

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

## **BMJ Open**

## GASS Trial study protocol: a multicentre, single-blind, randomised clinical trial comparing general anaesthesia and sedation during intra-arterial treatment for stroke.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-024249
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-May-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Maurice, Axelle; CHU Rennes, Pôle Anesthésie et Réanimation, Inserm, NuMeCan, CIC 1414 and Université de Rennes 1 Ferré, Jean-Christophe; CHU Rennes, Department of Neuroradiology Ronzière, Thomas; CHU Rennes, Department of Neurology Devys, Jean-Michel; Service d'Anesthésie-Réanimation, Fondation Ophtalmologique Adolphe de Rothschild 25, rue Manin, F-75019 Paris, France Subileau, Aurelie; Service d'anesthésie réanimation, CHRU La Cavale Blanche, Boulevard T.Prigent, F-29200 Brest, france Laffon, Marc; Service d'anesthésie réanimation 1, CHU hôpital Bretonneau, 2 boulevard Tonnellé, F-37044 Tours, France Laviolle, Bruno; CHU Rennes, Clinical Pharmacology department and Inserm CIC 1414, Université de Rennes 1 beloeil, helene; CHU Rennes, Pôle Anesthésie et Réanimation, Inserm, NuMeCan, CIC 1414 and Université de Rennes 1,
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, endovascular therapy, Anaesthesia in neurology < ANAESTHETICS

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

## BMJ Open

3	1	Title: GASS Trial study protocol: a multicentre, single-blind, randomised clinical trial
4 5	2	comparing general anaesthesia and sedation during intra-arterial treatment for stroke.
6		
7	3	
8 9	4	Authors: Axelle Maurice <sup>1</sup> , Jean-Christophe Ferré <sup>2</sup> , Thomas Ronziere <sup>3</sup> , Jean-Michel Devys
10 11	5	<sup>4</sup> , Aurelie Subileau <sup>5</sup> , Marc Laffon <sup>6</sup> , Bruno Laviolle <sup>7</sup> , Helene Beloeil <sup>1</sup> , on behalf of the SFAR
12 13	6	research network
14 15	7	
16 17	8	Authors' affiliations
18 19	9	<sup>1</sup> Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, CIC 1414 NuMeCan, Pôle Anesthésie et Réanimation,
20 21	10	F-35033 Rennes, France
22 23	11	<sup>2</sup> CHU Rennes, department of Neuroradiology, F-35033 Rennes, France
24 25	12	<sup>3</sup> CHU Rennes, Service de neurologie, F-35033 Rennes, France
26 27	13	<sup>4</sup> Service d'Anesthésie-Réanimation, Fondation Ophtalmologique Adolphe de Rothschild
28 29	14	25, rue Manin, F-75019 Paris, France
30 31	15	<sup>5</sup> Service d'anesthésie réanimation, CHRU La Cavale Blanche, Boulevard T.Prigent, F-29200
32 33	16	Brest, france
34 35	17	<sup>6</sup> Service d'anesthésie réanimation 1 , CHU hôpital Bretonneau, 2 boulevard Tonnellé, F-
36 37	18	37044 Tours, France
38 39	19	<sup>7</sup> Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, CIC 1414 (Centre d'Investigation Clinique de Rennes),
40 41	20	F-35000 Rennes, France
42 43	21	
44 45	22	
46 47	23	Address for correspondence: Helene Beloeil, Pole Anesthésie-Réanimation Medecine
48 49	24	Interne Geriatrie, CHU Rennes, 2 avenue Henri Le Guilloux, 35033 Rennes Cedex, France.
50 51	25	Email: <u>helene.beloeil@chu-rennes.fr</u>
52 53	26	
54 55	27	
56 57 58	28	
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### 29 ABSTRACT

Introduction: Treatment of acute stroke has drastically changed in the last 10 years. Endovascular therapy is now the standard of care for patients with a stroke caused by a large vessel occlusion in the anterior circulation. The impact of the type of anaesthesia (general anaesthesia or conscious sedation) during endovascular therapy on the outcome of the patients is still a matter of debate. Previous studies are mostly retrospective and/or focused on the early post-procedure outcome and/or without blood pressure goals and/or single-centre small size studies. We therefore designed a multicentre study hypothesizing that conscious sedation is associated with a better functional outcome 3 months after endovascular therapy for the treatment of stroke compared with general anaesthesia.

**Methods/analysis:** The GASS trial is a randomised, parallel, single-blind, multicentre study 41 of 350 patients undergoing endovascular therapy for the treatment of stroke. Patients will be 42 randomly allocated to receive either a general anaesthesia or a conscious sedation. The 43 primary outcome measure is the modified Rankin score assessed 3 months after the 44 treatment. Data will be analysed on the intention-to-treat principle.

46 Ethics/dissemination: The GASS trial has been approved by an independent ethics
47 committee for all study centres. Participant recruitment begins in September 2016. Results
48 will be published in international peer-reviewed medical journals.

**Trial registration number:** The trial is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (Identifier: NCT 51 02822144)

2
3
Δ
-
5
6
7
Q
0
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
<u>-</u> -2 24
24
25
26
27
20
28
29
30
31
22
32
33
34
35
22
36
37
38
30
10
40
41
42
43
45
44
45
46
<u>4</u> 7
т/ 40
48
49
50
51
51
52
53
54
51
22
56
57

59

60

#### 52 Strengths and limitations of this study

53 • GASS trial is the first randomised, parallel, single-blind, multicentre study comparing the 54 effects of general anaesthesia and conscious sedation during endovascular therapy for 55 stroke and focused on the functional outcome of the patients 3 months after the 56 treatment.

- 57 The multicentre design, broad inclusion criteria, large sample size (350 patients) and • 58 follow-up will support external validity.
- 59 Limitations: The study does not include a systemic CT-scan after the endovascular • .roke .s not part c 60 treatment. The sizing of the stroke is also not part of the study as it is newly 61 implemented technology. It was not part of the routine care at the time of the design of
- 62 the study.
- 63

#### 64 INTRODUCTION

The treatment of acute stroke has been recently transformed with the publication of RCTs showing the benefit of endovascular therapy when compare with the medical treatment in terms of functional outcome (1-4). Endovascular therapy in addition to the medical treatment is now the standard of care for selected patients who had a stroke caused by a large vessel occlusion in the anterior circulation. All studies have highlighted that the rapidity of the treatment is an essential factor for a good outcome. The other important factor is the haemodynamic conditions during the procedure because instability can worsen the clinical outcome (5,6). A retrospective study concluded that a change of even 10% in mean arterial pressure almost guadrupled the risk for poor outcomes (7).

In this context, the best anaesthetic strategy during the endovascular treatment has not been yet defined. Indeed, the choice between general anaesthesia (GA) and conscious sedation (CS) remains unclear. While allowing immobility, cerebral protection and airway control, GA can delay the endovascular treatment. On the other hand, CS is more rapid, allows neurological assessment during the procedure but the thrombectomy can be more difficult for the neuroradiologist because of patients' movements. In terms of haemodynamic stability, retrospective studies reported results favouring CS (8-10). However, these studies did not focus on the anaesthetic protocol and the intra-procedure haemodynamic. Anaesthetic protocols were not standardized. The first randomized controlled trial (RCT) on the subject was published in 2016 (11). This monocentric study did not find any benefit of CS over GA in terms of outcome 24 hours and 3 months after the treatment. However, functional outcome at 3 months was only a secondary outcome and the anaesthesia protocol was not detailed. Moreover, the design allowed patients in the CS group to receive analgesics and/or sedatives if necessary, which could then transform a CS into a light GA. Löwhagen et al (12) did also not show any difference between the two anaesthetic technics using a well-described anaesthesia protocol. However, the study included only 80 patients and was monocentric. The most recent study (13) using an identical design with infarct growth as the primary endpoint reported no differences between CS and GA. Clinical outcome at 90 days,

tested as a secondary endpoint, was better in the GA group. Finally, a meta-analysis analysing the pooled data of 7 trials (14) reported that worse outcomes at 3 months were associated with GA. However, the choice to treat a patient with or without GA was not randomized in the trials included in this meta-analysis (14).

So far, no study has assessed the clinical outcome 3 months after the stroke treatment comparing GA and CS using standardized anaesthetic protocols and tight haemodynamic control.

Therefore, we designed a RCT comparing GA and CS during endovascular treatment for acute stroke. Both GA and CS protocols will be standardized and the control of arterial blood pressure too. We hypothesized that CS will be associated with a better clinical outcome measured with the modified Rankin score (mRS) 3 months after the procedure. 

## 104 METHODS AND ANALYSIS

## 105 Trial design

The GASS study is an investigator initiated, national, multicentre, randomised, simple-blind, parallel-group clinical trial with concealed allocation of patients scheduled to undergo endovascular therapy for stroke 1:1 to receive either a general anaesthesia protocol or a conscious sedation protocol. The trial will be conducted in four university and non-university centres. It started in September 2016 and will continue for a total of 36 months.

## 112 Participant eligibility and consent

- 113 Trial site investigators will identify consecutive eligible patients from the listed criteria. Eligible 114 patients or a family member when appropriate will receive written and oral information and 115 will be included after investigators have obtained informed written consent.

## 117 Inclusion criteria

- 1. Adult (18 years or older) patients admitted to the participating centre
- 119 2. Occlusion of a large vessel in the anterior cerebral circulation
- 120 3. Undergoing endovascular therapy for stroke
- 121 4. Benefiting from the health insurance system
  - 122 5. Signed informed consent from the patient or their legally next of kin
- 123 Non-inclusion criteria
- 124 1. Pregnant or breast-feeding women
- 125 2. Patients already intubated and mechanical ventilated before inclusion in the study
  - 126 3. Intracerebral haemorrhage associated with the ischemic stroke
  - 4. Contra-indications to conscious sedation: Glasgow coma scale inferior to 8, agitation
- 128 not allowing the patient to stay still during the procedure, deglutition disorders
  - 129 5. Contra-indications to succinylcholine: hyperkalaemia, allergy
- 130 6. Body mass index superior to 35kg/m<sup>2</sup>
- 131 7. Contra-indication to general anaesthesia

Page 7 of 20

1

BMJ Open

2 3	132	8. Contra-indication to one of the anaesthetic drugs
4	133	9. Uncontrolled hypotension,
6 7	134	10. Life-threatening comorbidity
, 8 9	135	11. Adults legally protected (under judicial protection, guardianship, or supervision),
10 11	136	persons deprived of their liberty
12	137	
13 14 15	138	Allocation and blinding
15 16 17	139	Patients will be randomised in two groups (general anaesthesia group and conscious
17 18	140	sedation group). Randomisation will be done by investigators as close as possible to the
19 20	141	endovascular therapy. Each patient will be given a unique randomisation number (patient
21	142	code) Randomization will be stratified on the centre, the National Institute of Health Stroke
23 24	143	Score (NIHSS $\leq$ or > 14) and the administration or not of IV thrombolysis. The primary
25 26	144	evaluation criterion will be assessed blinded to the randomisation group. During the study
27 28	145	period outcome assessors will be kept blind to the randomisation group. Besearch nurses
29 30	146	evaluating the outcomes 3 months after the treatment will not participate to the anaesthesia
31 32	140	and will not be aware of the randomisation group. They will be blind to the treatment. The
33 34	147	ana will not be aware of the randomisation group. They will be blind to the treatment. The
35 36	140	anaestresiologist, the nurse anaestresiologist, the neuronationogist and the neuronogist will
37 38	149	Not be blinded. They will not participate in the assessment of the patients at any time.
39 40	150	At each participating centre, data will be collected and entered into the electronic web-based
41 42	151	case report form (eCRF) by trial or clinical trained personal (clinical research associate),
43	152	blinded to the allocation group, under the supervision of the trial site investigators.
45	153	
40 47	154	Interventions
48 49	155	All included patients will be allocated to one of the following two study groups:
50 51	156	• General anaesthesia group: patients will receive a standardised anaesthesia
52 53	157	protocol with remifentanil
54 55	158	• Conscious sedation group: patients will receive a standard conscious sedation with
56 57	159	remifentanil
58 59		7
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

160	
161	Standardized general anaesthesia will include: Induction: Etomidate (0.25 - 0.4 mg/kg) and
162	succinylcholine (1 mg/kg) and maintenance: TCI propofol (maximum target: 4 microg/ml),
163	TCI remifentanil (0.5-4 ng/ml) and curares as needed.
164	Standardized conscious sedation will include: TCI remifentanil (maximum target 2 ng/ml),
165	local anaesthesia with lidocaine 10 mg/ml (maximum 10 ml). Oxygen will be administered
166	only if SPO <sub>2</sub> $\leq$ 96%. Respiratory rate and capnography will be monitored.
167	Conscious sedation can be converted into a general anaesthesia in the following situations:
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177	<ul> <li>Agitation or restlessness not allowing the endovascular therapy</li> <li>Vomiting not allowing the endovascular therapy</li> <li>Glasgow coma scale &lt; 8 and /or deglutition disorders</li> <li>Severe hypoxemia with SPO<sub>2</sub> &lt; 96 % with oxygen delivered with a high concentration mask (10 l/min maximum)</li> <li>Respiratory depression with respiratory rate &gt; 35 /min and/or clinical signs of respiratory exhaustion</li> </ul> In both groups:
178	necessary, IV norepinephrine will be administered in order to maintain blood pressure (BP)
179	within the recommended range: systolic blood pressure (SBP) between 140 and 185 mmHg;
180	diastolic blood pressure (DBP) < 110 mmHg. A drop of more than 25% of the mean blood
181	pressure (MBP) will also be avoided. Norepinephrine will be administered in a dedicated
182	intravenous line and diluted at 250 microg/ml.
183	Decisions about all other aspects of patient care will be performed according to the expertise
184	of the staff at each centre and to routine clinical practice to minimize interference with the
185	trial intervention. Three months after the thrombectomy, patients will consult with a
186	neurologist.
187	
188	Outcome measures

Page 9 of 20

BMJ Open

1		
2 3	189	Primary outcome measure
4 5	190	The primary outcome measure will be the neurological outcome assessed with the modified
6 7	191	Rankin score 3 months (15) after the endovascular therapy. Success will be considered as a
8 9	192	modified Rankin score $\leq$ 2. The modified Rankin score (mRS) will be assessed by trained
10 11	193	research nurse blinded to the randomisation group.
12 13	194	An additional exploratory analysis of the primary endpoint will be performed to assess
14 15	195	treatments effects according to baseline NIHSS (≤ or > 14) and the administration or not of IV
16 17	196	thrombolysis.
18 19	197	
20 21	198	Secondary outcomes measures
22 23	199	Time between the beginning of the clinical symptoms and the last angiography
24 25	200	• Time between the arrival of the patient and the beginning of the endovascular therapy
26 27	201	(time of punction)
28 29	202	• Quality of the recanalization after the endovascular treatment evaluated by the
30 31	203	neuroradiologist (not blinded). A good quality recanalization corresponds to a 2b or 3
32 33	204	modified treatment in cerebral ischemia scale (TICI) (16)
34 35	205	• NIHSS score at Day 1 (day after the endovascular treatment) and Day 7 (or the day
36 37	206	the patient leaves the hospital if scheduled before D7) (17)
38 39	207	• Complications during the procedure (dissection, rupture of the artery, thrombus in
40 41	208	another territory)
42 43	209	Mortality rate 3 months after the endovascular treatment
44 45	210	• Number of hypo- or hypertension events during the procedure and the first 24 hours
46 47	211	after the procedure (hypotension defined as SBP < 140 mmHg or a drop of the MBP
48 49	212	of 40% or more, hypertension defined as SBP> 185 mmHg or DBP > 110 mmHg)
50 51	213	Number of patients who received norepinephrine
52 53	214	<ul> <li>Number of conversion of conscious sedation to general anaesthesia</li> </ul>
54 55	215	
56 57	•	
58 59		
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml 9

## 216 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis will be performed on all randomized and evaluated patients (intention to treat analysis). It will be performed with SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) in the Methodology/Biometrics department of the Inserm 1414 Clinical Investigation Centre of Rennes. A first overall descriptive analysis and analysis by group will be performed. This consists of separate estimates, numbers and percentages for qualitative variables, means, standard error, medians and interguartile intervals for guantitative variables. The normal feature of the distribution of quantitative variables will be checked. Student's t test or a Mann-Whitney test if necessary will be used to compare quantitative variables, and a Chi<sup>2</sup> or Fisher's exact test if necessary will be used to compare gualitative variables between two groups at inclusion. The primary endpoint will be compared between the two groups with the Chi<sup>2</sup> test. Two interim analyses after inclusion of 1/3 and 2/3 of the patients, and one final analysis are planned. Stopping rules will use the alpha spending function with the O'Brien-Fleming boundary. The cumulative values of alpha for each analysis are: 0.00021 at the first analysis, 0.01202 at the second analysis and 0.04626 at the final analysis (nTerim, V1.1, Statistical solutions Ltd, Cork, Ireland). The trial will be stopped early if the significance of the Chi<sup>2</sup> test is below these alpha values. For the analysis of the other endpoints, the same strategy as for baseline comparisons will be used. Continuous endpoints repeatedly measured during the study will be compared using a repeated measure two-way (time, group) analysis of variance. For all these analyses, adjustments can be made in case of heterogeneity at inclusion. Except for the interim analyses, a p value <0.05 will be considered as significant for all analyses.

## 239 Missing values

- Missing data will not be replaced. Mixed models can be used in analysis of repeated data toavoid deleting subjects with any missing values.

#### 243 Sample size estimation

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

245

246

247

1

#### **BMJ** Open

A previous study reported 30% of the patients with a mRS score  $\leq$  2 after endovascular

therapy under general anaesthesia (18). We aim to show an increase of patients with a good

prognostic (defined as mRS  $\leq$  2) up to 45% after endovascular treatment under conscious

sedation. Therefore, 166 patients per group will be needed to have 80% power, at a two-

2
3
4
5
6
7
, 0
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
10
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
20
50 21
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
10
- <del>1</del> 0 ∕/1
41 40
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
52 52
55 54
54
55
56
57
58
59

sided alpha level of 0.05. A total of 350 patients will be included to take into account nonevaluable patients and drop outs.
Data Registration

Data will be entered into the web- based electronic case report form (eCRF) by trial or clinical
personnel under the supervision of the trial site investigators at each participating centre.
From the eCRF the trial database will be established. Data collection will be monitored by
trained research coordinators.

256

257 The following data will be registered:

258 <u>Baseline characteristics at randomisation:</u>

Demographic data (age, height, weight, gender and body mass index); American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) physical status; significant comorbidities (cardio-vascular, respiratory, neurologic , psychiatric and /or abdominal disease, cancer, preoperative chemotherapy or radiotherapy), NIHSS score, time of arrival at the hospital, time of the beginning of the symptoms, time of the cerebral angiography or MRI, localisation of the stroke, IV fibrinolysis if applicable, creatinine clearance, haemostasis (PT and ACT if available).

266 Intraoperative data:

Time of arterial puncture, time of recanalization, TICI score (16), doses of norepinephrine,
intraoperative complications (hypotension defined as SBP < 140 mmHg or a drop of the MBP</li>
of 40% or more, hypertension defined as SBP > 185 mmHg or DBP > 110 mmHg) necessity
to convert the conscious sedation onto a general anaesthesia.

271

60

272	Postoperative data:
273	The following data will be collected:
274	• NIHSS day 1 and Day 7 or the day the patient leaves the hospital if before Day 7
275	Necessity of noradrenaline during the first 2 hours after the endovascular treatment
276	Hypo-or hypertension events as defined above during first 24 hours
277	• Death until the final call for mRS (3 months after the procedure)
278	• mRS 3 months after the procedure during a telephone interview (19).
279	
280	Patient withdrawal
281	A participant who no longer agrees to participate in the clinical trial can withdraw the
282	informed consent at any time without need of further explanation. Participants who will
283	withdraw from the study will be followed up, according to routine clinical practice in each
284	participating centre. In order to conduct intention-to-treat analyses with as little missing data
285	as possible, the investigator may ask the participant which aspects of the trial he/she wishes
286	to withdraw from (participation in the remaining follow-up assessments, use of already
287	collected data). Whenever possible, the participant will be asked for permission to obtain
288	data for the primary outcome measure. All randomised patients will be reported, and all data
289	available with consent will be used in the analyses. If appropriate, missing data will be
290	handled in accordance with multiple imputation procedures if missing data are greater than
291	5%.
292	
293	Safety
294	Every serious adverse event related to the studied treatment or not, expected or unexpected,
295	will be reported within 24 hours by the investigator to the sponsor on a "Serious adverse
296	event" form on which will be indicated the date of occurrence, criterion of severity, intensity,
297	relationship with the treatment (or the study) evaluated, and the outcome. The period in
298	which serious adverse events should be reported begins from the day of the written informed

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### BMJ Open

consent to the end of the follow-up (day of the evaluation of mRS 3 to 4 months after the procedure). Whenever a serious adverse event persists at the end of the study, the investigator will follow the patient until the event is considered resolved. The following events: hypo- or hypertension will be recorded as study endpoints criterion in the case report form. In order to avoid collection duplication, they will not be reported on the "adverse event" page of the case report form. As planned in the study, they will be analysed at the time of interim analyses (two interim analyses after inclusion of 1/3 and 2/3 of the patients) which will permit to show potential difference between the two groups during the study.

In addition, serious adverse events will be submitted to the data monitoring and safety committee (DMSC). The DMSC is independent of the trial investigators and will perform an ongoing review of safety parameters and overall study conduct. The DMSC is comprised of a neurologist, an anaesthesiologist, a neuroradiologist, a pharmacologist and a methodologist. The DMSC will be responsible for safeguarding the interests of trial participants, assessing the safety and efficacy of the interventions during the trial, and for monitoring the overall conduct of the clinical trial. To contribute to enhancing the integrity of the trial, the DMSC may also formulate recommendations relating to the recruitment/retention of participants, their management, improving adherence to protocol-specified regimens and retention of participants, and the procedures for data management and quality control. Recommendations for pausing or stopping the study will be made by the DMSC in case of serious adverse reactions and suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction.

All adverse events for which the investigator or the sponsor considers that a causal relationship with the investigational medicinal products can be reasonably considered, will be considered as suspected adverse reactions. If they are unexpected, they are qualified as being Suspected Unexpected SAR (SUSAR) and will be notified in a report by the sponsor to Eudravigilance (European pharmacovigilance database) and to local regulatory agency within the regulatory time periods for reporting: Immediate declaration if seriousness criteria is death or life-threatening condition, declaration within 15 days for other seriousness criteria.

2 3	327	Data handling and retention
4 5	328	Data will be handled according to French law. All original records (including consent forms,
6 7	329	reports of suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions and relevant correspondences)
8 9	330	will be archived at trial sites for 15 years. The clean trial database file will be anonymised and
10 11	331	maintained for 15 years.
12 13	332	
14 15	333	Patient and public involvement
16 17 18	334	Patient and public were not involved in any of the phases of this study
19 20	335	
21	336	ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
23	337	
24 25 26	338	Ethical and legislative approvals
20 27	339	GASS trial was approved by the French National Safety and Drug Agency (Agence Nationale
28 29	340	de Sécurité du Médicament (September 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2016). By June 13 <sup>th</sup> , 2016, the study has been
30 31	341	approved for all centres by a central ethics committee (Comité de Protection des Personnes
32 33	342	de Poitiers). The GASS trial is registered in the European Clinical Trials Database (EudraCT
34 35	343	2016-000795-25) and at ClinicalTrials.gov with the trial identification number NCT02822144.
36 37	344	Trial methods and results will be reported according to the Consolidated Standards of
38 39	345	Reporting Trials (CONSORT) 2010 guidelines (20).
40 41	346	
42 43	347	Publication plan
44 45	348	Scientific presentations and reports corresponding to the study will be written under the
46 47	349	responsibility of the coordinating investigator of the study with the agreement of the principal
48 49	350	investigators and the methodologist. The co-authors of the report and of publications will be
50 51	351	the investigators and clinicians involved, on a pro rata basis of their contribution in the study,
52 53	352	as well as the biostatistician and associated researchers. All trial sites will be acknowledged,
54 55 56 57	353	and all investigators at these sites will appear with their names under 'the GASS

1 2 3 4	354	investigators' in an Appendix to the final manuscript. Rules on publication will follow
5 6	355	international recommendations (21).
7 8	356	
9 10	357	Conclusion
11	358	The GASS trial is the first randomised, parallel, single-blind, multicentre study evaluating the
12	359	effect the type of anaesthesia on the functional outcome 3 months after endovascular
14	360	therapy for stroke. The results of GASS will give strong data to help choosing the best type of
16 17	361	sedation during endovascular therapy for stroke.
18 19	362	
20 21	363	Contributors: Axelle Maurice (AM) contributed to the conception and design of the research
22 23	364	protocol and wrote the research protocol. Helene Beloeil (HB) provided critical input
24 25	365	pertaining to the design of the trial interventions and procedures. AM wrote the first draft of
26 27	366	the protocol and HB this manuscript. Bruno Laviolle (BL) designed the study and its statistical
28 29	367	analysis plan. All authors (AM, JCF, TR, JMD, AS, BL, HB) critically revised and modified the
30 31	368	protocol and the article. They all approved the final version to be published.
32 33	369	
34 35	370	Funding: GASS trial is supported by funding from French Ministry of Health (Programme
36 37	371	Hospitalier de Recherché Clinique Inter regional (PHRCI 2015).
38 39	372	The funding sources had no role in the trial design, trial conduct, data handling, data analysis
40 41	373	or writing and publication of the manuscript.
42 43	374	
44 45	375	Sponsor: CHU de Rennes, Direction de la recherché Clinique, 2 avenue Henri Le Guilloux,
46 47	376	35033 Rennes Cedex, France. The sponsor had no role in the trial design, trial conduct, data
48 49	377	handling, data analysis or writing and publication of the manuscript.
50 51	378	
52 53	379	
55 54 55	380	Competing interests: None
56 57 58	381	
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml 15

## 382 References

- Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, van den Berg LA, Lingsma HF, et al. MR
   CLEAN Investigators. A randomized trial of intra-arterial treatment for acute
   ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:11-20.
- Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, Eesa M, Rempel JL, et al. ESCAPE Trial
   Investigators. Randomized Assessment of Rapid Endovascular Treatment of
   Ischemic Stroke. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:1019-30.
- 389
   3- Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ, Dewey HM, Churilov L, and al. EXTEND-IA
   390
   391
   and al. EXTEND-IA
   Investigators. Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging
   selection. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:1009-18.
- 18<br/>193924-Nogueira RG, Smith WS, Sung G, et al. Effect of time to reperfusion on clinical<br/>outcome of anterior circulation strokes treated with thrombectomy: pooled<br/>analysis of the MERCI and Multi MERCI trials. Stroke. 2011; 42: 3144–9.
  - 5- Davis MJ, Menon BK, Baghirzada LB, Campos-Herrera CR, Goyal M, Hill MD,
    Archer DP; Calgary Stroke Program. Aesthetic management and outcome in
    patients during endovascular therapy for acute stroke. Anesthesiology.
    2012;116:396-405.
  - 6- Löwhagen Hendén P, Rentzos A, Karlsson JE, Rosengren L, Sundeman H,
    Reinsfelt B, Ricksten SE. Hypotension During Endovascular Treatment of
    Ischemic Stroke Is a Risk Factor for Poor Neurological Outcome. Stroke. 2015;
    402 46:2678-2680.
- 354037-Whalin MK, Halenda KM, Haussen DC, Rebello LC, Frankel MR, Gershon RY,36404Nogueira RG. Even Small Decreases in Blood Pressure during Conscious37405Sedation Affect Clinical Outcome after Stroke Thrombectomy: An Analysis of39406Hemodynamic Thresholds. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2017;38:294-298.
- 8- Berkhemer OA, van den Berg LA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, Yoo AJ, Lingsma HF, Schonewille WJ, van den Berg R, Wermer MJ, Boiten J, Lycklama À Nijeholt GJ, Nederkoorn PJ, Hollmann MW, van Zwam WH, van der Lugt A, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Majoie CB, Dippel DW, Roos YB; MR CLEAN investigators. The effect of anaesthetic management during intra-arterial therapy for acute stroke in MR CLEAN. Neurology. 2016 ;87:656-64.
  - 413
    9- Abou-Chebl A, Yeatts SD, Yan B, Cockroft K, Goyal M, Jovin T, Khatri P, Meyers
    414
    P, Spilker J, Sugg R, Wartenberg KE, Tomsick T, Broderick J, Hill MD. Impact of
    415
    General Anesthesia on Safety and Outcomes in the Endovascular Arm of
    416
    interventional Management of Stroke (IMS) III Trial. Stroke. 2015;46:2142-8.

#### BMJ Open

- 2<br/>341710-Bekelis K, Missios S, MacKenzie TA, Tjoumakaris S, Jabbour P. Anesthesia4<br/>5418Technique and Outcomes of Mechanical Thrombectomy in Patients with Acute6419Ischemic Stroke. Stroke 2017 ;48:361-366.
- 11-Schönenberger S, Uhlmann L, Hacke W, Schieber S, Mundiyanapurath S, Purrucker JC, Nagel S, Klose C, Pfaff J, Bendszus M, Ringleb PA, Kieser M, Möhlenbruch MA, Bösel J. Effect of Conscious Sedation vs General Anesthesia on Early Neurological Improvement Among Patients with Ischemic Stroke Undergoing Endovascular Thrombectomy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2016;316 :1986-1996.
- 16<br/>1742612-Löwhagen Henden P, Rentzos A, Karlsson JE, Rosengren L, Leiram B, et al.18427General anaesthesia versus conscious sedation for endovascular treatment of19<br/>20428acute ischaemic stroke : the anstroke trial (anaesthesia during stroke). Stroke214292017 ; 48 : 1601-1607.
- 22<br/>2343013-Simonsen CZ, Yoo AJ, Sørensen LH, Juul N, Johnsen SP, Andersen G,24431Rasmussen M. Effect of General Anesthesia and Conscious Sedation During25<br/>26432Endovascular Therapy on Infarct Growth and Clinical Outcomes in Acute Ischemic27433Stroke: A Randomized Clinical Trial JAMA Neurol. 2018 ;75(470-477).
- 28<br/>2943414- Campbell BCV, van Zwam WH, Menon BK, Dippel DWJ, Demchuk AM, Bracard S30435et al. Effect of general anaesthesia on functional outcome in patients with anterior31<br/>32436circulation ischaemic stroke having endovascular thrombectomy versus standard33437of care: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet neurol 2018; 17:47-53.
- 34<br/>3543815-Banks JL, Marotta CA. Outcomes Validity and Reliability of the Modified Rankin36<br/>37<br/>38439Scale: Implications for Stroke Clinical Trials. A Literature Review and Synthesis.37<br/>38440Stroke. 2007; 38:1091-1096.
- 16-Zaidat OO, Yoo AJ, Khatri P, Tomsick TA, von Kummer R, Saver JL, et al. Cerebral Angiographic Revascularization Grading (CARG) Collaborators; STIR Revascularization working group; STIR Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI) Task Force. Recommendations on angiographic revascularization grading standards for acute ischemic stroke: a consensus statement. Stroke. 2013;44:2650-2663.
  - 447 17- Brott T, Adams HP, Olinger CP, Marler JR, Barsan WG, Biller J, SpilkerJ, Holleran
    448 R, Eberle R, Hertzberg V, Rorick M, Moomaw CJ, Walker M. Measurements of
    449 acute cerebral infarction: a clinical examination scale. Stroke. 1989; 20:864–870.
- 5345018-Abou-Chebl A, Lin R, Hussain MS, Jovin TG, Levy EI, Liebeskind DS, et al.54451Conscious sedation versus general anaesthesia during endovascular therapy for5555

acute anterior circulation stroke: preliminary results from a retrospective, multicentre study. Stroke. 2010; 41:1175-79.

- 19-Bruno A, Akinwuntan AE, Lin C, Close B, Davis K, Baute V et al. Simplified modified rankin scale questionnaire. Reproductibility over the telephone and validation with quality of life. Stroke 2011; 42:2276-9.
  - 20-Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, et al. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ 2010;340:c332.
- tm.
  reporting,
  l Committee o,
  ts submitted to biom.
  1997;336(4):309-15 21-International Committee of Medical Journal E. Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals. The New England journal of

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

CONSORT
$\checkmark$

## CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial\*

Section/Topic	ltem No	Checklist item	Reported on page N
Title and abstract			
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	Page 1
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	Page 2
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	Pages 4&5
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	Page 5
Methods			
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	Page 6
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	NA
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	Page 6
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	Page 6
Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	Pages 7&
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed	Page 9
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	NA
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	Page 11
·	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	Page 10
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	Page 7
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	Page 7
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers),	Page 7
concealment mechanism		describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	Page 7
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those	Page 7
CONSORT 2010 checklist			
CONSORT 2010 checklist		For neer review only - http://hmiopen.hmi.com/site/about/quidelines.yhtml	

4		
age 10		
age 10		
4		
aae 2		
<u>-9</u> 4		
age 15		
<u> </u>		
we also		
recommend reading CONSORT extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and pragmatic trials		
Additional extensions are forthcoming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist see www.consort-statement.org		
х 1		

CONSORT 2010 checklist

# **BMJ Open**

## GASS Trial study protocol: a multicentre, single-blind, randomised clinical trial comparing general anaesthesia and sedation during intra-arterial treatment for stroke.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-024249.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Nov-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Maurice, Axelle; CHU Rennes, Pôle Anesthésie et Réanimation, Inserm, NuMeCan, CIC 1414 and Université de Rennes 1 Ferré, Jean-Christophe; CHU Rennes, Department of Neuroradiology Ronzière, Thomas; CHU Rennes, Department of Neurology Devys, Jean-Michel; Service d'Anesthésie-Réanimation, Fondation Ophtalmologique Adolphe de Rothschild 25, rue Manin, F-75019 Paris, France Subileau, Aurelie; Service d'anesthésie réanimation, CHRU La Cavale Blanche, Boulevard T.Prigent, F-29200 Brest, france Laffon, Marc; Service d'anesthésie réanimation 1, CHU hôpital Bretonneau, 2 boulevard Tonnellé, F-37044 Tours, France Laviolle, Bruno; CHU Rennes, Clinical Pharmacology department and Inserm CIC 1414, Université de Rennes 1 beloeil, helene; CHU Rennes, Pôle Anesthésie et Réanimation, Inserm, NuMeCan, CIC 1414 and Université de Rennes 1,
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Anaesthesia
Secondary Subject Heading:	Neurology, Radiology and imaging
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, endovascular therapy, Anaesthesia in neurology < ANAESTHETICS, Adult anaesthesia < ANAESTHETICS, Neurology < INTERNAL MEDICINE, RADIOLOGY & IMAGING



BMJ Open

3 4	1	Title: GASS Trial study protocol: a multicentre, single-blind, randomised clinical trial comparing
5 6	2	general anaesthesia and sedation during intra-arterial treatment for stroke.
7 8	3	
9 10	4	Authors: Axelle Maurice <sup>1</sup> , Jean-Christophe Ferré <sup>2</sup> , Thomas Ronziere <sup>3</sup> , Jean-Michel Devys
11 12 13 14	5	<sup>4</sup> , Aurelie Subileau <sup>5</sup> , Marc Laffon <sup>6</sup> , Bruno Laviolle <sup>7</sup> , Helene Beloeil <sup>1</sup> , on behalf of the SFAR
	6	research network
15 16 17	7	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 24	8	Authors' affiliations
	9	<sup>1</sup> Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, CIC 1414 NuMeCan, Pôle Anesthésie et Réanimation,
	10	F-35033 Rennes, France
	11	<sup>2</sup> CHU Rennes, department of Neuroradiology, F-35033 Rennes, France
	12	<sup>3</sup> CHU Rennes, Service de neurologie, F-35033 Rennes, France
	13	<sup>4</sup> Service d'Anesthésie-Réanimation, Fondation Ophtalmologique Adolphe de Rothschild
	14	25, rue Manin, F-75019 Paris, France
	15	<sup>5</sup> Service d'anesthésie réanimation, CHRU La Cavale Blanche, Boulevard T.Prigent, F-29200
34 35 26	16	Brest, france
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	17	<sup>6</sup> Service d'anesthésie réanimation 1 , CHU hôpital Bretonneau, 2 boulevard Tonnellé, F-
	18	37044 Tours, France
	19	<sup>7</sup> Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, CIC 1414 (Centre d'Investigation Clinique de Rennes),
	20	F-35000 Rennes, France
45 46	21	
47 48	22	
49 50 51 52	23	Address for correspondence: Helene Beloeil, Pole Anesthésie-Réanimation Medecine
	24	Interne Geriatrie, CHU Rennes, 2 avenue Henri Le Guilloux, 35033 Rennes Cedex, France.
53 54	25	Email: <u>helene.beloeil@chu-rennes.fr</u>
55 56 57	26	
58 59	27	
60	28	

## 29 ABSTRACT

Introduction: Treatment of acute stroke has drastically changed in the last 10 years. Endovascular therapy is now the standard of care for patients with a stroke caused by a large vessel occlusion in the anterior circulation. The impact of the type of anaesthesia (general anaesthesia or conscious sedation) during endovascular therapy on the outcome of the patients is still a matter of debate. Previous studies are mostly retrospective and/or focused on the early post-procedure outcome and/or without blood pressure goals and/or single-centre small size studies. We therefore designed a multicentre study hypothesizing that conscious sedation is associated with a better functional outcome 3 months after endovascular therapy for the treatment of stroke compared with general anaesthesia.

40 Methods/analysis: The GASS trial is a randomised, parallel, single-blind, multicentre study of 41 350 patients undergoing endovascular therapy for the treatment of stroke. Patients will be 42 randomly allocated to receive either a general anaesthesia or a conscious sedation. The 43 primary outcome measure is the modified Rankin score assessed 3 months after the treatment. 44 Data will be analysed on the intention-to-treat principle.

46 Ethics/dissemination: The GASS trial has been approved by an independent ethics
47 committee for all study centres. Participant recruitment begins in September 2016. Results will
48 be published in international peer-reviewed medical journals.

**Trial registration number:** The trial is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (Identifier: NCT 51 02822144)

•

•

1

GASS trial is the first randomised, parallel, single-blind, multicentre study comparing the

effects of general anaesthesia and conscious sedation during endovascular therapy for

stroke and focused on the functional outcome of the patients 3 months after the treatment.

The multicentre design, broad inclusion criteria, large sample size (350 patients) and

Limitations: The study does not include a systemic CT-scan after the endovascular

treatment. The sizing of the stroke is also not part of the study as it is newly implemented

technology. It was not part of the routine care at the time of the design of the study.

.rok.

Strengths and limitations of this study

follow-up will support external validity.

3	52
5	53
7	54
o 9 10	55
10 11 12	56
12	50
14 15	51
16 17	58
18 19	59
20 21	60
22 23	61
24 25	
26 27	
28 29	
30 31	
32	
33 34	
35 36	
37	
38 39	
40 41	
42 43	
44	
45 46	
47 48	
49	
50 51	
52 53	
54	
55 56	
57 58	

#### INTRODUCTION

The treatment of acute stroke has been recently transformed with the publication of RCTs showing the benefit of endovascular therapy when compare with the medical treatment in terms of functional outcome (1-4). Endovascular therapy in addition to the medical treatment is now the standard of care for selected patients who had a stroke caused by a large vessel occlusion in the anterior circulation. All studies have highlighted that the rapidity of the treatment is an essential factor for a good outcome. The other important factor is the haemodynamic conditions during the procedure because instability can worsen the clinical outcome (5.6). A retrospective study concluded that a change of even 10% in mean arterial pressure almost quadrupled the risk for poor outcomes (7).

In this context, the best anaesthetic strategy during the endovascular treatment has not been yet defined. Indeed, the choice between general anaesthesia (GA) and conscious sedation (CS) remains unclear. While allowing immobility, cerebral protection and airway control, GA can delay the endovascular treatment. On the other hand, CS is more rapid, allows neurological assessment during the procedure but the thrombectomy can be more difficult for the neuroradiologist because of patients' movements. In terms of haemodynamic stability, retrospective studies reported results favouring CS (8-10). However, these studies did not focus on the anaesthetic protocol and the intra-procedure haemodynamic. Anaesthetic protocols were not standardized. The first randomized controlled trial (RCT) on the subject was published in 2016 (11). This monocentric study did not find any benefit of CS over GA in terms of outcome 24 hours and 3 months after the treatment. However, functional outcome at 3 months was only a secondary outcome and the anaesthesia protocol was not detailed. Moreover, the design allowed patients in the CS group to receive analgesics and/or sedatives if necessary, which could then transform a CS into a light GA. Löwhagen et al (12) did also not show any difference between the two anaesthetic technics using a well-described anaesthesia protocol. However, the study included only 90 patients and was monocentric. The most recent study (13) using an identical design with infarct growth as the primary endpoint reported no differences between CS and GA. Clinical outcome at 90 days, tested as a secondary endpoint,

#### **BMJ** Open

90 was better in the GA group. Finally, a meta-analysis analysing the pooled data of 7 trials (14) 91 reported that worse outcomes at 3 months were associated with GA. However, the choice to 92 treat a patient with or without GA was not randomized in the trials included in this meta-analysis 93 (14).

So far, no study has assessed the clinical outcome 3 months after the stroke treatment comparing GA and CS using standardized anaesthetic protocols and tight haemodynamic control. Indeed, in previous studies (11,12,13), the anaesthesia protocol was either not standardized or the doses not given, the blood pressure was controlled with vasoactives drugs as different as dopamine and norepinephrine in the same study and the clinical outcome 3 months after the stroke was not the primary objective of one study (13). The recently published post hoc analysis of the Siesta trial (15) reported no association between heamodynamic variations and NIHSS change after 24 hours."

Therefore, we designed a RCT comparing GA and CS during endovascular treatment for acute stroke. Both GA and CS protocols will be standardized and the control of arterial blood pressure too. We hypothesized that CS will be associated with a better clinical outcome measured with the modified Rankin score (mRS) 3 months after the procedure. The Gass study is the first multicentric RCT including a detailed anaesthesia protocol with a tight haemodynamic control, comparing GA and CS during EVT and evaluating the functional outcome at 3 months.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS Trial design** The GASS study is an investigator initiated, national, multicentre, randomised, simple-blind, parallel-group clinical trial with concealed allocation of patients scheduled to undergo endovascular therapy for stroke 1:1 to receive either a general anaesthesia protocol or a conscious sedation protocol. The trial will be conducted in four university and non-university centres. It started in September 2016 and will continue for a total of 36 months. Participant eligibility and consent Trial site investigators will identify consecutive eligible patients from the listed criteria. Eligible patients or a family member when appropriate will receive written and oral information and will be included after investigators have obtained informed written consent. Inclusion criteria 1. Adult (18 years or older) patients admitted to the participating centre 2. Occlusion of a large vessel in the anterior cerebral circulation 3. Undergoing endovascular therapy for stroke 4. Benefiting from the health insurance system 5. Signed informed consent from the patient or their legally next of kin Non-inclusion criteria 1. Pregnant or breast-feeding women 2. Patients already intubated and mechanical ventilated before inclusion in the study 3. Intracerebral haemorrhage associated with the ischemic stroke 4. Contra-indications to conscious sedation: Glasgow coma scale inferior to 8, agitation not allowing the patient to stay still during the procedure, deglutition disorders 5. Contra-indications to succinylcholine: hyperkalaemia, allergy 6. Body mass index superior to 35kg/m<sup>2</sup> 7. Allergy to one of the anaesthetic drugs

Page 7 of 25

2		
2 3 4	138	8. Uncontrolled hypotension,
5 6 7 8	139	9. Life-threatening comorbidity
	140	10. Adults legally protected (under judicial protection, guardianship, or supervision),
9 10	141	persons deprived of their liberty
11 12	142	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	143	Allocation and blinding
	144	Patients will be randomised in two groups (general anaesthesia group and conscious sedation
	145	group). Randomisation will be done by investigators as close as possible to the endovascular
19 20 21	146	therapy. Each patient will be given a unique randomisation number (patient code).
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	147	Randomization will be stratified on the centre, the National Institute of Health Stroke Score
	148	(NIHSS $\leq$ or > 14) and the administration or not of IV thrombolysis. The primary evaluation
	149	criterion will be assessed blinded to the randomisation group. During the study period, outcome
	150	assessors will be kept blind to the randomisation group. Research nurses evaluating the
	151	outcomes 3 months after the treatment will not participate to the anaesthesia and will not be
	152	aware of the randomisation group. They will be blind to the treatment. The anaesthesiologist,
34 35	153	the nurse anaesthesiologist, the neuroradiologist and the neurologist will not be blinded. They
36 37 38	154	will not participate in the assessment of the patients at any time.
30 39 40	155	At each participating centre, data will be collected and entered into the electronic web-based
40 41 42	156	case report form (eCRF) by trial or clinical trained personal (clinical research associate),
43 44	157	blinded to the allocation group, under the supervision of the trial site investigators.
45 46	158	
47 48	159	Interventions
49 50	160	All included patients will be allocated to one of the following two study groups:
51 52	161	• General anaesthesia group: patients will receive a standardised anaesthesia protocol
53 54	162	with remifentanil
55 56 57	163	• Conscious sedation group: patients will receive a standard conscious sedation with
57 58 59	164	remifentanil
60	165	

1 ว		
2 3 4 5 6	166	Standardized general anaesthesia will include: Induction: Etomidate (0.25 - 0.4 mg/kg) and
	167	succinylcholine (1 mg/kg) and maintenance: TCI propofol (maximum target: 4 microg/ml), TCI
7 8	168	remifentanil (0.5-4 ng/ml) and curares as needed.
9 10 11 12	169	Standardized conscious sedation will include: TCI remifentanil (maximum target 2 ng/ml), local
	170	anaesthesia with lidocaine 10 mg/ml (maximum 10 ml). Oxygen will be administered only if
13 14	171	SPO₂≤ 96%. Respiratory rate and capnography will be monitored.
15 16 17	172	Conscious sedation can be converted into a general anaesthesia in the following situations:
17	173	<ul> <li>Agitation or restlessness not allowing the endovascular therapy</li> </ul>
19 20	174	<ul> <li>Vomiting not allowing the endovascular therapy</li> </ul>
21	175	<ul> <li>Glasgow coma scale &lt; 8 and /or deglutition disorders</li> </ul>
22 23	176	• Severe hypoxemia with $SPO_2 < 96$ % with oxygen delivered with a high concentration
24 25	177	mask (10 l/min maximum)
26	178	• Respiratory depression with respiratory rate > 35 /min and/or clinical signs of
27 28	179	respiratory exhaustion
29 30	180	
31 32 33 34 35 36	181	In both groups:
	182	intraoperative dose changes will be left to the anaesthesiologist in charge of the patient if
	183	necessary, IV norepinephrine will be administered in order to maintain blood pressure (BP)
37 38 30	184	within the recommended range: systolic blood pressure (SBP) between 140 and 185 mmHg;
39 40 41	185	diastolic blood pressure (DBP) < 110 mmHg. A drop of more than 25% of the mean blood
42 43	186	pressure (MBP) will also be avoided. Norepinephrine will be administered in a dedicated
44 45	187	intravenous line and diluted at 250 microg/ml.
46 47 48 49	188	A systematic immediate post-EVT Cone-beal CT scan will be performed for all patients
	189	Decisions about all other aspects of patient care will be performed according to the expertise
50 51	190	of the staff at each centre and to routine clinical practice to minimize interference with the trial
52 53	191	intervention. Three months after the thrombectomy, patients will consult with a neurologist.
54 55 56	192	
57	193	Outcome measures
58 59 60	194	Primary outcome measure

Page 9 of 25

1

BMJ Open

2 3	105	The primary outcome measure will be the neurological outcome assessed with the modified
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	195	
	196	Rankin score 3 months (16) after the endovascular therapy. Success will be considered as a
	197	modified Rankin score ≤ 2. The modified Rankin score (mRS) will be assessed by trained
	198	research nurse blinded to the randomisation group.
11 12	199	An additional exploratory analysis of the primary endpoint will be performed to assess
13 14 15	200	treatments effects according to baseline NIHSS ( $\leq$ or > 14) and the administration or not of IV
15 16	201	thrombolysis.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	202	
	203	Secondary outcomes measures
	204	Time between the beginning of the clinical symptoms and the last angiography
	205	• Time between the arrival of the patient at the stroke center and the beginning of the
	206	endovascular therapy (time of punction)
	207	• Quality of the recanalization after the endovascular treatment evaluated by the
	208	neuroradiologist (not blinded). A good quality recanalization corresponds to a 2b or 3
	209	modified treatment in cerebral ischemia scale (mTICI) (17)
	210	• NIHSS score at Day 1 (day after the endovascular treatment) and Day 7 (or the day
	211	the patient leaves the hospital if scheduled before D7) (18)
	212	• Complications during the procedure (dissection, rupture of the artery, thrombus in
41 42	213	another territory)
43 44	214	Mortality rate 3 months after the endovascular treatment
45 46	215	• Number of hypo- or hypertension events during the procedure and the first 24 hours
47 48 40	216	after the procedure (hypotension defined as SBP < 140 mmHg or a drop of the MBP of
49 50 51 52 53 54 55	217	40% or more, hypertension defined as SBP> 185 mmHg or DBP > 110 mmHg)
	218	Number of patients who received norepinephrine
	219	Number of conversion of conscious sedation to general anaesthesia
56 57	220	
58 59 60	221	Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis will be performed on all randomized and evaluated patients (intention to

treat analysis). It will be performed with SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) in the

Methodology/Biometrics department of the Inserm 1414 Clinical Investigation Centre of

Rennes. A first overall descriptive analysis and analysis by group will be performed. This

consists of separate estimates, numbers and percentages for qualitative variables, means,

standard error, medians and interguartile intervals for quantitative variables. The normal

feature of the distribution of quantitative variables will be checked. Student's t test or a Mann-

Whitney test if necessary will be used to compare quantitative variables, and a Chi<sup>2</sup> or Fisher's

exact test if necessary will be used to compare qualitative variables between two groups at

inclusion. The primary endpoint will be compared between the two groups with the Chi<sup>2</sup> test.

Two interim analyses after inclusion of 1/3 and 2/3 of the patients, and one final analysis are

planned. Stopping rules will use the alpha spending function with the O'Brien-Fleming

boundary. The cumulative values of alpha for each analysis are: 0.00021 at the first analysis,

0.01202 at the second analysis and 0.04626 at the final analysis (nTerim, V1.1, Statistical

solutions Ltd, Cork, Ireland). The trial will be stopped early if the significance of the Chi<sup>2</sup> test is

below these alpha values. For the analysis of the other endpoints, the same strategy as for

baseline comparisons will be used. Continuous endpoints repeatedly measured during the

study will be compared using a repeated measure two-way (time, group) analysis of variance.

For all these analyses, adjustments can be made in case of heterogeneity at inclusion. Except

for the interim analyses, a p value <0.05 will be considered as significant for all analyses.

## 244 Missing data will not be replaced. Mixed models can be used in analysis of repeated data to

avoid deleting subjects with any missing values.

#### 247 Sample size estimation

**Missing values** 

A previous study reported 30% of the patients with a mRS score ≤ 2 after endovascular therapy
 under general anaesthesia (19). We aim to show an increase of patients with a good prognostic

**BMJ** Open

250 (defined as mRS  $\leq$  2) up to 45% after endovascular treatment under conscious sedation. 251 Therefore, 166 patients per group will be needed to have 80% power, at a two-sided alpha 252 level of 0.05. A total of 350 patients will be included to take into account non-evaluable patients 253 and drop outs.

## 255 Data Registration

Data will be entered into the web- based electronic case report form (eCRF) by trial or clinical
personnel under the supervision of the trial site investigators at each participating centre. From
the eCRF the trial database will be established. Data collection will be monitored by trained
research coordinators.

4 260

261 The following data will be registered:

<sup>8</sup> 262 <u>Baseline characteristics at randomisation:</u>

Demographic data (age, height, weight, gender and body mass index); American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) physical status; significant comorbidities (cardio-vascular, respiratory, neurologic, psychiatric and /or abdominal disease, cancer, preoperative chemotherapy or radiotherapy), NIHSS score, time of arrival at the hospital, time of the beginning of the symptoms, time of the cerebral angiography or MRI (meaning time of first image for diagnosis), time between the first contact of the patient with the anaesthesiologist and the induction of anaesthesia (GA or CS),localisation of the stroke, IV fibrinolysis if applicable, creatinine clearance, haemostasis (PT and ACT if available).

271 Intraoperative data:

Time of arterial puncture, time of recanalization, mTICI score (16), doses of norepinephrine, intraoperative complications (hypotension defined as SBP < 140 mmHg or a drop of the MBP of 40% or more, hypertension defined as SBP> 185 mmHg or DBP > 110 mmHg) necessity to convert the conscious sedation onto a general anaesthesia, duration of anaesthesia and procedure, procedure related complications (distal embolization in a different territory, intramural arterial dissection, arterial perforation, access-site complications leading to surgery).

2 3	278	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	279	Postoperative data:
	280	The following data will be collected:
	281	• NIHSS day 1 and Day 7 or the day the patient leaves the hospital if before Day 7
	282	Necessity of noradrenaline during the first 2 hours after the endovascular treatment
	283	Hypo-or hypertension events as defined above during first 24 hours
15 16 17	284	Bradycardie with atropine treatment during first 24 hours
18 19	285	Hospitalization in intensive care unit
20 21	286	Number of hours of invasive ventilation
22 23	287	Pneumonia
24 25	288	<ul> <li>Death until the final call for mRS (3 months after the procedure)</li> </ul>
26 27 28	289	• mRS 3 months after the procedure during a telephone interview (20).
29 30	290	
31 32	291	Patient withdrawal
33 34	292	A participant who no longer agrees to participate in the clinical trial can withdraw the informed
35 36	293	consent at any time without need of further explanation. Participants who will withdraw from
37 38	294	the study will be followed up, according to routine clinical practice in each participating centre.
39 40	295	In order to conduct intention-to-treat analyses with as little missing data as possible, the
41 42 42	296	investigator may ask the participant which aspects of the trial he/she wishes to withdraw from
43 44 45	297	(participation in the remaining follow-up assessments, use of already collected data).
45 46 47	298	Whenever possible, the participant will be asked for permission to obtain data for the primary
48 49	299	outcome measure. All randomised patients will be reported, and all data available with consent
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	300	will be used in the analyses. If appropriate, missing data will be handled in accordance with
	301	multiple imputation procedures if missing data are greater than 5%.
	302	
	303	Safety
	304	Every serious adverse event related to the studied treatment or not, expected or unexpected,

Page 13 of 25

#### **BMJ** Open

will be reported within 24 hours by the investigator to the sponsor on a "Serious adverse event" form on which will be indicated the date of occurrence, criterion of severity, intensity, relationship with the treatment (or the study) evaluated, and the outcome. The period in which serious adverse events should be reported begins from the day of the written informed consent to the end of the follow-up (day of the evaluation of mRS 3 to 4 months after the procedure). Whenever a serious adverse event persists at the end of the study, the investigator will follow the patient until the event is considered resolved. The following events: hypo- or hypertension will be recorded as study endpoints criterion in the case report form. In order to avoid collection duplication, they will not be reported on the "adverse event" page of the case report form. As planned in the study, they will be analysed at the time of interim analyses (two interim analyses after inclusion of 1/3 and 2/3 of the patients) which will permit to show potential difference between the two groups during the study.

In addition, serious adverse events will be submitted to the data monitoring and safety committee (DMSC). The DMSC is independent of the trial investigators and will perform an ongoing review of safety parameters and overall study conduct. The DMSC is comprised of a neurologist, an anaesthesiologist, a neuroradiologist, a pharmacologist and a methodologist. The DMSC will be responsible for safeguarding the interests of trial participants, assessing the safety and efficacy of the interventions during the trial, and for monitoring the overall conduct of the clinical trial. To contribute to enhancing the integrity of the trial, the DMSC may also formulate recommendations relating to the recruitment/retention of participants, their management, improving adherence to protocol-specified regimens and retention of participants, and the procedures for data management and quality control. Recommendations for pausing or stopping the study will be made by the DMSC in case of serious adverse reactions and suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction. 

All adverse events for which the investigator or the sponsor considers that a causal relationship with the investigational medicinal products can be reasonably considered, will be considered as suspected adverse reactions. If they are unexpected, they are qualified as being Suspected Unexpected SAR (SUSAR) and will be notified in a report by the sponsor to Eudravigilance
Page 14 of 25

BMJ Open

3 4	333	(European pharmacovigilance database) and to local regulatory agency within the regulatory
5 6 7 8	334	time periods for reporting: Immediate declaration if seriousness criteria is death or life-
	335	threatening condition, declaration within 15 days for other seriousness criteria.
9 10	336	
11 12 13 14	337	Data handling and retention
	338	Data will be handled according to French law. All original records (including consent forms,
15 16	339	reports of suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions and relevant correspondences)
17 18 10	340	will be archived at trial sites for 15 years. The clean trial database file will be anonymised and
20 21	341	maintained for 15 years.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	342	
	343	Patient and public involvement
	344	Patient and public were not involved in any of the phases of this study
	345	
	346	
	347	
	348	Ethical and legislative approvals
	349	GASS trial was approved by the French National Safety and Drug Agency (Agence Nationale
	350	de Sécurité du Médicament (September 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2016). By June 13 <sup>th</sup> , 2016, the study has been
41 42	351	approved for all centres by a central ethics committee (Comité de Protection des Personnes
43 44	352	de Poitiers). The GASS trial is registered in the European Clinical Trials Database (EudraCT
45 46	353	2016-000795-25) and at ClinicalTrials.gov with the trial identification number NCT02822144.
47 48	354	Trial methods and results will be reported according to the Consolidated Standards of
49 50	355	Reporting Trials (CONSORT) 2010 guidelines (21).
51 52	356	
53 54	357	Publication plan
55 56	358	Scientific presentations and reports corresponding to the study will be written under the
57 58 50	359	responsibility of the coordinating investigator of the study with the agreement of the principal
22		

Page 15 of 25

1 2 BMJ Open

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 4 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 4 35 36 37 38 9	361	the investigators and clinicians involved, on a pro rata basis of their contribution in the study,
	362	as well as the biostatistician and associated researchers. All trial sites will be acknowledged,
	363	and all investigators at these sites will appear with their names under 'the GASS investigators'
	364	in an Appendix to the final manuscript. Rules on publication will follow international
	365	recommendations (22).
	366	
	367	
	368	Contributors: Axelle Maurice (AM) contributed to the conception and design of the research
	369	protocol and wrote the research protocol. Helene Beloeil (HB) provided critical input pertaining
	370	to the design of the trial interventions and procedures. AM wrote the first draft of the protocol
	371	and HB this manuscript. Bruno Laviolle (BL) designed the study and its statistical analysis plan.
	372	All authors (AM, JCF, TR, JMD, AS, BL, HB) critically revised and modified the protocol and
	373	the article. They all approved the final version to be published.
	374	
	375	Funding: GASS trial is supported by funding from French Ministry of Health (Programme
	376	Hospitalier de Recherché Clinique Inter regional (PHRCI 2015).
	377	The funding sources had no role in the trial design, trial conduct, data handling, data analysis
	378	or writing and publication of the manuscript.
40 41 42	379	
43 44	380	Sponsor: CHU de Rennes, Direction de la recherché Clinique, 2 avenue Henri Le Guilloux,
45 46	381	35033 Rennes Cedex, France. The sponsor had no role in the trial design, trial conduct, data
47 48	382	handling, data analysis or writing and publication of the manuscript.
49 50	383	
51 52	384	
53 54	385	Competing interests: None
55 56	386	
57 58	387	References
60		

1 2		
3	388	1- Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, van den Berg LA, Lingsma HF, et al. MR
4 5	389	CLEAN Investigators. A randomized trial of intra-arterial treatment for acute
6 7	390	ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:11-20.
8	391	2- Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, Eesa M, Rempel JL, et al. ESCAPE Trial
9 10	392	Investigators. Randomized Assessment of Rapid Endovascular Treatment of
11 12	393	Ischemic Stroke. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:1019-30.
12	394	3- Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ, Dewey HM, Churilov L, and al. EXTEND-IA
14 15	395	Investigators. Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging
16	396	selection. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:1009-18.
17 18	397	4- Nogueira RG, Smith WS, Sung G, et al. Effect of time to reperfusion on clinical
19 20	398	outcome of anterior circulation strokes treated with thrombectomy: pooled analysis
20	399	of the MERCI and Multi MERCI trials. Stroke. 2011; 42: 3144–9.
22 23	400	5- Davis MJ, Menon BK, Baghirzada LB, Campos-Herrera CR, Goyal M, Hill MD,
24	401	Archer DP; Calgary Stroke Program. Aesthetic management and outcome in
25 26	402	patients during endovascular therapy for acute stroke. Anesthesiology.
27 28	403	2012;116:396-405.
29	404	6- Löwhagen Hendén P, Rentzos A, Karlsson JE, Rosengren L, Sundeman H,
30 31	405	Reinsfelt B, Ricksten SE. Hypotension During Endovascular Treatment of Ischemic
32	406	Stroke Is a Risk Factor for Poor Neurological Outcome. Stroke. 2015; 46:2678-2680.
33 34	407	7- Whalin MK, Halenda KM, Haussen DC, Rebello LC, Frankel MR, Gershon RY,
35 36	408	Nogueira RG. Even Small Decreases in Blood Pressure during Conscious Sedation
37	409	Affect Clinical Outcome after Stroke Thrombectomy: An Analysis of Hemodynamic
38 39	410	Thresholds. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2017;38:294-298.
40 41	411	8- Berkhemer OA, van den Berg LA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, Yoo AJ, Lingsma HF,
41	412	Schonewille WJ, van den Berg R, Wermer MJ, Boiten J, Lycklama À Nijeholt GJ,
43 44	413	Nederkoorn PJ, Hollmann MW, van Zwam WH, van der Lugt A, van Oostenbrugge
45	414	RJ, Majoie CB, Dippel DW, Roos YB; MR CLEAN investigators. The effect of
46 47	415	anaesthetic management during intra-arterial therapy for acute stroke in MR
48 ⊿0	416	CLEAN. Neurology. 2016 ;87:656-64.
49 50	417	9- Abou-Chebl A, Yeatts SD, Yan B, Cockroft K, Goyal M, Jovin T, Khatri P, Meyers
51 52	418	P, Spilker J, Sugg R, Wartenberg KE, Tomsick T, Broderick J, Hill MD. Impact of
53	419	General Anesthesia on Safety and Outcomes in the Endovascular Arm of
54 55	420	interventional Management of Stroke (IMS) III Trial. Stroke. 2015;46:2142-8.
56 57	421	10-Bekelis K, Missios S, MacKenzie TA, Tjoumakaris S, Jabbour P. Anesthesia
58	422	Technique and Outcomes of Mechanical Thrombectomy in Patients with Acute
59 60	423	Ischemic Stroke. Stroke 2017 ;48:361-366.

Page 17 of 25

1 2		
3	424	11-Schönenberger S, Uhlmann L, Hacke W, Schieber S, Mundiyanapurath S,
4 5	425	Purrucker JC, Nagel S, Klose C, Pfaff J, Bendszus M, Ringleb PA, Kieser M,
6	426	Möhlenbruch MA, Bösel J. Effect of Conscious Sedation vs General Anesthesia on
7 8	427	Early Neurological Improvement Among Patients with Ischemic Stroke Undergoing
9 10	428	Endovascular Thrombectomy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA.
11	429	2016;316 :1986-1996.
12 13	430	12-Löwhagen Henden P, Rentzos A, Karlsson JE, Rosengren L, Leiram B, et al.
14	431	General anaesthesia versus conscious sedation for endovascular treatment of
15 16	432	acute ischaemic stroke : the anstroke trial (anaesthesia during stroke). Stroke 2017
17	433	48 : 1601-1607.
19	434	13-Simonsen CZ, Yoo AJ, Sørensen LH, Juul N, Johnsen SP, Andersen G,
20 21	435	Rasmussen M. Effect of General Anesthesia and Conscious Sedation During
22	436	Endovascular Therapy on Infarct Growth and Clinical Outcomes in Acute Ischemic
23 24	437	Stroke: A Randomized Clinical Trial JAMA Neurol. 2018 ;75(470-477).
25	438	14- Campbell BCV, van Zwam WH, Menon BK, Dippel DWJ, Demchuk AM, Bracard S
26 27	439	et al. Effect of general anaesthesia on functional outcome in patients with anterior
28 20	440	circulation ischaemic stroke having endovascular thrombectomy versus standard
30	441	of care: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet neurol 2018: 17:47-53.
31 32 33	442	15-Schöpenberger S. Uhlmann I. Ungerer M. Pfaff J. Nagel S. Kose C. et al.
	443	Association of blood pressure with short-and long-term functional outcome after
34 35	444	stroke thrombectomy: post hoc analysis of the siest trial. Stroke 2018: 49: 1451-
36	445	1456
37 38	446	16-Banks II Marotta CA Outcomes Validity and Reliability of the Modified Bankin
39 40	440	Scale: Implications for Stroke Clinical Trials A Literature Review and Synthesis
41	448	Stroke 2007: 38:1091-1096
42 43	110	17 Zaidat OO, Voo A I, Khatri P, Tomsick TA, von Kummer P, Saver II, et al. Cerebral
44	449	Angiographic Boyascularization Grading (CABC) Collaborators: STIP
45 46	450	Revascularization working group: STIP Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI)
47	451	Task Earse Decommendations on angiographic reveaularization grading
48 49	452	standarda for acuta inchamia strake: a concensus statement Strake
50 51	435	
52	454	
53 54 55 56 57 58	455	18-Brott T, Adams HP, Olinger CP, Marier JR, Barsan WG, Biller J, SpilkerJ, Holleran
	456	R, Eperie R, Hertzberg V, Rorick M, Moomaw CJ, Walker M. Measurements of
	457	acute cerebral infarction: a clinical examination scale. Stroke. 1989; 20:864–870.
	458	19-Abou-Chebl A, Lin R, Hussain MS, Jovin TG, Levy El, Liebeskind DS, et al.
59 60	459	Conscious sedation versus general anaesthesia during endovascular therapy for

acute anterior circulation stroke: preliminary results from a retrospective,
460
461
461
multicentre study. Stroke. 2010; 41:1175-79.

- 462 20- Bruno A, Akinwuntan AE, Lin C, Close B, Davis K, Baute V et al. Simplified modified
  463 rankin scale questionnaire. Reproductibility over the telephone and validation with
  464 quality of life. Stroke 2011; 42:2276-9.
  - 46521- Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, et al. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated466guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ 2010;340:c332.
    - Jon. submitteo 37;336(4):309-15 22-International Committee of Medical Journal E. Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals. The New England journal of

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1	
2	CONSORT
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Secti

46 47

## CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial\*

Section/Topic	ltem No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract			
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	Page 1
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	Page 2
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	Pages 4&5
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	Page 5
Methods			
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	Page 6
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	NA
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	Page 6
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	Page 6
Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	Pages 7&8
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed	Page 9
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	NA
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	Page 11
	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	Page 10
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	Page 7
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	Page 7
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers),	Page 7
concealment mechanism		describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	Page 7
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those	Page 7
CONSORT 2010 checklist			Page
5		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

		assessing outcomes) and how			
	11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	NA		
Statistical methods	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	Page 10		
	12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	Page 10		
Results					
Participant flow (a	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and	NA		
diagram is strongly		were analysed for the primary outcome			
recommended)	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons			
Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up			
	14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped			
Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group			
Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was			
		by original assigned groups			
Outcomes and	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its			
estimation		precision (such as 95% confidence interval)			
	17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended			
Ancillary analyses	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing			
		pre-specified from exploratory			
Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)			
Discussion					
Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses			
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings			
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence			
Other information					
Registration	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	Page 2		
Protocol	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	NA		
Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	Page 15		
*We strongly recommend	reading	g this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If rele	vant, we also		
recommend reading CON	SORT e	extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and	pragmatic trials.		
<sup>9</sup> Additional extensions are forthcoming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see www.consort-statement.org.					

CONSORT 2010 checklist

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open



SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents\*

Section/item Item No		Description
Administrative in	format	lion
Title	1	Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym page 1
Trial registration	2a	Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry page 2
	2b	All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set NA
Protocol version	3	Date and version identifier NA
Funding	4	Sources and types of financial, material, and other support page 15
Roles and	5a	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors page 1
responsibilities	5b	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor page 15-16
	5c	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities page 15-16
	5d	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee) page 13
Introduction		
Background and rationale	6a	Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits and harms for each intervention page 4-5
	6b	Explanation for choice of comparators page 4-5
Objectives	7	Specific objectives or hypotheses page 5

I riai design	8	Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), allocation ratio, and framework (e superiority, equivalence, noninferiority, exploratory) page 6
Methods: Partici	pants,	interventions, and outcomes
Study setting	9	Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital and list of countries where data will be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained page 6
Eligibility criteria	10	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibili criteria for study centres and individuals who will perform the interventions (eg, surgeons, psychotherapists) page 6
Interventions	11a	Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication including how and when they will be administered page 7-8
	11b	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening disease) page 8
	11c	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence (eg, drug tablet return, laboratory tests) NA
	11d	Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial page 8
Outcomes	12	Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended page 9
Participant timeline	13	Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure) page 9
Sample size	14	Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objective and how it was determined, including clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations page 10-11
Recruitment	15	Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size page 10-11
Methods: Assigr	nment	of interventions (for controlled trials)
Allocation:		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Sequence generation	16a	Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer- generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions page 7				
10 11 12 13 14	Allocation concealment mechanism	16b	Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned page 7				
15 16 17	Implementation	16c	Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to interventions page 7				
19 20 21 22	Blinding (masking)	17a	Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how page 7				
23 24 25 26		17b	If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial				
27 28 20	Methods: Data collection, management, and analysis						
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Data collection methods	18a	Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol page11-12				
38 39 40 41		18b	Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols page 11-12				
42 43 44 45 46 47	Data management	19	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol page 14				
48 49 50 51	Statistical methods	20a	Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol page 10				
52 53 54		20b	Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses) page 10				
55 56 57 58 59 60		20c	Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation) page 10				

1	
2	
2	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
1/	
15	
16	
10	
1/	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
27	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
_10 ⊿1	
יד ⊿ר	
ד∠ ⊿ר	
43 44	
44 45	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
50	
5/	
58	
59	

Methods: Monitoring						
Data monitoring 21a	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed page 11 & 13					
21b	Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim results and make the final decision to terminate the trial page 13					
Harms 22	Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct page 13					
Auditing 23	Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor page 13					
Ethics and dissemina	tion					
Research ethics 24 approval	Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board (REC/IRB) approval already done page 14					
Protocol 25 amendments	Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC/IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators) page 14					
Consent or assent 26a	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32) page 7					
26b	Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable NA					
Confidentiality 27	How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial page 14					
Declaration of 28 interests	Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site page 16					
Access to data 29	Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators page 14-15					
Ancillary and 30 gost-trial care	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation NA					

Dissemination policy	31a	Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions page 14-15
	31b	Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers page 14-15
	31c	Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant- level dataset, and statistical code
Appendices		
Informed consent materials	32	Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates
Biological specimens	33	Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable
<u></u>		

\*It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarification on the items. Amendments to the protocol should be tracked and dated. The SPIRIT checklist is copyrighted by the SPIRIT Group under the Creative Commons "Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported" license.

iz. Rzonz

# **BMJ Open**

### GASS Trial study protocol: a multicentre, single-blind, randomised clinical trial comparing general anaesthesia and sedation during intra-arterial treatment for stroke.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-024249.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Feb-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Maurice, Axelle; CHU Rennes, Pôle Anesthésie et Réanimation, Inserm, NuMeCan, CIC 1414 and Université de Rennes 1 Ferré, Jean-Christophe; CHU Rennes, Department of Neuroradiology Ronzière, Thomas; CHU Rennes, Department of Neurology Devys, Jean-Michel; Service d'Anesthésie-Réanimation, Fondation Ophtalmologique Adolphe de Rothschild 25, rue Manin, F-75019 Paris, France Subileau, Aurelie; Service d'anesthésie réanimation, CHRU La Cavale Blanche, Boulevard T.Prigent, F-29200 Brest, france Laffon, Marc; Service d'anesthésie réanimation 1, CHU hôpital Bretonneau, 2 boulevard Tonnellé, F-37044 Tours, France Laviolle, Bruno; CHU Rennes, Clinical Pharmacology department and Inserm CIC 1414, Université de Rennes 1 beloeil, helene; CHU Rennes, Pôle Anesthésie et Réanimation, Inserm, NuMeCan, CIC 1414 and Université de Rennes 1,
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Anaesthesia
Secondary Subject Heading:	Neurology, Radiology and imaging
Keywords:	Stroke < NEUROLOGY, endovascular therapy, Anaesthesia in neurology < ANAESTHETICS, Adult anaesthesia < ANAESTHETICS, Neurology < INTERNAL MEDICINE, RADIOLOGY & IMAGING
	·



BMJ Open

3 4 5 6	1	Title: GASS Trial study protocol: a multicentre, single-blind, randomised clinical trial comparing
	2	general anaesthesia and sedation during intra-arterial treatment for stroke.
7 8	3	
9 10 11 12	4	Authors: Axelle Maurice <sup>1</sup> , Jean-Christophe Ferré <sup>2</sup> , Thomas Ronziere <sup>3</sup> , Jean-Michel Devys
	5	<sup>4</sup> , Aurelie Subileau <sup>5</sup> , Marc Laffon <sup>6</sup> , Bruno Laviolle <sup>7</sup> , Helene Beloeil <sup>1</sup> , on behalf of the SFAR
13 14 15	6	research network
15 16 17	7	
17	8	Authors' affiliations
19 20 21	9	<sup>1</sup> Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, CIC 1414 NuMeCan, Pôle Anesthésie et Réanimation,
22 23	10	F-35033 Rennes, France
24 25	11	<sup>2</sup> CHU Rennes, department of Neuroradiology, F-35033 Rennes, France
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	12	<sup>3</sup> CHU Rennes, Service de neurologie, F-35033 Rennes, France
	13	<sup>4</sup> Service d'Anesthésie-Réanimation, Fondation Ophtalmologique Adolphe de Rothschild
	14	25, rue Manin, F-75019 Paris, France
	15	<sup>5</sup> Service d'anesthésie réanimation, CHRU La Cavale Blanche, Boulevard T.Prigent, F-29200
34 35 26	16	Brest, france
30 37 38	17	<sup>6</sup> Service d'anesthésie réanimation 1 , CHU hôpital Bretonneau, 2 boulevard Tonnellé, F-
<ol> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> </ol>	18	37044 Tours, France
	19	<sup>7</sup> Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, CIC 1414 (Centre d'Investigation Clinique de Rennes),
	20	F-35000 Rennes, France
45 46	21	
47 48 49 50 51 52 52	22	
	23	Address for correspondence: Helene Beloeil, Pole Anesthésie-Réanimation Medecine
	24	Interne Geriatrie, CHU Rennes, 2 avenue Henri Le Guilloux, 35033 Rennes Cedex, France.
53 54	25	Email: helene.beloeil@chu-rennes.fr
55 56 57	26	
58 59	27	
60	28	

### 29 ABSTRACT

Introduction: Treatment of acute stroke has drastically changed in the last 10 years. Endovascular therapy is now the standard of care for patients with a stroke caused by a large vessel occlusion in the anterior circulation. The impact of the type of anaesthesia (general anaesthesia or conscious sedation) during endovascular therapy on the outcome of the patients is still a matter of debate. Previous studies are mostly retrospective and/or focused on the early post-procedure outcome and/or without blood pressure goals and/or single-centre small size studies. We therefore designed a multicentre study hypothesizing that conscious sedation is associated with a better functional outcome 3 months after endovascular therapy for the treatment of stroke compared with general anaesthesia.

40 Methods/analysis: The GASS trial is a randomised, parallel, single-blind, multicentre study of 41 350 patients undergoing endovascular therapy for the treatment of stroke. Patients will be 42 randomly allocated to receive either a general anaesthesia or a conscious sedation. The 43 primary outcome measure is the modified Rankin score assessed 3 months after the treatment. 44 Data will be analysed on the intention-to-treat principle.

46 Ethics/dissemination: The GASS trial has been approved by an independent ethics
47 committee for all study centres. Participant recruitment begins in September 2016. Results will
48 be published in international peer-reviewed medical journals.

**Trial registration number:** The trial is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (Identifier: NCT 51 02822144)

1 2		
3 52 4	2 <b>S</b>	trengths and limitations of this study
5 53 6	3•	GASS trial is a randomised, parallel, single-blind, multicentre study comparing the effects
7 54 8 54	4	of general anaesthesia and conscious sedation during endovascular therapy for stroke.
9 10 55	5•	GASS trial is focused on the functional outcome of the patients 3 months after the
11 12 56	6	treatment.
13 14 57	7•	The multicentre design, broad inclusion criteria, large sample size (350 patients) and
15 16 58	8	follow-up will support external validity.
17 18 59	9•	The study does not include a systemic CT-scan after the endovascular treatment.
<sup>19</sup> 20 6(	• 0	The sizing of the stroke is also not part of the study as it is newly implemented technology.
22       61         23       61         24       25         26       27         28       29         30       31         32       33         34       35         36       37         38       39         40       41         42       43         44       45         46       47         48       49         50       51         52       53         54       55         56       57         58       59         60       60	1	

#### INTRODUCTION

The treatment of acute stroke has been recently transformed with the publication of RCTs showing the benefit of endovascular therapy when compare with the medical treatment in terms of functional outcome (1-4). Endovascular therapy in addition to the medical treatment is now the standard of care for selected patients who had a stroke caused by a large vessel occlusion in the anterior circulation. All studies have highlighted that the rapidity of the treatment is an essential factor for a good outcome. The other important factor is the haemodynamic conditions during the procedure because instability can worsen the clinical outcome (5.6). A retrospective study concluded that a change of even 10% in mean arterial pressure almost quadrupled the risk for poor outcomes (7).

In this context, the best anaesthetic strategy during the endovascular treatment has not been yet defined. Indeed, the choice between general anaesthesia (GA) and conscious sedation (CS) remains unclear. While allowing immobility, cerebral protection and airway control, GA can delay the endovascular treatment. On the other hand, CS is more rapid, allows neurological assessment during the procedure but the thrombectomy can be more difficult for the neuroradiologist because of patients' movements. In terms of haemodynamic stability, retrospective studies reported results favouring CS (8-10). However, these studies did not focus on the anaesthetic protocol and the intra-procedure haemodynamic. Anaesthetic protocols were not standardized. The first randomized controlled trial (RCT) on the subject was published in 2016 (11). This monocentric study did not find any benefit of CS over GA in terms of outcome 24 hours and 3 months after the treatment. However, functional outcome at 3 months was only a secondary outcome and the anaesthesia protocol was not detailed. Moreover, the design allowed patients in the CS group to receive analgesics and/or sedatives if necessary, which could then transform a CS into a light GA. Löwhagen et al (12) did also not show any difference between the two anaesthetic technics using a well-described anaesthesia protocol. However, the study included only 90 patients and was monocentric. The most recent study (13) using an identical design with infarct growth as the primary endpoint reported no differences between CS and GA. Clinical outcome at 90 days, tested as a secondary endpoint,

### **BMJ** Open

90 was better in the GA group. Finally, a meta-analysis analysing the pooled data of 7 trials (14) 91 reported that worse outcomes at 3 months were associated with GA. However, the choice to 92 treat a patient with or without GA was not randomized in the trials included in this meta-analysis 93 (14).

So far, few studies has assessed the clinical outcome 3 months after the stroke treatment comparing GA and CS using standardized anaesthetic protocols and tight haemodynamic control. Indeed, in previous studies (11,12,13), the anaesthesia protocol was either not standardized or the doses not given, the blood pressure was controlled with vasoactives drugs as different as dopamine and norepinephrine in the same study and the clinical outcome 3 months after the stroke was not the primary objective of one study (13). The recently published post hoc analysis of the Siesta trial (15) and the GOLIATH trial (16) reported no association between heamodynamic variations and NIHSS change after 24 hours."

Therefore, we designed a RCT comparing GA and CS during endovascular treatment for acute stroke. Both GA and CS protocols will be standardized and the control of arterial blood pressure too. We hypothesized that CS will be associated with a better clinical outcome measured with the modified Rankin score (mRS) 3 months after the procedure. The Gass study is the first multicentric RCT including a detailed anaesthesia protocol with a tight haemodynamic control, comparing GA and CS during EVT and evaluating the functional outcome at 3 months.

2 3	110	METHODS AND ANALYSIS
4 5 7 8 9 10	111	Trial design
	112	The GASS study is an investigator initiated, national, multicentre, randomised, simple-blind,
	113	parallel-group clinical trial with concealed allocation of patients scheduled to undergo
11 12	114	endovascular therapy for stroke 1:1 to receive either a general anaesthesia protocol or a
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> </ol>	115	conscious sedation protocol. The trial will be conducted in four university and non-university
	116	centres. It started in September 2016 and will continue for a total of 36 months.
	117	
	118	Participant eligibility and consent
	119	Trial site investigators will identify consecutive eligible patients from the listed criteria. Eligible
	120	patients or a family member when appropriate will receive written and oral information and will
	121	be included after investigators have obtained informed written consent.
	122	
	123	Inclusion criteria
	124	1. Adult (18 years or older) patients admitted to the participating centre
	125	2. Occlusion of a large vessel in the anterior cerebral circulation
	126	3. Undergoing endovascular therapy for stroke
	127	4. Benefiting from the health insurance system
	128	5. Signed informed consent from the patient or their legally next of kin
43 44	129	Non-inclusion criteria
45 46	130	1. Pregnant or breast-feeding women
47 48	131	2. Patients already intubated and mechanical ventilated before inclusion in the study
49 50	132	3. Intracerebral haemorrhage associated with the ischemic stroke
51 52	133	4. Contra-indications to conscious sedation: Glasgow coma scale inferior to 8, agitation
53 54 55	134	not allowing the patient to stay still during the procedure, deglutition disorders
56 57	135	5. Contra-indications to succinylcholine: hyperkalaemia, allergy
57 58 59	136	6. Body mass index superior to 35kg/m <sup>2</sup>
60	137	7. Allergy to one of the anaesthetic drugs

2		
2 3 4	138	8. Uncontrolled hypotension,
5 6 7 8 9 10	139	9. Life-threatening comorbidity
	140	10. Adults legally protected (under judicial protection, guardianship, or supervision),
	141	persons deprived of their liberty
11 12	142	11. patients who could not walk prior stroke
13	143	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	144	Allocation and blinding
	145	Patients will be randomised in two groups (general anaesthesia group and conscious sedation
	146	group). Randomisation will be done by investigators as close as possible to the endovascular
	147	therapy. Each patient will be given a unique randomisation number (patient code).
	148	Randomization will be stratified on the centre, the National Institute of Health Stroke Score
	149	(NIHSS $\leq$ or > 14) and the administration or not of IV thrombolysis. The primary evaluation
	150	criterion will be assessed blinded to the randomisation group. During the study period, outcome
	151	assessors will be kept blind to the randomisation group. Research nurses evaluating the
	152	outcomes 3 months after the treatment will not participate to the anaesthesia and will not be
	153	aware of the randomisation group. They will be blind to the treatment. The anaesthesiologist,
	154	the nurse anaesthesiologist, the neuroradiologist and the neurologist will not be blinded. They
	155	will not participate in the assessment of the patients at any time.
	156	At each participating centre, data will be collected and entered into the electronic web-based
	157	case report form (eCRF) by trial or clinical trained personal (clinical research associate),
44 45	158	blinded to the allocation group, under the supervision of the trial site investigators.
40 47 48	159	
49 50	160	Interventions
50 51 52	161	All included patients will be allocated to one of the following two study groups:
53 54	162	• General anaesthesia group: patients will receive a standardised anaesthesia protocol
55 56	163	with remifentanil
57 58	164	• Conscious sedation group: patients will receive a standard conscious sedation with
59 60	165	remifentanil

1 2		
3 4	166	
5 6	167	Standardized general anaesthesia will include: Induction: Etomidate (0.25 - 0.4 mg/kg) and
7 8	168	succinylcholine (1 mg/kg) and maintenance: TCI propofol (maximum target: 4 microg/ml), TCI
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	169	remifentanil (0.5-4 ng/ml) and curares as needed.
	170	Standardized conscious sedation will include: TCI remifentanil (maximum target 2 ng/ml), local
	171	anaesthesia with lidocaine 10 mg/ml (maximum 10 ml). Oxygen will be administered only if
	172	SPO₂≤ 96%. Respiratory rate and capnography will be monitored.
17 18 10	173	Conscious sedation can be converted into a general anaesthesia in the following situations:
19 20	174	<ul> <li>Agitation or restlessness not allowing the endovascular therapy</li> </ul>
21 22	175	<ul> <li>Vomiting not allowing the endovascular therapy</li> </ul>
23	176	<ul> <li>Glasgow coma scale &lt; 8 and /or deglutition disorders</li> </ul>
24 25	177	• Severe hypoxemia with $SPO_2 < 96$ % with oxygen delivered with a high concentration
26 27	178	mask (10 l/min maximum)
28	179	• Respiratory depression with respiratory rate > 35 /min and/or clinical signs of
29 30	180	respiratory exhaustion
<ul> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> </ul>	181	
	182	In both groups:
	183	intraoperative dose changes will be left to the anaesthesiologist in charge of the patient if
	184	necessary, IV norepinephrine will be administered in order to maintain blood pressure (BP)
	185	within the recommended range: systolic blood pressure (SBP) between 140 and 185 mmHg;
42 43	186	diastolic blood pressure (DBP) < 110 mmHg. A drop of more than 25% of the mean blood
44 45	187	pressure (MBP) will also be avoided. Blood pressure will be continuously non invasively
46 47	188	monitored. Norepinephrine will be administered in a dedicated intravenous line and diluted at
48 49	189	250 microg/ml. Hyperglycemia will be treated with IV insuline when necessary (target 11
50 51	190	mmol/L).
52 53 54 55	191	A systematic immediate post-EVT Cone-beal CT scan will be performed for all patients.
	192	Decisions about all other aspects of patient care will be performed according to the expertise
57	193	of the staff at each centre and to routine clinical practice to minimize interference with the trial
58 59 60	194	intervention. Postoperative blood pressure targets are defined as follows: SBP < 180 mmHg

BMJ Open

2		
- 3 4	195	DBP< 110 mmHg and MBP > 65 mmHg. In case of TICI 2a or lower, the objective is MBP >
5 6	196	75 mmHg. Norepinephrine will be used if necessary. Three months after the thrombectomy,
7 8	197	patients will consult with a neurologist.
9 10	198	
11 12	199	Outcome measures
13 14	200	Primary outcome measure
15 16	201	The primary outcome measure will be the neurological outcome assessed with the modified
17 18	202	Rankin score 3 months (17) after the endovascular therapy. Success will be considered as a
19 20 21	203	modified Rankin score ≤ 2. The modified Rankin score (mRS) will be assessed by trained
21 22 22	204	research nurse blinded to the randomisation group.
23 24 25 26 27 28 29	205	An additional exploratory analysis of the primary endpoint will be performed to assess
	206	treatments effects according to baseline NIHSS (≤ or > 14) and the administration or not of IV
	207	thrombolysis.
30 31	208	
32 33	209	Secondary outcomes measures
34 35	210	Time between the beginning of the clinical symptoms and the last angiography
36 37	211	• Time between the arrival of the patient at the stroke center and the beginning of the
38 39 40	212	endovascular therapy (time of punction)
40 41 42	213	• Quality of the recanalization after the endovascular treatment evaluated by the
43 44	214	neuroradiologist (not blinded). A good quality recanalization corresponds to a 2b or 3
45 46	215	modified treatment in cerebral ischemia scale (mTICI) (18)
47 48	216	• NIHSS score at Day 1 (day after the endovascular treatment) and Day 7 (or the day
49 50	217	the patient leaves the hospital if scheduled before D7) (19)
51 52	218	• Complications during the procedure (dissection, rupture of the artery, thrombus in
53 54	219	another territory)
55 56 57	220	Mortality rate 3 months after the endovascular treatment
57 58 59	221	• Number of hypo- or hypertension events during the procedure and the first 24 hours
60		

I	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
∠ı วา	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
20	
57	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
57	
52	
23	
54	
55	
56	

- after the procedure (hypotension defined as SBP < 140 mmHg or a drop of the MBP of
  - 40% or more, hypertension defined as SBP> 185 mmHg or DBP > 110 mmHg)
    - Number of patients who received norepinephrine
      - Number of conversion of conscious sedation to general anaesthesia
- 227 **Statistical analysis**

222

223

224

225

226

228 Statistical analysis will be performed on all randomized and evaluated patients (intention to 229 treat analysis). It will be performed with SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) in the 230 Methodology/Biometrics department of the Inserm 1414 Clinical Investigation Centre of 231 Rennes. A first overall descriptive analysis and analysis by group will be performed. This 232 consists of separate estimates, numbers and percentages for qualitative variables, means, 233 standard error, medians and interguartile intervals for quantitative variables. The normal 234 feature of the distribution of quantitative variables will be checked. Student's t test or a Mann-235 Whitney test if necessary will be used to compare quantitative variables, and a Chi<sup>2</sup> or Fisher's 236 exact test if necessary will be used to compare qualitative variables between two groups at 237 inclusion. The primary endpoint will be compared between the two groups with the Chi<sup>2</sup> test. 238 Two interim analyses after inclusion of 1/3 and 2/3 of the patients, and one final analysis are 239 planned. Stopping rules will use the alpha spending function with the O'Brien-Fleming 240 boundary. The cumulative values of alpha for each analysis are: 0.00021 at the first analysis, 241 0.01202 at the second analysis and 0.04626 at the final analysis (nTerim, V1.1, Statistical 242 solutions Ltd, Cork, Ireland). The trial will be stopped early if the significance of the Chi<sup>2</sup> test is 243 below these alpha values. For the analysis of the other endpoints, the same strategy as for 244 baseline comparisons will be used. Continuous endpoints repeatedly measured during the 245 study will be compared using a repeated measure two-way (time, group) analysis of variance. 246 For all these analyses, adjustments can be made in case of heterogeneity at inclusion. Except 247 for the interim analyses, a p value <0.05 will be considered as significant for all analyses. 57 248

58 59

#### 60 249 **Missing values**

BMJ Open

2		
2 3 4	250	Missing data will not be replaced. Mixed models can be used in analysis of repeated data to
5 6 7 8 9 10	251	avoid deleting subjects with any missing values.
	252	
	253	Sample size estimation
11 12	254	A previous study reported 30% of the patients with a mRS score $\leq$ 2 after endovascular therapy
13 14	255	under general anaesthesia (20). We aim to show an increase of patients with a good prognostic
15 16	256	(defined as mRS $\leq$ 2) up to 45% after endovascular treatment under conscious sedation.
17 18 19 20 21	257	Therefore, 166 patients per group will be needed to have 80% power, at a two-sided alpha
	258	level of 0.05. A total of 350 patients will be included to take into account non-evaluable patients
21 22 22	259	and drop outs.
23 24 25	260	
26 27 28 29 30 31	261	Data Registration
	262	Data will be entered into the web- based electronic case report form (eCRF) by trial or clinical
	263	personnel under the supervision of the trial site investigators at each participating centre. From
32 33	264	the eCRF the trial database will be established. Data collection will be monitored by trained
34 35	265	research coordinators.
36 37	266	
38 39 40 41 42	267	The following data will be registered:
	268	Baseline characteristics at randomisation:
43 44	269	Demographic data (age, height, weight, gender and body mass index); American Society of
45 46	270	Anaesthesiologists (ASA) physical status; significant comorbidities (cardio-vascular,
47 48	271	respiratory, neurologic , psychiatric and /or abdominal disease, cancer, preoperative
49 50	272	chemotherapy or radiotherapy), NIHSS score, time of arrival at the hospital, time of the
51 52	273	beginning of the symptoms, time of the cerebral angiography or MRI (meaning time of first
53 54	274	image for diagnosis), time between the first contact of the patient with the anaesthesiologist
55 56	275	and the induction of anaesthesia (GA or CS),localisation of the stroke, IV fibrinolysis if
57 58	276	applicable, creatinine clearance, haemostasis (PT and ACT if available).
59 60	277	Intraoperative data:

Time of arterial puncture, time of recanalization, mTICI score (16), doses of norepinephrine, intraoperative complications (hypotension defined as SBP < 140 mmHq or a drop of the MBP of 40% or more, hypertension defined as SBP> 185 mmHg or DBP > 110 mmHg) necessity to convert the conscious sedation onto a general anaesthesia, duration of anaesthesia and procedure, procedure related complications (distal embolization in a different territory, intramural arterial dissection, arterial perforation, access-site complications leading to surgery). Postoperative data: The following data will be collected: Duration of invasive ventilation NIHSS day 1 and Day 7 or the day the patient leaves the hospital if before Day 7 Necessity of noradrenaline during the first 2 hours after the endovascular treatment Hypo-or hypertension events as defined above during first 24 hours Bradycardie with atropine treatment during first 24 hours Hospitalization in intensive care unit Number of hours of invasive ventilation Pneumonia Death until the final call for mRS (3 months after the procedure) • mRS 3 months after the procedure during a telephone interview (21). **Patient withdrawal** A participant who no longer agrees to participate in the clinical trial can withdraw the informed consent at any time without need of further explanation. Participants who will withdraw from the study will be followed up, according to routine clinical practice in each participating centre. In order to conduct intention-to-treat analyses with as little missing data as possible, the investigator may ask the participant which aspects of the trial he/she wishes to withdraw from (participation in the remaining follow-up assessments, use of already collected data). 

Whenever possible, the participant will be asked for permission to obtain data for the primary outcome measure. All randomised patients will be reported, and all data available with consent will be used in the analyses. If appropriate, missing data will be handled in accordance with multiple imputation procedures if missing data are greater than 5%.

- <sup>1</sup> 309
- 310 Safety

Every serious adverse event related to the studied treatment or not, expected or unexpected, will be reported within 24 hours by the investigator to the sponsor on a "Serious adverse event" form on which will be indicated the date of occurrence, criterion of severity, intensity, relationship with the treatment (or the study) evaluated, and the outcome. The period in which serious adverse events should be reported begins from the day of the written informed consent to the end of the follow-up (day of the evaluation of mRS 3 to 4 months after the procedure). Whenever a serious adverse event persists at the end of the study, the investigator will follow the patient until the event is considered resolved. The following events: hypo- or hypertension will be recorded as study endpoints criterion in the case report form. In order to avoid collection duplication, they will not be reported on the "adverse event" page of the case report form. As planned in the study, they will be analysed at the time of interim analyses (two interim analyses after inclusion of 1/3 and 2/3 of the patients) which will permit to show potential difference between the two groups during the study.

In addition, serious adverse events will be submitted to the data monitoring and safety committee (DMSC). The DMSC is independent of the trial investigators and will perform an ongoing review of safety parameters and overall study conduct. The DMSC is comprised of a neurologist, an anaesthesiologist, a neuroradiologist, a pharmacologist and a methodologist. The DMSC will be responsible for safeguarding the interests of trial participants, assessing the safety and efficacy of the interventions during the trial, and for monitoring the overall conduct of the clinical trial. To contribute to enhancing the integrity of the trial, the DMSC may also formulate recommendations relating to the recruitment/retention of participants, their management, improving adherence to protocol-specified regimens and retention of

participants, and the procedures for data management and quality control. Recommendations for pausing or stopping the study will be made by the DMSC in case of serious adverse reactions and suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction.

All adverse events for which the investigator or the sponsor considers that a causal relationship with the investigational medicinal products can be reasonably considered, will be considered as suspected adverse reactions. If they are unexpected, they are gualified as being Suspected Unexpected SAR (SUSAR) and will be notified in a report by the sponsor to Eudravigilance (European pharmacovigilance database) and to local regulatory agency within the regulatory time periods for reporting: Immediate declaration if seriousness criteria is death or life-threatening condition, declaration within 15 days for other seriousness criteria.

#### Data handling and retention

Data will be handled according to French law. All original records (including consent forms, reports of suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions and relevant correspondences) will be archived at trial sites for 15 years. The clean trial database file will be anonymised and maintained for 15 years.

#### Patient and public involvement

- Patient and public were not involved in any of the phases of this study
- ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

#### Ethical and legislative approvals

GASS trial was approved by the French National Safety and Drug Agency (Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament (September 8th, 2016). By June 13th, 2016, the study has been approved for all centres by a central ethics committee (Comité de Protection des Personnes de Poitiers). The GASS trial is registered in the European Clinical Trials Database (EudraCT 2016-000795-25) and at ClinicalTrials.gov with the trial identification number NCT02822144.

**BMJ** Open

2 3 4	361	Trial methods and results will be reported according to the Consolidated Standards of
5 6 7 8	362	Reporting Trials (CONSORT) 2010 guidelines (22).
	363	
9 10	364	Publication plan
11 12	365	Scientific presentations and reports corresponding to the study will be written under the
13 14	366	responsibility of the coordinating investigator of the study with the agreement of the principal
15 16	367	investigators and the methodologist. The co-authors of the report and of publications will be
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	368	the investigators and clinicians involved, on a pro rata basis of their contribution in the study,
	369	as well as the biostatistician and associated researchers. All trial sites will be acknowledged,
	370	and all investigators at these sites will appear with their names under 'the GASS investigators'
	371	in the final manuscript. Rules on publication will follow international recommendations (23).
	372	
	373	
	374	Contributors:
	375	Axelle Maurice (AM) as anesthetist contributed to the conception and design of the research
	376	protocol and wrote the research protocol. AM wrote the first draft of the protocol. AM critically
	377	revised and modified the protocol and the article. AM is including patients in the ongoing study
	378	in the Rennes teaching hospital. AM approved the final version to be published.
41 42	379	Jean-Christophe Ferré (JCF) as neuroradiologist contributed to the conception and design of
43 44	380	the research protocol. JCF critically revised and modified the protocol and the article. JCF
45 46	381	approved the final version to be published.
47 48	382	Thomas Ronziere (TR) as neurologist contributed to the conception and design of the research
49 50	383	protocol. TR critically revised and modified the protocol and the article. TR approved the final
51 52	384	version to be published.
53 54	385	Jean-Michel Devys (JMD) as anesthetist critically revised and modified the protocol and the
55 56 57	386	article. JMD is including patients in the ongoing study in the Fondation Rothschild hospital in
58 59	387	Paris. JMD approved the final version to be published.
60	388	

3 4	389	Aurelie Subileau (AS) as anesthetist critically revised and modified the protocol and the article.
5 6	390	AS is including patients in the ongoing study in the Brest teaching hospital. AS approved the
7 8	391	final version to be published.
9 10	392	Marc Laffon (ML) as anesthetist critically revised and modified the protocol and the article. ML
11 12	393	is including patients in the ongoing study in the Tours teaching hospital. ML approved the final
13 14	394	version to be published.
15 16	395	Bruno Laviolle (BL) designed the study and its statistical analysis plan.
17 18 10	396	Helene Beloeil (HB) provided critical input pertaining to the design of the trial interventions and
19 20 21	397	procedures. HB wrote this manuscript. HB is including patients in the ongoing study in the
22 22 23	398	Rennes teaching hospital.
24 25	399	
26 27	400	Funding: GASS trial is supported by funding from French Ministry of Health (Programme
28 29	401	Hospitalier de Recherché Clinique Inter regional (PHRCI 2015).
30 31	402	The funding sources had no role in the trial design, trial conduct, data handling, data analysis
32 33	403	or writing and publication of the manuscript.
34 35	404	
36 37 29	405	Sponsor: CHU de Rennes, Direction de la recherché Clinique, 2 avenue Henri Le Guilloux,
30 39 40	406	35033 Rennes Cedex, France. The sponsor had no role in the trial design, trial conduct, data
40 41 42	407	handling, data analysis or writing and publication of the manuscript.
43 44	408	
45 46	409	
47 48	410	Competing interests: None
49 50	411	
51 52	412	References
53 54	413	1- Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, van den Berg LA, Lingsma HF, et al. MR
55 56	414	CLEAN Investigators. A randomized trial of intra-arterial treatment for acute
57 58 59	415	ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:11-20.
60		

Page 17 of 26

BMJ Open

1 2		
3	416	2- Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, Eesa M, Rempel JL, et al. ESCAPE Trial
4 5	417	Investigators. Randomized Assessment of Rapid Endovascular Treatment of
6 7	418	Ischemic Stroke. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:1019-30.
8	419	3- Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ, Dewey HM, Churilov L, and al. EXTEND-IA
9 10	420	Investigators. Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging
11	421	selection. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:1009-18.
12 13	422	4- Nogueira RG, Smith WS, Sung G, et al. Effect of time to reperfusion on clinical
14 15	423	outcome of anterior circulation strokes treated with thrombectomy: pooled analysis
16	424	of the MERCI and Multi MERCI trials. Stroke. 2011; 42: 3144–9.
17 18	425	5- Davis MJ, Menon BK, Baghirzada LB, Campos-Herrera CR, Goyal M, Hill MD,
19	426	Archer DP; Calgary Stroke Program. Aesthetic management and outcome in
20 21	427	patients during endovascular therapy for acute stroke. Anesthesiology.
22 23	428	2012;116:396-405.
24	429	6- Löwhagen Hendén P, Rentzos A, Karlsson JE, Rosengren L, Sundeman H,
25 26	430	Reinsfelt B, Ricksten SE. Hypotension During Endovascular Treatment of Ischemic
27	431	Stroke Is a Risk Factor for Poor Neurological Outcome. Stroke. 2015; 46:2678-2680.
28 29	432	7- Whalin MK, Halenda KM, Haussen DC, Rebello LC, Frankel MR, Gershon RY,
30 31	433	Nogueira RG. Even Small Decreases in Blood Pressure during Conscious Sedation
32	434	Affect Clinical Outcome after Stroke Thrombectomy: An Analysis of Hemodynamic
33 34	435	Thresholds. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2017;38:294-298.
35	436	8- Berkhemer OA, van den Berg LA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, Yoo AJ, Lingsma HF,
30 37	437	Schonewille WJ, van den Berg R, Wermer MJ, Boiten J, Lycklama À Nijeholt GJ,
38 39	438	Nederkoorn PJ, Hollmann MW, van Zwam WH, van der Lugt A, van Oostenbrugge
40	439	RJ, Majoie CB, Dippel DW, Roos YB; MR CLEAN investigators. The effect of
41 42	440	anaesthetic management during intra-arterial therapy for acute stroke in MR
43	441	CLEAN. Neurology. 2016 ;87:656-64.
44 45	442	9- Abou-Chebl A, Yeatts SD, Yan B, Cockroft K, Goyal M, Jovin T, Khatri P, Meyers
46 47	443	P, Spilker J, Sugg R, Wartenberg KE, Tomsick T, Broderick J, Hill MD. Impact of
48	444	General Anesthesia on Safety and Outcomes in the Endovascular Arm of
49 50	445	interventional Management of Stroke (IMS) III Trial. Stroke. 2015;46:2142-8.
51 52	446	10-Bekelis K, Missios S, MacKenzie TA, Tjoumakaris S, Jabbour P. Anesthesia
52 53	447	Technique and Outcomes of Mechanical Thrombectomy in Patients with Acute
54 55	448	Ischemic Stroke. Stroke 2017 ;48:361-366.
56	449	11-Schönenberger S, Uhlmann L, Hacke W, Schieber S, Mundiyanapurath S,
57 58	450	Purrucker JC, Nagel S, Klose C, Pfaff J, Bendszus M, Ringleb PA, Kieser M,
59 60	451	Möhlenbruch MA, Bösel J. Effect of Conscious Sedation vs General Anesthesia on

- 3 452 Early Neurological Improvement Among Patients with Ischemic Stroke Undergoing 4 453 Endovascular Thrombectomy: А Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 5 6 454 2016;316 :1986-1996. 7
- 455
   456
   456
   456
   12-Löwhagen Henden P, Rentzos A, Karlsson JE, Rosengren L, Leiram B, et al.
   General anaesthesia versus conscious sedation for endovascular treatment of
   acute ischaemic stroke : the anstroke trial (anaesthesia during stroke). Stroke 2017 ;
   458
   48 : 1601-1607.
- 14<br/>1545913- Simonsen CZ, Yoo AJ, Sørensen LH, Juul N, Johnsen SP, Andersen G,16<br/>16<br/>17<br/>18460Rasmussen M. Effect of General Anesthesia and Conscious Sedation During17<br/>18<br/>19<br/>20462Endovascular Therapy on Infarct Growth and Clinical Outcomes in Acute Ischemic19<br/>20462Stroke: A Randomized Clinical Trial JAMA Neurol. 2018 ;75(470-477).
- 46314- Campbell BCV, van Zwam WH, Menon BK, Dippel DWJ, Demchuk AM, Bracard S463et al. Effect of general anaesthesia on functional outcome in patients with anterior465circulation ischaemic stroke having endovascular thrombectomy versus standard465of care: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet neurol 2018; 17:47-53.
- 27<br/>28<br/>2946715-Schönenberger S, Uhlmann L, Ungerer M, Pfaff J, Nagel S, Kose C, et al.29<br/>29468Association of blood pressure with short-and long-term functional outcome after30<br/>31<br/>32469stroke thrombectomy: post hoc analysis of the siest trial. Stroke 2018; 49: 1451-32<br/>324701456.
- 33<br/>3447116-Rasmussen M, Espelund US, Juul N, Yoo AJ, Sorensen LH, Sorensen KE et al.35<br/>35<br/>36<br/>37472The influence of blood pressure management on neurological outcome in<br/>endovascular therapy for acute ischaemic stroke. Br J Anaesth 2018; 120:1287-<br/>1294.
  - 475 17- Banks JL, Marotta CA. Outcomes Validity and Reliability of the Modified Rankin
    476 Scale: Implications for Stroke Clinical Trials. A Literature Review and Synthesis.
    477 Stroke. 2007; 38:1091-1096.
  - 18- Zaidat OO, Yoo AJ, Khatri P, Tomsick TA, von Kummer R, Saver JL, et al. Cerebral 478 479 Angiographic Revascularization Grading (CARG) Collaborators; STIR 480 Revascularization working group; STIR Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI) Task Force. Recommendations on angiographic revascularization grading 481 482 standards for acute ischemic stroke: a consensus statement. Stroke. 483 2013;44:2650-2663.
    - 484 19- Brott T, Adams HP, Olinger CP, Marler JR, Barsan WG, Biller J, SpilkerJ, Holleran
      485 R, Eberle R, Hertzberg V, Rorick M, Moomaw CJ, Walker M. Measurements of
      486 acute cerebral infarction: a clinical examination scale. Stroke. 1989; 20:864–870.
- 58 59 60

40 41

42 43

44

45 46

47

48 49

50 51

52

53 54

55

56 57

- 20-Abou-Chebl A, Lin R, Hussain MS, Jovin TG, Levy EI, Liebeskind DS, et al. Conscious sedation versus general anaesthesia during endovascular therapy for acute anterior circulation stroke: preliminary results from a retrospective, multicentre study. Stroke. 2010; 41:1175-79.
  - 21- Bruno A, Akinwuntan AE, Lin C, Close B, Davis K, Baute V et al. Simplified modified rankin scale questionnaire. Reproductibility over the telephone and validation with guality of life. Stroke 2011; 42:2276-9.
    - 22-Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, et al. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ 2010;340:c332.
    - , para. , ttee of i. , tted to biomea. , (4):309-15 23-International Committee of Medical Journal E. Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals. The New England journal of medicine 1997;336(4):309-15



# CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial\*

Section/Topic	ltem No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract			
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	Page 1
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	Page 2
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	Pages 4&5
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	Page 5
Methods			
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	Page 6
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	NA
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	Page 6
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	Page 6
Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	Pages 7&8
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed	Page 9
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	NA
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	Page 11
	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	Page 10
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	Page 7
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	Page 7
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers),	Page 7
concealment mechanism		describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	Page 7
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those	Page 7
CONSORT 2010 checklist			Page
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

**BMJ** Open

2			assessing outcomes) and how	
3 ⊿		11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	NA
4 5	Statistical methods	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	Page 10
6		12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	Page 10
7	Results			
8 0	Participant flow (a	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and	NA
9 10	diagram is strongly		were analysed for the primary outcome	
11	recommended)	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	
12	Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	
13		14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped	
14 15	Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	
16	Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was	
17			by original assigned groups	
18	Outcomes and	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its	
19 20	estimation		precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	
20		17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	
22	Ancillary analyses	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing	
23			pre-specified from exploratory	
24 25	Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)	
26	Discussion			
27	Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	
28	Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	
29 20	Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	
30 31	Other information			
32	Registration	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	Page 2
33	Protocol	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	NA
34 25	Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	Page 15
35 36				0
37	*We strongly recommend	d readin	g this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If relevant	vant, we also
38	recommend reading CON	SORT	extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and	pragmatic trials.
39	Additional extensions are	e forthco	oming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see <u>www.consort-statement.org</u> .	
40 41				
47 47				

CONSORT 2010 checklist



SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents\*

Section/item	ltem No	Description
Administrative in	format	ion
Title	1	Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym page 1
Trial registration	2a	Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry page 2
	2b	All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set NA
Protocol version	3	Date and version identifier NA
Funding	4	Sources and types of financial, material, and other support page 15
Roles and	5a	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors page 1
responsibilities	5b	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor page 15-16
	5c Role of study sponsor and fund management, analysis, and inte and the decision to submit the they will have ultimate authority 16	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities page 15-16
	5d	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee) page 13
Introduction		
Background and rationale	6a	Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits and harms for each intervention page 4-5
	6b	Explanation for choice of comparators page 4-5
Objectives	7	Specific objectives or hypotheses page 5

0	8	Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), allocation ratio, and framework (e superiority, equivalence, noninferiority, exploratory) page 6
Methods: Particip	oants,	interventions, and outcomes
Study setting	9	Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospi and list of countries where data will be collected. Reference to when list of study sites can be obtained page 6
Eligibility criteria	10	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibil criteria for study centres and individuals who will perform the interventions (eg, surgeons, psychotherapists) page 6
Interventions	11a	Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication including how and when they will be administered page 7-8
	11b	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening disease) page 8
	11c	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence (eg, drug tablet return, laboratory tests) NA
	11d	Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial page 8
Outcomes	12	Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy a harm outcomes is strongly recommended page 9
Participant timeline	13	Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins ar washouts), assessments, and visits for participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure) page 9
Sample size	14	Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectiv and how it was determined, including clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations page 10-11
	15	Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach
Sequence generation	16a	Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer- generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions page 7
--	-----------------------------	--
Allocation concealment mechanism	16b	Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned page 7
Implementation	16c	Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to interventions page 7
Blinding (masking)	17a	Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how page 7
	17b	If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial
Methods: Data co	n, management, and analysis	
Data collection methods	18a	Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol page11-12
	18b	Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols page 11-12
Data management	19	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol page 14
Statistical methods	20a	Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol page 10
	20b	Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses) page 10
	20c	Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation) page 10

Methods: Monitor	ethods: Monitoring				
Data monitoring	21a	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed page 11 & 13			
	21b	Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim results and make the final decision to terminate the trial page 13			
Harms	22	Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct page 13			
Auditing	23	Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor page 13			
Ethics and dissemination					
Research ethics approval	24	Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board (REC/IRB) approval already done page 14			
Protocol amendments	25	Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC/IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators) page 14			
Consent or assent	26a	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32) page 7			
	26b	Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable NA			
Confidentiality	27	How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial page 14			
Declaration of interests	28	Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site page 16			
Access to data	29	Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators page 14-15			
Ancillary and post-trial care	30	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation NA			

Dissemination policy	31a	Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions page 14-15
	31b	Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers page 14-15
	31c	Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant- level dataset, and statistical code
Appendices		
Informed consent materials	32	Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates
Biological specimens	33	Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable

\*It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarification on the items. Amendments to the protocol should be tracked and dated. The SPIRIT checklist is copyrighted by the SPIRIT Group under the Creative Commons "<u>Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported</u>" license.

iz. Rzonz