Supplementary Information for

Acid specific dark quencher QC1 pHLIP for multi-spectral optoacoustic diagnoses of breast cancer

Sheryl Roberts¹, Arianna Strome¹, Crystal Choi¹, Chrysafis Andreou¹, Susanne Kossatz¹, Christian Brand¹, Travis Williams¹, Michelle Bradbury^{1,2}, Moritz F. Kircher^{1,2,3,4,5}, Yana K. Reshetnyak⁶, Jan Grimm^{1,4,7,8}, Jason S. Lewis^{1,4,8,9,10}, Thomas Reiner*1,4,11

1Department of Radiology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, New York, New York, 10065, USA

2Molecular Pharmacology Program, Sloan Kettering Institute for Cancer Research, New York, New York 10065, USA

3Center for Molecular Imaging and Nanotechnology (CMINT), Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, 10065, USA

4 Department of Radiology, Weill Cornell Medical College, 1300 York Avenue, New York, New York, 10065, USA

5 Department of Imaging, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute/Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, USA

⁶Department of Physics, University of Rhode Island, 2 Lippitt Rd, Kingston, RI 02881, USA

7 Department of Molecular Pharmacology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York, USA

⁸Department of Pharmacology, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA

⁹Molecular Pharmacology Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

¹⁰Radiochemistry and Molecular Imaging Probes Core, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

¹¹ Chemical Biology Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York City, NY 10065, United States

* corresponding author: reinert@mskcc.org, 646-888-3461

Fig. S1. Chemical characterizations of sonophore QC1 pHLIP. (a) Chemical structure of IRDye QC1 (*left*) and indocyanine green (ICG, *right*). (b) Amino acid sequence and molecular weight of var3 pHLIP, ICG pHLIP and QC1 pHLIP (c) HPLC chromatogram of var3 pHLIP at 280 nm (*top*) and 800 nm (*bottom*). (d) The appearance of ICG pHLIP and QC1 pHLIP at the same concentration in DMSO. (e) HPLC chromatogram of QC1 pHLIP at 280 nm (*top*) and 800 nm (*bottom*). (f) LC-MS of QC1 pHLIP ESI⁺.

Fig. S2. Calibration curves plotted from the UV/Vis absorbance spectra and optoacoustic spectra of ICG pHLIP (a) and QC1 pHLIP (b) sonophores. The UV/Vis absorbance (*top*) and optoacoustic intensities (*bottom*) were measured in phosphate buffer saline (PBS), pH 7.20 containing 10 mM D-glucose at varying concentrations of sonophores (2 µM, 5 $μ$ M, 10 $μ$ M, 15 $μ$ M and 20 $μ$ M) as indicated on the graph. The extinction coefficient (ε) was determined using the slope calculated at λ_{max} at 810 nm. **and optoacoustic spectra of ICG pHLIP and QC1 pHLIP sonophores.** The bustic intensities (*pottom*) were measured in pnosphate buffer saline (PBS), in phosphate buffer saline (PBS), pH 7.20 containing 10 mM D-glucose at n taining 10 mM D-glucose at varying concentrations of sonophores (2 μ M, 5 as indicated on the graph. The extinction coefficient (ϵ) was determined using using using using using using ϵ 15 μM and 20 μM) as indicated on t

Fig. S3. The fluorescent spectra of ICG pHLIP in methanol. It has an emission maximum at 815 nm (excited at 660 nm and using a 695 cutoff filter). The solution was 7 μ M. pecua or ico prieir in medianoi. Il nas an lilli and us

Fig. S4. Direct red 81 has little or no effect on spectral coloring due to light fluence. The optoacoustic intensity images (a.u.) of ICG pHLIP and QC1 pHLIP at 680 nm (a) and 800 nm (b) with increasing concentrations of 2 µM, 5 µM, 10 µM, 15 µM and 20 µM (*left to right*) and (c) Optoacoustic intensities (a.u.) of ICG pHLIP, QC1 pHLIP and Direct Red at 5 μ M. (d) Normalized spectra of deoxy haemoglobin (Hb) and haemoglobin (HbO₂); spectra included as references for multi-spectral unmixing analysis.

Fig. S5. Optoacoustic imaging of ICG pHLIP and QC1 pHLIP at the same concentration Fig. S5: **Optoacoustic imaging of ICG** of 5 µM in phosphate buffer saline (PBS). The mean optoacoustic intensities (a.u.) of ICG **concentration of 5 µM in phosphate buffer** pHLIP is 477 \pm 112 and QC1 pHLIP is 245 \pm 9 ($n = 3$). The result is comparable to the one carried out in DMSO at 5 µM. **pH**_IP and $\frac{1}{2}$ pHLIP at the same $\frac{1}{2}$ pHLIP at the same $\frac{1}{2}$ **saline (PBS).** The mean optoacoustic intensities (a.u.) of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ pHLIP is 245 ± 9 ($n = 3$). The

Fig. S6. Fluorescence of ICG pHLIP in the absence and presence of cells. *In vitro* fluorescence using increasing amounts (100 000 cells, 500 000 cells, 1 000 000 cells and 5 000 000 cells) of the murine breast cancer cell line $4T1$ were incubated with 5 μ M ICG pHLIP. No washing steps were carried out. Data was collected in biological triplicates of triplicates. The mean at each group was calculated $(n = 9)$. The ratio difference was calculated by taking the fluorescence and dividing by the mean of the previous group. ICG pHLIP fluorescence increases roughly 16-fold in presence of cells. 10**0 or vicellis, 500,000 cells,** 1 000 0000 cells and 5 000 cells were were a arried out. Data was collected in biol difference was calculated by taking the set of \mathcal{A} p and dividing by the mean of the \bar{p}

Fig. S7. Membrane insertion into the tumor turns on fluorescence of ICG pHLIP increases as we increase concentrations of 4T1 cells. *In vitro* fluorescent imaging of ICG pHLIP, ICG, QC1 pHLIP and IRDye QC1 at 5 µM and carried out at varying concentrations of 4T1 cells prepared from 0 cells, 100 000 cells, 500 000 cells, 1 000 000 cells and 5 000 000 cells. The cells were then incubated with 5 μ M of either ICG pHLIP or QC1 pHLIP for 10 min at room temperature. The images were obtained from the Odyssey scanner at 800 nm. See corresponding quantification in Fig. 2b. . Membrane insertion into the tumor turns on fluorescence of ICG pHLIP inc

Fig S8. Perfusion for ex vivo imaging improves signal to noise (S/N) in optoacoustic tomography. Using orthotopic murine breast cancer model and injec^{1.3E+003} 12.5E+000 pHLIP, representative images of optoacoustic signals are shown for no injected (right) tumors (a) and muscles (b) that are either perfused (*i* (*bottom)*. or non perfused (*bottom)*. The corresponding signal quantification of the organs (tumor, muscle, spleen, kidney and

 $7.7E + 001$

 $0.0E + 000$

Fig. S9. Dark quencher QC1 pHLIP outperforms ICG pHLIP in ex vivo optoacoustc imaging of spleen, kidney and liver at 24h post intravenous injection in orthotopic murine breast cancer model. (a) Representative images showing that QC1 pHLIP can still delineate better than ICG pHLIP even at organs (spleen (*top*), kidney (*middle*) and liver(*bottom*)) that contain high concentrations of blood (non injected *n = 4*, injected *n = 4*). (b) Respective optoacoustic quantification of spleen (*top*), kidney (*middle*) and liver (*bottom*) between QC1 pHLIP (*left*) and ICG pHLIP (*right)*. (c) Signal ratio between the injected over non injected respective organs of QC1 pHLIP (*cyan*) and ICG pHLIP (*green*). Ns = not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 (unpaired t-test).

SR_98 SR_106 dimensions: shrunk to 150, then f

Fig. S10. The overall *ex vivo* optoacoustic intensities between the injected and non injected organs. Optoacoustic intensities (a.u.) of tumor, muscle, spleen, kidney and liver (*top to bottom*) are shown between QC1 pHLIP (*cyan*) and ICG pHLIP (*green*) against Fig. S12: **The overall** *ex vivo* **optoacoustic intensities** μ c intensities (a.u.) of tumor, muscle, spleen, μ controls (*grey*).

Fig. S11. The *in vivo* accumulation of QC1 pHLIP over time using optoacoustic imaging.

Fig. S12. Mice were intravenously injected with dark quencher QC1 pHLIP (120 µM), allowed 24h accumulation of the probe before its tumors were excised out ($n = 3$) and compared with the non injected control ($n = 3$). Note that these ex vivo injected tumors corresponds to the mice that were imaged in vivo at various timepoints (Fig. S11).

Fig. S13. In vivo and *ex vivo* kinetics and biodistribution validation of pHLIPs using fluorescence imaging. (a) Representative *in vivo* fluorescent images of ICG pHLIP (left), QC1 pHLIP (*middle*) and non injected (*right*) orthotopic murine breast cancer model ($n =$ *3*) at 24h post injection at 120 µM of agent. (b) Quantification of the *in vivo* tumor region of interest (ROI) from the fluorescent images acquired at 30 min, 4h, 8h, 12h and 24h post injection (blue = injected, black = non injected). (c) Representative *ex vivo* fluorescent images of liver, spleen, kidney, muscle and tumor (*top to bottom*) tissues excised out at 24h post *i.v.* injection of pHLIPs. (d) Quantification of the *ex vivo* organs by drawing ROI from the fluorescent images. Quantification of the *ex vivo* organs by drawing ROI from the fluorescent images.

Fig. S15. The optoacoustic background before and 24 h post intravenous injection of pHLIP. Representative region of interests (ROI) for background and tumor are marked (white).

Fig. S16. Determining tumor margins using spectrally unmixed oxy/deoxyhemoglobin channel. Representative images at 24 h post intravenous injection of ICG pHLIP showing the three split channels for deoxyhemoglobin (Hb, *top*), hemoglobin (HbO₂, *middle*) and ICG pHLIP (*bottom*).

--------------- end of document -------------