

**Table S10. Comparison of three benchmarking studies that used GiaB gold standard variant dataset NA12878**

	Present study	Hwang et al., 2015	Highnam et al, 2015	Cornish and Guda, 2015
<b>GiaB Version</b>	GiaB V3.3.2	GiaB high confident integrated pedigree calls V0.2	GiaB V2.18	GiaB V2.15
<b>Genome Version</b>	GRCh37&38	GRCh37	GRCh37	GRCh37
<b>Sequencing Platform</b>	HiSeq2500	HiSeq2000	HiSeq2000	HiSeq2000
<b>Data sets used</b>	NA12878, NA24385, NA24631 and Simulated Reads(WES)	12 Datasets NA12878(WES, WGS) including IonTorrent	NA12878(WES) and Simulated Reads	NA12878(WES)
<b>Aligners</b>	BWA-MEM 0.7.16 Bowtie2 2.2.6 Novoalign 3.08.02 SOAP 2.21 MOSAIK 2.2.3	Bowtie2-2.2.25 BWA-MEM-0.7.10 Novoalign-3.02.12 Tmap (for Ion Proton)	Bowtie2-2.0.0-beta5 BWA-0.7.5a BWA-MEM-0.7.5a Novoalign-3.00.04	Bowtie2-2.1.0 BWA sampe-0.7.5a BWA-MEM-0.7.5a CUSHAW3-3.0.3 MOSAIK-2.2.3 Novoalign-3.02.07
<b>Variant callers</b>	GATK HaplotypeCaller 4 FreeBayes 1.1.0 SAMtools mpileup 1.7 DeepVariant r0.4	FreeBayes-0.9.18 GATK-HaplotypeCaller3.3.0 SAMtools mpileup-1.1 TVC-4.2.3 (for Ion Proton)	GATK-HaplotypeCaller3.1-1 GATK-UnifiedGenotyper3.1-1 SAMtools mpileup v0.1.18 Isaac v01.13.06.20	FreeBayes v9.9.2-19- g011561f GATK-HaplotypeCaller2.7-2 GATK-UnifiedGenotyper2.7-2 SAMtools mpileup-0.1.19 SNPSVM-0.01
<b>Benchmark Method</b>	F-Score	Area under Precision-recall curve	Positive predictive value	Positive predictive value, sensitivity separately
<b>Best Performing Pipeline</b>	BWA/Novoalign_DeepVariant (SNVs and InDels were analyzed separately)	SNVs: BWA_SAMtools InDels: BWA_GATK HaplotypeCaller (SNVs and InDels were analyzed separately)	Novoalign_GATK HaplotypeCaller (SNV and InDel were combined for this analysis)	Novoalign_GATK HaplotypeCaller (Only SNV was analyzed)