

Corresponding author(s): DBPR, NCOMMS-18-28140B

Last updated by author(s): Apr 21, 2019

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

<u> </u>				
St	· a	tı۹	เปร	rs

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Coi	nfirmed
	×	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	×	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	×	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
x		A description of all covariates tested
	×	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	×	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
x		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
X		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
X		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	×	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

1) Labview source code used for calcium imaging data is available in https://github.com/rezaie99/NN-A59900-2

Data analysis

1) Python source code for motion correction and timeline extraction of images, 2) Bash files to run external tools (ANT) for registration, 3) Python code for frequency and synchronization analysis, 4) Python code for LFP analysis and correlation, and 5) MATLAB code for cluster analysis and statistical calculation. The source code are available in https://github.com/rezaie99/NN-A59900-2

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Data availability.

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Field-spe	ecific re	porting				
Please select the o	ne below that is	the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.				
x Life sciences	Ве	ehavioural & social sciences				
For a reference copy of	the document with a	Il sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>				
Life scier	nces stu	ıdy design				
All studies must dis	sclose on these p	points even when the disclosure is negative.				
Sample size	size is chosen to Student's t-test, testing the effica matched larvae analyzing the eff	ning using functional connectivity fingerprints (Fig. 2c) we report "A minimum of 5 larvae are analyzed per compound. This sample sen to ensure sufficient statistical power to separate mutant larvae (magenta text) from sibling controls (cyan text; unpaired t-test, $p < 0.01$; normality of datasets was tested using the Jarque–Bera normality test)" This is found in the LEGEND for Fig. 2. For efficacy and side effects of polytherapy drug candidates (Fig. 3) we report "Scores have been normalized to untreated agearvae (n=5+ larvae per condition for efficacy; n=10 larvae per condition for side effect)." This is found in the LEGEND for Fig. 3. For the effect of polytherapy drug candidates on functional connectivity (Fig. 4), we use report "10 larvae were analyzed per condition." nd in the LEGEND for Fig. 4.				
Data exclusions	NA					
Replication	each of which fu	above, for screening using functional connectivity fingerprints (Fig. 2c) we use a minimum of 5 larvae are analyzed per compound, which functions as a biological replicate. As is typical of most screens, initial hits were then retested to confirm activity using follow-up detailed in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.				
Randomization	is determined ei	larvae are assigned to either a "mutant" or "wild-type" group based on the status of the scn1lab gene. As indicated in METHODS this ned either by PCR genotyping or visual inspection to detect an associated pigmentation phenotype arising from loss of scn1lab. tha given genotype were randomly assigned to test compounds.				
Blinding	algorithms that reveals abnorma	dicated in RESULTS and METHODS, functional connectivity analysis, seizure scores, and behavioral analysis are all done using automated ithms that process recordings identically regardless of group/experimental condition. See the final paragraph of the "Brain-wide imaging als abnormal functional connectivity in epileptic mutants" section in RESULTS and the "Image processing and cluster analysis", "Behavioral sis", and "LFP recording and analysis" sections in METHODS.				
We require informati	ion from authors a	pecific materials, systems and methods bout some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material,				
,		our study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.				
Materials & experimental systems Methods						
n/a Involved in th	•	n/a Involved in the study X ChIP-seg				
x Eukaryotic	cell lines	Flow cytometry				
Palaeontology MRI-based neuroimaging						
Animals and other organisms						
Muman research participants						
Clinical dat	la					
Animals and	l other org	anisms				
Policy information	about <u>studies in</u>	volving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research				
Laboratory anima	de: coi Ho	of Zebrafish larva is used in all the experiments. The scn1labs552 line (also known as double indemnity or didy) has been scribed previously13 and was crossed onto a line expressing the genetically encoded calcium indicator GCaMP5G35 under the introl of the pan-neuronal elavl3/HuC promoter [Tg(HuC:GCaMP5G); a generous gift of A Schier, Harvard, Cambridge, MA]. mozygous mutant scn1labs552 larvae and age-matched siblings controls expressing the GCaMP5G reporter were obtained by sssing Tg(HuC:GCaMP5+/+; scn1lab+/-) adults.				
Wild animals	Vild animals n/a					
Field-collected sa	amples n/a					

n/a

Ethics oversight