CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be

- a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
- b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.

Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.

In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS,

or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).

Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption): Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group

CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and Mobile Health Interventions

J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126 URL: http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/

doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923 PMID: 22209829

*Required

Your name *

First Last

Lorna Cook

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

University of Exeter

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

lzc204@exeter.ac.uk

Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

RESPOND (REducing Stress and Preventing Depression): web-based rumination-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (i-RFCBT) for high ruminating university students at risk for depression: a randomised controlled



Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

i-RFCBT

Evaluated Version (if any)

e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Version 1

Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

English

URL of your Intervention Website or App *

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

https://mindresolve.minddistrict.co.uk

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Your answer

Accessibility *

Can	an enduser access the intervention presently?
0	access is free and open
0	access only for special usergroups, not open
0	access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app

app/intervention no longer accessible

Other:

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *

e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

Depression (high ruminating undergradu

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *

comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

Incidence of Major Depressive Episode

Secondary/other outcomes

Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

Symptoms of depression and generalised anxiety; rumination; worry

What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?
Approximately Daily
Approximately Weekly
Approximately Monthly
Approximately Yearly
as needed"
Other:

recommended after 3 months *	
unknown / not evaluated	
0-10%	
O 11-20%	
21-30%	
31-40%	
O 41-50%	
51-60%	
61-70%	
71%-80%	
81-90%	
91-100%	
Other:	
Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *	
yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control	
partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control	
on statistically significant difference between control and intervention	
or more outcomes	
of filore outcomes	
inconclusive: more research is needed	

Article Preparation Status/Stage * At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)
onot submitted yet - in early draft status
onot submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
o submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
osubmitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
o submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
Opublished
Other:
Journal * If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")
onot submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
JMIR mHealth and UHealth
JMIR Serious Games
JMIR Mental Health
JMIR Public Health
JMIR Formative Research
Other JMIR sister journal
Other:
Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial?
O Pilot/feasibility
Fully powered

E

Manuscript tracking number *

If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIROther:

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title



1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

ye:

Other:

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use "web-based" and/or "mobile" and/or "electronic game" in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like "online", "virtual", "interactive". Use "Internet-based" only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use "computer-based" or "electronic" only if offline products are used. Use "virtual" only in the context of "virtual reality" (3-D worlds). Use "online" only in the context of "online support groups". Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as "mobile" or "smart phone" instead of "iphone"), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"web-based rumination-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (i-RFCBT)"

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., "with telephone support").

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A: All intervention components were web-based. No co-interventions were included.



1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., "for children with Type I Diabetes") Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"for high ruminating university students at risk for depression"

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions



NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"guided i-RFCBT (an interactive web-based version of RFCBT, supported by asynchronous written web-based support from qualified and specially trained therapists)"; "unguided (self-administered) i-RFCBT"; "usual care control"



1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like "fully automated" vs. "therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted" (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"guided i-RFCBT (an interactive web-based version of RFCBT, supported by asynchronous written web-based support from qualified and specially trained therapists)"; "unguided (self-administered) i-RFCBT"



1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-toface assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use "blinded" or "unblinded" to indicated the level of blinding instead of "open", as "open" in web-based trials usually refers to "open access" (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"...recruited via an open access website in response to circulars within universities and internet advertisement"; "Primary outcome was onset of a major depressive episode, assessed with structured diagnostic interview at 3 (post-intervention), 6 and 15 months postrandomisation, conducted by telephone, blind to condition."; "Secondary outcomes of symptoms of depression and anxiety and levels of worry and rumination were self-assessed through questionnaires, measured at baseline and the same follow-up intervals."

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See manuscript p.3: "A total of 235 participants were randomised to guided i-RFCBT (N = 82), unguided i-RFCBT (N = 76) or usual care (N = 77)...Similar effect sizes and compliance rates were found for unguided i-RFCBT."



1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials


Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"These results confirm that guided i-RFCBT can reduce the onset of depression in high-risk young people reporting high levels of worry/rumination and stress. The feasibility study argues for formally testing unguided i-RFCBT as a preventive intervention: as a scalable intervention, if the observed effect sizes are robustly replicated in a Phase III trial, it has potential to significantly address the burden of depression."



INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale



2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as standalone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See pp.4-6: "The incidence of depression rises steeply from the age of 14 through into young adulthood...recommend further larger scale trials to assess the effectiveness of web-based interventions in university students."; See p.8: "Part of the rationale for web-based therapy, such as i-RFCBT, is to increase the coverage, availability, and accessibility of treatment...effective prevention requires an intervention to be highly scalable and able to reach very high numbers of people."

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropriate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See pp.6-7: "One such relatively large-scale trial was conducted by Topper, Emmelkamp, Watkins and Ehring [27]...findings suggest that targeting rumination has transdiagnostic preventive effects and are consistent with evidence that targeted prevention can be effective."

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"we hypothesised that the benefits of i-RFCBT at preventing depression in high ruminating young adults would also specifically apply to high ruminating undergraduates, especially those experiencing stressful life events."; "The primary aim of the current phase III efficacy trial was to test whether the findings of Topper et al. [27] comparing guided i-RFCBT to usual care could be extended to a selective UK high-risk undergraduate population."; "The secondary aim of this study was therefore to assess the feasibility and acceptability of an unguided version of i-RFCBT, as well as estimate effect sizes to inform a fully powered trial, with respect to incidence rates and symptom levels (descriptives and confidence intervals)."



3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The phase III study consisted of a single (researcher) blind parallel-group RCT, comparing guided i-RFCBT versus a usual care control group."; "To assess the feasibility of unguided i-RFCBT, a separate adjunct arm of unguided i-RFBCT was included as a quasi-phase II pilot arm."; "allocate participants to the guided i-RFCBT, unguided i-RFCBT or usual care control in a 1:1:1 ratio."

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes to methods after trial commenced.

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].



Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes made to the intervention during trial beyond routine maintenance.

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See p.9: "Participants were university students resident in the UK aged 18-24 with elevated repetitive negative thought (RNT), defined as scoring above the 75th percentile on at least one measure of worry/rumination.....receipt of antidepressant medication was not an exclusion criterion, providing the dose had been stable for at least one month."



4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

1 subitem not at essential all important

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

As university students are required to be computer literate for their studies, computer literacy was not explicitly specified as an eligibility criterion.



4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

1 subitem not at essential all important

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See ms. pp. 10-11: "1834 university departments in the UK were contacted between 14/11/2013 and 10/12/2014 (1527 contacted twice) and asked to advertise the study.....The same researcher conducted the baseline and follow-up telephone interview assessments ensuring continuity of contact between research team and participants."



4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

subitem not at essential all important

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The participant information sheet and consent form is provided (Multimedia Appendix 2).



4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were university students resident in the UK"; "The intervention was accessed on a secure, password-protected website."; "Diagnostic measures were conducted by telephone, with the option to return the selfreport questionnaire measures by email/post."



4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in webbased trials) or otherwise.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Diagnostic measures were conducted by telephone, with the option to return the self-report questionnaire measures by email/post."; "the researcher conducting outcome assessments was blind to allocation."; "The interview was audio-recorded, with consent, so that diagnostic status could be independently checked."



4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention. (Not a required item - describe only if this may bias results)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	\circ	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

University of Exeter and Mood Disorders Centre logos were included in written materials sent to participants and on the screening and intervention websites.



5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a "Conflict of interest" section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The internet treatment was delivered on the internet platform and software owned, programmed, and hosted by Minddistrict [55], accessed by a research licence purchased from Minddistrict by the research team. The specific content of the i-RFCBT intervention was developed and entered into the platform using its Content Management System (CMS) by the research team led by Edward Watkins."

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	\circ	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The guided intervention was an English version of i-RFCBT (called MindReSolve), translated and adapted from the version used by Topper et al. [27] to include case examples relevant to university students."; "The content of unguided i-RFCBT was almost identical to guided i-RFCBT with minor adaptations for self-help to include some automatic online conditional feedback addressing common challenges with the exercises."

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was "frozen" during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes to intervention during trial beyond routine maintenance.

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"the same key intervention principles and techniques as face-to-face RFCBT as described in Watkins [51], adapted for the internet."

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Source code is owned by Minddistrict and not publicly available. The content is the same as that used in face-to-face RFCBT (described in detail by Watkins, 2016). Screenshots of the intervention website are provided in Multimedia Appendix 1.

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The main log-in page is https://mindresolve.minddistrict.co.uk/ (Archived at: http://www.webcitation.org/707bUIMce). Screenshots of the intervention website are provided in Multimedia Appendix 1.



5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a "backdoor" login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The intervention was accessed individually, for free, on a secure, password protected website. Access was granted by email link, inviting the participant to set up a personal account and password."



5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1]," whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and - if computer-mediated communication is a component - whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See ms. pp.12-15: "RFCBT differs from standard CBT by seeking to change the process of thinking.....These strategies include being more active, slowing things down, breaking tasks down, opposite action, relaxation, concrete thinking, becoming absorbed, self-compassion and assertiveness."; "The intervention was supported by qualified clinicians who had received additional specific training in RFCBT....Feedback was constrained by template responses for each module, faithful to the RFCBT model, which could be adapted to individual participants' responses."

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Guided: "Each module was self-paced but the participants were advised to spend one to two weeks on each and could only access the next module once feedback from the clinician was received, typically within 2 working days."; Unguided: "Participants could then access all modules without restriction but were advised to spend one to two weeks on each to allow time for practice."; "Compliance was defined in the protocol as completing at least 4 of 6 modules [44]."

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as "type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered". It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"support consisted of asynchronous written feedback provided by the clinician at the end of each module. Feedback served to highlight positive steps and identified areas to focus on in the following module."

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 - generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The platform also sent an automatic weekly reminder if the platform had not been accessed for a week."; Guided: "Clinicians also monitored log-ons and sent reminder emails if there was no log-on for over a week."



5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 - generalizability.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\bigcirc	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No co-interventions used.

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"As a prevention study, the primary outcome was the occurrence and time to onset of any depressive episode."; "Secondary outcomes of symptom severity and levels of rumination/worry were examined."



6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Outcomes were not assessed using online questionnaires. All outcomes were assessed by telephone or completed on paper and returned by email/post.



6a-ii) Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

"Each module was self-paced but the participants were advised to spend one to two weeks on each"; "Compliance was defined in the protocol as completing at least 4 of 6 modules [44]."



6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

No qualitative feedback was obtained from trial participants.

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes were made to outcomes after the trial commenced.

7a) How sample size was determined



NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Assuming a similar hazard ratio of 0.41 for the guided i-RFCBT vs. usual care control [27], 75 participants per arm would provide 0.86 power (two-tailed 5% alpha level) to detect this effect. For change in depressive symptoms, the observed effect size was d = 0.51 [27]. 78 participants per arm would be needed for 80% power to detect a similar effect at two-tailed 5% alpha level, allowing for 20% follow-up drop-out attrition. With no previous evidence for unguided i-RFCBT, no power or sample size calculations were conducted. We aimed to recruit the same number (N = 78) as the other two arms."



7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines



Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No interim analyses or stopping guidelines used.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence



NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Independent computer-generated block randomisation (block size of 3), stratified by sex (male vs. female) and history of depression (presence or absence of past depressive episodes) was used to allocate participants to the guided i-RFCBT, unguided i-RFCBT or usual care control in a 1:1:1 ratio."



8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)




Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"block randomisation (block size of 3), stratified by sex (male vs. female) and history of depression (presence or absence of past depressive episodes)"



9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned



Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"A third party not involved in assessing or treating the participants implemented the random allocation sequence and informed the therapist of the condition for each participant. The researcher responsible for recruitment and screening was blind to allocation and unable to influence the order of consents."



10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See answers to Q8 and Q9, and "To preserve researcher blinding, participants were notified of their treatment allocation by a trial therapist."



11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"As a single blind trial, the researcher conducting outcome assessments was blind to allocation. The researcher was not involved with any element of treatment delivery."; "Due to the nature of the intervention, participants and therapists could not be blinded."



11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator"

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator".

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\circ	0	0	0	\bigcirc	essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Due to the nature of the intervention, participants and therapists could not be blinded."



11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions




(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

N/A Care as usual used as a comparator.

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See ms pp. 18-19: "Cox-proportional hazard models were fitted to the depression event data, with diagnosis of an episode of major depression at any point during the follow-up period as the outcome and time to onset measured in weeks from randomisation date....Secondary outcomes of symptom severity and levels of rumination/worry were examined using mixed model ANCOVAs: between group (ITT/CACE) and repeated measures (3-15month follow-ups), controlling for baseline symptom levels."

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	\circ	0	\circ	essentia

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Unplanned missing data was handled via Multiple Imputation (MI). Sensitivity analysis, assuming a variety of MI models (Missing at Random; Missing Not at Random), verified the likely impact of missing data. Auxiliary variables were used to improve the estimation of missing data."



12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Additional analyses assessed the effect of compliance using the Complier Average Causal Effect (CACE) analysis [65]...."; "A Cox proportional hazard model was conducted, adjusting for past depression as a stratification variable...controlled for stressful life events, we included severity of baseline stress in the model."



X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

subitem not at essential all important

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Ethical and professional guidelines were followed at all times, in line with Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the School of Psychology, University of Exeter (Ref: 2012/554)."



x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures


Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Eligible participants provided contact details as consent to be contacted for further telephone screening."; "Consent to interview was obtained verbally and included providing their general practitioner's (GP) contact details so that appropriate clinical support could be obtained in the event of disclosure of suicidal risk."; "Participants returned written informed consent including permission to contact their general practitioner (GP) if significant risk was disclosed (see Multimedia Appendix 2)."



Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Suicidal risk was also monitored using a well-established departmental protocol to determine level of risk and seek clinical support as appropriate."





13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"...235 returned written informed consent and were randomised to guided i-RFCBT (N = 82), unguided i-RFCBT (N = 76) or usual care control (N = 77)."; "Twenty-seven participants in the primary comparison of guided i-RFCBT vs. control completed no follow-ups and no minimum survival time could be estimated, so the survival analyses were conducted on N = 132 (guided N = 63, control N = 69)."; "Nineteen (25%) unguided participants did not complete any follow-up assessments." Numbers contributing to self-reported outcome measures are indicated in Tables 2 and 3.



13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See CONSORT flow diagram (Figure 1)

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

subitem not at essential all important

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The mean completion for guided i-RFCBT was 3.46 (SD = 2.25) for the full ITT sample (N = 159), with 46.34% (38/82) compliant by completing at least 4 of the 6 modules. Rates of compliance were higher among those with follow-up outcome data (N = 132) as used for the CACE analysis, at 60.32% (38/63)."; "In the full ITT sample, unguided participants completed an average of 2.66 modules (SD = 2.35). Rates of compliance (38.16% unguided) were not significantly different from guided i-RFCBT ($\chi 2$ (1) = 1.08, P = .30)."

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Advertisements were circulated between 14/11/2013 and 10/12/2014. Participants were followed up over a period of 15 months from the date of their randomisation. The final follow-up was completed on 21/04/2016.



14a-i) Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period

Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or "changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources"

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No significant secular events were reported during the trial.

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The trial ended as intended, 15 months after the final participants were randomised.



15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group



NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Table 1

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

All participants were university students familiar with computer/Internet use so no assessment of digital divide was undertaken.



16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups



16-i) Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions

Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) "across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds" [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants "used" the intervention/comparator at specific predefined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define "use" of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc	essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, see detailed numbers on pages 11, 19, 23, 24 and Figure 1

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only "users", with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, "Primary analyses were conducted on the Intention-To-Treat (ITT) sample. Additional analyses assessed the effect of compliance using the Complier Average Causal Effect (CACE) analysis [65]."; "The results of the CACE analyses (see Supplement 1) were equivalent to the ITT analysis. We therefore only report the primary ITT analysis."

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, see details in results pp.20-22 reporting effect size and 95% CI e.g. "history of depression significantly increased risk HR = 2.62, 95% CI [1.37, 5.01], P = .004.....significant interaction of intervention condition by baseline stress (HR: 0.43, 95% CI [0.21, 0.87], P = .02).... higher baseline stress." Secondary outcomes are reported in Tables 2 and 3.

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, we report number of modules, see pages 23, 24 and answer to 13b-i.

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, see pp 20-22, "There was no overall difference in incidence of depression (P = .64): 29% (N = 18) of participants receiving guided i-RFCBT and 33% (N = 23) of participants receiving usual care experienced MDE during the follow-up period."; "When controlling for both past depression and baseline stress, there was a 34% reduced risk of depression in the guided i-RFCBT condition relative to usual care, although this difference was not significant: HR = 0.66, 95% CI [0.35, 1.25], P = .20 (see Figure 2)."



18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

All subgroup and adjusted analyses were prespecified and are reported in the results section (adjustment for past depression and baseline stress) and in the Supplementary file (CACE analysis).



18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

subitem not at essential all important

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"As further sensitivity analyses, to investigate the effect of compliance on outcomes, we conducted a complier average causal effect (CACE) analysis, using the Loeys and Goetghebur [65] method..." The results of this analysis are reported in the Supplementary file.

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group



(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Risk of harm was assessed throughout the intervention and at each follow-up using a well-established risk protocol and sharing information with GP if necessary. No important harms or unintended effects of the intervention were reported by participants.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No privacy breaches or technical problems occurred.

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No qualitative data was collected from participants or staff.



22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence



NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, see manuscript pp.26-27: "The main aim of RESPOND.....these improvements were not sustained over the longer term."; "Compliance rates and the pattern of findings....for unguided i-RFCBT were similar to those for guided i-RFBCT."



22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	0	essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, p. 29 "These initial findings.....further studies to formally test unguided i-RFCBT as a preventive intervention."



20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses



20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to nonuse of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, see p.30 "there was a considerable proportion of missing data at followup.....Third, common to many e-Mental health trials, the participants were not blind to the treatment condition, and, as such, results could have been influenced by response bias and expectancy effects."



21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings



NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations



Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

see pp 29-30 "The use of online and telephone-based measures allowed for recruitment throughout the UK,.....the sample was disproportionately female, limiting the generalisability.....a trial selecting on this basis will necessarily attract more female participants."



21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.



Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Since this was designed as a self-help preventive intervention for selfidentified participants, there would not need to be changes for a more routine and general roll-out, e.g., it could be added to university wellbeing services. Any routine implementation would depend on funding and awareness.



OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Current Controlled Trials ISRCTN12683436. Date of registration: 27/10/2014"

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See reference [44].

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"This work was supported by University of Exeter, UK, through a matched funded PhD studentship to a successful Wellcome Trust Capital Bid. The funders had no involvement in the research or the submission of this manuscript for publication."



X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)



X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	essentia

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Edward Watkins developed the original RFCBT intervention. The other authors declare they have no competing interests."



About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist



As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *
yes, major changes
yes, minor changes
O no
What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?
More detail added to the Abstract and Methods sections.
How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *
4 hours.
As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *
yes
O no
Other:
Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group? This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document
O yes
no
Other:

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

Your answer

STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit



To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

Final step: Click submit!



Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

SUBMIT

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Additional Terms

Google Forms