PRISMA Checklist

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #		
TITLE					
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	1		
ABSTRACT					
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	3-4		
INTRODUCTION					
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	6		
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	6		
METHODS					
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.	6; Appendix 1		
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	7-8; Appendix 1		
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	7; Appendix 1		
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	Appendix 1		
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	7-8; Appendix 1		
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	8; Appendix 1		

	pendix 1
	ppendix 1
any data synthesis.	
Summary measures 13 State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means). 8-9; Appe	endix 1
Synthesis of results 14 Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I²) for each meta-analysis. 8-9; Appe	endix 1
Risk of bias across studies 15 Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	ppendix 1
Additional analyses 16 Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, metaregression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified. 8-9; Appe	endix 1
RESULTS	
Study selection 17 Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. 9; Fig.	ïg. 1
Study characteristics 18 For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations. 10; A 2-3	Appendix
Risk of bias within studies 19 Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12). 10; A 4-5	Appendix
Results of individual studies 20 For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot. 9-18	3, endix 7
Synthesis of results 21 Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.	18
Risk of bias across studies 22 Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15). 10; A 4-5	Appendix
Additional analysis 23 Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, metaregression [see Item 16]).	16, endix 9
DISCUSSION	

Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).	18-20
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).	19
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	19-20
FUNDING			
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	24

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097