0



**Figure S7** The electrostatic potential and hydrogen-bonds of compounds (+)-4/(-)-4 and the bioactive pocket of 4RJ3. (Purple represented stronger electrostatic potential; red represented the residues to form hydrogen-bonds.)

PDB ID	Chemical	<b>Total Score</b>	CSCORE
1M17	(+)-4	8.866	4
	(+)- <b>4</b>	10.926	2
4RJ3	(+)-4	10.812 4	
	(+)-4	7.964	1
1M6B	(+)- <b>4</b>	12.365	0
	(+)-4	9.577	2
1RV6	(+)-4	7.181	0
	(+)-4	11.077	0
3HNG	(+)-4	12.726	2
	(+)-4	11.952	4
5EX3	(+)-4	11.743	3
	(+)-4	13.817	2
1Y6B	(+)-4	7.049 4	
	(+)-4	8.655	1
4BSK	(+)-4	8.294 5	
	(+)- <b>4</b>	2.263 3	
3DDQ	(+)-4	10.632 4	
	(+)-4	12.735	2
1PW2	(+)-4	10.474	0
	(+)-4	15.254	1
2R3G	(+)-4	11.812	4
	(+)-4	14.047	5
1DKS	(+)-4	7.156	1
	(+)- <b>4</b>	8.774	4
5TO8	(+)-4	8.503 5	
	(+)- <b>4</b>	9.048	5
2AEH	(+)- <b>4</b>	8.490	4

Table S5 Molecular docking for compounds  $(\pm)$ -4.

	(+)-4	10.353	2
10W8	(+)-4	9.378	5
	(+)-4	9.405	1
2R2L	(+)-4	10.309	4
	(+)-4	11.382	4
4GTM	(+)-4	9.370	1
	(+)-4	10.607	0
1D8E	(+)-4	11.427	4
	(+)-4	12.144	0
2YXJ	(+)-4	8.475	1
	(+)-4	5.757	1
1KTM	(+)-4	6.165	4
4XEF	(+)-4	5.929	3

**Table S6** Molecular docking for compounds  $(\pm)$ -1– $(\pm)$ -4.

PDB ID	Chemical	<b>Total Score</b>	CScore	ChemScore	<b>G-Score</b>	<b>D-Score</b>	<b>PMF-Score</b>
	(+)-1	7.384	3	-27.041	-319.896	-152.844	-142.035
	(–)-1	7.214	0	-20.820	-279.620	-149.315	-125.443
	(+) <b>-2</b>	13.151	4	-34.042	-324.907	-186.325	-170.406
5FNO	(-)-2	12.433	4	-28.527	-330.850	-165.110	-136.211
	(+)-3	14.428	4	-29.916	-304.492	-161.329	-134.328
	(-)-3	13.050	3	-27.888	-324.571	-167.781	-116.948
	(+)-4	14.428	4	-29.916	-304.492	-161.329	-134.328
	(–)-4	13.050	3	-27.888	-324.571	-167.781	-116.948
4RJ3	(+)-4	10.812	4	-39.821	-388.211	-209.607	-15.828
	(-)-4	7.964	1	-34.404	-330.191	-190.883	-27.673

#### References

- Tovmasyan, A., Reboucas, J. S., and Benov, L. (2014). Simple biological systems for assessing the activity of superoxide dismutase mimics. *Antioxid. Redox. Signal.* 20, 2416-2436. doi: 10.1089/ars.2013.5576
- Bate-Eya, L. T., Den, H. I. J. M., Ida, V. D. P., Linda, S., Jan, K., Santo, E. E., et al. (2016). High efficacy of the BCL-2 inhibitor ABT199 (venetoclax) in BCL-2 high-expressing neuroblastoma cell lines and xenografts and rational for combination with MCL-1 inhibition. *Oncotarget*. 7, 27946-27958. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.8547
- Chae, S., Lee, H. K., Kim, Y. K., Jung Sim, H., Ji, Y., Kim, C., et al. (2017). Peroxiredoxin 1, a novel regulator of pronephros development, influences retinoic acid and Wnt signaling by controlling ROS levels. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 8874. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-09262-6
- Graziani, G., Ruffini, F., Tentori, L., Scimeca, M., Dorio, A. S., Atzori, M. G., et al. (2016). Antitumor activity of a novel anti-vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 monoclonal antibody that does not interfere with ligand binding. *Oncotarget*. 7, 72868-72885. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.12108
- Jin, L., Li, D., Alesi Gina, N., Fan, J., Kang, H. B., et al. (2015). Glutamate dehydrogenase 1 signals through antioxidant glutathione peroxidase 1 to regulate redox homeostasis and tumor growth.

Cancer Cell. 27, 257-270. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2014.12.006

- Kelley, E. E., Khoo, N. K., Hundley, N. J., Malik, U. Z., Freeman, B. A., and Tarpey, M. M. (2010).
  Hydrogen peroxide is the major oxidant product of xanthine oxidase. *Free. Radic. Biol. Med.* 48, 493-498. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2009.11.012
- Liu, M., Sjogren, A. K., Karlsson, C., Ibrahim, M. X., Andersson, K. M., Olofsson, F. J., et al. (2010). Targeting the protein prenyltransferases efficiently reduces tumor development in mice with K-RAS-induced lung cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 6471-6476. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0908396107
- Mashima, R., and Okuyama, T. (2015). The role of lipoxygenases in pathophysiology; new insights and future perspectives. *Redox. Biol.* 6, 297-310. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2015.08.006
- Ohashi, Y., Okamura, M., Katayama, R., Fang, S., Tsutsui, S., Akatsuka, A., et al. (2018). Targeting the Golgi apparatus to overcome acquired resistance of non-small cell lung cancer cells to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Oncotarget*. 9, 1641-1655. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.22895
- Premnath, P. N., Craig, S. N., Liu, S., Anderson, E. L., Grigoroudis, A. I., Kontopidis, G., et al. (2015). Iterative conversion of cyclin binding groove peptides into druglike CDK inhibitors with antitumor activity. J. Med. Chem. 58, 433-442. doi: 10.1021/jm5015023
- Sulzmaier, F. J., Jean, C., and Schlaepfer, D. D. (2015). FAK in cancer: mechanistic findings and clinical applications. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 14, 598-610. doi: 10.1038/nrc3792



Figure S8 The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S9 The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S10 The HSQC spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S11 The HMBC spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



# Figure S12 The ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$ COSY spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S13 The NOESY spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S14 The HRESIMS spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S15 The IR spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



# Figure S16 The UV spectrum of eurotinoid A (1) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.

页1/1

816111       36			1	- 2
SISTIC       Solution         Provide       Solution	8171.1418			- ~
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\$	8151.1			0.5
$\begin{array}{c} 8825^{-1} \\ 1680^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1671^{-1} \\ 1771^{-1} \\$	tt95°I		2.98	
$\begin{array}{c} L+69^{-1}h\\ 2722^{-1}h\\ 2722^{-1}h\\ 2722^{-1}h\\ 2722^{-1}h\\ 2722^{-1}h\\ 2722^{-1}h\\ 2722^{-1}h\\ 2895^{-1}h\\ 2895^{-1}h\\$	8225.11			t H
$\begin{array}{c} 2722 1 3 \\ 1621 5 \\ 5261 5 \\ 8980 5 \\ 1 \\ \hline \\ \hline$	2469°I		3.24	[ •
1621'S       5/61'S         System       5/61'S         8980'S       5/61'S         Solor'S       5/61'S         Statistic       5/61'S         Statistic       5/61'S         Solor'S       5/61'S         Solor'S       5/61'S         Statistic       5/61'S         Statistic       5/61'S         Solor'S       5/6	2222.17			-
$\begin{array}{c} S_{1} (E_{1}) (E_{2}) \\ S_{2} (E_{2}) (E_{2}) \\ S_{3} (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) \\ S_{3} (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) \\ S_{3} (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) (E_{2}) \\ S_{3} (E_{2}) ($	1671.£ <sup>1</sup>		3.04	20
8952°C       41°11       71         620°C       660°C       500°C         8080°C       5280°C       75°C         6560°C       5260°C       75°C         5260°C       40°C       75°C         0821°C       40°C       75°C         0821°C       40°C       75°C         0821°C       40°C       75°C         0821°C       56°C       56°C         0821°C       75°C       75°C         1820°C       75°C       75°C         1820°C       75°C       75°C         1820°C       75°C       75°C         1280°C       75°C       75°C         1280°C       75°C       75°C         1280°C       75°C       75°C         1280°C       75°C       75°C         1290°C       75°C       75°C	5751.57		-22.2	
$\begin{array}{c} 6600 \\ 80800 \\ 80800 \\ 5101 \\ 52800 \\ 5101 \\ 52600 \\ 5101 \\ 52601 \\ 5201$	8952.51		t≠I`I	5.5
$\begin{array}{c} 80800 \text{ G} \\ 80800 \text{ G} \\ \text{S} \\ $	<u>-£755.</u>			
$\begin{array}{c} 5280\ \text{S} \\ 5260\ \text{S} \\ 5260\ \text{S} \\ 5101\ \text{S} \\ 5260\ \text{S} \\ 5101\ \text{S} \\ 5260\ \text{S} \\ 5101\ \text{S} \\ 5260\ \text{S} \\ 5261\ \text{S} \\ 791\ \text{S} \\ 791\ \text{S} \\ 760\ \text{S} \\ 760\ \text{S} \\ 780\ \text{S} \ 7$	8080 <sup>.</sup> 5 <sup>1</sup> f		<u>_</u> ∃_EE.1	- m
65600'S       Story	5280.2-		1.84 S	- 2
SL60'S S101'S CE01'S V921'S 0821'S' 0818'S SSE1'9 90S1'9 V521'9 90S1'9 V521'9 90S1'9 V521'9 8206'9 ST00'2 V50'0 ST00'2 V50'0 ST00'2 V50'0 ST00'2 V50'0 ST00'2 S	6\$60`\$-		] [E8.I	-
STOTIS ZEOTS TP3CTS 0821S 0821S 0821S 0881S SSET9 90519 10919 10919 10919 10919 1001 1000 1001 100	\$L60`\$-			- 4
ZEOIS     +0     -0     -9172       0821S     -00     -98.0       0818S     -00       0919     2       00019     2       1001     181       52012     -00       11     -00       12012     -00       12013     -00       12014     -00       12015     -00       12012     -00       12012     -00	S101'S-			Ś
+921'S       Ho       CHO       -91'Z         0821'S       082'S       081'S       -26'O         081'S       -55'C       98'O       98'O         9051'9       2       -68'8       -27'C         9051'9       2       -68'8       -27'C         9051'9       2       -68'8       -27'C         9051'9       2       -68'8       -27'C         9051'9       2       -70'T       -76'O         9051'9       2       -70'T       -76'O         9051'9       2       -70'T       -76'O         900'1       18'T       -70'T       -70'T         900'2       -70'T       -70'T       -70'T         900'2       -70'T       -70'T       -70'T         1280'2       -70'T       -70'T       -70'T         1290'2'2       -70'T       -70'T       -70'T         190'2'1       -70'T       -70'T       -70'T	ZE01'S-			4
0821'5 0682'5 0818'5- 5581'9 9051'9 9051'9 1001'9 1811 1001 1811 1921 1807 1997 1977 1997 1997 1977 1977 1977 1977 1977 1977 1977 1977 19	7. H			0
0687.5/ 0818.5- 555.19 905.19 905.19 905.19 1001 101 157.0 157	0821.2 <sup>5</sup>		₹76.0	-
0818'S- SSE1'9 9051'9 1091'9 1001'9 1001' 100	0682 <sup>.</sup> 2 <sup>7</sup>	ОН	(\$5.0	- <u>s</u> .
SSE19       2         90519       2         tS119       2         tS219       2         tS2012       2         tS2013       2         tS2014       2         tS2015       2         tS2016       2         tS2017       2         tS2018       2         tS2019       2         tS2019       2         tS2019       2         tS2019       2 <td< td=""><td>-2.8180</td><td></td><td><sup>−</sup><sup>₹</sup>98.0</td><td></td></td<>	-2.8180		<sup>−</sup> <sup>₹</sup> 98.0	
9051'9       2         +091'9       2         +521'9       3806'9         \$8806'9       5120'2         \$8200'2       486'0         \$820'2       5120'2         \$820'2       5120'2         \$820'2       5120'2         \$820'2       5120'2         \$820'2       5120'2         \$820'2       5120'2         \$820'2       5120'2         \$820'2       5120'2         \$820'2       5120'2         \$820'2       5120'2         \$820'2       518'1         \$1890'2       580'2         \$1890'2       580'2         \$296'2       511'3'2         \$1997'2       521'8'2         \$201'8       511'5'2'2         \$201'8       511'5'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'2'	5551.9-7	Í		[ ° _
1091'9-       2         1091'9-       100'1         100'19-       100'1         100'19-       100'1         100'19-       100'1         100'10-       100'1         100	9051.9	$\sim$	86.0	5.5 ppn
120001       120001         120001       120001         120001       120001         120001       120001         120011       120001 <td< td=""><td>709I<sup>.</sup>9</td><td>2</td><td>18.1</td><td>Ę</td></td<>	709I <sup>.</sup> 9	2	18.1	Ę
$ \begin{array}{c}  & = & 0 \\  & $	tsLl'9 <sup>1</sup>		- <b></b>	- 2
$\begin{array}{c} S120.7\\ S120.7\\$	8806'9-		<u>_</u>	[ m
$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & &$	S120.77			
7470.71	8220.7-		↓₽8.0	8.0
xz €0.7-       xz €0.7-         xz €0.60.7-       xz €0.60         xz €0.60.7-       xz €0.60         xz €0.7-       xz €0.60         xz €0.60       xz €0.60         xz €0.60       xz €0.60         xz €0.7-       xz €0.60         xz €0.7-       xz €0.60         xz €0.60       xz €0.60         xz €0.60       xz €0.60         xz €0.60       xz €0.60         xz €0.7-       xz €0.60         xz €0.7-       xz €0.7-         xz €0.7-       xz €0.7- <t< td=""><td>0720.7-</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>	0720.7-			-
74.60.7-1         1880.7-1         74.80.7-1         1780.7-1         7000.7-1         7000.7-1         7000.7-1         7000.7-1         1800.7-1         1900.7-1         1800.7-1         1800.7-1         1900.7-1         1900.7-1         1900.7-1         1900.7-1         1100.8-1         1900.7-1         1900.7-1         1100.8-1         1900.7-1         1100.8-1         1100.8-1         1900.7-1	8260.7-			- ∞
$   \begin{bmatrix}     890.7 \\     1780.7 \\     1780.7 \\     1780.7 \\     1780.7 \\     1780.7 \\     1700.7 \\     1800.7 \\     1700.7 \\     1800.7 \\$	2420.7-			
7480.7-         780.7	1890'2-			- 0
1780.7-       7700.7-       7700.7-       7700.7-       7800.7-	7480.7-		1	- 5.
$\begin{array}{c} 2960.7 \\ 7700.$	1280-2-			-
77700.7       77700.7       77700.7        7700.7    <	2960'2-		J \$8.0	[
1000000000000000000000000000000000000	226072			- 5
777.57       747.6.01       7020.11	1992'2			-
	SLLTL SOCOLI			-
	806872-			Ś
1018	810721			=
				-
	7C91 81			-
				12
				Ĺ

**Figure S17** The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S18 The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S19 The HSQC spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S20 The HMBC spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S21 The  ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$  COSY spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.



# Figure S22 The NOESY spectrum of eurotinoid B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>.







# Figure S24 The UV spectrum of eurotinoid B (2).

页1/1



Figure S25 The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of eurotinoid C (3) in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure S26 The  ${}^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of eurotinoid C (3) in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure S27 The HSQC spectrum of eurotinoid C (3) in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure S28 The HMBC spectrum of eurotinoid C (3) in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure S29 The  ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$  COSY spectrum of eurotinoid C (3) in DMSO- $d_{6}$ .

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S30 The NOESY spectrum of eurotinoid C (3) in DMSO- $d_6$ .

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Figure S32 The IR spectrum of eurotinoid C (3).

S39

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Figure S33 The UV spectrum of eurotinoid C (3).

页1/1